STATE OF FAILURE

HOW/ STATES FAIL TO PROTECT OUR HEALTH AND DRINKING WATER FROM TOXIC COAL ASH



THIRTY-SEVEN COAL ASH REGULATORY PROGRAMS THAT PLACE OUR AIR, WATER AND HEALTH IN DANGER

AUGUST 2011





APPALACHIAN MOUNTAIN ADVOCATES

Principal Authors:

Lisa Evans Senior Administrative Counsel Earthjustice

Michael Becher Attorney Appalachian Center for the Economy and the Environment

Bridget Lee Attorney Earthjustice

Additional Contributors:

Jeff Stant and John Dawes Environmental Integrity Project

Appreciation:

We thank Sue Sturgis of the Institute for Southern Studies and author of *Facing South* for the use of her compilation of coal ash damage cases (Appendix 1 of this report).

Cover photo: Coal ash spill in Forward Township, PA, January 2005.

STATE OF FAILURE

How States Fail to Protect Our Health and Drinking Water from Toxic Coal Ash

Introduction: An Unhealthy Union

Coal ash is the second largest industrial waste stream in the United States. More than 140 million tons of coal ash, comprised of fly ash, bottom ash, boiler slag and flue gas desulfurization (FGD) sludge, is generated annually by the nation's coal-fired power plants. Coal ash contains a long list of carcinogenic and neurotoxic chemicals such as arsenic, lead, hexavalent chromium, cadmium and mercury. The toxic brew is stored in more than a thousand unstable ponds and landfills, which are located in nearly every state in the nation. Yet most states don't have regulations in place to keep these toxic chemicals safely entombed and out of our air and drinking water.

Earthjustice and Appalachian Center for the Economy and the Environment (ACEE) uncovered the details of this state of failure in an exhaustive review of state regulations in 37 states, which together comprise over 98 percent of all the coal ash generated nationally. Our analysis debunks the oft-repeated myth that state programs are doing a good job of safeguarding our air and water and protecting communities from catastrophic dam failure.

Our review reveals that most states do not require all coal ash landfills and ponds to employ the most basic safeguards required at household trash landfills, such as composite liners, groundwater monitoring, leachate collection systems, dust controls and financial assurance; nor do states require that coal ash ponds be operated to avoid catastrophic collapse. In addition, most states allow the placement of toxic coal ash *in* water tables and the siting of ponds and landfills in wetlands, unstable areas and floodplains. When measured against basic safeguards that the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) identified as essential to protect health and the environment,¹ state regulatory programs fail miserably to guarantee safety from contamination and catastrophe.

Although no rational person would question the necessity of lining and monitoring coal ash dumps to prevent the escape of toxic chemicals or the need to inspect the nation's aging fleet of nearly 700 coal ash dams, we found in the 37 states examined:

- Only 3 states require composite liners for all new coal ash ponds:
- Only 5 states require composite liners for all new coal ash landfills;
- Only 2 states require groundwater monitoring of all coal ash ponds;
- Only 4 states require groundwater monitoring of all coal ash landfills;
- Only 6 states prohibit siting of coal ash ponds into the water table; and

 Only 17 states require regulatory inspections of the structural integrity of coal ash ponds.

In view of the widespread absence of critical protections in most states, it is absolutely essential that the EPA establish a national coal ash rule under subtitle C of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). Currently, the EPA is at the threshold of a decision—it can continue to leave the regulation of this toxic waste entirely to states under subtitle D of RCRA, or it can establish national minimum standards under subtitle C of RCRA. Our analysis shows that it is far too dangerous to continue to allow states sole discretion over coal ash dumping. Nothing short of federally enforceable standards will protect our most vulnerable communities from continuing harm.

Amazingly, even the EPA readily admits that a state-controlled subtitle D scheme will continue to leave most communities without protections against precarious ponds and cancer-causing chemicals in their air and water. In fact, the EPA concludes that, based on the entrenched, decades-long state resistance to regulating coal ash, it expects **less than half** of the total ash generated in the U.S. to be governed by adequate state regulations, unless these regulations are made mandatory under a RCRA subtitle C rule.²

Part I of this report provides a brief overview of the threats posed by the widespread lack of state requirements for coal ash disposal. Part II explains how most state programs do not adequately protect public health and the environment from these threats by specifically identifying the regulatory gaps in 37 states. Part III identifies the 12 worst states; where regulations fail most completely to protect communities located near coal ash disposal sites, particularly coal ash ponds. Criteria for determining the most dangerous states include gross lack of basic regulatory safeguards, widespread dangerous disposal practices (especially wet disposal), and huge amounts of coal ash generated annually. By this measurement, the 12 worst states for coal ash disposal are (in alphabetical order): Alabama, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Missouri, North Carolina, Ohio, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, and Virginia.

PART I. WHAT'S AT STAKE: MAJOR DAM FAILURES, UNHEALTHY AIR AND POISONED WATER

Dangerous Dams: Another Accident Waiting to Happen

In Harriman, Tennessee on December 22, 2008, a coal ash dam at the Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) Kingston Fossil Plant broke, releasing **1.1 billion** gallons of coal ash into the Emory and Clinch Rivers, destroying three homes and damaging a dozen others. By volume, this spill is the largest environmental disaster in U.S. history—100 times greater than the Exxon Valdez oil spill and 5 times larger than the BP Deepwater Horizon spill of 2010. While the cataclysmic disaster in Kingston is well known, few

realize that **at least every three years since 2002, major breaks in coal ash ponds have occurred,** causing the release of millions of pounds of toxic sludge to waterways and drinking water sources. For example:

- In Euharlee, Georgia on July 28, 2002, a four-acre sinkhole fractured a coal ash pond at Georgia Power's Plant Bowen and caused the release of more than 2 million pounds of arsenic-laden coal ash to the Etowah River, a drinking water source for Rome, Georgia,³ a city with a population of nearly 35,000 residents. The discharge contained arsenic at concentrations more than 100 times the federal safe drinking water standard.
- In Martins Creek, Pennsylvania on August 23, 2005, a coal ash dam broke at PPL Generation's Martin's Creek Power Plant, releasing over 100 million gallons of ash into the Delaware River.⁴ The spill could not be contained for four days.
- In Martinsville, Indiana on February 14, 2007, internal and external levees breached at the Indianapolis Power and Light's Eagle Valley Generating Station, resulting in a discharge of **30 million gallons** of coal ash sluice liquid to the White River.⁵
- In Martinsville, Indiana on January 30, 2008, a second breach occurred at the 52-year-old earthen dam resulting in another **30 million gallon** discharge of coal ash sludge to the White River.⁶ None of the released ash was recovered.

And these were not the only major breaks. About a week after the 2008 spill in Kingston, a gypsum pond at TVA's Widow's Creek Fossil Plant in Alabama released 10,000 gallons of coal ash to the Tennessee River.⁷ And just last fall, approximately 10 tons of coal ash flowed from an 8-foot by 22-foot breach in the ash pond at Progress Energy's Sutton Electric Plant near Wilmington, North Carolina.⁸

It has been almost three years since the last massive coal ash disaster—which means the clock is ticking on the next multi-million-gallon spill. Unfortunately, not nearly enough has been done to avert the next disaster. In the years following the Kingston spill, neither the EPA nor any state legislature has overhauled coal ash pond regulations. Hundreds of dangerous ponds remain virtually unregulated, and basic requirements for safe dam and pond management, such as routine inspections and emergency action plans are still not required at ash ponds across the U.S.

Poisoned Water and Air

While dramatic events like the coal ash spills garner national media attention, dangerous pollutants are quietly seeping from hundreds of improperly lined and unmonitored coal ash dumps into drinking water supplies and streams across the nation,

exposing people and wildlife to toxic and cancer-causing substances. The vast majority of states do not require adequate monitoring or liners to stop or even detect the migration of pollution.

Coal ash contains numerous hazardous chemicals, including arsenic, cadmium, hexavalent chromium, lead, mercury and selenium.⁹ The contaminants can cause cancer and damage the nervous system or other organs, especially in children. When coal ash comes into contact with water, these hazardous chemicals leach out of the ash and contaminate drinking water.¹⁰ Over 137 cases of water contamination from coal ash have been documented. This is only the tip of the iceberg, since most dumps are not monitored.¹¹ [Appendix 1 contains a list of the 137 contaminated sites in 35 states.]

In 2010, the EPA published a risk assessment that found extremely high risks to human health and the environment from the disposal of coal ash in waste ponds and landfills.¹² The chart below compares the EPA's findings on the cancer risk from arsenic in coal ash disposed in some unlined waste ponds to several other cancer risks, along with the highest level of cancer risk that the EPA finds acceptable under current regulatory goals.¹³ The risk from coal ash is **2,000 times greater** than that regulatory goal.



Despite the high threat, as this report explains, most states fail to require basic measures to prevent the release of toxic chemicals from coal ash into our air, water supplies, lakes and streams. In fact, most states do not even require coal ash dumps to take measures to detect such releases.

PART II. EXPOSING STATE SECRETS: GROSSLY INADEQUATE STATE PROGRAMS

Missing Safeguards at Coal Ash Ponds and Landfills

Below is a damning indictment of the entire nation's state regulatory programs, revealing a widespread absence of basic safeguards across the U.S. Table 1 indicates how few states impose specific basic safety requirements that should be mandated in all states for all coal ash ponds and landfills.

