1	STATE OF MISSOURI
2	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3	
4	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
5	Rulemaking Hearing
6	July 10, 2018
7	Jefferson City, Missouri
	Volume 1
8	volume 1
9	
10	In The Matter Of The Rescission)
11	Of Several Telecommunication) File No. TX-2018-0188
12	Rules)
13	
14	
15	MORRIS WOODRUFF, Presiding, CHIEF REGULATORY LAW JUDGE.
16	CHIEF REGULATORY LAW JUDGE.
17	DANIEL Y. HALL, Chairman,
18	COMMISSIONER
19	REPORTED BY:
20	Chevon D. McFadden, CVR, CCR NO. 1399
21	TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC
22	
23	
24	
25	
-	

1	APPEARANCES
2	FOR STAFF OF MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION:
3	MS. WHITNEY PAYNE, Assistant Staff Counsel
4	and
5	MS. NATELLE DIETRICH, Commission Staff Director
6	and
7	MR. JOHN VAN ESCHEN, UTILITY REGULATORY MANAGER,
8	TELECOMMUNICATIONS
9	Public Service Commission
10	Governor Office Building
11	200 Madison Street
12	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
13	573.751.7431
14	whitneypayne@psc.mo.gov
15	
16	FOR MISSOURI TELECOMMUNICATIONS INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION:
17	MR. RICHARD TELTHORST
18	Missouri Telecommunications Industry Association
19	312 East Capital Avenue
20	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
21	573.634.2527
22	ric@mtia.org
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES (cont.)
2	FOR AT&T:
3	MR. LEO BUB
4	AT&T Services, Inc., Assistant Vice President,
5	Senior Legal Counsel
6	1010 Pine Street, 19E-D-01
7	St. Louis, Missouri 63101
8	314.396.3679
9	leo.bub@att.com
10	
11	FOR MISSOURI INDEPENDENT TELEPHONE COMPANY GROUP:
12	MR. CRAIG S. JOHNSON
13	Johnson & Sporleder, LLC
14	2420 Hyde Park Road, Suite C
15	Jefferson City, MO 65102
16	573.659.8734
17	573.761.3587
18	cj@cjaslaw.com
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES (cont.)
2	FOR MISSOURI SMALL TELEPHONE COMPANY GROUP:
3	MR. BRIAN T. MCCARTNEY
4	Brydon, Swearengen & England P.C.
5	312 East Capitol Avenue
6	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
7	573.635.7166
8	573.634.7431
9	bmccartney@brydonlaw.com
10	COMPANIES INCLUDE:
11	BPS TELEPHONE COMPANY CITIZENS TELEPHONE COMPANY OF HIGGINSVILLE,
12	MISSOURI CRAW-KAN TELEPHONE COOPERATIVE, INC
13	ELLINGTON TELEPHONE COMPANY FARBER TELEPHONE COMPANY ELDELINY MELEDUONE COMPANY
14	FIDELITY TELEPHONE COMPANY GOODMAN TELEPHONE COMPANY
15	GRANBY TELEPHONE COMPANY GRAND RIVER MUTUAL TELEPHONE CORPORATION d/b/a GRM
16	NETWORKS GREEN HILLS TELEPHONE CORPORATION
17	HOLWAY TELEPHONE COMPANY d/b/a AMERICAN BROADBAND IAMO TELEPHONE COMPANY
18	KINGDOM TELEPHONE COMPANY K.L.M. TELEPHONE COMPANY d/b/a AMERICAN BROADBAND
19	LATHROP TELEPHONE COMPANY d/b/A LTC NETWORKS LE-RU TELEPHONE COMPANY
20	MARK TWAIN RURAL TELEPHONE COMPANY MCDONALD COUNTY TELEPHONE COMPANY
21	MILLER TELEPHONE COMPANY NEW FLORENCE TELEPHONE COMPANY
22	NEW LONDON TELEPHONE COMPANY NORTHEAST MISSOURI RURAL TELEPHONE COMPANY
23	ORCHARD FARM TELEPHONE COMPANY OZARK TELEPHONE COMPANY
24	PEACE VALLEY TELEPHONE COMPANY, INC. ROCK PORT TELEPHONE COMPANY
25	SENECA TELEPHONE COMPANY STEELVILLE TELEPHONE EXCHANGE, INC.
	STOUTLAND TELEPHONE COMPANY

1

PROCEEDINGS

JUDGE WOODRUFF: We're here for a Rulemaking Hearing in Commission File No. TX-2018-0188, which concerns a -- the post-rescission of a number of Commission rules.

As indicated, we're here for an informal process, whereby we're going to take comments from the public. This is like -- not going to be requiring anybody to be sworn in or anything.

10 I'll ask Staff to go first, just to explain 11 what they're planning on doing and any recommendations 12 they want to make to the Commission. I'll give anybody else in the audience a chance to -- to make any 13 14 statements they'd like to make. And then we'll come 15 back to Staff to respond to any of the comments that 16 are made. So we'll begin with Staff, and identify 17 yourself for the record.

MS. PAYNE: Absolutely. Whitney Payne on
behalf of the Staff of the Missouri Public Service
Commission.

Executive Order 17-03 issued by the Governor's office in January of 2017 -- 2018, asked Missouri State Agencies to review the regulations under the jurisdiction of the code of state regulations. Specifically, agencies were ordered to produce

efficient, effective, and necessary regulations. 1 2 This process involves ensuring the rules are necessary, not unduly burdensome, essential to the 3 health, safety, or welfare of Missouri citizens, that 4 the benefits of the rules outweigh the cost, that a 5 less restrictive means has been considered, that the 6 rules do not have an adverse effect and that they are 7 8 based on sound reasoning.

9 Staff undertook an extensive review of the 10 Commission's regulations in response to this order. As 11 a result of this review, Staff has recommended in this 12 matter that the Commission move to entirely rescind 13 three of its rules relating to telecommunications 14 utilities.

15 Staff recommends that Chapter 29 regarding 16 wholesale arrangements be rescinded in its entirety. 17 The rule is not necessary as these arrangements are 18 more appropriately addressed in interconnection 19 agreements, tariffs, contracts, and other arrangements 20 between entities. Additionally, Chapter 29 has 21 remained unchanged since it took effect in 2005.

Staff further recommends that Chapter 34 regarding emergency telephone service standards be rescinded in its entirety. A majority of the entities providing emergency telephone services in today's

environment are not subject to the Commission's rule. 1 2 In fact, Section 392.461 allows telecommunication companies to elect to be exempt from 3 certain retail rules relating to the provisions already 4 mandated by the FCC. Many of these companies have 5 de-tariff services, including the emergency telephone 6 service standards in light of this provision. 7 8 Further, recent legislation has removed the Commission from the 911 service board, demonstrating a 9 10 further indication of the legislature's intent to 11 distant the Commission from regulation of the 12 telecommunication's industry. Additionally, Chapter 34 13 has remained unchanged since it took effect in 1999.

14 Staff has also recommended that Chapter 36 15 regarding alternative dispute resolution procedures be 16 rescinded in its entirety. The procedures outlined in 17 this rule are similar to the procedures outlined in 18 Commission Rule 2.125 and have not been requested for 19 several years by any telecommunications provider. 20 Chapter 36 has remained unchanged since taking effect 21 in 2004.

22 One interested stakeholder, The Missouri 23 Telecommunications Industry Association filed comments 24 in this matter asking the Commission not to rescind any 25 of the three chapters. Windstream Missouri has filed a

7

statement that it generally concurs in MTIA's comments. MTIA argues that the chapters are still relevant and asks instead of rescission that the Commission create industry workshops to address the changes to be made to the rules.

