



Commissioners

SHEILA LUMPE
Chair

M. DIANNE DRAINER
Vice Chair

CONNIE MURRAY

ROBERT G. SCHEMENAUER

KELVIN L. SIMMONS

Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360
JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102
573-751-3234
573-751-1847 (Fax Number)
<http://www.psc.state.mo.us>

June 22, 2000

BRIAN D. KINKADE
Executive Director

GORDON L. PERSINGER
Director, Research and Public Affairs

WESS A. HENDERSON
Director, Utility Operations

ROBERT SCHALLENBERG
Director, Utility Services

DONNA M. KOLILIS
Director, Administration

DALE HARDY ROBERTS
Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

DANA K. JOYCE
General Counsel

Mr. Dale Hardy Roberts
Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge
Missouri Public Service Commission
P. O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102

RE: Case No. TO-2000-684

Dear Mr. Roberts:

Enclosed for filing in the above-captioned case are an original and eight (8) conformed copies of a **STAFF RECOMMENDATION**.

This filing has been mailed or hand-delivered this date to all counsel of record.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely yours,

David J. Stueven
by Wm K Haas

David J. Stueven
Assistant General Counsel
(573) 751-6726
(573) 751-9285 (Fax)

DJS/lb
Enclosure
cc: Counsel of Record

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

FILED²

JUN 22 2000

Missouri Public
Service Commission

In the Matter of the Application of GTE)
Midwest Incorporated and GTE Arkansas)
Incorporated for Approval of)
Interconnection Agreement with New)
Edge Network, Inc. d/b/a New Edge)
Networks, Pursuant to Section 252 (e) of
the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

Case No. TO-2000-684

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

COMES NOW the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission (Staff) and for its recommendation states:

1. In the attached Memorandum, which is labeled Appendix A, the Staff states that the proposed interconnection agreement appears to meet the standards set forth in Section 252(e) of the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the Act) in that the Agreement does not appear to discriminate against telecommunications carriers not party to the Agreement and does not appear to be against the public interest, convenience, or necessity.

2. Therefore, Staff recommends that the Missouri Public Service Commission grant approval of the resale and facilities-based interconnection Agreement pursuant to the Act.

Respectfully submitted,

DANA K. JOYCE
General Counsel

David J. Stueven

by Wm K Haas

David J. Stueven
Assistant General Counsel
Missouri Bar No. 51274

Attorney for the Staff of the
Missouri Public Service Commission
P. O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102
(573) 751-6726 (Telephone)
(573) 751-9285 (Fax)
email: dstueven@mail.state.mo.us

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed or hand-delivered to all counsel of record as shown on the attached service list this 22nd day of June 2000.

Wm K Haas

MEMORANDUM

To: Missouri Public Service Commission Official Case File
Case No. TO-2000-684
Parties: GTE Midwest Incorporated and GTE Arkansas Incorporated & New Edge Networks, Inc. d/b/a New Edge Networks

From: Philip M. Garcia, ^{PG}Telecommunications Department ^{BV}

6/21/00 Wm K Haas 6/22/00
Utility Operations Division/Date General Counsel Office/Date

Subject: Staff Recommendation for Approval of Interconnection Agreement

Date: June 19, 2000

Date Filed: April 26, 2000

Staff Deadline: July 5, 2000

The Telecommunications Department Staff (Staff) recommends the Parties be granted approval of the submitted (may check more than one)

- ☒ Resale Agreement
- ☒ Facilities-based Interconnection Agreement
- ☐ Wireless Interconnection Agreement

RECEIVED
JUN 21 2000
DH 4:35 PM
COMMISSION COUNSEL
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

The Parties submitted the proposed Agreement to the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission) pursuant to the terms of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Act). Staff has reviewed the proposed Agreement and believes it meets the limited requirements of the Act. Specifically, the Agreement: 1) does not appear to discriminate against telecommunications carriers not party to the Agreement and 2) does not appear to be against the public interest, convenience or necessity.

Is there an attachment to this recommendation indicating any recommendations or special considerations? ☐ Yes ☒ No

From	Initials	Date	Revised
Philip M. Garcia	pg	June 19, 2000	
Wm. Voight	<u>BV</u>	<u>6-20</u>	
Mr. VanEschen			
Mr. Henderson			
Legal			

Interconnection Agreement Review Items

- ☒ No applications to intervene filed, (May 30, 2000 intervention ddln)
- ☒ Terms and rates in Agreement similar to those contained in approved Interconnection Agreement, i.e., GTE Midwest, Inc. and GTE Arkansas, Inc. with Communications Cable-Laying Company, Inc. d/b/a Dial US
- ☒ Agreement signed by both Parties

Staff recommends the Commission direct the Parties to submit any modifications or amendments.

**Service List for
Case No. TO-2000-684
June 22, 2000**

Office of the Public Counsel
P.O. Box 7800
Jefferson City, MO 65102

New Edge Networks, Inc.
d/b/a New Edge Networks
3000 Columbia House Blvd.
Suite 106
Vancouver, Washington 98661

Tracy D. Pagliara
Attorney at Law
GTE Service Corporation
601 Monroe Street, Suite 304
Jefferson City, MO 65101