REGULATORY SAFEGUARD	STATES THAT FAIL TO REQUIRE SAFEGUARD AT ALL (NEW & EXISTING) PONDS	STATES THAT FAIL TO REQUIRE SAFEGUARD AT ALL (NEW & EXISTING) LANDFILLS	STATES THAT FAIL TO REQUIRE SAFEGUARD AT NEW PONDS	STATES THAT FAIL TO REQUIRE SAFEGUARD AT NEW LANDFILLS
Groundwater Monitoring during operation	35 of 37 states 86% total coal ash*	33 of 37 states 95% total coal ash	35 of 37 states 86% total coal ash	29 of 37 states 83% total coal ash
Composite Liner	No states have retroactive liner requirements	No states have retroactive liner requirements	34 of 37 states 80% total coal ash	32 of 37 states 90% total coal ash
Leachate Collection System	No states have retroactive leachate requirements	No states have retroactive leachate requirements	31 states of 37 76% total coal ash	25 of 37 states 67% total coal ash
Daily Cover	Not applicable	30 of 37 states 72% total coal ash	Not applicable	30 of 37 states 72% total coal ash
Dust Controls	36 of 37 states 87% total coal ash	24 of 37 states 59% total coal ash	36 of 37 states 87% total coal ash	24 of 37 states 59% total coal ash
Run-off Controls	34 of 37 states 84% total coal ash	20 of 37 states 55% total coal ash	34 of 37 states 84% total coal ash	20 of 37 states 55% total coal ash
Separation from Water Table	No states have retroactive siting requirements	No states have retroactive siting requirements	31 of 37 states 74% total coal ash	22 of 37 states 64% total coal ash
Financial Assurance	25 of 37 states 64% total coal ash	19 of 37 states 50% total coal ash	25 of 37 states 64% total coal ash	18 of 37 states 48% total coal ash
Groundwater Monitoring (30 years after closure)	36 of 37 states 97% total ash	32 of 37 states 73% total coal ash	36 of 37 states 97% total coal ash	31 of 37 states 71% total coal ash
Inspection of Pond by State Regulators	24 of 37 states 57% total coal ash	Not applicable	24 of 37 states 57% total coal ash	Not applicable
Regular Reporting by Pond Operators	28 of 37 states 61% total coal ash	Not applicable	24 of 37 states 55% total coal ash	Not applicable
Emergency Action Plan for Coal Ash Ponds	19 of 37 states 44% total coal ash	Not applicable	18 of 37 states 43% total coal ash	Not applicable

Table 1. Failure of State Programs to Impose Basic Safeguards at Coal Ash Dumps

*Percentage of total coal ash generated in the U.S. in 2005. Percentage indicates the portion of total coal ash that is not covered by the specific safeguard.

How does your state stack up? Table 2, below, lists the 37 states (comprising 98 percent of the ash generated in the U.S.) and the safeguards required by each state. The requirements in this table address both coal ash landfills and ponds. Appendix 2 of this report provides citations to all state regulatory requirements.

State	Require groundwater monitoring at all new and existing ponds	Require groundwater monitoring at all new and existing landfills	Require composite liners for all new ponds	Require composite liners for all new landfills	Prohibit ash ponds from being constructed in the water table	Prohibit coal ash landfills from being constructed in the water table	Require financial assurance for coal ash ponds	Require financia assurance for coal ash landfill
Alabama	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Alaska								
Arizona	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Arkansas								No.
California								
Colorado	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Connecticut								
Delaware								
Florida	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Georgia	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Hawaii								
Idaho								
Illinois	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Indiana	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
lowa	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Kansas	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Kentucky	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Louisiana	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes
Maine				(C 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				
Maryland	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
Mass achusetts				010		- North		
Michigan	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Minnesota	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
Mississippi	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Missouri	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Montana	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Nebraska								
Nevada	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
ew Hampshire	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
New Jersey	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
New Mexico	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
New York	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
North Carolina	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
North Dakota	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Ohio	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Oklahoma	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Oregon	a hiterate and				1.52	0.007		
Pennsylvania	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Rhode Island	and the second second					1.51	1.50	an an earlier and a start of the same
South Carolina	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
South Dakota	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Tennessee	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Texas	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Utah	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Vermont		112						
Virginia	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Washington	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
West Virginia	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Wisconsin	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
		110	110	163	165	les	103	103

Table 2. State-by-State Failure to Impose Basic Safeguards at Coal Ash Dumps¹⁴

States that exempt on-site storage or allow for variance of safeguards per regulator discretion are classified as lacking the requirement. *With respect to dry landfills, Tennessee law provides for groundwater monitoring, financial assurances, landfill siting and composite liners merely as a default. Tenn. Comp. R & Regs. 1200-01-07-.01 et. seq. The same law also contains a very broad provision to allow the Commissioner of the Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation to waive any of these provisions at his discretion. Tenn. Comp. R & Regs. 1200-01-07-.01(5).

Missing Coal Ash Pond Safeguards

Because disposal of coal ash in ponds presents the additional threat of catastrophic failure, which can be deadly to nearby communities and cause significant economic and environmental destruction, basic requirements related to structural stability are presented separately. Table 3, below, presents the components of an adequate pond and dam safety program and indicates how many states fall short. Appendix 3 of this report provides the corresponding state regulatory citations.

Table 3. Essential Coal Ash Pond Safeguards Missing in State Regulatory Programs¹⁵

State	Requires Dam Design/Super- vision by an Engineer	Size Threshold for Regulation	Requires Frequent Visual Inspection By Operator	Geotechnical/ Engineering Inspections by Operator	Requires Regular Reporting ("construction period only)	Requires Inspection by Regulators	Requires Emergency Action Plan	Requires Inundation Mapping	Requires Certification of Construction	Requires Meeting Design Standards and Specifications	Bond	No. of Dams	No. of Dams Rated Significant or High Hazard	No. of Dams over 25 ft or 500 acre- feet	Percentage of Dams with Hazard Ratings	Number of Dams rated "Poor"	Percentage of Dams Inspected b Regulators i Last 5 year
Alabama	No	None	Ng	None	No	None	No	No	No	No	No	15	5	13	47%	3	0%
Alaska	-		1					1.000	-		-	100		-		1	
Arizona	Yes	Large	Yes	1-5 yrs	Frequent*	None	Yes	Yes	inspection1	Yes	Yes	15	10		66%	0	66%
Arkansas					-			_	-		-	-					-
California	-								-	1		-					-
Colorado	Yes	Medium	Yes	infrequent	Infrequent	None	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	40	0	1	15%	0	5%
Connecticut	-	_									_					-	-
Delaware							1				-	100				-	
Florida	No	Large	No	None	None	None	No	No	No	No	No	9	0	1	89%	0	100%
Georgia	Yes	Very Large	Yes	For Permit	Only if Problem	None	No	Na	No	Yes	No	29	9	19	34%	1	7%
Hawaii						-										-	
Idaho					-	1		-	-	22.00	-	-			-		
tilinais	Yes	Medium	Operation Plant	For Permit	Frequent	None	Partial	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	389	2	16	24%	0	0%
Indiana	No	VeryLarge	No	None	None	1-5 yrs	No	No	No	Yes	No	71	4	26	6%	25	8%
lowa	Yes	Medium	Annuai	As Follow Up	Frequent	1-5 yrs	No.	No	Inspection	Yes	Yes	43	0	3	0%	0	0%
Kansas	Yes	Large	No	3-5 yrs	Frequent*	None	Yes	Yes.	Yes	Yes	No	13	1	5	8%	0	15%
Kentucky	Yes	Large	No	None	Infrequent	1-5 yrs	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	43	12	21	54%	0	28%
Louisiana	Yes	Large	Operation Plan	Nane	Only If Problem	None	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	11	0	8	0%	3	Q%
Maine	_		A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR A			1.5	1-1-1-1	-		1						1.2	
Maryland	Yes	Small	Na	None	Failure Only	None	Partial	No	Yes	Yes	No	0	0	0	100%	0	N/A
Massachusetts	1												-			-	-
Michigan	Yes	Small	No	3-5 yrs	Infrequent	None	Yes	Yes	Inspection	Yes	Yes	10	0	6	10%	0	90%
Minnesota	Yes	Large	Operation Plan	None	infrequent	1-8 yrs	Partial	No	Yes	No	No	21	3	10	19%	2	19%
Mississippi	Yes	Medium	Yes	For Permit	Frequent	None	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	1	0	1	0%	0	100%
Missouri	No, if Permit	VeryLarge	Operation Plan	For Permit	Infrequent	None	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	32	0	15	0%	0	0%
Montana	Yes	Large	Operation Plan	S yrs	Infrequent	None	Partial	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	9	3	2	100%	0	0%
Nebraska											1	-		1			-
Nevada	No	Medium	No	None	None	None	No	No	No	No	No	8	8	Q	100%	0	0%
New Hampshire	Yes	Small	Operation Plan	None	Infrequent	1-5 yrs	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	0	0	۵	100%	0	N/A
New Jersey	Yes	Small	NO.	1-10 yrs	Frequent*	None	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	0	0	0	100%	0	N/A
New Mexico	Yes	Large	Operation Plan	5 yrs	infrequent	None	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	8	3	2	50%	0	0%
New York	No	None	No	None	No	None	No	No	Na	No	No	6	0	8	ON	0	100%
North Carolina	Yes	Medium	Operation Plan	None	infrequent	1-5 yrs	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	26	18	26	100%	6	19%
North Dakota	Most	Large	Operation Plan	None	Frequent	None	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	16	0	4	31%	0	8%
Ohio	Yes	Medium	Operation Plan	Syrs	Frequent	S yrs, at least	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	29	17	22	72%	10	66%
Oklahoma	Yes	Large	No	1-5 yrs	Infrequent	1-5 yrs	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	5	0	3	0%	0	100%
Oregon	-									-							1. 1. 1. 1.
Pennsylvania	Yes	Large	Yes	Annual	Frequent	None	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	31	5	7	39%	1	61%
Rhode Island															12.00		
South Carolina	Yes	Large	Operation Plan	None	Frequent*	None	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	22	0	13	4%	0	0%
South Dakota	Yes	Large	No	None	None	1-5 yrs	Partial	No	Yes	Yes	No.	0	0	0	100%	0	N/A
Tennessee	No	None	No	None	No	No	Na	No	No	No	No	18	14	16	83%	8	0%
Texas	Yes	Large	Operation Plan	Annual	Frequent	5 yrs for some	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	31	0	6	0%	3	25%
Utah	Yes	Medium	Operation Plan	None	Frequent	5 yrs for some	Yes	Yes	inspection	Yes	Np	6	0	4	83%	0	0%
Vermont	2			10 - 2 - A			1										1
Virginia	Yes	Large	Operation Plan	Asioual	Infrequent	None	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	11	2	9	73%	1	36%
Washington	Yes	Medium	Operation Plan	Annual	Frequent	1-5 yrs	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	0	0	0	100%	0	N/A
West Virginia	No. of Concession, name of	Large	Yes	1-7 yrs	Infrequent	None	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	12	10	9	83%	0	83%
Wisconsin	No	Noné	No	None	No	None	No	No	No	No	No	18	0	0	0%	0	6%
Wyoming	Yes	Small	No	None	Infrequent	Every 5+ yrs	No	No	No	No	No	17	3	9	41%	0	18%

