BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of)	
3L Communications Missouri, LLC for a)	
Certificate of Service Authority to Provide)	File No. TA-2012-0201
Basic Local Exchange Services in Portions)	Tariff No. YC-2012-0314
of the State of Missouri and to Classify Said)	Tariff No. YC-2012-0315
Services and the Company as Competitive)	

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

COMES NOW the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Staff") and for its recommendation, states as follows:

- 1. On December 30, 2011, 3L Communications Missouri, LLC ("the Company") applied for a certificate of service authority to provide basic local telecommunications service and for competitive classification.
- 2. The Application included sufficient information for the Staff to conclude that the Company possesses sufficient financial, technical and managerial resources to be certificated. In addition, the Staff notes that granting the requested waivers complies with §392.420 RSMo 2009.
- 3. In the attached Memorandum, the Staff recommends that the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission") grant the Company's request for basic local telecommunications service authority. The Commission shall grant an application for a certificate of telecommunications service authority upon a finding that the grant of authority is in the public interest. §§ 392.430 and 392.440 RSMo 2000.
- 4. The Staff also recommends that the Commission classify the Company's services as competitive. The Commission may classify a telecommunications provider or its services as

competitive if the Commission determines it is subject to sufficient competition to justify a lesser degree of regulation and that such lesser regulation is consistent with the protection of ratepayers and promotes public interest. §392.361.4 RSMo Supp. 2009. A majority of the services a competitive company provides must be classified as competitive. §392.361.3 RSMo Supp. 2009.

- 5. The Staff further recommends that the Commission state in its order that, pursuant to §392.410, the authority conferred by the certificate of service authority shall be null and void unless it is exercised within one year of its issuance.
- 6. Tariffs were submitted with the application; the Staff recommends that the Commission either approve them or allow them to take effect by operation of law.
- 7. The Applicant is not delinquent in filing an annual report, paying the PSC assessment, MoUSF, and Relay Missouri.
- 8. The Staff recommends that the application be granted with the following conditions:
 - a. The applicant's originating and terminating access rates shall not exceed the access rates of the incumbent local exchange company against whom the applicant is competing pursuant to §§ 392.361.6 and 392.370 RSMo Supp. 2009.
 - b. If the directly competing ILEC, in whose service area the applicant is operating, decreases its originating and/or terminating access service rates, the applicant shall file an appropriate tariff amendment to reduce its originating and/or terminating access rates in the directly competing ILEC's service area within 30 days of the directly competing ILEC's reduction of its originating and/or terminating access rates in order to maintain the cap.

- 9. The Staff also recommends that the application be conditioned on the continued compliance with the Company's representation that it will undertake all necessary measures to ensure its contracts with underlying carriers do not contain provisions preventing delivery of traffic to any telephone exchange area of Missouri. Such measures include but are not limited to:
 - a. Prevention of call blocking and/or call gapping based on the cost of traffic termination.
 - b. Preventing the alteration or stripping of Calling Party Number identification.
 - Ensuring sufficient network capacity exists to process all traffic according to industry accepted practices.
 - 10. The Staff recommends that the Commission grant the waivers listed below:
 - 392.210.2 Accounting requirements (system of accounts)
 - 392.240.1 Reasonableness of rates
 - 392.270 Accounting requirements (valuation of property)
 - 392.280 Accounting requirements (depreciation rates/accounts)
 - 392.290 Issuance of stocks, bonds and other indebtedness
 - 392.300 Transfer of property and ownership of stock
 - 392.310 Approval of issuing stocks, bonds and other indebtedness
 - 392.320 Certificate of Commission to be recorded-stock dividends
 - 392.330 Accounting requirements (proceeds of sales of stock, bonds, notes, etc.)
 - 392.340 Company reorganization
 - 4 CSR 240-3.520 Applications to sell or transfer assets
 - 4 CSR 240-3.525 Applications to merge or consolidate
 - 4 CSR 240-3.530 Applications to issue stocks, obtain loans
 - 4 CSR 240-3.535 Applications to acquire stock

- 4 CSR 240-3.545(8)(C) Listing of Waivers in Tariff
- 4 CSR 240-3.550 Telco Records and Reports (except (5)(B), (D) and (E))
- 4 CSR 240-3.555 Residential Customer Inquiries
- 4 CSR 240-3.560 Procedure for Ceasing Operations
- 4 CSR 240-10.020 Depreciation Records
- 4 CSR 240-30.020 Residential Telephone Underground Systems
- 4 CSR 240-30.040 Uniform System of Accounts
- 4 CSR 240-32.010 General Provisions
- 4 CSR 240-32.040 Metering, Inspections and Tests
- 4 CSR 240-32.050 Customer Services
- 4 CSR 240-32.060 Engineering and Maintenance
- 4 CSR 240-32.070 Quality of Service
- 4 CSR 240-32.080 Service objectives and surveillance levels
- 4 CSR 240-32.090 Connection of equipment and Inside Wiring
- 4 CSR 240-32.100 Provision of Basic Local and Interexchange Services
- 4 CSR 240-32.130-170 Prepaid Calling Cards (except 32.140 and 32.150(1))
- 4 CSR 240-32.180-190 Caller ID blocking requirements
- 4 CSR 240-33.010 Service and Billing Practice General Provisions
- 4 CSR 240-33.040 Billing and Payment standards
- 4 CSR 240-33.045 Clear identification and placement of charges on bills
- 4 CSR 240-33.050 Deposits
- 4 CSR 240-33.060 Residential Customer Inquiries
- 4 CSR 240-33.070 Discontinuance of service
- 4 CSR 240-33.080 Disputes by Residential Customers
- 4 CSR 240-33.090 Settlement agreements with residential customers
- 4 CSR 240-33.130 Operator service requirements

4 CSR 240-33.140 Payphone requirements (except (2))

4 CSR 240-33.150 "Anti-slamming" requirements

4 CSR 240-33.160 Customer Proprietary Network Information

WHEREFORE, the Staff recommends that the Commission grant 3L Communications Missouri, LLC's Application for basic local telecommunications service authority on the conditions set forth above, classify the company and its services as competitive, grant the listed waivers and either approve the submitted tariffs or allow them to take effect by operation of law.

