

STATE OF MISSOURI

STAFF OF THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION VS. BPS TELEPHONE COMPANY

CASE NO. TC-2002-1076

FILED

MAY 12 2004

Missouri Public Service Commission

PREHEARING CONFERENCE

APRIL 20, 2004

JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI



- Jefferson City, Mo.
- Rolla, Mo.4s
- Columbia, Mo.

Headquarters 711 North 11th Street St. Louis Mo. 63101

Nationwide Scheduling

1.800.280.3376

- Springfield, Mo.
- Kansas City, Mo.
- Edwardsville, Ill.

Page 1 1 STATE OF MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2 3 4 5 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 6 Prehearing Conference 7 8 April 20, 2004 Jefferson City, Missouri 9 Volume 1 1011 Staff of the Missouri Public) 12 Service Commission, 13 Complainant, 14) Case No.: TC-2002-1076 vs. 15 BPS Telephone Company, 16 Respondent. 17 18 19 NANCY M. DIPPELL, Presiding, SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE. 20 21 **REPORTED BY:** 22 STEPHANIE L. KURTZ MORGAN, RPR, CCR 23 MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES 714 West High Street 24P. O. Box 1308 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 25 (573) 636-7551

MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.DEPO(3376)

www.midwestlitigation.com

50 - 1000

Prehearing Conference, Vol. 1 4/20/2004

Page 2 1 **APPEARANCES:** 2 W. R. ENGLAND, III, Attorney at Law SONDRA B. MORGAN, Attorney at Law 3 Brydon, Swearengen & England, P.C. 312 East Capitol Avenue P. O. Box 456 4 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0456 5 (573) 635 - 71666 FOR: BPS Telephone Company. 7 MICHAEL F. DANDINO, Senior Public Counsel P. O. Box 7800 8 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 (573) 751-4857 9 FOR: Office of the Public Counsel 10 and the Public. CLIFF E. SNODGRASS, Senior Counsel 11 P. O. Box 360 12 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 (573) 751-6434 13 Staff of the Missouri Public Service FOR: 14Commission. 15 16 17 18 19 2021 22 23 2425

6.4

Page 3 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 JUDGE DIPPELL: We can go ahead and go on the This is Case No. TC-2002-1076, Staff of the 3 record. Missouri Public Service Commission versus BPS Telephone 4 5 Company. My name is Nancy Dippell, and I am the Judge 6 assigned to this matter. 7 We've come here today for a prehearing 8 conference -- really a conference to discuss the 9 schedule and investigation and so forth of this case. 10 I'll let you go ahead and begin with entries 11 of appearance. And would Staff begin for us? 12 MR. SNODGRASS: Yes. Good morning, Your 13 My name's Cliff Snodgrass. I represent the Honor. 14Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission today. 15 My business address is Suite 800, 200 Madison Street, P. O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102. 16 17 MR. ENGLAND: Thank you, Your Honor. Let the record reflect the appearance of W. R. England and 18 Sondra B. Morgan on behalf of the BPS Telephone 19 20 Company. Our mailing address is Post Office Box 456, 21 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102. 22 MR. DANDINO: Michael Dandino, Office of the 23 Public Counsel, Post Office Box 2230, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102, representing the Office of Public 2425 Counsel and the Public.

· - · · ·---

• •--

1	Page4 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Like I say, I I				
2	scheduled this because Staff has indicated that they				
3	will need to conduct a new investigation to go forward				
4	with this case, and the Commission has decided that the				
5	case should go forward.				
6	So I guess the first thing that I would like				
7	to ask of Staff is if you have any kind of a time line,				
8	how long you think it will take to conduct a new				
9	investigation?				
10	MR. SNODGRASS: I think we do. And just to				
11	confirm that, I'd like to have David Winters speak to				
12	that.				
13	Come on up here, Dave.				
14	MR. WINTERS: The Staff's reviewed the				
15	information. Our test year was ended 12/31/2001.				
16	We believe that the current test year would probably be				
17	12/31/2003, and we would probably need a minimum of				
18	180 days to start from the start of our audit work				
19	to the completion date of our files.				
20	JUDGE DIPPELL: And, Mr. Snodgrass, is I'm				
21	just kind of talking out loud here, but is there any				
22	is there any benefit in amending your complaint with				
23	new information versus filing a new complaint and a new				
24	case?				
25	MR. SNODGRASS: Well, I I think that the				