Colors	Good	Needs Improvement	Poor	Bad or Absent	Data Unavailable

Inconsistency Breeds Endangerment

State regulations governing coal ash are often wildly inconsistent with each other as well as internally inconsistent. These inconsistencies lead to the unequal protection of American communities from toxic waste. Fairness requires that federal waste regulations establish a floor of mandatory safeguards to ensure that all citizens, no matter where they live, are protected from coal ash.

Inconsistent state regulations lead to **cross-border dumping**. For example, lack of regulations in Alabama has made that state a coal-ash dumping ground. In fact, the Arrowhead landfill in Perry County, Alabama, which has received about 5 million tons of coal ash from Tennessee since 2009, is licensed to receive ash from no less that 33 states.¹⁶ Inconsistent state regulations also result in **environmental injustice**-- the states with the most lax coal ash regulations are the states where coal ash dumps are most likely to disproportionately impact low-income communities and communities of color.

Internally, states also leave their citizens unprotected. For example, Wisconsin's regulation of wet ash disposal lacks many of the protections afforded to dry disposal in the state. Despite the existence of 18 coal-ash impoundments in Wisconsin, these dams are not included within the scope of the state's dam safety program.¹⁷ This means that there are no structural safety or dam integrity regulations applying to coal-ash dams in the state. Likewise state regulators do not monitor the construction or operation of Wisconsin coal-ash dams. As a result, state regulators have inspected only one of the state's 18 dams within the last five years.

In the case of Florida, your protection from dangerous coal ash ponds depends on where you live within the state. Florida is a complex patchwork of local rules promulgated by five individual water management districts.¹⁸ While three of these districts require a professional engineer to design or certify plans for a new dam, two have no such requirement.¹⁹ Only one district requires regular inspections by regulators, and none of the districts require emergency action plans to protect human life during a disaster.²⁰ While the state of Florida does require permits for dams constructed within the state, the terms of those permits are left up to the individual water management districts.²¹ The result of all of this—you should feel much safer living next to a dam in Florida Northwest than along the Suwanee River.

The only way to cure these inconsistencies is for EPA to establish mandatory federal regulations under RCRA that apply equally in all states. This is a national problem that demands a national solution.

PART III. THE 12 MOST DANGEROUS STATES

The 12 states described below make up about 50 percent of the yearly generation of coal ash—in total, 70.6 million tons of coal ash each year are generated in these states.²² Together the 12 states host at least 217 coal-fired power plants.²³ All of these states dispose of a substantial amount of their waste in over 350 coal ash ponds, the most dangerous type of coal ash disposal.²⁴ In general, the weakest state programs are found in the states that produce the largest quantities of toxic waste and employ wet disposal, the most dangerous method of disposal.

Below are brief descriptions of the 12 most dangerous states.²⁵ Unless otherwise noted, the source for information for the number, age and size of coal ash ponds is EPA's Database of Survey Responses from the Agency's 2009–2011 "Information Request Responses from Electric Utilities."²⁶ The source for information for the condition of coal ash dams and ponds is EPA's "Coal Combustion Residuals Impoundment Assessment Reports," including the contractor reports assessing the structural integrity of numerous coal ash impoundments.²⁷

National Inventory of Dams criteria: "High," "Significant," and "Low"28

The hazard potential ratings refer to the potential for loss of life or damage if there is a dam failure.

- High Hazard Potential: Dams assigned the high hazard potential classification are those where failure or mis-operation will probably cause loss of human life.
- Significant Hazard Potential: Dams assigned the significant hazard potential classification are those dams where failure or mis-operation results in no probable loss of human life, but can cause economic loss, environment damage, disruption of lifeline facilities, or impact other concerns.

 Low Hazard Potential: Dams assigned the low hazard potential classification are those where failure or mis-operation results in no probable loss of human life and low economic and/or environmental losses.

1. Alabama

Coal ash Generation: 3,210,337 tons annually²⁹ Rank for Coal Ash Generation in US: 14th Number of Ash Ponds: 15 Number of Documented Sites Contaminated by Coal Ash: 2

Alabama represents the worst of the worst when it comes to coal-ash disposal. First, Alabama has **no** laws or regulations on the books to specifically ensure the safety of the state's coal ash dams. It is the only state in the country without such laws. Because there are no federal laws to ensure dam safety, this essentially means that Alabama dams are **completely unregulated**. Until 2011, Alabama also completely exempted coal ash disposal in landfills. Consequently, coal ash from Alabama's ten coalfired plants has been dumped mostly in unlined, unregulated, and unmonitored ponds and landfills. Given the historical absence of controls on coal ash disposal, it is outrageous that more than 5 million tons of ash from the Kingston TVA spill was shipped to Alabama for disposal.³⁰

State oversight of Alabama's dangerous dams is also totally missing. None of the state's 15 coal ash dams have been subject to state regulatory inspections in the past five years. After inspections by the EPA and TVA contractors in 2009–2010, five of the dams were given poor ratings and two had to make immediate repairs to improve stability. Alabama dams are, on average, the tallest and largest coal ash dams in the 12 most dangerous states. The average height is nearly 7 stories tall (over 66 feet), and the average surface area is greater than 192 acres (about 151 football fields)—more than twice the average of coal ash ponds in the other nine states. These large ponds pose high threats—two of Alabama's dams are high hazard, and 11 are significant hazard dams. Lastly, these ponds are **old**—the average age of an Alabama coal ash pond is 40 years. According to the EPA, that's the estimated lifespan, but Alabama utilities have announced no retirement plans.³¹

Alabama's coal ash ponds disproportionately impact low-income communities and communities of color. The EPA statistics show that more than 40 percent of the citizens living near coal ash ponds in Alabama are non-white. Also, about 25 percent of nearby residents are below the poverty line, which is more than twice the national average poverty rate of 11.9 percent.

2. Georgia

Coal ash Generation: 6,077,700 tons annually Rank for Coal Ash Generation in US: 8th Number of Ash Ponds: 29 Number of Documented Sites Contaminated by Coal Ash: 1

Georgia is the eighth largest coal ash-producing state, and, in gross disregard to the safety of its citizens, it has a hands-off approach to coal ash at its 29 coal ash ponds.

Georgia's role in ensuring the safety of coal ash impoundments basically stops at dam construction. There is nothing in Georgia law to specify how often inspections must occur, and in practice, regulatory inspections of Georgia's numerous aging ponds are exceedingly rare—only 7 percent of Georgia's dams have been inspected by the state in the past five years, yet 13 of the state's 29 ponds are at least 40 years old. Georgia requires no emergency action plans, no inundation maps to determine what areas would be impacted in the event of a breach, and no bonds to cover closure or cleanup.

The threat from coal ash in Georgia is substantial. The state ranks second among the 12 most dangerous states in total surface area covered by impoundments (2,218 acres—almost three times the size of Central Park). Yet the state does not require liners or monitoring wells at coal ash ponds—despite the fact that many of the ponds are built on unstable, karst terrain.³² The state does not even prohibit the siting of landfills and ponds directly in the water table. Of Georgia's 29 coal ash ponds, two are rated high hazard and 11 are rated significant hazard. So far, Georgia has one dam rated poor by EPA inspectors—the 25-year-old, 54-acre ash pond at Georgia Pacific's Plant Hammond in Coosa, GA, where the percent of citizens living below the poverty line exceeds the county average.

3. Illinois

Coal ash Generation: 3,856,748 tons annually Rank for Coal Ash Generation in US: 11th Number of Ash Ponds: 83 Number of Documented Sites Contaminated by Coal Ash: 12

State regulatory control of Illinois' many large coal ash ponds is sorely missing, and the threat to Illinois citizens is substantial. The state has 68 operating coal ash dams and 15 ponds that no longer accept waste, but which still pose a danger to adjacent communities.³³ In fact, counting these retired ponds, Illinois ranks first in the nation in the number of coal ash ponds with 83. Even without including the 15 retired ponds, Illinois ranks second among the 12 most dangerous states in total surface area for its coal ash impoundments (over 3.3 square miles of ponded ash, which is more than 86 times the size of Chicago's famed Millennium Park). A recent inventory by the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA) revealed that only about a third of Illinois ponds are lined or monitored.³⁴ This is no surprise because Illinois regulations do not require composite liners or groundwater monitoring at every coal ash pond and landfill. According to a 2010 assessment by the IEPA, 10 Illinois power plants with active ponds were characterized as having "high" to "very high" potential to contaminate a drinking water source. According to the U.S. EPA³⁵ and the IEPA,³⁶ coal ash has already contaminated water at 15 power plant sites in the state.