6 Staff is always open to working with the 7 industry in the interest of improving the regulatory That said, Staff does not find support to 8 process. 9 leave these rules in effect. As previously stated, the 10 Commission has very little oversight of the 11 telecommunications industry at this stage. And the 12 rule chapters in question have seen little use even 13 immediately prior to the changes brought about by 14 Section 392.461.

15 Staff as taken the comments that MTIA filed 16 to heart, but stands behind its recommendation that 17 Chapters, 29, 34, and 36 are exactly the type of rules 18 that were considered by the Executive Order 17-03. At 19 this time I'm available to answer any questions 20 regarding the legal aspects of our proposal and Staff 21 Director, Natelle Dietrich, and Utility Regulations 22 Manager of Telecommunications, John Van Eschen, are 23 also available to answer any questions regarding the 24 technical aspects.

25

JUDGE WOODRUFF: Mr. Chairman, do you have

1 any questions? 2 CHAIRMAN HALL: Is there a microphone or do I not need a microphone? 3 4 JUDGE WOODRUFF: You don't need one. They're 5 in the ceiling. CHAIRMAN HALL: Oh, okay. Good morning. 6 So 7 are there any problems with leaving the rules intact? 8 Are they causing any existing problems right now? 9 MS. PAYNE: No. I do not believe that the rules are causing any problems. It's simply in the 10 11 interest of streamlining the rules as directed by the 12 Governor. That we -- we think that these are rules that could be rescinded. 13 CHAIRMAN HALL: So by "streamlining," you 14 15 mean eliminating rules that are not necessary? 16 MS. PAYNE: Correct. 17 CHAIRMAN HALL: From your perspective why 18 does the industry want to keep these -- keep these 19 rules in place? 20 MS. PAYNE: I can only go based on the 21 comments --22 CHAIRMAN HALL: Well, read between the lines. 23 I mean, what -- what -- what is your sense as to why 24 they want to keep these rules in place? 25 MS. PAYNE: I would imagine --

CHAIRMAN HALL: And they'll obviously have an 1 2 opportunity to respond, if they disagree, but. MS. PAYNE: My understanding specifically in 3 regards to the -- the 911 service, I think that that 4 5 rule has provided quidelines that have been used in the industry unofficially, if not before the Commission. 6 7 So my understanding in that regard is that that's why 8 the industry is concerned about rescinding it. 9 However, as to the other two chapters, I think it probably has -- has provided some benefit to 10 11 the industry and perhaps we haven't seen as a 12 regulatory agent. CHAIRMAN HALL: And the arbitration 13 14 provision -- the -- the arbitration rule, the -- those 15 provisions are in another rule; is that correct? 16 MS. PAYNE: The arbitration provision, I do 17 not -- the -- our -- our Chapter 2 does consider --18 it -- it does consider similar procedures. However, it -- it's not identical. It's not specific to the 19 20 telecommunications industry, but I think that what is contained in Chapter 2 is perfectly sufficient to 21 22 satisfy any needs that someone in the 23 telecommunications industry would have. 24 CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. 25 MS. PAYNE: The actual authorization comes

> TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

10

from federal law, but Chapter 2 provides more 1 2 guidelines, more of an outline to follow based on those federal provisions. 3 4 CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. Thank you. 5 MS. PAYNE: Absolutely. 6 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Then we'll move 7 to anyone else who wishes to make a comment. 8 You can stay there at the table if you'd 9 like. 10 MR. TELTHORST: All right. Good morning. 11 I'm Rick Telthorst. I'm the President of the Missouri 12 Telecommunications Industry Association, and we did 13 indeed file written comments in this case. As we indicated, we do oppose, as has been 14 15 stated, we do oppose the -- the rescission of 16 Chapters 29, 34, and 36. All three of these chapters 17 identified in the case were established after years of 18 negotiation and discussion and debate among the 19 telecommunications industry, the Commission Staff, and 20 other interested stakeholders. We truly really believe 21 that these three chapters still have relevance in our 22 industry today despite the impact of -- of certain 23 deregulation that we've seen through the legislature. 24 Chapter 29 has been effective in protecting 25 the integrity of the telecommunications network in

11

Missouri, and it supports appropriate intercompany
 compensation, and is a standard against which we
 believe would prevent fraud in this area.

Chapter 34, obviously, addresses an important 4 5 public policy concern in the rules of the road, as it were for the 911 system. I think it's important to 6 7 keep in mind that, although I think it's been pointed 8 out in Staff's comments previously, many of the calls, 9 the majority of the calls now are wireless calls that 10 are reaching 911 systems. It's really a network of 11 operations between wireli -- wireless carriers and 12 wireline carriers, and we think it's appropriate that 13 rules of the road, so to speak, are -- are in these 14 regulations so that when parties need to rely on them, 15 they're there.

16 Chapter 36 provides clear procedural rules 17 for alternative dispute resolutions under the Federal 18 Telecommunications Act. And I believe this is an area that the FCC specifically delegated to state 19 20 commissions to establish these types of alternative procedures. We don't see a reason to throw these out 21 22 in a wholesale manner. We do think, if it's 23 appropriate -- if the Staff believes it's appropriate, 24 to revisit these rules on a case-by-case basis and 25 provision by provision. To edit out may not be

1 appropriate anymore or to change things that respond to 2 new technology or new -- new regulatory environments. 3 I think that's a good opportunity to sit down and have 4 that discussion. And the industry would be more than 5 happy to participate in those types of workshops.

6 But we do believe that all three chapters are 7 still serving a very valid and useful purpose in our 8 industry today, and don't think that a wholesale 9 rescission of these three chapters is an appropriate 10 choice right now. I'll be glad to answer any questions 11 you might have.

12

JUDGE WOODRUFF: Mr. Chairman.

13 CHAIRMAN HALL: So when was the last time 14 that either of the -- any provision of these three 15 chapters came before this Commission in terms of a 16 dispute between parties?

MR. TELTHORST: Well, I don't know that this 17 18 came before the -- this Commission per se, but I do 19 know that in the last two or three years there was a 20 case. And I think we referred to it in our written 21 comments, regarding Halo and a -- the Halo wireless 22 company and a dispute that arose actually nationwide 23 over appropriate compensation to a number of carriers. 24 I think there may be parties in the room that

25 have a lot more experience in that case than I do, but

I -- but I do know that because of the availability and the details and requirements of Missouri's rule in this records exchange area that Missouri carriers were able to kind of stop their losses a lot sooner than carriers in other states.

5 So I think the existence of those rules 5 certainly assisted Missouri carriers in seeking -- in 5 getting adequate compensation and not being adversely 9 affected as carriers perhaps in other states were.

10 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Is that the Chapter 29 rules
11 you're talking about?

MR. TELTHORST: Yes. That would beChapter 29, Enhanced Record Exchange Rules.

14 CHAIRMAN HALL: Can anyone from -- from Staff 15 respond to that? The importance of the Chapter 29 rule 16 with regard to that case?

17 MS. PAYNE: I do agree that that is the only 18 reference actually that I could find to the rule, was 19 in that Halo case. Specifically, it does not appear 20 that the rule itself, though, was integral to the 21 determination of the case. I can provide the case 22 number for the record. It is -- it was TC-2012-0331 23 before this Commission. And I can certainly provide a 24 legal synopsis of this case and file it, if that would 25 help.

1 CHAIRMAN HALL: I'm not sure the record will 2 be open after the --3 JUDGE WOODRUFF: It won't be. But I'm 4 familiar with the case. 5 CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay.

JUDGE WOODRUFF: It's in the Commission'srecords.