_

Prehearing Conference, Vol. 1 4/20/2004

Page 5 thrust of Staff's -- of Staff's point here is that we 1 do need to get new information. So I haven't really --2 3 hadn't thought about that particular question. 4 How do you feel about that, Dave? Do we need 5 to amend our complaint in this matter because of the 6 new audit? 7 The -- the problem we run into MR. WINTERS: 8 is the telecommunications industry is so dynamic right 9 now, and the changes are so material that whether or 10 not our complaint, as such, really represents that 11 the -- where we're -- where we would be at today if we 12 had to file it. And that's why we would have to update 13 and bring it up to the 2003 test year. 14JUDGE DIPPELL: And I was just wondering if --15 I hate seeing a 2002 case on the books, so I was just wondering if there would be any benefits or detriments 16 17 to closing this case -- or having a new complaint filed 18 by Staff when they get their information versus -- and 19 closing this old one. 20 It's -- it's really a -- I think a procedural 21 matter. 22 MR. SNODGRASS: We're -- we're willing to look 23 at that option, Judge. 24JUDGE DIPPELL: I -- I'm certainly not 25 directing you to do that, but I'm --

Page 6 MR. SNODGRASS: I understand that. 1 But we'll 2 think about that. 3 JUDGE DIPPELL: Like I say, just thinking out loud really. 4 Well, I will definitely order -- I would like 5 6 you all today to discuss what your schedules and time 7 lines are gonna be and what you think -- what kind of 8 discovery and that kind of thing. 9 And I don't know -- like I say, the Commission 10 decided that this case should go forward unless a Judge 11 should tell it that it should not go forward, like it 12 has once before. 13 So I don't think I really have anything 14 If you all want to discuss the -- how is this further. 15 gonna go forward, and then I'll probably just ask for a status report in, like, 60 days or something to find 16 17 out where -- how the investigation is progressing. And if --18 19 MR. SNODGRASS: Judge, would that status 20 report be from our prehearing conference negotiations 21 or --Well, maybe -- maybe that 22 JUDGE DIPPELL: 23 would be a good suggestion. Maybe I should ask for a 24status report after your prehearing conference 25 discussions to find out what kind of a time line --

MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.DEPO(3376)

Page 7 1 procedural schedule you all expect this to take. 2 And then we can -- if -- if I'm -- I'm kind of 3 assuming that there's gonna need to be some time in here for some discovery and some further data 4 5 collection. So I'm assuming that this case is not going to 6 7 progress as guickly as it would have if we hadn't had 8 the stall that we did. So that's probably a better 9 idea. 10 I -- I think I'll direct you all to give me a status report in a week as to what you --11 12 MR. SNODGRASS: In a week? 13 JUDGE DIPPELL: Yeah, as to what your discussions were and what kind of time lines you're 14 15 seeing on this. And we'll --16 MR. SNODGRASS: Do you want those from the separate parties or is a joint report -- I think I 17 18 would prefer --19 Joint would be fine, unless JUDGE DIPPELL: 20 you all can't agree --21 MR. SNODGRASS: Okay. 22 JUDGE DIPPELL: -- and then I think you may 23 have --24 MR. SNODGRASS: All right. 25 JUDGE DIPPELL: And then you may file

MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.DEPO(3376) - ---

1	Page 8 separately, and then I'll I'll determine a
2	procedural schedule from there
3	MR. SNODGRASS: All right.
4	JUDGE DIPPELL: and keep this moving along.
5	Are there any things that you all want to
6	bring to my attention or discuss with me?
7	(No response.)
8	JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Then I will leave
9	you all to your discussions. We can go off the record.
10	Thank you.
11	MR. SNODGRASS: Thank you, Judge.
12	WHEREUPON, the on-the-record portion of the
13	prehearing conference was concluded.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Prehearing Conference, Vol. 1 4/20/2004