Disturbingly, the structural integrity of Illinois coal ash ponds remains unknown. Because there is no regular inspection requirement of ponds by state regulators, few of the state's 68 operating dams have been inspected by the state in the past five years. The EPA has inspected only four of the state's dams. In addition, only 10 of Illinois' ponds have been assigned hazard ratings, yet at least seven of the unrated ponds are taller than 25 feet.³⁷ Compounding Illinois' problem is the lack of a requirement for area inundation maps—a key component of proper emergency planning because an inundation map indicates the area of probable flooding in the event of a dam failure. This is an environmental justice issue in Illinois, where approximately one-fifth of residents living near coal ash ponds are below the poverty line.

4. Indiana

Coal ash Generation: 8,798,844 tons annually Rank for Coal Ash Generation in US: 6th Number of Ash Ponds: 71 Number of Documented Sites Contaminated by Coal Ash: 9

Indiana citizens have good reason to worry about coal ash. Indiana is sixth in the nation in coal ash generation, and it has more operating coal ash ponds (71) than any other state in the U.S.³⁸ The state also has an alarmingly poor record of dam safety and water contamination and exceedingly lax regulations, even when compared to the other eleven most dangerous states. For example, in Indiana:

- A staggering 25 of the 41 coal ash dams inspected by the EPA to date were given a "poor" rating for structural integrity;
- There have already been two major 30 million gallon spills from coal ash ponds at the Eagle Valley Generating Station in Indianapolis and two spills at the R.M. Shafer Power Station;
- Contaminated groundwater has been documented at eight sites, including in the Town of Pines, which has been designated a Superfund site;³⁹
- Only 11 percent of the state's ponds have had state regulatory inspections in the past five years; and
- Less than half of the state's coal ash dams have hazard ratings.

State regulations could hardly be worse. First, there are shockingly few requirements for ensuring dam safety in Indiana, including no requirement that the dam be designed by a professional engineer, no requirement to inspect dams, no reporting requirements, no inundation mapping, no emergency plans required, and no bond requirements.

Similarly, state law fails to protect drinking water and surface water from the leaching of toxic chemicals from ash. Indiana regulations do not require groundwater monitoring or composite liners at all ponds and landfills, nor do the regulations prohibit dumping directly into the water table. In fact, state regulators are clear in their opposition to such common-sense protections. In 2010, the Commissioner of the Indiana Department of Environmental Management denied that coal ash shares the "harmful characteristics" of other types of hazardous waste, and he urged EPA to

weaken its proposed subtitle D standards to allow coal ash to be placed below the water table.⁴⁰ The eight contaminated sites in Indiana, including the poisoning of an entire town's drinking water aquifer, the large ash pond spills, and the 25 ponds with "poor" ratings are the direct result of the state's lax oversight.

5. Kentucky

Coal ash Generation: 9,197,567 tons annually Rank for Coal Ash Generation in US: 5th Number of Ash Ponds: 43 Number of Documented Sites Contaminated by Coal Ash: 4

Kentucky is on the most dangerous list because the threat from coal ash is enormous in this leading coal-burning state; yet state regulations require exceedingly little from owners and operators of coal ash ponds and landfills. Kentucky is fifth in the nation in coal ash generation, and it has 43 operating coal ash ponds—21 of which exceed a height of 25 feet or impound more than 500 acre-feet of ash. In fact, Kentucky has the third largest coal ash storage capacity (more than 64,000 acre-feet) in the nation. This is equivalent to covering the Churchill Downs Racetrack, home to the Kentucky Derby, is held each year, under 800 feet of toxic sludge. Kentucky ties Ohio for the most high hazard dams (eight). It should concern Kentucky residents that professional engineers did not design 20 of the state's 43 dams nor did they construct 27 of them. Only 15 of Kentucky's dams have been inspected by the EPA to date, and, by admission of the power plant owners, engineers do not presently monitor 30 of the 43 dams.

State oversight of the coal ash dams is also minimal. There are no regular reporting requirements after construction, except for certificate renewal every five years. Operators are not given an inspection frequency and are not required to post a bond to ensure safe operation and maintenance or even completion of dam construction. Finally, Kentucky does not require emergency action planning or inundation mapping, which is astounding given the presence of eight high hazard dams that are likely to take human lives if they break and six significant hazard dams that would cause substantial economic and/or environmental damage in the event of failure.

Groundwater contamination from coal ash dumping has been documented at four sites in Kentucky. Many more sites are likely contaminated but not detected, because the state does not require composite liners at all ponds and landfills nor does the state prohibit dumping directly into the water table. Yet because Kentucky regulations do not require groundwater monitoring at all coal ash dump sites, the extent of the contamination is largely unknown. We do know, however, that by the EPA's calculation, **100 percent** of the toxic chemical releases to land of arsenic, chromium and mercury in Kentucky come from disposal of coal ash in landfills and ponds.⁴¹

6. Missouri

Coal ash Generation: 2,679,742 tons annually Rank for Coal Ash Generation in US: 16th Number of Ash Ponds: 32 Number of Documented Sites Contaminated by Coal Ash: 4

In Missouri, only the largest, most dangerous of the state's 32 coal ash ponds are regulated for dam safety. Amazingly, Missouri allows ponds impounding more than 170 million gallons of coal ash to escape safety regulations. This amount is roughly equivalent to 35,000 bathtubs full of coal ash or an area the size of Washington's National Mall covered in sludge about two feet deep. Furthermore, Missouri has not assigned a hazard rating to a single coal ash impoundment in the state. The EPA has inspected only two of Missouri's 32 dams and rated those dams as high hazard and significant hazard. Undoubtedly, many of Missouri's other ponds are also potentially dangerous because 14 ponds are over 25 feet high or impound more than 500 acre-feet. Yet state regulators have inspected only one dam in the past five years, despite the fact that about half the dams were not constructed by professional engineers and fewer than half are currently monitored by one.

Other key safety regulations to protect the public are also missing in Missouri. State regulations do not require regular inspections by dam safety officials. Missouri regulations also do not require groundwater monitoring or composite liners at all ponds and landfills, nor do the regulations prohibit dumping directly into the water table or require bonds to ensure cleanup at coal ash landfills.

These deficiencies are threatening Missouri's environment. The Missouri Department of Natural Resources (DNR) has known since 1992 that a 154-acre, unlined ash pond at Ameren's Labadie plant – the largest coal plant in the state and the 14th largest coal plant in the nation – has been leaking some 50,000 gallons per day. DNR has not required groundwater monitoring or cleanup, despite the threat to the local population that relies on groundwater for drinking water and agricultural use. DNR has also allowed the plant to continue operating under a 1994 NPDES permit, which technically expired in 1999, without issuing an updated renewal permit to require groundwater monitoring and cleanup. Missouri citizens deserve better.

7. North Carolina

Coal ash Generation: 5,504,531 tons annually Rank for Coal Ash Generation in US: 9th Number of Ash Ponds: 26 Number of Documented Sites Contaminated by Coal Ash: 10

Every single one of the North Carolina's 26 coal ash dams is enormous. The average dam height in North Carolina is more than six stories tall (62 feet), and the total storage capacity is nearly 65,000 acre-feet—enough toxic waste to flood an area nine

times the size of Central Park one foot deep. This means that it is essential that North Carolina have strict regulations for dam safety. Unfortunately, the state does not require operators to submit regular reports to regulators, have emergency action plans, generate inundation maps, or post bonds in the case of dam failure.

Only 19 percent of North Carolina's ponds have been inspected by a state regulator in the past five years. Over the last two years, however, the EPA inspected 22 of North Carolina's dams and gave six of the ponds a poor rating. One of these high hazard poor-rated dams, at Progress Energy's Asheville Electric Plant, is located in a densely populated area with nearly 1,800 residents within a one-mile radius. The population near the plant also exceeds state averages for low income and minority residents.

North Carolina also does not require groundwater monitoring nor composite liners at all its ash ponds. North Carolina's lax regulation of coal ash ponds and landfills has resulted in 10 dump sites where local communities are threatened because groundwater or surface water has been contaminated with toxic pollutants such as arsenic, selenium and boron.⁴²

8. Ohio

Coal ash Generation: 10,429.446 tons annually Rank for Coal Ash Generation in US: 3rd Number of Ash Ponds: 29 Number of Documented Sites Contaminated by Coal Ash: 7

Despite the fact that Ohio is the third largest producer of coal ash in the U.S., Ohio has one of the most lax regulatory programs in the nation. Ohio excludes all coal ash from regulation by classifying it as "nontoxic."⁴³ Due to lax state regulations, which fail to require composite liners at all coal ash ponds and landfills, water contamination has occurred at seven coal ash dump sites across the state. Many other sites in Ohio may also be poisoned but remain undetected, because the state does not require groundwater monitoring at all sites.

We do know, however, that something has gone terribly wrong at Ohio's huge coal ash ponds. The EPA gave a poor rating to 10 Ohio dams, greater than a third of Ohio's 29 coal ash dams. Three poorly-rated dams at Dayton Power and Light's J.M. Stuart Station in Aberdeen are located in the most densely populated area of any of the 55 dams in the U.S. found by the EPA to be in poor condition. The J. M. Stuart dams have 2,265 residents within a 1-mile radius. The population near the Stuart Station also exceeds state averages for low income and minority populations.

Ohio citizens have great reason to be concerned. The average dam height in Ohio is more than five stories tall (52.6 feet), and the total storage capacity is the third largest of the 12 worst states (over 73,000 acre-feet)—enough to flood 114 square miles

in sludge a foot deep. Sixteen (over half) of Ohio's ponds have dams that are rated either high or significant hazard. Ohio likely has more high and significant hazard dams, since five not-yet-rated dams are over 25-feet high (with four over 40-feet high). Nine of Ohio's 29 dams were not designed by a professional engineer, and 10 of the state's dams were not constructed by one. The state also has some of the oldest dams of the 12 states. The average age of Ohio coal ash dams is 39 years.