8 CHAIRMAN HALL: So I'm trying to read between 9 the lines a little bit here. Is it safe to say that 10 even though these rules are, you know, with the 11 exception of this -- of this Halo case, are pretty much 12 irrelevant to the -- to -- to this Commission's 13 regulation of the industry? They are relevant to how 14 members of the industry interact with each other?

15 MR. TELTHORST: I think that would be a very -- a very good characterization of how the rules 16 17 worked in our environment. That they are -- they do 18 provide in a way, kind of the rules of the road as 19 carriers relate to -- to one another, whether they're 20 exchanging traffic, whether they're provisioning 911 21 systems, whether they're having a dispute with one 22 another. And frankly, as I said, a lot of work went 23 into crafting these rules. And the fact that they 24 haven't been used recently, I don't know that -- to me 25 that doesn't provide justification for discarding them.

I don't see the harm in leaving them in effect with the idea that when situations arise that are relevant to the rules, the rules are there as a -- as a guideline, and as a, again, as rules of the road for carriers to follow.

6 CHAIRMAN HALL: So it's a guideline as 7 opposed to any kind of binding legal requirement?

8 MR. TELTHORST: Well -- and I guess that 9 would depend on how the -- how the issue might come 10 before the Commission. If the situation presents 11 itself where the -- where it would come before the 12 Commission in any of these three areas, certainly they, obviously, it would be a regulation of the Commission 13 14 that would be binding. I think it lays out a roadmap, 15 if you will, of how carriers are going to interact with 16 one another in light of what the parameters of the 17 rule -- rules are. And in their absence, I -- I don't 18 know how that improves our relations between carriers 19 or in -- or has any public benefit if they're repealed.

20 CHAIRMAN HALL: I mean this is -- this is 21 somewhat of a unique situation. Generally, we 22 promulgate rules that relate to this Commission's 23 regulations --24 MR. TELTHORST: True.

25

CHAIRMAN HALL: -- of regulated entities.

And the regulations that we promulgate have the force
 and effect of law --

3

MR. TELTHORST: True.

CHAIRMAN HALL: -- assuming that we had the 4 5 statutory authority to promulgate them in the first place. And the rules that -- that are in place in 6 7 these chapters right now, 29, 34, and 36, you're 8 essentially saying we should keep them in place not so 9 much as it relates to our regulation of these -- of --10 of the industry, but more because they provide guidelines with -- for certain players within the 11 12 industry.

And I'm not saying that that's not of some value. I want to make sure in my head that I'm understanding.

16 MR. TELTHORST: Well, Mr. Chairman as -- as 17 you well know, over the last 10 to 15 years the 18 legislature has certainly changed the regulatory environment for our industry moving away from our 19 20 relationship, our retail relationship, with our 21 customer and leaving that more open to -- to market 22 conditions. And -- but obviously, the Commission still 23 plays a role within our -- our industry, but it's more 24 of a role, if you will, at the wholesale level, a 25 relationship between carries. And all these -- all

these three rules, the common element of these three 1 2 chapters are in some regard a relationship between one telecom provider and another. And, again, you're --3 you're right. It's kind of a -- kind of a one-off 4 5 of -- of reg-- of the Commission regulating us 6 directly. But it does set kind of a regulatory 7 framework, and a relationship framework between 8 carriers that we see has the potential to be very 9 useful. And I don't see the benefit of repealing these and leaving these areas kind of open for a case-by-case 10 11 discussion. 12 I know it feels odd that the industry is 13 coming before the Commission saying --14 CHAIRMAN HALL: Regulate me. Regulate me. 15 MR. TELTHORST: -- please -- regulate me, please. Regulate me, please. But in thes -- in these 16 17 cases these -- these are useful rules of the road that 18 we think have an appropriate place still in -- in the

20 CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. So regarding the 21 alternative dispute resolution provisions in 36. Do 22 they differ from those in Chapter 2 in a material way 23 or in a way that you find problematic? 24 MR. TELTHORST: I have to confess, I don't 25 know that in detail, not as a practicing attorney. I

19

regulations.

don't -- I don't know that answer, but I do know 1 2 they've been -- I do know that they are -- they are -they are useful in the present form that they are in 3 according to what I've heard in discussions. 4 And I 5 believe they are written specific for telecom providers 6 as opposed to, I think, the more general rules that 7 were cited in Chapter 2. And, again, it seems to be a 8 tried and true method that when needed, it is there to 9 be used. 10 CHAIRMAN HALL: Thank you. 11 MR. TELTHORST: Thank you. 12 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Is it fair to say that when it comes to telecommunications the Commissions's role 13 14 is less than a regulator and more as a referee? 15 MR. TELTHORST: That's a very good -- I would That seems to be kind of the traffic cop in a 16 agree. 17 way, referee, dispute -- you know, between providers, 18 rather than a -- than more of a prescriptive regulator. 19 JUDGE WOODRUFF: So these rules exist to --20 to facilitate that -- that referee function? 21 MR. TELTHORST: I think that's a very good 22 characterization of all three of the rules that we're 23 discussing. 24 JUDGE WOODRUFF: I don't have anything else. Thank you for coming. 25

> TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

19

1 MR. TELTHORST: Thank you. Is there anyone else in the 2 JUDGE WOODRUFF: audience that wishes to make a statement? 3 4 Why don't you come on forward so we can get you on the camera a little bit easier? 5 6 MR. BUB: Thank you. Speak into this? 7 JUDGE WOODRUFF: The microphones are in the 8 ceiling here. 9 MR. BUB: Oh, thank you. Thank you, Judge. JUDGE WOODRUFF: You don't have to speak 10 11 any -- any place particular. 12 MR. BUB: Okay. My name's Leo Bub, and I'm 13 AT&T's Missouri Regulatory Attorney. 14 And it was important for me to appear today, 15 especially, since I was unable to attend the hearing 16 yesterday because of a conflict. But we especially 17 wanted the Commission to know how much we appreciated 18 Staff's work in these two rulemakings. I can tell you that going through the rules like Staff did is very 19 20 tedious work. They did a very good job looking, especially in Chapter 28, looking at each rule, rule by 21 22 rule, and determining whether that rule is still needed 23 and whether it's appropriate. 24 For example, you know, they looked to see if

> TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

the state rule was already covered by a federal rule,

25

20

and if it was, then it was offered for elimination 1 2 because a duplicate rule just imposed additional cost and compliance cost on companies. So the more rules 3 that are outdated or duplicative that are eliminated 4 the better it is for the entire industry as a whole, 5 6 because it really helps from a compliance perspective. 7 You know, even if a rule's no longer appropriate or 8 applicable, if it's still on the books, somebody's 9 going to have to look at it and make that 10 determination. So all those things do impose cost on 11 companies.

12 And we just want to make sure the Commission 13 knows how much we appreciate Staff going through and 14 doing this really hard work and eliminating rules that 15 no longer exist.

And I think, especially, in Chapter 28, you 16 17 should be able to judge the value of Staff's work from 18 looking at the strong industry consensus with what 19 Staff did. And really there are only a few areas of disagreement that exist, like yesterday with Chapter 20 21 Most of the disagreements there were between 31. 22 carriers and what they thought about using the State 23 USF funds for supporting broadband. And our 24 comments -- we didn't join in the industry comments, we 25 filed our own, and our comments there speak for

1

themselves.

2 But with the rules looking at -- what we're looking at today, you know, AT&T is a member of MTIA, 3 4 and so we participated in those comments. And, you know, the point that we want to make with Chapt -- and 5 it is a disagreement with Staff on keeping Chapter 29, 6 7 34, and 36. And listening to the discussion it might 8 be helpful if we can come up with an analogy. And this 9 is kind of how I look at the landscape in dealing with 10 carrier to carrier.