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
A	bring 5:13 8:6	directing 5:25	having 5:17	
a 3:7,8 4:3,7,8,17,23	Brydon 2:3	discovery 6:8 7:4	here 3:7 4:13,21 5:1	$L_{1:22}$
4:23 5:15,17,20,20	business 3:15	discuss 3:8 6:6,14	7:4	
	but 4:21 5:25 6:1	8:6	High 1:23	Law 1:19 2:2,2
6:10,15,23,23,25	by 1:21 5:18	discussions 6:25	Honor 3:13,17	leave 8:8
7:8,10,11,12,17	by 1.21 5.10	7:14 8:9	how 4:8 5:4 6:14,17	let 3:10,17
8:1	C		now 4:8 5:4 6:14,17	like 4:1,6,11 6:3,5,9
about 5:3,4 6:2	C 3:1	do 4:10 5:2,4,4,25		6:11,16
address 3:15,20	2	7:16		line 4:7 6:25
after 6:24	can 3:2 7:2 8:9	don't 6:9,13	I 3:1,5,13 4:1,1,1,6,6	lines 6:7 7:14
agree 7:20	can't 7:20	dynamic 5:8	4:10,25,25 5:2,14	LITIGATION 1:23
ahead 3:2,10	Capitol 2:3		5:15,15,20,24 6:1	long 4:8
all 6:6,14 7:1,10,20	case 1:14 3:3,9 4:4,5	<u> </u>	6:3,5,5,9,9,13,13	look 5:22
7:24 8:3,5,8,9	4:24 5:15,17 6:10	E 2:11 3:1,1	6:23 7:10,10,17,17	loud 4:21 6:4
along 8:4	7:6	East 2:3	7:22 8:8	John 1.21 0.1
am 3:5	CCR 1:22	ended 4:15	idea 7:9	M
amend 5:5	certainly 5:24	England 2:2,3 3:17	if 4:7 5:11,14,16	<u>M</u> 1:19
amending 4:22	changes 5:9	3:18	6:14,18 7:2,2,7	
and 2:10 3:2,5,9,9	City 1:8,24 2:4,8,12	entries 3:10	HI 2:2	Madison 3:15
	3:16,21,23	expect 7:1	in 4:22 5:5 6:16 7:3	mailing 3:20
3:10,11,18,25 4:4	Cliff 2:11 3:13	- poor / i	7:11,12	material 5:9
4:10,17,20,23 5:9	closing 5:17,19	F	indicated 4:2	matter 3:6 5:5,21
5:12,13,14,18 6:6	collection 7:5	F 2:7		may 7:22,25
6:7,8,9,15,18 7:2,4	come 3:7 4:13	feel 5:4	industry 5:8	maybe 6:22,22,23
7:14,15,22,25 8:1			information 4:15,23	me 7:10 8:6
8:4	Commission 1:1,12	file 5:12 7:25	5:2,18	Michael 2:7 3:22
any 4:7,21,22 5:16	2:14 3:4,14 4:4 6:9	filed 5:17	into 5:7	MIDWEST 1:23
8:5	Company 1:15 2:6	files 4:19	investigation 3:9 4:3	minimum 4:17
anything 6:13	3:5,20	filing 4:23	4:9 6:17	Missouri 1:1,8,12,24
appearance 3:11,18	Complainant 1:13	find 6:16,25	is 3:3,5,15,20 4:7,20	2:4,8,12,13 3:4,14