9. South Carolina

Coal ash Generation: 2, 178, 359 tons annually Rank for Coal Ash Generation in US: 21st Number of Ash Ponds: 22 Number of Documented Sites Contaminated by Coal Ash: 4

A striking proportion of the 22 ash dams in South Carolina – over 50% -- are large capacity impoundments or have dam heights above 25 feet. While the breach of any of these dams would undoubtedly inundate a large area (six are significant hazard rated dams), the state does not require hazard ratings and eight of the dams remain unrated. Compounding this problem, the state does not require **any** state regulatory inspections and **none** of the dams in South Carolina have been subject to a regulatory inspection within the past five years. While South Carolina has a fair set of regulations for the design and construction of new dams, its laws are deficient when it comes to inspection and oversight of existing dams. Annual geotechnical inspections should be required of the operators, and experienced regulators need to take a more active oversight role. With so many large dams in the state, it is imperative that regulators beef up both the contents and application of dam safety regulations – it is the only way to minimize the threat to the environment and people of South Carolina.

To date, there is evidence that at least five coal ash dump sites in South Carolina have contaminated groundwater or surface water with arsenic and other dangerous chemicals. In fact, one of the polluted and most thoroughly studied cases of coal ash contamination is in the Savannah River in South Carolina. A power plant discharged coal ash into ponds that overflowed into the Savannah River floodplain for more than a decade. Scientists found severe ecological damage, especially to amphibians, which have experienced mutations and die-offs.⁴⁴ Concentrations of arsenic, selenium, and strontium in some amphibians were as much as 11-35 times higher than in the same species collected from unpolluted wetlands. Arsenic was also found leaking from ponds at the SCE &C Wateree Station, SCE&G Urquhart Station and the SC Public Service Authority's Grainger Station.⁴⁵ At the Grainger Station, arsenic was found up to 91 times the drinking water standard in groundwater near the Waccamaw River. These releases are not surprising since South Carolina regulations do not require composite liners for their ponds and landfills.

10. Tennessee

Coal ash Generation: 3,240,120 tons annually Rank for Coal Ash Generation in US: 13th Number of Ash Ponds: 18 Number of Documented Sites Contaminated by Coal Ash: 7

In 2008, the cataclysmic TVA disaster graphically demonstrated just how dangerous it is to live next to a coal ash pond. The collapse of a dam at TVA's Kingston Fossil Plant destroyed a riverside community, and the decade-long cleanup is estimated to cost more than \$1 billion. The disaster in Harriman, Tennessee spurred TVA to evaluate its other large coal ash dams (24 in total) in TVA's three-state region. At TVA's seven Tennessee plants, inspectors found that half the ponds (eight) failed to meet federal stability standards established by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.⁴⁶ Remedial action was required at all eight dams to increase stability.

The collapse of the Kingston dam was a direct result of the absence of state oversight and maintenance at Tennessee's coal ash dams. There is **no set of rules that apply to the structural stability and safety of Tennessee's coal ash dams.** While the state does have a comprehensive set of dam safety laws and regulations, **it specifically exempts coal-ash dams from its scope.** While this would be shocking in any state, it is abhorrent in Tennessee, which suffered the worst coal-ash disaster, and arguably one of the worst environmental disasters in history. Given the absence of state regulations, it is not surprising that prior to the dam failure, none of the dams in Tennessee had been subject to an official regulatory inspection within the previous five years.

Similarly, Tennessee regulations fail to prevent contamination of water via the slow escape of chemicals from landfills and impoundments. With respect to dry landfills, Tennessee law provides for groundwater monitoring, financial assurances, landfill siting and composite liners merely as a default.⁴⁷ The same law also contains a very broad provision to allow the Commissioner of the Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation to waive any of these provisions at his discretion.⁴⁸ Eight sites in the state have been documented with contamination of surface and/or groundwater from coal ash. One of the most polluted is the Superfund site at the Oak Ridge Y-12 Plant where arsenic and selenium releases led to fish deformities and a widespread extirpation of aquatic life.⁴⁹

11. Texas

Coal ash Generation: 13,165,728 tons annually Rank for Coal Ash Generation in US: Second Number of Ash Ponds: 31 Number of Documented Sites Contaminated by Coal Ash: 5

Texas is the second largest generator of coal ash in the U.S., but the laws in Texas governing the disposal of ash are among the worst. Texas excludes from regulation all coal ash that is disposed of "on-site," which is defined in Texas as anywhere within 50 miles of the power plant!⁵⁰ Texas also excludes from regulation all coal ash that is destined for "beneficial" reuse.⁵¹ This is a big problem because in Texas "beneficial" reuse includes minefilling—the dumping of industrial waste in active and abandoned coal mines. This type of dumping often occurs directly into aquifers and has resulted in significant contamination in several states.⁵²

The harmful release of pollutants to water and air from landfills is highly likely, because at least seven Texas coal plants employ *no* liners or dust controls at their landfills.⁵³ In fact, dangerous contamination of drinking water is occurring at the Lower Colorado River Authority, Fayette Power Project in La Grange, where coal ash is polluting groundwater with arsenic, molybdenum and selenium exceeding state standards-- which has required the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality to warn neighboring landowners.⁵⁴

There is also abundant evidence of dangerous chemical releases from coal ash ponds in Texas. Texas coal ash ponds are not especially large or high, but they are numerous (31). Discharges from coal ash ponds caused the contamination of at least three reservoirs with selenium- the Brandy Branch Reservoir in northeastern Texas along the Louisiana border, the Welsh Reservoir northeast of Dallas, and the Martin Lake Reservoir southeast of Dallas. Coal ash discharges poisoned the water, caused major fish kills, and contaminated fish with high levels of selenium that lasted for over a decade. And the harm was not limited to fish. The contaminated fish threatened the health of those who fished and consumed them. In response, the Texas Department of Health issued fish consumption advisories, in one case warning people to eat no more than eight ounces of fish from the reservoir per week. Another advisory urged children under six and women who were pregnant or might become pregnant not to consume any fish from the reservoir whatsoever. That advisory remained in effect for 12 years.⁵⁵ In addition, there is evidence that the toxin entered the food chain resulting in elevated selenium concentrations in birds nesting near the lakes. Even now, decades after the releases occurred, selenium concentrations in fish remain as high as 1.8 to 27 times the national average in two of the three reservoirs, according to 2009 Health Consultation by the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry.⁵⁶

Lastly, the legacy of poor regulatory authority in Texas was evident in the determination in March 2011 by U.S. EPA that three coal ash ponds were in "poor" condition.⁵⁷ Among the problems observed were erosion, seeps and the absence of engineering studies that indicate the structural stability of the ponds. EPA also noted that the absence of documented inspections and emergency action plans.

12. Virginia

Coal ash Generation: 2,388, 527 tons annually Rank for Coal Ash Generation in US: 18th Number of Ash Ponds: 11 Number of Documented Sites Contaminated by Coal Ash: 4

Coal ash from Virginia's 16 coal-fired power plants has created a substantial toxic legacy in the Commonwealth. Coal ash contamination has generated at least two federal Superfund sites in Virginia,⁵⁸ including one on the National Priority List of the nation's most contaminated Superfund sites,⁵⁹ as well as two other sites where coal ash contaminated groundwater⁶⁰ or caused extensive ecological damage.⁶¹ Despite the history of coal ash contamination, Virginia regulations do not require composite liners, groundwater monitoring and daily cover at every coal ash pond and landfill.

The legacy of mismanagement extends to oversight of the structural integrity of Virginia's large coal ash ponds, as well. Virginia's coal ash dams are some of the oldest, having an average age of 40 years. Virginia has 11 ash ponds, including five significant hazard coal ash dams, with an average height of more than five stories. The EPA gave one of Virginia's significant hazard dams a poor rating and asked the owner, Dominion Virginia Power, to take immediate remedial action at the Chesapeake Energy Center to address the "urgent action items" that "require immediate attention to ensure the structural integrity of the impoundment in the near term."⁶² Serious problems like these may well escape detection in Virginia because the Commonwealth does not require inspection of dams by state regulators and requires only infrequent reporting by owners. Virginia also does not require a bond to ensure safe operation and maintenance or even completion of dam construction.

But Virginia's lack of regulatory control over coal ash is playing with fire. One hundred percent of the releases to land of arsenic, chromium and selenium, and over 92 percent of the releases to land of mercury, come from coal ash alone.⁶³

[Dis]Honorable Mention The Wild West: Arizona, Montana, New Mexico, and Utah

Not only is the situation dismal in the 12 worst states, but some of the largest coal ashgenerating states in the country have no or nearly no coal ash regulatory programs and many are found in the arid west, where water is scarce. Two states—New Mexico and Utah⁶⁴—exempt coal ash completely from regulation as a solid waste, leaving the disposal of coal ash virtually unregulated. Montana and Arizona are not much better. In these four arid states, with scarce and valuable underground sources of drinking water, very few safeguards are required.

CONCLUSION

The Myth is Busted: States are not doing a "good job"

Clearly, federal coal ash regulations are needed to protect communities from leaking and unstable landfills and ponds. The states have had decades to get this right but most states still have huge and dangerous gaps in their programs. The 37 state programs we examined, which cover 98 percent of all ash generated in the nation, largely fail to protect their citizens' drinking water, air and environment from some of the most toxic chemicals known to man. The lack of adequate state regulatory programs is a major rationale for a strong federal rule under subtitle C. Not only would a subtitle C rule set mandatory minimum national standards for all states to enforce, it would also provide the EPA with authority to enforce such regulations if states are unable or unwilling to do so. Poisoned water, foul air and falling dams are not the inevitable consequences of coal ash disposal. These are threats that can and must be minimized by regulatory standards that require reasonable safeguards be followed. The states have failed miserably at this straightforward task and have placed the nation's most vulnerable communities at great risk. There is a solution, and the EPA proposed it over a year ago-regulation of coal ash as a hazardous waste under subtitle C of RCRA.