11 The Commission doesn't always see what goes 12 on between carriers. It's almost like an iceberg. They see the things that we bring to them, so maybe 13 14 they see the top. So if there's a disagreement between 15 any -- any of the companies that we can't resolve 16 ourselves, we bring it to the Commission for 17 resolution. So just because nothing's been brought to 18 the Commission, say recently, under Enhanced Record 19 Exchange Rules or the 911 Rules, or maybe a mediation or arbitration, doesn't mean that those rules aren't 20 21 needed.

We regularly -- AT&T, being a bigger carrier, we regularly interact with other carriers that are trying to come into the market in Missouri, and having these rules are crucial. So they're not just

quidelines, they really have force and effect of law. 1 2 For example, with the 911, there are requirements that carriers need to do to interact with 3 each other to make the 911 system work. And I don't 4 5 profess to be an expert in that area, but one thing that is required of all carriers is to provide current 6 7 and appropriate information on their end-user customers 8 that flow into these databases that the 911 providers, 9 the PSAP, the Public Service Answering Points, need to 10 draw on so they know where to send the ambulance or the 11 firetruck. Now, those are requirements that are in the 12 rules, and if they were just guidelines, it's possible that some carriers would say, Well, it's not a rule, 13 14 I'm not required to, I'm not gonna. Because if they're 15 not rules -- anything that they're required to do is 16 going to impose cost.

17 So it's really helpful for us in dealing with 18 other carriers on a carrier-to-carrier basis, something that Staff just, you know, to their -- to their -- to 19 be real honest, that they just never see. So it's no 20 21 Staff may not be aware of how we use the rules. fault. 22 Because I can tell you I work in other states and we 23 have a very good Staff here. They're very up to date. They work really hard, and that's just reflected in 24 what they've done here. It's just that they don't 25

maybe see what goes on because they're private
 business-to-business negotiations.

With that Enhanced Record Exchange Rule, the 3 decision as Ms. Payne talked about -- the substantive 4 decision really had to do with intercompany 5 6 compensation, but the protection that the companies got 7 was in that rule. What happened -- and this is 8 probably more than you ever want to know, but there's 9 intercompany compensational rules that we -- all of us 10 in the room follow when we trade calls. Customers never see it. It's all invisible. And those rules do 11 12 change over time, but at the time that case was in 13 existence, the -- I guess, the -- the basic principle 14 was local calls we exchanged for free. So local calls 15 within Jefferson City, it was a free call. And there 16 were similar categories of wireless calls that were considered local calls also, so we had to exchange 17 18 those for free, too. So if a wireless carrier brought 19 us one of these local calls, then we had to terminate 20 it for free.

What happened in the Halo case, was we had this company, it was very a disreputable company, not from Missouri, but they did it -- perpetrated fraud all across the country. And they acted as a least cost router. They said, Hey, we will terminate long distance call, you know, calls from New York to St. Louis, to Kansas City, to Chicago. We'll do it cheaper than the tariff rates of those individual companies. And, you know, how are you going to do that when the tariff rate's a tariff rate, it's what you're supposed to charge to terminate those kinds of calls.

7 Well, what they did was they set up an operation where they brought all these long distance 8 9 calls from New York, from Chicago, from California to a 10 wireless -- to a tower -- they shot them from their 11 tower to a little mobile home, maybe 50 feet across and 12 said, Well, it's wireless and it was a local wireless 13 connection; therefore it's a wireless call and we don't 14 have to pay anybody.

FCC has rules about requiring everybody to terminate traffic. So all across the country, nobody was able to do anything, because they knew this fraud was perpetrated and it was literally millions and millions and millions of minutes that they were defrauding the local carries from.

21 Well, in Missouri we had a rule that said --22 and it was force and effect of law that all carriers, 23 originating carriers -- so in this case, it would be 24 the wireless companies, were required to provide 25 records and pay appropriate terminating compensation.

And if that didn't happen, our rule had a process under 1 2 which the terminating carriers, all of us in the room, could notify the offending carrier of the practice that 3 was contrary to rule, and if they didn't within a 4 certain time frame set out in the rule, we were able to 5 terminate the traffic. To stop it. Turn it off. 6 And 7 then there would be a hearing at the Commission to sort 8 it out.

9 Well, we were able to turn it off saving the carriers in the room millions of dollars, unlike all 10 11 the other states where there were just huge losses 12 perpetrated against the local companies. You know, we haven't had one of those situations here recently, but 13 I think one reason we haven't is because we have this 14 rule. And they know that -- you know, that these --15 16 disreputable carriers -- and they still exist. We're 17 still dealing with them in other flavors, in Iowa. But 18 I think this rule keeps them out of Missouri because 19 they know that we have strong rules and that we mean 20 business. So I think there really is a reason for 21 keeping these rules even though we haven't had disputes 22 recently about them.

You also asked a question, Commissioner,
about whether any of these rules, particularly the ones
in, I guess it's Chapter 36, the rules that have a

dispute resolution proceeding and mediation/arbitration 1 2 and whether those are already covered by Chapter 2. Chapter 2 rules do apply when we're dealing in 3 proceedings in the company -- with other companies 4 before the Commission in, like, an arbitration, but 5 there are more rules needed. With those 6 interconnection agreements between companies, you know, 7 8 especially in the beginning they were very, very 9 contentious. Gosh, there were situations where we 10 couldn't even properly negotiate a nondisclosure 11 Sometimes they got that bad. Well, these agreement. 12 rules, they do set out an orderly procedure for this specific type of disputes that -- these are 13 14 interconnection agreements between companies. And 15 companies are required under the Federal Act, as 16 Ms. Payne said, to -- to negotiate these 17 interconnection agreements under federal law. If they 18 can't, then they come to the Commission on delegated 19 authority. What these rules do is it give a very 20 orderly process about how those types of disputes are 21 presented to the Commission and they're different types 22 of the regular complaint cases that we have as an 23 industry.

I hope that answers some questions and I'll stop now unless anyone has some questions for me.

CHAIRMAN HALL: I have no questions. 1 Thank 2 you. MR. BUB: 3 Okay. Mr. Bub, I believe we did do 4 JUDGE WOODRUFF: 5 a mediation a few years ago with --MR. BUB: Yes. 6 7 JUDGE WOODRUFF: -- AT&T. 8 MR. BUB: We did. And they were very 9 helpful. And that Commission never saw that as a 10 complaint because through the Commission mediation process we were able to resolve it. 11 It was very 12 professional, and I think it saved the companies a lot 13 of money and time, and as well as the Commission. Yes, 14 that's correct. 15 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you. 16 MR. BUB: Thank you. 17 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Anyone else wishing to make 18 a comment? 19 MR. MCCARTNEY: Brian McCartney with the law 20 firm of Brydon, Swearengen & England, P.C. 312 East 21 Capital Avenue on behalf of the Missouri Small 22 Telephone Company Group, a list of which have been 23 provided in our written entry of appearance. 24 We appreciate Staff's work on this and also 25 their work previously to help set up these rules. The

Small Telephone Company Group supports the MTIA
 comments. This is hard for me to say, but I agree with
 Leo and AT&T's comments as well.

4 Chapter 29 is critical to the small rural 5 carriers, even maybe more so than a large carrier, like 6 AT&T and CenturyLink. Millions of dollars were at 7 stake in the Halo fraud matter. Chapter 29 allowed 8 many of my clients to stop the fraud, end the bleeding, 9 and terminate that fraudulent service that was being 10 tormented on them.