APPEARANCES	complaint 4:22,23	fine 7:19	4:21,22 5:1,8,8	3:16,21,24
2:1	5:5,10,17	first 4:6	6:14,17 7:6,17	Morgan 1:22 2:2
April 1:8	completion 4:19	for 2:6,9,13 3:7,11	it 4:8 5:12,13 6:11	3:19
are 5:9 6:7 8:5	concluded 8:13	6:15,23 7:4	6:11,11 7:7	morning 3:12
as 5:10 7:7,7,11,13	conduct 4:3,8	forth 3:9	it's 5:20,20	moving 8:4
ask 4:7 6:15,23	conference 1:6 3:8,8	forward 4:3,5 6:10	I'd 4:11	
assigned 3:6	6:20,24 8:13	6:11,15	I'll 3:10 6:15 7:10	Mr 3:12,17,22 4:10
	confirm 4:11	from 4:18 6:20 7:16	8:1,1	4:14,20,25 5:7,22
assuming 7:3,6	Counsel 2:7,9,11	8:2	I'm 4:20 5:24,25 7:2	6:1,19 7:12,16,21
at 2:2,2 5:11,23	3:23,25	further 6:14 7:4	7:2,6	7:24 8:3,11
attention 8:6	current 4:16	101 0101 0.14 /.4	1.2,0	my 3:5,13,15 8:6
Attorney 2:2,2	Current 4.10	G	J	
audit 4:18 5:6	D	G 3:1		N
Avenue 2:3	D 3:1		Jefferson 1:8,24 2:4	N 3:1
		get 5:2,18	2:8,12 3:16,21,23	name 3:5
<u> </u>	Dandino 2:7 3:22,22	give 7:10	joint 7:17,19	name's 3:13
B 2:2 3:19	data 7:4	go 3:2,2,10 4:3,5	Judge 1:19 3:2,5 4:1	Nancy 1:19 3:5
be 4:16 5:11,16 6:7	date 4:19	6:10,11,15 8:9	4:20 5:14,23,24	need 4:3,17 5:2,4 7:3
6:20,23 7:3,19	Dave 4:13 5:4	going 7:6	6:3,10,19,22 7:13	negotiations 6:20
because 4:2 5:5	David 4:11	gonna 6:7,15 7:3	7:19,22,25 8:4,8	new 4:3,8,23,23,23
before 6:12	days 4:18 6:16	good 3:12 6:23	8:11	5:2,6,17
begin 3:10,11	decided 4:4 6:10	guess 4:6	just 4:10,21 5:14,15	No 1:14 3:3 8:7
behalf 3:19	definitely 6:5		6:3,15	
believe 4:16	determine 8:1	<u> </u>		not 5:10,24 6:11 7:6 now 5:9
benefit 4:22	detriments 5:16	had 5:12 7:7	K	пом 2: 2
	did 7:8	hadn't 5:3 7:7	keep 8:4	0
benefits 5:16	Dippell 1:19 3:2,5	has 4:2,4 6:12	kind 4:7,21 6:7,8,25	
better 7:8	4:1,20 5:14,24 6:3	hate 5:15	7:2,14	O 1:24 2:4,7,11 3:1
books 5:15	6:22 7:13,19,22,25	have 4:7,11 5:12	know 6:9	3:16
Box 1:24 2:4,7,11	8:4,8	6:13 7:7,23	KURTZ 1:22	of 1:1,5,12 2:9,13
3:16,20,23	direct 7:10	haven't 5:2	NUNIZ 1:22	3:3,9,11,14,18,19
BPS 1:15 2:6 3:4,19		Haven t J.2		3:22,24 4:7,7,17
	1	L	1	l