⁴ 75 Fed. Reg. at 35,238.

http://www.epa.gov/osw/nonhaz/industrial/special/fossil/surveys/index.htm.

¹75 Fed. Reg. 35,128, 35,157 (June 21,2010).

² U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Regulatory Impact Analysis For EPA's Proposed RCRA Regulation of Coal Combustion Residues (CCR) Generated by the Electric Utility Industry, April 30, 2010 at page 124. ³ 75 Fed. Reg. at 35,237.

⁵ IPL, Response to US EPA 104(e) Information Request to Indianapolis Power and Light Company- Eagle Valley Generating Station (May 13, 2009), available at

⁶ Id.

⁷ http://www.timesfreepress.com/news/2009/jan/13/tennessee-widows-creek-ash-may-be-more-toxickings/.

⁸ http://www.starnewsonline.com/article/20100928/ARTICLES/100929663/1177?Title=Deluge-takes-tollon-roads-ash-pond-sewers.

⁹ US EPA, Human and Ecological Risk Assessment of Coal Combustion Wastes (April 2010) (draft). ¹⁰ Id.

¹¹ Physicians for Social Responsibility, Coal Ash the Toxic Threat to Our Health and Environment (August 2010), http://www.psr.org/resources/coal-ash-the-toxic-threat-to-our-health-and-environment.html. ¹² Id.

¹³ Supra at note iii. Date for cigarettes comes from Center for Disease Control, Cigarette Smoking-Attributable Morbidity-U.S. 2000, MMWR Weekly, September 5, 2003 / 52(35); 842-44.

¹⁴ An appendix to Table 2 can be found at http://earthjustice.org/documents/report/pdf/stategroundwater-monitoring-requirements-coal-combustion-waste-landfills. ¹⁵ An appendix to Table 3 can be found at: http://earthjustice.org/documents/report/pdf/appendix-of-

dam-safety-laws-across-the-states

¹⁶ http://adem.alabama.gov/newsEvents/notices/jun11/6perry.htm. The Arrowhead landfills is permitted to accept waste from Alabama, Arkansas, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,

Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, and Wisconsin. See also, http://perryherald.blogspot.com/2009/06/three-million-tons-of-coal-ash-headed.html.

¹⁷ See Wisconsin Admin. Code NR § 333.03. This regulation defines a "dam" as "any artificial barrier in or across a water-course which has the primary purpose of impounding or diverting water." Because coalash impoundments do not fit within this regulatory definition of a "dam" they are not regulated by the Wisconsin dam safety program.

¹⁸ <u>http://www.dep.state.fl.us/water/mines/damsafe.htm</u>. The Florida Dam Safety Program homepage describes the relationship between the Florida Department of Environmental Protection, the five regional water management districts and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

¹⁹ The districts of Northwest Florida, Florida Southwest, and South Florida all have such a design requirement. *See* Fla. Admin. Code §§ 40A-4.101 (Northwest Florida), 4OD-4.381(m) (Florida Southwest); *see also* <u>Rules of the South Florida Water Management District</u> Appx. 6 Rule 1.3 *available at* <u>http://www.dep.state.fl.us/water/mines/docs/BOR_08_00.pdf</u>. The St. John's River and Suwanee River districts do not.

²⁰ The Northwest Florida Water Management district has an annual inspection requirement. *See* Fla. Admin Code § 40A-4.461. The state code contains only a vague "periodic inspection" requirement, which is not elaborated upon in any other district. Fla. Stat. § 373.423.

²¹ A copy of each district's regulations are available at:

http://www.dep.state.fl.us/water/mines/damsafe.htm.

²² Based on 2005 coal ash generation volumes. *See* U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Regulatory Impact Analysis For EPA's Proposed RCRA Regulation of Coal Combustion Residues (CCR) Generated by the Electric Utility Industry, 32 (April 30, 2010).

²³ Id.

²⁴ Id.

²⁵ Fact sheets on all listed states can be found at http://earthjustice.org/features/campaigns/state-fact-sheets-on-coal-ash.

²⁶ http://www.epa.gov/osw/nonhaz/industrial/special/fossil/surveys/index.htm.

²⁷ See http://www.epa.gov/osw/nonhaz/industrial/special/fossil/surveys2/index.htm.

²⁸ http://www.epa.gov/osw/nonhaz/industrial/special/fossil/coalash-faqs.htm#13.

²⁹ Information regarding amount of coal ash generated is EPA's Regulatory Impact Analysis for EPA's Proposed RCRA Regulation of Coal Combustion Residues (CCR) Generated by the Electric Utility Industry, specifically the 2007 US Department of Energy, Energy Information Agency (EIA) database for electricity power plants from the Form EIA-860 "Annual Electric Generator Report."

³⁰ US EPA, Database of Survey Responses, Information Requests from Electric Utilities,

http://www.epa.gov/osw/nonhaz/industrial/special/fossil/surveys/survey5-16-11.pdf.

³¹ 75 Fed. Reg. 35,153. EPA stated in the preamble to its proposed coal ash rule, "Surface impoundments are generally designed to last the typical operating life of coal-fired boilers, on the order of 40 years. However, many impoundments are aging: 56 units are older than 50 years, 96 are older than 40 years, and 340 are between 26 and 40 years old. In recent years, problems have continued to arise from these units, which appear to be related to the aging infrastructure, and the fact that many units may be nearing the end of their useful lives."

³² According to EPA, Karst terraces are areas that are underlain by soluble bedrock, generally limestone or dolomite, and may contain extensive subterranean drainage systems and relatively large subsurface voids whose presence can lead to the rapid development of sinkholes. The Agency recognizes that rapid sinkhole formation that occurs in some karst terraces can pose a serious threat to human health and the environment by damaging the structural integrity of dams, liners, caps, run-on/run-off control systems, and other engineered structures. 75 Fed. Reg. 35,201.

³³ Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, Illinois EPA's Ash Impoundment Strategy Progress Report (October 2010), available at http://www.epa.state.il.us/water/ash-impoundment/documents/ashimpoundment-progress-102010.pdf.

³⁵ US EPA, Coal Combustion Waste Damage Case Assessments (July 2007).

³⁶ Supra at note xxvi.

 ³⁷ US EPA, Database of Survey Responses, Information Requests from Electric Utilities, http://www.epa.gov/osw/nonhaz/industrial/special/fossil/surveys/survey5-16-11.pdf.
 ³⁸ Id.

³⁹ See Pines Ground Water Plume Site at http://www.epa.gov/region05/cleanup/pines/.

⁴⁰ See Thomas W. Easterly, Commissioner IDEM, State of Indiana Comments on Hazardous Waste Management System (Oct. 22, 2010), available at

http://www.uswag.org/pdf/2010/CCR%20Comments/IDEM10222010.pdf.

⁴¹ See US EPA, Toxic Release Industry dataset update for 2009 released in February 2010, available at http://www.epa.gov/triexplorer/.

⁴² See Environmental Integrity Project and Earthjustice, *Out of Control: Mounting Damages from Coal Ash Waste Sites*, February 2010, available at http://earthjustice.org/sites/default/files/library/reports/ejeipreportout-of-control-final.pdf and Environmental Integrity Project, Earthjustice and Sierra Club, In Harm's Way: Lack of Federal Coal Ash Regulations Endangers Americans and Their Environment, August 2010, available at http://earthjustice.org/sites/default/files/files/report-in-harms-way.pdf.

⁴³ Ohio Admin. Code 3745:27-01(S)(23) (2010).

⁴⁴ National Academy of Sciences, National Research Council. Managing Coal Combustion Residuals in Mines, March 2006 at 78. Available at <u>www.nap.edu</u>.

⁴⁵ Environmental Integrity Project, Earthjustice and Sierra Club, In Harm's Way: Lack of Federal Coal Ash Regulations Endangers Americans and Their Environment, August 2010,, available at http://earthjustice.org/sites/default/files/files/report-in-harms-way.pdf.

⁴⁶ Stantec, Coal Combustion Facility Assessment Report (October 20, 2010), available at

http://www.tva.gov/power/stantec2/Oct%202010%20presentation.pdf.

⁴⁷ Tenn. Comp. R & Regs. 1200-01-07-.01 et. seq.

⁴⁸ Tenn. Comp. R & Regs. 1200-01-07-.01(5). For further information see Southern Alliance for Clean Energy, "The State of Coal Ash Regulation In Tennessee", October 2010, available at http://www.cleanenergy.org/images/files/TN Regulatory and Damage Report1.pdf.

⁴⁹ US EPA, Coal Combustion Waste Damage Case Assessments, 20 (July 2007).

⁵⁰ 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 335.2(d): 335.1(138)(H)(2010).

⁵¹ Id.

⁵² See Clean Air Task Force, Impacts on Water Quality from Placement of Coal Combustion Waste in Pennsylvania Coal Mines (July 2006), available at www.catf.us.

⁵³ Id.

⁵⁴ Environmental Integrity Project, Earthjustice and Sierra Club, In Harm's Way: Lack of Federal Coal Ash Regulations Endangers Americans and Their Environment, August 2010, at pp. 243-247, available at http://earthjustice.org/sites/default/files/files/report-in-harms-way.pdf.

⁵⁵ U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Solid Waste. Coal Combustion Waste Damage Case Assessments. July 9,

2007. Downloaded from http://www.publicintegrity.org/assets/pdf/CoalAsh-Doc1.pdf.

⁵⁶ See Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, Health Consultation, Welsh Reservoir, Mount Pleasant, Titus County, TX, October 2009, available at

http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/hac/pha/pha.asp?docid=145&pg=1, and Health Consultation, Martin Creek Lake, Henderson, Rusk and Panola Counties, Texas, October 2009, available at

http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/HAC/pha/pha.asp?docid=117&pg=1.