The small rural carriers are downstream. 11 12 They're at the end of the line, so they're the ones 13 that are actually handing off these calls to their rural customers. Staff had mentioned that there's some 14 15 intercompany agreements, but we -- Halo had no 16 agreements with us. We are, again, at the end of the 17 line. We're downstream, so there's no contractual 18 relationship between us and Halo. They were just 19 dumping fraudulent traffic on our networks.

A small business analysis, I think, would demonstrate the value that -- that Chapter 29 has to small rural telephone companies to safeguard, prevent and stop fraud.

Real quickly, we agree with the E-911 Rules.
Those help establish the -- the connection between the

1 local exchange landline companies and the PSAP, so I 2 think that they're helpful. They also help address the 3 relationships between smaller companies and larger 4 companies, so I think they're important to keep.

Finally, the arbitration and mediation rules. 5 The Telecommunications Act sets up a different 6 7 framework for the dispute resolution among companies. 8 They have certain deadlines. There's a deadline 9 between 135 days and 160 days to either mediate or move 10 onto arbitration. So there's real statutory 11 differences between the Telecommunications Act and the 12 negotiations or arbitrations to take there, than just a general run of the mill alternative dispute resolution. 13 So I think it's important to keep those rules as well. 14

15 In the past, when the Telecommunications Act 16 had first come into effect, there were numerous 17 disputes among the carriers about the rules of the 18 road, how we were going to actually do this. Those 19 have been resolved by having these rules, so now the 20 parties and the Commission can focus on the substance 21 of the issues rather than the procedural methods for 22 those disputes.

And I think, again, we would strongly urge the Commission to retain Chapter 29 and the other rules. And I can answer any questions, if you have

1 any. Thank you.

Ŧ	any. mank you.
2	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay.
3	CHAIRMAN HALL: No questions. Thank you.
4	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Anyone else wishing come
5	up forward, Mr. Bub.
6	MR. BUB: Judge, I wanted to follow up with
7	one thing that Brian said about Halo. His company's a
8	small rural carrier. It didn't have interconnection
9	agreements with the with Halo, but we did. And
10	we're required as a to interconnect with anybody
11	that asks. So we negotiated our standard wireless
12	carrier interconnection agreement, but even though we
13	had an agreement, that didn't prevent the fraud, and
14	that didn't really give us the type of remedy that was
15	needed here. So it is crucial, I think, as Brian
16	indicated that we really need to keep Chapter 29. And
17	I would probably put Chapter 29 Enhanced Record
18	Exchange Rule and Chapter 34 I'm sorry. Chapter 36,
19	the Dispute Resolution and Arbitration Procedures in
20	the category of, you know, if they ain't broke, you
21	know, that they work real well, so there's really no
22	need to make any changes there.
23	Chapter 34 is a little different. The E-911
24	Rules. They haven't been you know, they've been on
25	the books since, gosh, mid '90s and so there are

there is reason to relook at that, but there are 1 2 sections that we should keep, that we should keep even if we redo them. But with the changes in technology, 3 those do need to be updated. And I know everyone in 4 5 this room is willing to work on them to update them and we certainly will bring our resources to the table to 6 7 help craft modern rules. And that's it. And that's 8 really it this time. Thank you.

9 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you. Anyone else 10 wishing to give us a comment.

11 I'll come back to Staff then for any final 12 thoughts or responses.

MS. PAYNE: I think the only thing that I 13 14 would clarify is that in reference to Chapter 36 being 15 used for a mediation. I would suggest that a mediation 16 is separate from an arbitration. It's two different 17 ent-- two different concepts, and so while it may have 18 been used as a guideline, I don't think that that's 19 actually what the rule was promulgated to apply to.

20 And Chapter 36 is only between companies. Ιt 21 doesn't include between customers for the complaint case that would have been considered in this case. 22

23 JUDGE WOODRUFF: You're talking about the 24 Halo? 25

MS. PAYNE: No. No. It was the case that

> TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

32

1 Mr. Bub referenced that it was used for a complaint, a 2 mediation in relation to a complaint that was never brought before the Commission itself. 3 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. It was a mediation 4 5 that was brought before the Commission. A request for mediation. 6 7 MS. PAYNE: Right. Right. I'm sorry. Ιt was a request for mediation, but it never came to the 8 Commission --9 10 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Yeah. MS. PAYNE: -- to Commission determination. 11 12 It was solved in mediation. I'm just making a 13 differentiation between a mediation and an arbitration. 14 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Anyone else wishing to make 15 any further comments. Then we are adjourned. 16 (Hearing was adjourned at 10:36 a.m.) 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	CERTIFICATE
2	I, Chevon D. McFadden, a Certified Verbatim
3	Reporter, Certified Court Reporter, CCR No. 1399, the
4	officer before whom the foregoing hearing was taken, do
5	hereby certify that the foregoing hearing was taken by
6	me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to
7	typewriting under my direction; that I am neither
8	counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the
9	parties to the action in which this proceeding was
10	taken, and further, that I am not a relative or
11	employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the
12	parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise
13	interested in the outcome of the action.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	Chevon N mefadden
19	Chevon D. McFadden, CVR, CCR No. 1399
20	Chevon D. Meradden, CvR, CCR NO. 1399
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Index: 10..business

		ambulance 23:10	authorization 10:25
1	9	analogy 22:8	availability 14:1
10 17:17	90s 31:25	analysis 29:20	Avenue 28:21
10:36 33:16	911 7:9 10:4 12:6,10	Answering 23:9	aware 23:21
135 30:9	15:20 22:19 23:2,4,8	answers 27:24	
15 17:17	A	anymore 13:1	B
160 30:9		appearance 28:23	back 5:15 32:11
17-03 5:21 8:18	a.m. 33:16	applicable 21:8	bad 27:11
1999 7:13	absence 16:17	apply 27:3 32:19	based 6:8 9:20 11:2
	Absolutely 5:18 11:5	appreciated 20:17	basic 24:13
2	Act 12:18 27:15 30:6,	appropriately 6:18	basis 12:24 23:18
2 10:17,21 11:1 18:22	11,15	arbitration 10:13,14,	begin 5:16
19:7 27:2,3	acted 24:24	16 22:20 27:5 30:5,10 31:19 32:16 33:13	beginning 27:8
2.125 7:18	actual 10:25	arbitrations 30:12	behalf 5:19 28:21
2004 7:21	additional 21:2	area 12:3,18 14:3 23:5	believes 12:23
2005 6:21	Additionally 6:20 7:12	areas 16:12 18:10	benefit 10:10 16:19
2017 5:22	address 8:4 30:2	21:19	18:9
2018 5:22	addressed 6:18	argues 8:2	benefits 6:5
28 20:21 21:16	addresses 12:4	arise 16:2	bigger 22:22
29 6:15,20 8:17 11:16,	adequate 14:8	arose 13:22	binding 16:7,14
24 14:10,13,15 17:7 22:6 29:4,7,21 30:24	adjourned 33:15,16	arrangements 6:16,	bit 15:9 20:5
31:16,17	adverse 6:7	17,19	bleeding 29:8
	adversely 14:8	asks 8:3 31:11	board 7:9
3	affected 14:9	aspects 8:20,24	books 21:8 31:25
31 21:21	agencies 5:23,25	assisted 14:7	Brian 28:19 31:7,15
312 28:20	agent 10:12	Association 7:23	bring 22:13,16 32:6
34 6:22 7:12 8:17	agree 14:17 19:16	assuming 17:4	broadband 21:23
11:16 12:4 17:7 22:7 31:18,23	29:2,24	AT&T 22:3,22 28:7	broke 31:20
36 7:14,20 8:17 11:16	agreement 27:11	29:6	brought 8:13 22:17 24:18 25:8 33:3,5
12:16 17:7 18:21 22:7	31:12,13	AT&T's 20:13 29:3	
26:25 31:18 32:14,20	agreements 6:19 27:7,14,17 29:15,16	attend 20:15	Brydon 28:20
392.461 7:2 8:14	31:9	attorney 18:25 20:13	Bub 20:6,9,12 28:3,4, 6,8,16 31:5,6 33:1
5	allowed 29:7	audience 5:13 20:3	burdensome 6:3
	alternative 7:15	authority 17:5 27:19	business 26:20 29:20
50 25:11	12:17,20 18:21 30:13		