Respectfully submitted,

Colleen M. Dale

Senior Counsel

Missouri Bar No. 31624

Attorney for the Staff of the

Missouri Public Service Commission

P. O. Box 360

Jefferson City, MO 65102

(573) 751-4255 (Telephone)

cully.dale@psc.mo.gov

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed, hand-delivered, transmitted by facsimile or electronically mailed to all counsel of record this 31st day of January, 2011.

MEMORANDUM

To:	Missouri Public Service Commission Official Case File		
	Case No: TA-2012-0201 File	No: YL-2012-0314 YL-2012-0315	
	Applicant: 3L Communications Misso		
From:	Kari Salsman Telecommunications Unit		
	William Voight 1-23-12 Tariff, Safety, Economic and Enginee	ering Analysis/Date	
Subject:	Staff Recommendation for Approval	of Certificate and/or Initial Tariff	
Date: Filing Deadline:	1-23-12 2-1-12		
	nications Department Staff (Staff) recortificate(s), as indicated below, to be effect:		
following service All of All of	f AT&T Missouri f CenturyLink f Spectra wide	nunications services in the	
certificate to j	provide interexchange telecommunicat provide local exchange telecommunica authority should be restricted to dedicat	tions services.*	

Staff recommends the applicant and its services receive competitive classification. Staff further recommends a Commission order granting certification state that pursuant to Section 392.410 RSMo, unless exercised within a period of one year from the issuance thereof, authority conferred by a certificate of service authority shall be null and void. In addition, Staff recommends approval of the waivers listed in the Notice.

Granting a certificate to provide basic local exchange telecommunications services should be based on the following two conditions:

- 1. The applicant's originating and terminating access rates shall not exceed the access rates of the incumbent local exchange company against whom the applicant is competing pursuant to Sections 392.361.6 and 392.370 RSMo.
- 2. If the directly competing ILEC, in whose service area the applicant is operating, decreases its originating and/or terminating access service rates, the

within 30 days of the directly competing ILEC's reduction of its originating and/or terminating access rates in order to maintain the cap. \boxtimes No objection to tariff MO PSC No. 1&2 taking effect. Effective Date: 2-15-12 The Staff states that the tariff meets the requirements of all applicable statutes, specifically, one or more of the following: Sections 392.200(8), (12); 392.455(3), (4); and 392.500(2) RSMo. In addition, the tariff filing does not conflict with the following Commission rules: 4 CSR 240-3.545 (4), (6), (7), (8 C,G,H), (9), (15), (16), (19); 4 CSR 240-31.065(1), (2), (3); 4 CSR 240-32.150(5), 32.160(1), (2), 32.190; 4 CSR 240-33.040(4), 33.045(2), 33.050(4) and 33.070(2). Tariff was not submitted with application. Pursuant to 4 CSR 240-3.510(1)(C), the applicant cannot lawfully provide service until tariffs are effective. Additional Comments: The Staff recommends that the Commission specifically include the following language in its Order, conditioning the certificate on continued compliance with the following representation: 3L Communications Missouri will undertake all necessary measures to ensure its contracts with underlying carriers do not contain provisions preventing delivery of traffic to any telephone exchange area of Missouri. Such measures include but are not limited to: • Prevention of call blocking and/or call gapping based on the cost of traffic termination. • Preventing the alteration or stripping of Calling Party Number identification. • Ensuring sufficient network capacity exists to process all traffic according to industry accepted practices. The Company is not delinquent in filing an annual report, paying the PSC assessment, MoUSF, and Relay Missouri. The Company is delinquent. Staff recommends the Commission grant the requested relief/action on the condition the applicant corrects the delinquency. The applicant should be instructed to make the appropriate filing in this case after it has corrected the

(No annual report Unpaid PSC assessment. Amount owed:

Unpaid MoUSF Unpaid Relay Missouri)

delinquency.

applicant shall file an appropriate tariff amendment to reduce its originating and/or terminating access rates in the directly competing ILEC's service area

Review Items for IXC, Non-switched Local and CLEC Certificate Applications (as required by 4 CSR 240-2.060):		
(as req	Proper Secretary of State authorization Statement of character of business performed. Statement declaring no pending action or final unsatisfied judgments Statement declaring no overdue annual reports/assessment fees Application includes an affidavit. The applicant is requesting waivers of rules or statutes previously granted to a competitively classified company uired by 392.430/.440):	
	Application shows that grant of authority is in the public interest.	
Additi	onal Review Items for CLEC Applications (as required by 392.455):	
	Possesses sufficient technical and managerial resources and abilities. Applicant has provided an affidavit attesting that the company possesses sufficient financial resources. Statement that the applicant will satisfy the minimum standards established by the commission. Identifies geographic area (no smaller than an exchange). Statement that applicant will give equitable access to all Missourians.	
TD 100		
Tariff	Review Items (applicable for all competitively classified companies):	
	The tariff meets Staff's review for compliance with rules for competitive company tariff filings.	

Under penalty of perjury, I affirm that the above statement is true and correct.

KARI SALSMAN