⁵⁷ The three poor-rated impoundments are located at the Coleto Crek Power Station in Fannin, TX (two ponds) and the Lower Colorado River Authority in La Grange, TX (one pond). *See* U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Coal Combustion Residuals Impoundment Assessment Reports available at http://www.epa.gov/osw/nonhaz/industrial/special/fossil/surveys2/index.htm#F.

³⁴ Id.

⁵⁸ Battlefield Golf Club Site, Chesapeake, VA, http://www.epa.gov/reg3hwmd/CurrentIssues/finalrbattlefield_golf_club_site/index.html.

⁵⁹ The Chisman Creek Superfund Site contaminated residential wells with vanadium and selenium from coal ash generated by the Yorktown Power Station. *See*

http://www.epa.gov/reg3hwmd/super/sites/VAD980712913/index.htm.

⁶⁰ Possum Point Power Station is listed as a "proven damage case" in EPA's 2007 *Coal Combustion Waste Damage Case Assessments* due to cadmium and nickel contamination of groundwater.

⁶¹ Coal ash from the Clinch River Plant caused ecological damage to fish, snails, mussels, and aquatic macroinvertebrates in the Clinch River. In 1967 a dike from a coal ash pond at Clinch River Plant collapsed releasing a caustic ash slurry into the Clinch River. Some 217,000 fish were killed for up to 90 miles downriver and benthic macroinvertebrates, snails and mussels were also wiped out or very negatively affected. Forty years after the spill, aquatic ecosystems downstream remain degraded. High

concentrations of copper and aluminum from power plant effluent also contribute to biotic impairment. ⁶² http://www.epa.gov/osw/nonhaz/industrial/special/fossil/surveys2/dom-chesa-power-

request.pdf.

⁶³ See US EPA, Toxic Release Industry dataset update for 2009 released in February 2010, available at http://www.epa.gov/triexplorer/.

⁶⁴ N.M. Code § 20.9.2.7(S)(9) (2010); Utah Code § 19-6-102(18)(b)(iii) (2010).

Coal Ash Damage Cases Documented as of August 2010

	State	Site	Owner	Location	Documentation*
1	Alabama	Colbert Power Plant	TVA	Tuscumbia	EPA 2007 (P)
2	2	Widows Creek Power Plant	TVA	Stevenson	EPA 2007 (P)
З	Arizona	Cholla Plant	APS/Pinnacle West	Holbrook	EPA 2007 (P)
4	Arkansas	Flint Creek Plant Independence Station	SWEPCO/AEP/Arkansas Electric Entergy	Gentry Newark	EIP 8/2010 EIP 8/2010
6	Connecticut	Montville Station	NRG Energy	Montville	EIP 8/2010
7	' Delaware	Indian River Plant	NRG Energy	Millsboro	EIP 2/2010
8	Florida	Big Bend Station	Tampa Electric	Apollo Beach	EIP 2/2010
9)	C.D. McIntosh Plant	City of Lakeland	Lakeland	EIP 8/2010
10)	Curtis Stanton Center	Orlando Utilities	Orlando	EIP 2/2010
11		Lansing Smith Plant	Southern Co.	Sneeds	EPA 2007 (P)
12		P.L. Bartow Plant	Progress Energy	St. Petersburg	EPA 2007 (P)
13	1	Port Everglades Plant	FPL	Fort Lauderdale	EPA 2007 (P)
14		Riviera Plant	FPL	Riviera Beach	EPA 2007 (P)
15	i	Seminole Station	Seminole Electric Cooperative	Palatka	EIP 2/2010
16	Georgia	Plant Bowen	Southern Company	Cartersville	EPA 2007
17	Illinois	Coffeen/White & Brewer Trucking Fly Ash Landfill	White & Brewer Trucking	Montgomery County	EPA 2007 (P)
18	1	Duck Creek Station	AES	Canton	EPA 2007 (P)
19	1	Havana Power Plant	Dynegy	Havana	EPA 2007 (P)
20	I.	Hennepin Power Station	Dynegy	Hennepin	EPA 2007 (P)
21		Hutsonville Power Station	Ameren Energy	Hutsonville	EPA 2007 (P)
22		Joliet 9 Generating Station	Edison International	Joliet	EIP 8/2010
23		Marion Plant	Southern Illinois Power Cooperative	Marion	EIP 8/2010
24		Powerton Plant - Mahoney Landfill	Edison International	Pekin	EPA 2007 (P)
25		Rocky Acres Disposal Site		Oakwood	EIP 2/2010
26		Venice Power Station	Ameren Energy	Venice	EIP 8/2010
27		Vermilion Power Station	Dynegy	Oakwood	EPA 2007 (P)
28		Wood River Power Station	Dynegy	Alton	EPA 2007 (P)
29	Indiana	A.B. Brown Station	Vectron	Mount Vernon	EPA 2007 (P)
30		Bailly Station	NiSource	Chesteron	EPA 2007 (P)
31		Clifty Creek Station Landfill	Ohio Valley Electric	Madison	EIP 2/2010
32		Gibson Plant	Duke Energy	Princeton	EIP 2/2010
33		Merom Station CCW Landfill	Hoosier Energy	Merom	EPA 2007 (P)

4 5 6 7 8 Iowa				
6 7	Michigan City Site	NIPSCO	Michigan City	EPA 2007 (P)
7	Petersburg Station	AES	Petersburg	EPA 2007 (P)
	R.M. Schahfer Station	NiSource	Wheatfield	EPA 2007 (P)
8 Iowa	Yard 520/Brown's Landfill	NIPSCO	Township of Pines	EPA 2007
	George Neal Station North	Berkshire Hathaway	Sergeant Bluff	EIP 8/2010
9	George Neal Station South	Berkshire Hathaway	Salix	EIP 8/2010
0	Lansing Power Station	Alliant Energy	Lansing	EIP 8/2010
1	Muscatine County Landfill		Muscatine County	EPA 2007 (P)
2 Kentucky	East Bend Scrubber Sludge Landfill	Duke Energy		EPA 2007 (P)
3	Mill Creek Station	E.ON	Louisville	EIP 8/2010
4	Shawnee Fossil Plant	TVA	West Paducah	EIP 8/2010
5	Spurlock Power Station	Easts Kentucky Power Cooperative	Maysville	EIP 8/2010
6 Louisiana	Big Cajun 2 Plant	NRG Energy	New Roads	EIP 8/2010
7	Dolet Hills Station	Cleco Power	Mansfield	EIP 8/2010
8	Rodemacher Station	Cleco Power	Lena	EIP 8/2010
0 Mandand	Pronduction Cool Ach Londfill	Miraat	Brandywine	
9 Maryland	Brandywine Coal Ash Landfill Managatawa Station - Faultage Off site Dispess! Facility	Mirant	Brandywine	EIP 2/2010
0	Morgantown Station, Faulkner Off-site Disposal Facility	Mirant	Faulkner	EPA 2007
	s City of Beverly/Vitale Bros. Fly Ash Pit	Vitale Bros.	Beverly	EPA 2007
2	K.R. Rezendes S. Main St. Ash Landfill		Freetown	EPA 2007 (P)
3	Brayton Point Station	Dominion	Somerset	EPA 2007 (P)
4	Salem Acres		Salem	EPA 2007
5 Michigan	JR Whiting	CMS Energy	Erie	EIP 8/2010
6	Karn/Weadock	CMS Energy	Essexville	EIP 2/2010
7	North Lansing Landfill	Lansing Board of Water & Light	North Lansing	EPA 2007
8 Minnesota	Sherburne County Plant	Xcel	Becker	EPA 2007 (P)
	Colstrip Plant	PPL	Colstrip	EIP 2/2010
9 Montana				
9 Montana 0 Nebraska	Sheldon Station	Nebraska Public Power	Hallam	EIP 8/2010
			Hallam Moapa	EIP 8/2010 EIP 2/2010
0 Nebraska	Sheldon Station	Nebraska Public Power		
0 Nebraska 1 Nevada	Sheldon Station Reid Gardner	Nebraska Public Power NV Energy	Моара	EIP 2/2010
0 Nebraska 1 Nevada 2 New Mexico	Sheldon Station Reid Gardner Four Corners Plant	Nebraska Public Power NV Energy Pinnacle West Capital	Moapa Fruitland	EIP 2/2010 EIP 2/2010
0 Nebraska 1 Nevada 2 New Mexico 3 New York	Sheldon Station Reid Gardner Four Corners Plant Cayuga	Nebraska Public Power NV Energy Pinnacle West Capital AES	Moapa Fruitland Lansing	EIP 2/2010 EIP 2/2010 EIP 8/2010
7	7 8 Minnesota	7 North Lansing Landfill 8 Minnesota Sherburne County Plant	7 North Lansing Landfill Lansing Board of Water & Light 8 Minnesota Sherburne County Plant Xcel	7 North Lansing Landfill Lansing Board of Water & Light North Lansing 8 Minnesota Sherburne County Plant Xcel Becker