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC 573.999.2662 WWW.TIGERCR.COM

Index: business-to-business..demonstrating

business-to-	chance 5:13	33:3,5,9,11	contracts 6:19
business 24:2	change 13:1 24:12	Commission's 6:10	contractual 29:17
с —	changed 17:18	7:1 15:6,12 16:22	contrary 26:4
	Chapt 22:5	Commissioner 26:23	cop 19:16
California 25:9	Chapter 6:15,20,22	commissions 12:20	correct 9:16 10:15
call 24:15 25:1,13	7:12,14,20 10:17,21 11:1,24 12:4,16 14:10,	Commissions's	28:14
calls 12:8,9 24:10,14, 16,17,19 25:1,6,9	13,15 18:22 19:7	19:13	cost 6:5 21:2,3,10 23:16 24:24
29:13	20:21 21:16,20 22:6 26:25 27:2,3 29:4,7,21	common 18:1	country 24:24 25:16
camera 20:5	30:24 31:16,17,18,23 32:14,20	companies 7:3,5 21:3,11 22:15 24:6	covered 20:25 27:2
Capital 28:21	chapters 7:25 8:2,12,	25:4,24 26:12 27:4,7,	craft 32:7
carrier 22:10,22 24:18 26:3 29:5 31:8,	17 10:9 11:16,21 13:6,	14,15 28:12 29:22 30:1,3,4,7 32:20	crafting 15:23
24.18 20.3 29.5 31.8, 12	9,15 17:7 18:2	company 13:22	create 8:3
carrier-to-carrier	characterization 15:16 19:22	24:22 27:4 28:22 29:1	critical 29:4
23:18	charge 25:6	company's 31:7	crucial 22:25 31:15
carriers 12:11,12 13:23 14:3,4,7,9 15:19	cheaper 25:3	compensation 12:2 13:23 14:8 24:6 25:25	current 23:6
16:4,15,18 18:8 21:22	Chicago 25:2,9	compensational	customer 17:21
22:12,23 23:3,6,13,18 25:22,23 26:2,10,16	choice 13:10	24:9	customers 23:7 24:10 29:14 32:21
29:5,11 30:17	cited 19:7	complaint 27:22	24.10 29.14 32.21
carries 17:25 25:20	citizens 6:4	28:10 32:21 33:1,2	D
case 11:13,17 13:20,	City 24:15 25:2	compliance 21:3,6	detekeese 00:0
25 14:16,19,21,24 15:4,11 24:12,21	clarify 32:14	concepts 32:17	databases 23:8
25:23 32:22,25	clear 12:16	concern 12:5	date 23:23
case-by-case 12:24	clients 29:8	concerned 10:8	days 30:9
18:10	code 5:24	concerns 5:4	de-tariff 7:6
cases 18:17 27:22	comment 11:7 28:18	concurs 8:1	deadline 30:8
categories 24:16	32:10	conditions 17:22	deadlines 30:8
category 31:20	comments 5:7,15 7:23 8:1,15 9:21 11:13	confess 18:24	dealing 22:9 23:17 26:17 27:3
causing 9:8,10	12:8 13:21 21:24,25	conflict 20:16	debate 11:18
ceiling 9:5 20:8	22:4 29:2,3 33:15	connection 25:13	decision 24:4,5
Centurylink 29:6	Commission 5:3,5,	29:25	defrauding 25:20
Chairman 8:25 9:2,6, 14,17,22 10:1,13,24	12,20 6:12 7:9,11,18, 24 8:3,10 10:6 11:19	consensus 21:18	
11:4 13:12,13 14:14	13:15,18 14:23 16:10, 12,13 17:22 18:5,13	considered 6:6 8:18 24:17 32:22	delegated 12:19 27:18
15:1,5,8 16:6,20,25 17:4,16 18:14,20	20:17 21:12 22:11,16,	contained 10:21	demonstrate 29:21
19:10 28:1 31:3	18 26:7 27:5,18,21	contentious 27:9	demonstrating 7:9
	28:9,10,13 30:20,24		_
	1	1	1

Index: depend..Group

depend 16:9	dumping 20.40	Eschen 8:22	final 32:11
	dumping 29:19	essential 6:3	
deregulation 11:23	duplicate 21:2		Finally 30:5
detail 18:25	duplicative 21:4	essentially 17:8	find 8:8 14:18 18:23
details 14:2	E	establish 12:20 29:25	firetruck 23:11
determination 14:21 21:10 33:11		established 11:17	firm 28:20
determining 20:22	E-911 29:24 31:23	exception 15:11	flavors 26:17
Dietrich 8:21	easier 20:5	exchange 14:3,13 22:19 24:3,17 30:1	flow 23:8
differ 18:22	East 28:20	31:18	focus 30:20
differences 30:11	edit 12:25	exchanged 24:14	follow 11:2 16:5 24:10 31:6
differentiation 33:13	effect 6:7,21 7:13,20 8:9 16:1 17:2 23:1	exchanging 15:20	force 17:1 23:1 25:22
directed 9:11	25:22 30:16	Executive 5:21 8:18	form 19:3
directly 18:6	effective 6:1 11:24	exempt 7:3	forward 20:4 31:5
Director 8:21	efficient 6:1	exist 19:19 21:15,20	frame 26:5
disagree 10:2	elect 7:3	26:16	framework 18:7 30:7
disagreement 21:20	element 18:1	existence 14:6 24:13	frankly 15:22
22:6,14	eliminated 21:4	existing 9:8	fraud 12:3 24:23
disagreements 21:21	eliminating 9:15	experience 13:25 expert 23:5	25:17 29:7,8,23 31:13
discarding 15:25	21:14	explain 5:10	fraudulent 29:9,19
discussing 19:23	elimination 21:1	explain 5.10 extensive 6:9	free 24:14,15,18,20
discussion 11:18	emergency 6:23,25 7:6	extensive 0.9	function 19:20
13:4 18:11 22:7	end 29:8,12,16	F	funds 21:23
discussions 19:4	end-user 23:7	facilitate 19:20	G
dispute 7:15 12:17	England 28:20		
13:16,22 15:21 18:21 19:17 27:1 30:7,13	Enhanced 14:13	fact 7:2 15:23	general 19:6 30:13
31:19	22:18 24:3 31:17	fair 19:12	generally 8:1 16:21
disputes 26:21	ensuring 6:2	familiar 15:4 fault 23:21	give 5:12 27:19 31:14 32:10
27:13,20 30:17,22	ent 32:17		
disreputable 24:22 26:16	entire 21:5	FCC 7:5 12:19 25:15	glad 13:10
distance 25:1,8	entirety 6:16,24 7:16	federal 11:1,3 12:17 20:25 27:15,17	good 9:6 11:10 13:3 15:16 19:15,21 20:20
distant 7:11	entities 6:20,24 16:25	feels 18:12	23:23
dollars 26:10 29:6	entry 28:23	feet 25:11	gosh 27:9 31:25
downstream 29:11,	environment 7:1 15:17 17:19	file 5:3 11:13 14:24	Governor 9:12
17	environments 13:2	filed 7:23,25 8:15	Governor's 5:22
draw 23:10		21:25	Group 28:22 29:1