www.midwestlitigation.com

MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.DEPO(3376)

.

Prehearing Conference, Vol. 1 4/20/2004

4:18,19,21 5:1,1,5	1:19	3:3	us 3:11	2
6:7,8,25 7:2,14	report 6:16,20,24	telecommunications		20 1:8
8:12	7:11,17	5:8	<u> </u>	200 3:15
off 8:9	REPORTED 1:21	Telephone 1:15 2:6	versus 3:4 4:23 5:18	2002 5:15
Office 2:9 3:20,22	represent 3:13	3:4,19	Volume 1:9	2003 5:13
3:23,24	representing 3:24	tell 6:11	vs 1:14	2004 1:8
Okay 4:1 7:21	represents 5:10	test 4:15,16 5:13		2230 3:23
old 5:19	Respondent 1:16	Thank 3:17 8:10,11	<u> </u>	
on 3:2,19 4:13 5:15 7:15	response 8:7	that 4:2,4,6,11,12,16	W 2:2 3:18	3
once 6:12	reviewed 4:14 right 5:8 7:24 8:3,8	4:25 5:1,3,4,9,10	want 6:14 7:16 8:5	312 2:3
one 5:19	RPR 1:22	5:23,25 6:1,2,8,10	was 4:15 5:14,15	360 2:11 3:16
on-the-record 8:12	run 5:7	6:11,19,22 7:3,6,8 8:5	8:13 we 3:2 4:10,16,17	
option 5:23	run 5.7	that's 5:12 7:8	5:1,4,7,11,11,12	4
or 5:9,16,17 6:16,21	s	the 1:12 2:9,10,13	7:2,7,8 8:9	456 2:4 3:20
7:17 8:6	<u>S</u> 3:1	3:2,3,5,8,13,14,17	week 7:11,12	
order 6:5	say 4:1 6:3,9	3:18,19,22,24,25	Well 4:25 6:5,22	5
our 3:20 4:15,18,19	schedule 3:9 7:1 8:2	4:4,4,6,14,14,16	were 7:14	573 1:25 2:5,8,12
5:5,10 6:20	scheduled 4:2	4:18,19,25 5:5,7,7	West 1:23	6
out 4:21 6:3,17,25	schedules 6:6	5:8,9,11,13,15 6:9	we'll 6:1 7:15	<u> </u>
	seeing 5:15 7:15	6:14,17 7:8,16 8:9	we're 5:11,22,22	60 0:16 635-7166 2:5
P	Senior 1:19 2:7,11	8:12,12	We've 3:7	636-7551 1:25
P 1:24 2:4,7,11 3:1	separate 7:17	their 5:18	what 6:6,7,7,25 7:11	65102 1:24 2:8,12
3:16	separately 8:1	then 6:15 7:2,22,25	7:13,14	3:16,21,24
particular 5:3	Service 1:1,12 2:13	8:1,8	when 5:18	65102-0456 2:4
parties 7:17	3:4,14	there 4:21,22 5:16	where 5:11,11 6:17	03102-0430 2.4
point 5:1	SERVICES 1:23	8:2,5	WHEREUPON 8:12	7
portion 8:12	should 4:5 6:10,11	there's 7:3	whether 5:9	714 1:23
Post 3:20,23	6:11,23	they 4:2 5:18	why 5:12	751-4857 2:8
prefer 7:18	Snodgrass 2:11 3:12	thing 4:6 6:8	will 4:3,8 6:5 8:8	751-6434 2:12
prehearing 1:6 3:7	3:13 4:10,20,25	things 8:5	willing 5:22	7800 2:7
6:20,24 8:13	5:22 6:1,19 7:12	think 4:8,10,25 5:20	Winters 4:11,14 5:7	
Presiding 1:19	7:16,21,24 8:3,11	6:2,7,13 7:10,17	with 3:10 4:4,22 8:6	8
probably 4:16,17 6:15 7:8	so 3:9 4:6 5:2,8,9,15	7:22	wondering 5:14,16	800 3:15
problem 5:7	6:13 7:6,8	thinking 6:3	work 4:18	
procedural 5:20 7:1	some 7:3,4,4 something 6:16	this 3:3,6,9 4:2,4 5:5	would 3:11 4:6,16	
8:2	Sondra 2:2 3:19	5:17,19 6:10,14	4:17 5:11,12,16	
PROCEEDINGS	speak 4:11	7:1,6,15 8:4	6:5,19,23 7:7,18	
1:5	Staff 1:12 2:13 3:3	those 7:16 thought 5:3	7:19	
progress 7:7	3:11,14 4:2,7 5:18	thrust 5:1	<u> </u>	
progressing 6:17	Staff's 4:14 5:1,1	time 4:7 6:6,25 7:3	Yeah 7:13	
Public 1:1,12 2:7,9	stall 7:8	7:14	year 4:15,16 5:13	
2:10,13 3:4,14,23	start 4:18,18	to 3:6,8 4:3,3,7,8,10	Yes 3:12	
3:24,25	STATE 1:1	4:11,11,18,19 5:2	you 3:10,17 4:7,8 5:4	
P.C 2:3	status 6:16,19,24	5:5,12,12,13,17,22	5:25 6:6,7,14 7:1	
	7:11	5:25 6:6,14,16,25	7:10,11,16,20,22	
Q	STEPHANIE 1:22	7:1,3,6,10,11,13	7:25 8:5,9,10,11	
question 5:3	Street 1:23 3:15	8:5,6,9	your 3:12,17 4:22	
quickly 7:7	such 5:10	today 3:7,14 5:11	6:6,24 7:13 8:9	
	suggestion 6:23	6:6	you're 7:14	
$\frac{\mathbf{R}}{\mathbf{R}}$	Suite 3:15	TRANSCRIPT 1:5		
R 2:2 3:1,18	Swearengen 2:3	·	1	
really 3:8 5:2,10,20		U	1 1:9	
6:4,13	<u> </u>	understand 6:1	12/31/2001 4:15	
record 3:3,18 8:9	take 4:8 7:1	unless 6:10 7:19	12/31/2003 4:17	
reflect 3:18 REGULATORY	talking 4:21	up 4:13 5:13	1308 1:24	
ALGULAIUKI	TC-2002-1076 1:14	update 5:12	180 4:18	
5				

MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.DEPO(3376)