Index: guess..made

guess 16:8 24:13		interconnect 31:10	
26:25	<u> </u>	interconnection	L
guideline 16:3,6 32:18	iceberg 22:12	6:18 27:7,14,17 31:8, 12	landline 30:1
guidelines 10:5 11:2	idea 16:2	interest 8:7 9:11	landscape 22:9
17:11 23:1,12	identical 10:19	interested 7:22 11:20	large 29:5
Н	identified 11:17	invisible 24:11	larger 30:3
	identify 5:16	involves 6:2	law 11:1 17:2 23:1
HALL 9:2,6,14,17,22	imagine 9:25	lowa 26:17	25:22 27:17 28:19
10:1,13,24 11:4 13:13 14:14 15:1,5,8 16:6,	immediately 8:13	irrelevant 15:12	lays 16:14
20,25 17:4 18:14,20	impact 11:22	issue 16:9	leave 8:9
19:10 28:1 31:3	importance 14:15	issued 5:21	leaving 9:7 16:1 17:21 18:10
Halo 13:21 14:19 15:11 24:21 29:7,15, 18 31:7,9 32:24	important 12:4,6 20:14 30:4,14	issues 30:21	legal 8:20 14:24 16:7
handing 29:13	impose 21:10 23:16	J	legislation 7:8
happen 26:1	imposed 21:2		legislature 11:23 17:18
happened 24:7,21	improves 16:18	January 5:22	legislature's 7:10
happy 13:5	improving 8:7	Jefferson 24:15	Leo 20:12 29:3
hard 21:14 23:24 29:2	include 32:21	job 20:20	level 17:24
harm 16:1	including 7:6	John 8:22	light 7:7 16:16
head 17:14	indication 7:10	join 21:24	lines 9:22 15:9
health 6:4	individual 25:3	judge 5:2 8:25 9:4 11:6 13:12 14:10 15:3,	list 28:22
heard 19:4	industry 7:12,23 8:4,	6 19:12,19,24 20:2,7,	listening 22:7
hearing 5:3 20:15	7,11 9:18 10:6,8,11, 20,23 11:12,19,22	9,10 21:17 28:4,7,15, 17 31:2,4,6 32:9,23	literally 25:18
26:7 33:16	13:4,8 15:13,14 17:10,	33:4,10,14	local 24:14,17,19
heart 8:16	12,19,23 18:12 21:5, 18,24 27:23	jurisdiction 5:24	25:12,20 26:12 30:1
helpful 22:8 23:17 28:9 30:2	informal 5:6	justification 15:25	long 24:25 25:8
helps 21:6	information 23:7	К.	longer 21:7,15
Hey 24:25	intact 9:7		looked 20:24
home 25:11	integral 14:20	Kansas 25:2	losses 14:4 26:11
honest 23:20	integrity 11:25	keeping 22:6 26:21	lot 13:25 14:4 15:22
hope 27:24	intent 7:10	kind 14:4 15:18 16:7	28:12 Louis 25:2
huge 26:11	interact 15:14 16:15	18:4,6,10 19:16 22:9	LUUIS 20.2
11490 20.11	22:23 23:3	kinds 25:6	M
	intercompany 12:1 24:5,9 29:15	knew 25:17	made 5:16 8:4

Index: majority..process

majority 6:24 12:9	Missouri's 14:2	one-off 18:4	place 9:19,24 17:6,8 18:18 20:11
make 5:12,13,14 11:7	mobile 25:11	open 8:6 15:2 17:21	
17:14 20:3 21:9,12 22:5 23:4 28:17 31:22	modern 32:7	18:10	planning 5:11
33:14	money 28:13	operation 25:8	players 17:11
making 33:12	morning 9:6 11:10	operations 12:11	plays 17:23
Manager 8:22	move 6:12 11:6 30:9	opportunity 10:2 13:3	point 22:5
mandated 7:5	moving 17:19		pointed 12:7
manner 12:22	MTIA 8:2,15 22:3 29:1	oppose 11:14,15	Points 23:9
market 17:21 22:24	MTIA's 8:1	opposed 16:7 19:6	policy 12:5
material 18:22		order 5:21 6:10 8:18	post-rescission 5:4
matter 6:12 7:24 29:7	N	ordered 5:25	potential 18:8
Mccartney 28:19	name's 20:12	orderly 27:12,20	practice 26:3
means 6:6	Natelle 8:21	originating 25:23	practicing 18:25
mediate 30:9	nationwide 13:22	outdated 21:4	prescriptive 19:18
mediation 22:19		outline 11:2	present 19:3
28:5,10 30:5 32:15	needed 19:8 20:22 22:21 27:6 31:15	outlined 7:16,17	presented 27:21
33:2,4,6,8,12,13	negotiate 27:10,16	outweigh 6:5	presents 16:10
mediation/ arbitration 27:1	negotiated 31:11	oversight 8:10	President 11:11
member 22:3	negotiation 11:18	Р	pretty 15:11
members 15:14	negotiations 24:2 30:12	P.C. 28:20	prevent 12:3 29:22 31:13
mentioned 29:14	network 11:25 12:10	parameters 16:16	previously 8:9 12:8
method 19:8	networks 29:19	participate 13:5	28:25
methods 30:21	nondisclosure 27:10	participated 22:4	principle 24:13
microphone 9:2,3	nothing's 22:17	parties 12:14 13:16,	prior 8:13
microphones 20:7	notify 26:3	24 30:20	private 24:1
mid 31:25	number 5:4 13:23	past 30:15	problematic 18:23
mill 30:13	14:22	pay 25:14,25	problems 9:7,8,10
millions 25:18,19 26:10 29:6	numerous 30:16	Payne 5:18 9:9,16,20, 25 10:3,16,25 11:5	procedural 12:16 30:21
mind 12:7	0	14:17 24:4 27:16	procedure 27:12
minutes 25:19	odd 18:12	32:13,25 33:7,11 perfectly 10:21	procedures 7:15,16, 17 10:18 12:21 31:19
Missouri 5:19,23 6:4	offending 26:3	perpetrated 24:23	
7:22,25 11:11 12:1 14:3,7 20:13 22:24	offered 21:1	25:18 26:12	proceeding 27:1
24:23 25:21 26:18		perspective 9:17	proceedings 27:4
28:21	office 5:22	21:6	process 5:7 6:2 8:8 26:1 27:20 28:11
	1	1	1

Index: produce..rule

produce 5:25		regularly 22:22,23	requiring 5:8 25:15
profess 23:5	R	regulate 18:14,15,16	rescind 6:12 7:24
professional 28:12	rate 25:5	regulated 16:25	rescinded 6:16,24
promulgate 16:22	rate's 25:5	regulating 18:5	7:16 9:13
17:1,5		regulation 7:11 15:13	rescinding 10:8
promulgated 32:19	rates 25:3	16:13 17:9	rescission 8:3 11:15
properly 27:10	reaching 12:10	regulations 5:23,24	13:9
proposal 8:20	read 9:22 15:8	6:1,10 8:21 12:14 16:23 17:1 18:19	resolution 7:15 18:21 22:17 27:1 30:7,13
protecting 11:24	real 23:20 29:24 30:10 31:21	regulator 19:14,18	31:19
protection 24:6	reason 12:21 26:14,	regulatory 8:7 10:12	resolutions 12:17
provide 14:21,23	20 32:1	13:2 17:18 18:6 20:13	resolve 22:15 28:11
15:18,25 17:10 23:6 25:24	reasoning 6:8	relate 15:19 16:22	resolved 30:19
provided 10:5,10	recent 7:8	relates 17:9	resources 32:6
28:23	recently 15:24 22:18	relating 6:13 7:4	respond 5:15 10:2
provider 7:19 18:3	26:13,22	relation 33:2	13:1 14:15
providers 19:5,17	recommendation 8:16	relations 16:18	response 6:10
23:8	recommendations	relationship 17:20,	responses 32:12
providing 6:25	5:11	25 18:2,7 29:18	restrictive 6:6
provision 7:7 10:14, 16 12:25 13:14	recommended 6:11 7:14	relationships 30:3	result 6:11
provisioning 15:20	recommends 6:15,	relevance 11:21	retail 7:4 17:20
provisions 7:4 10:15	22	relevant 8:2 15:13 16:2	retain 30:24
11:3 18:21	record 5:17 14:13,22	relook 32:1	review 5:23 6:9,11
PSAP 23:9 30:1	15:1 22:18 24:3 31:17	rely 12:14	revisit 12:24
public 5:8,19 12:5	records 14:3 15:7 25:25	remained 6:21 7:13,	Rick 11:11
16:19 23:9	redo 32:3	20	road 12:5,13 15:18 16:4 18:17 30:18
purpose 13:7	referee 19:14,17,20	remedy 31:14	roadmap 16:14
put 31:17	reference 14:18	removed 7:8	role 17:23,24 19:13
Q	32:14	repealed 16:19	room 13:24 24:10
	referenced 33:1	repealing 18:9	26:2,10 32:5
question 8:12 26:23	referred 13:20	request 33:5,8	router 24:25
questions 8:19,23 9:1 13:10 27:24,25	reflected 23:24	requested 7:18	rule 6:17 7:1,17,18
28:1 30:25 31:3	reg 18:5	required 23:6,14,15	8:12 10:5,14,15 14:2, 15,18,20 16:17 20:21,
quickly 29:24	regard 10:7 14:16	25:24 27:15 31:10	22,25 21:2 23:13 24:3,
	18:2	requirement 16:7	7 25:21 26:1,4,5,15,18 31:18 32:19
	regular 27:22	requirements 14:2 23:3,11	

Index: rule's..today's

r			
rule's 21:7	sets 30:6	stands 8:16	tariff 25:3,5
Rulemaking 5:2	shot 25:10	state 5:23,24 12:19	tariffs 6:19
rulemakings 20:18	similar 7:17 10:18	20:25 21:22	TC-2012-0331 14:22
rules 5:5 6:2,5,7,13 7:4 8:5,9,17 9:7,10,11, 12,15,19,24 12:5,13,	24:16	stated 8:9 11:15	technical 8:24
	simply 9:10	statement 8:1 20:3	technology 13:2 32:3
16,24 14:6,10,13	sit 13:3	statements 5:14	tedious 20:20
15:10,16,18,23 16:3,4, 17,22 17:6 18:1,17	situation 16:10,21	states 14:5,9 23:22 26:11	telecom 18:3 19:5
19:6,19,22 20:19 21:3,	situations 16:2 26:13 27:9	statutory 17:5 30:10	telecommunication
14 22:2,19,20,25 23:12,15,21 24:9,11		-	7:3
25:15 26:19,21,24,25	small 28:21 29:1,4,11, 20,22 31:8	stay 11:8	telecommunication'
27:3,6,12,19 28:25	smaller 30:3	stop 14:4 26:6 27:25 29:8,23	s 7:12
29:24 30:5,14,17,19, 25 31:24 32:7	solved 33:12	streamlining 9:11,14	telecommunication s 6:13 7:19,23 8:11,22
run 30:13	somebody's 21:8	strong 21:18 26:19	10:20,23 11:12,19,25 12:18 19:13 30:6,11, 15
rural 29:4,11,14,22	sooner 14:4	strongly 30:23	
31:8	sort 26:7	subject 7:1	telephone 6:23,25
S	sound 6:8	substance 30:20	7:6 28:22 29:1,22
	speak 12:13 20:6,10	substantive 24:4	Telthorst 11:10,11
safe 15:9	21:25	sufficient 10:21	13:17 14:12 15:15 16:8,24 17:3,16 18:15,
safeguard 29:22	specific 10:19 19:5	suggest 32:15	24 19:11,15,21 20:1
safety 6:4	27:13	support 8:8	terminate 24:19,25
satisfy 10:22	specifically 5:25 10:3 12:19 14:19	supporting 21:23	25:6,16 26:6 29:9
saved 28:12	St 25:2	supports 12:1 29:1	terminating 25:25 26:2
saving 26:9	Staff 5:10,15,16,19	supposed 25:6	terms 13:15
Section 7:2 8:14	6:9,11,15,22 7:14 8:6,	Swearengen 28:20	thes 18:16
sections 32:2	8,15,20 11:19 12:23 14:14 20:19 21:13,19	sworn 5:9	thing 23:5 31:7 32:13
seeking 14:7	22:6 23:19,21,23	synopsis 14:24	things 13:1 21:10
send 23:10	29:14 32:11	system 12:6 23:4	22:13
sense 9:23	Staff's 12:8 20:18 21:17 28:24	systems 12:10 15:21	thought 21:22
separate 32:16	stage 8:11		thoughts 32:12
service 5:19 6:23 7:7,	stake 29:7	т	throw 12:21
9 10:4 23:9 29:9	stakeholder 7:22	table 11:0 22:0	time 8:19 13:13 24:12
services 6:25 7:6	stakeholders 11:20	table 11:8 32:6	26:5 28:13 32:8
serving 13:7	standard 12:2 31:11	taking 7:20	today 11:22 13:8 20:14 22:3
set 18:6 25:7 26:5 27:12 28:25	standards 6:23 7:7	talked 24:4	today's 6:25
21.12 20.20		talking 14:11 32:23	

top 22:14	
tormented 29:10	W
tower 25:10,11	wanted 20:17 31:6
trade 24:10	welfare 6:4
traffic 15:20 19:16	Whitney 5:18
25:16 26:6 29:19	wholesale 6:16 12:22
true 16:24 17:3 19:8	13:8 17:24
turn 26:6,9	Windstream 7:25
TX-2018-0188 5:3	wireless 12:9,11
type 8:17 27:13 31:14	13:21 24:16,18 25:10, 12,13,24 31:11
types 12:20 13:5	wireli 12:11
27:20,21	
	wireline 12:12
U	wishes 11:7 20:3
unable 20:15	wishing 28:17 31:4 32:10 33:14
unchanged 6:21 7:13,20	WOODRUFF 5:2
	8:25 9:4 11:6 13:12
understanding 10:3, 7 17:15	14:10 15:3,6 19:12,19, 24 20:2,7,10 28:4,7,
undertook 6:9	15,17 31:2,4 32:9,23
	33:4,10,14
unduly 6:3	work 15:22 20:18,20
unique 16:21	21:14,17 23:4,22,24 28:24,25 31:21 32:5
unlike 26:10	worked 15:17
unofficially 10:6	working 8:6
update 32:5	_
updated 32:4	workshops 8:4 13:5
urge 30:23	written 11:13 13:20 19:5 28:23
USF 21:23	
utilities 6:14	Y
Utility 8:21	
-	years 7:19 11:17 13:19 17:17 28:5
V	yesterday 20:16
	21:20
valid 13:7	York 25:1,9
Van 8:22	