67	Weber Ash Disposal Site	AES		EPA 2007 (P
68 North Carolina	Allen Plant	Duke Energy		EPA 2007 (P
69	Asheville Plant	Progress Energy	Arden	EIP 2/2010
70	Belews Creek Station	Duke Energy	Belews Creek	EIP 2/2010
71	Belews Lake	Duke Energy		EPA 2007
72	Cape Fear Plant	Progress Energy	Moncure	EIP 2/2010
73	Dan River	Duke Energy	Eden	EIP 8/2010
74	Hyco Lake	Progress Energy	Semora	EPA 2007
75	Lee Plant	Progress Energy	Goldsboro	EIP 2/2010
76	Sutton Plant	Progress Energy	Wilmington	EIP 2/2010
77	Swift Creek Structural Fill	ReUse/Full Circle Solutions	Rocky Mount	EIP 2/2010
78 North Dakota	Antelope Valley	Basic Electric Power Cooperative	Beulah	EIP 8/2010
79	Coal Creek Station Surface Impoundments	Great River Energy	Underwood	EPA 2007
80	Leland Olds	Basic Electric Power Cooperative	Stanton	EIP 8/2010
81	R.M. Heskett Station	MDU Resources	Mandan	EPA 2007 (F
82	W.J. Neal Station Surface Impoundment	Basic Electric Power Cooperative	Velva	EPA 2007
83 Ohio	Cardinal	AEP	Brillant	EIP 8/2010
84	Conesville Fixed FGD Sludge Landfill	AEP	Conesville	EPA 2007 (I
85	Gavin Power Plant	AEP	Cheshire	EIP 8/2010
86	Industrial Excess Landfill Superfund Site	Hyman Budoff/Merle & Charles Kittinger	Uniontown	EIP 8/2010
87	Miamiview Landfill	Duke Energy	Hamilton County	EPA 2007 (F
88	Muskingum River Plant	AEP	Beverly	EIP 8/2010
89	W.C. Beckjord Station	Duke Energy	New Richmond	EPA 2007 (F
90 Oklahoma	Northeastern	AEP	Oologah	EIP 8/2010
91 Oregon	Boardman	PGE	Boardman	EIP 8/2010
92 Pennsylvania	Bruce Mansfield Station	FirstEnergy	Shippingport	EIP 8/2010
93	Elrama Power Plant	Reliant	Elrama	EPA 2007 (
94	Fern Valley Landfill	Reliant	Elrama	EIP 2/2010
95	Hatfields Ferry Station	Allegheny Energy	Masontown	EIP 8/2010
96	Hunlock Power Station	UGI Development	Hunlock Creek	EIP 2/2010
97	Mitchell Power Station	Allegheny Energy	Courtney	EIP 2/2010
98	Phillips Power Station Landfill	Duquesne Light	Crescent Township	EIP 2/2010
99	Portland Station's Bangor Ash Disposal Site	RRI Energy	Bangor	EIP 2/2010
00	Seward	RRI Energy	New Florence	EIP 2/2010
01 South Carolina	a Canadys Plant	SCANA		EPA 2007
02	Grainger Station	Santee Cooper	Conway	EIP 2/2010
03	Savannah River Project	Department of Energy	,	EPA 2007

105	Wateree Station	SCANA	Eastover	EIP 2/2010
106 South Dakota	Big Stone	Otter Tail Power	Big Stone	EIP 8/2010
107 Tennessee	Bull Run	TVA	Oak Ridge	EPA 2007 (P)
108	Cumberland	TVA	Cumberland City	EIP 8/2010
109	Gallatin	TVA	Gallatin	EIP 8/2010
110	John Sevier	TVA	Rogersville	EIP 2/2010
111	Johnsonville	TVA	New Johnsonville	EIP 8/2010
112	Oak Ridge Y-12 Plant Chestnut Ridge Operable Unit 2	Department of Energy		EPA 2007
113	Trans-Ash Coal Combustion Waste Landfill	Trans-Ash	Camden	EIP 2/2010
114 Texas	Brandy Branch Reservoir	AEP	Hallsville	EPA 2007
115	Fayette Power Project	Lower Colorado River Authority	La Grange	EIP 8/2010
116	Martin Lake Reservoir	TXU	Tatum	EPA 2007
117	Welsh Reservoir	AEP	Mt Pleasant	EPA 2007
118 Virginia	Clinch River	AEP	Cleveland	EIP 8/2010
119	Glen Lyn	AEP	Glen Lyn	EIP 8/2010
120	Possum Point	Dominion	Dumfries	EPA 2007
121	Yorktown Station Chisman Creek Disposal Site	Dominion	Yorktown	EPA 2007
122 West Virginia	John Amos Plant	AEP	Winfield	EIP 2/2010
123	Mitchell Plant	AEP	Moundsville	EIP 2/2010
124 Wisconsin	Alma Station Off-site Fly Ash Landfill	Dairyland Power		EPA 2007 (P)
125	Alma Station On-site Fly Ash Landfill	Dairyland Power	Alma	EPA 2007 (P)
126	Cedar-Sauk Landfill	WEPCO		EPA 2007
127	Columbia Energy Center	Alliant	Pardeeville	EIP 8/2010
128	Edgewater 1-4 Ash Disposal Site	Alliant		EPA 2007 (P)
129	EJ Stoneman Station Ash Disposal Pond	Dairyland Power Cooperative		EPA 2007
130	Highway 59 Landfill	WEPCO		EPA 2007
131	Lemberger Landfill			EPA 2007 (P)
132	Nelson Dewey Ash Disposal Facility	Alliant		EPA 2007
133	Oak Creek	Wisconsin Energy	Oak Creek	EIP 8/2010
134	Port Washington Facility	WEPCO		EPA 2007
135	Pulliam Ash Disposal Site	WPSC		EPA 2007 (P)
136	Rock River Station	Alliant	Beloit	EPA 2007 (P)
137 Wyoming	Dave Johnston Power Plant	Berkshire Hathaway	Glenrock	EPA 2007 (P)

* EIP 2/2010 is "Out of Control," Environmental Integrity Project and Earthjustice, Feb. 24, 2010.

EIP 8/2010 is "In Harm's Way," Environmental Integrity Project, Earthjustice and Sierra Club, Aug. 26, 2010;

EPA 2007 is "Coal Combustion Waste Damage Case Assessments," U.S. EPA, July 9, 2007; sites it lists as possible damage cases designated with (P). (Chart prepared by the Institute for Southern Studies/Facing South, online at www.southernstudies.org.)

Regulation date	Monitoring required at landfills . Grandfathering of old lendfills Monitoring focation	Grandfathering of old landfills	Monitoring focation	Minimum number of wells	Sampling parameters	Monitoring trequency	Post-closure monitoring period Monofili examption	Mondill exemption	Onsile exemption	Exemption based on TCLP results
 55.11 2011 AL H B. 40 (NSIRMABarrane Code \$20-27-6(k), Cost and regulated an solid seatable Wee, AL ADC 556-134-27 Cost and regulated an solid seatable Wee,		Yes Aladamu Code 622-27-301	Wittins 150 metrors of workins retransagements and boundary. AL ADC 335-13-4-27	Authnetwy, assentic barram, beginner controls relocing to the brieffound uppraver and sector concertaintian. Non devergatedin, M.:XXX: SSR violations: SSR and violations 13-4. M. AUX CSR 44237. violations: SSR 4424 202 056-193	Antimenty arisents, baintum berythum cachinutor, chromum berythum cachinutor, chromum between the stat, nexter a serientum between the litter a version for an and an and an antipoless. AL ADC 205-10 App 1, sea	Semé jennual. Al. ADC. 335-13-4- 32	30 years AL ADC 335-13-4-20 Mid	Also Also	No	90
	 6 CO A0C (607/2) (-2.8.) alte exempt 	MG 6100 ADC 1007 2123		V/Mr 150 meters of acids Data (11 in the exercise Data (11 in the e	and the second second	Sensi annual, e CO ADC 1007- 21 Apps 8 84(5)	30 years , 9:00 ADC 1007-211	2	Ves. 6 CD ADD 1907-21-14	ş
According 1-5-1903, Anninobad 1-1 2010	Year, 82 FL ADD 65-701 519 Year, 82 FL ADD 65-701 519 CCW distabilities (CCW distabilities (CCW distabilities (CCW distabilities (CCW distabilities (CCM)) (on- 2010, the operation of the CCL ADD (CCL) (on- distabilities (CCL)) (on-	Ves. Applies to all permit applicants. So 11, ADC 66, 1731 Still	Within zone of daccharge, withing0 feed in right stack wears language uner SE F. ADC 60 701 510(3)(a). Weble to be no more minis00 feed spart.	60 Milliony: one-background and her demographical real. P.C. ADC: 62:701:5003(a)		Servi-ennual (0,51,400.62 70) 510090	30 yours (211, ADC 62 1011(6001)	2	ţ	1
Address Association Association 4:27-		No. GA ARC 301 3.4. 14(1)	Witton 155 meters of water mategenerie und boundary GA ADC 301-34 - 14(0)		Providence detection de la contraction de la	Semi-Jannasi (0A.400.301.3.4.30 yasta, 0A.400.381.3.4.	AD years, GA ADC 391 344	Discretionary variance available GA ADC 201-0-4 07(4)(a)	V0	No
Section 811 abspher in 1960 anter 816 abspher in 1960 anter 816 abspher 10 308 at 1,308 Stankardson 10 308 at 1,309	Yes. GW montened for pubmicide and chemical water profiles 20 etc. 21 218; 41 30 etc. 212.	Mo. 35 k, ADC 811 301	Property boundary or 100 B Mm with rest association of a state and scheme at 2014 a 312	Property boundary or 100 1 Miland one well multiple order the second state of the second state of the second state the second state of the second state of the second state with second state of the second state of the second state second state state state state state state state state state states state state state state state state state state state states state state state state state state state states state state states state states state states stat	Per expansery discretion: norm/lise comments, answer, answer, percentants, comments, chronolos, charamantant comments, manginestant researce, internative audioter 105s and comments and another audioterial accounts proteomican consolicitant accounts proteomican consolicitant consolicitant accounter proteomicant	Quantery for that 5 years, then a set and the set of the set of the set and set of the set of the set	 Outerdery for that 5 years, then Outerdery for that 5 years, then Outerdery for their annual, then the 5 years in the the units, 15 years providendy 36 th, ACC 41 ± 310, 16 years interflat, 324, ACC at 1.335, Key for the outer and 	94	Volte: 5 years prot-choure toportemp. 35 L. ADC 281 (37.9. Protecting: 35 L. ADC 281 (37.9. Protecting)	
Adjound 1996. Americand 1996. 2001 Handcoder 2000, 2007	Possibly, Heurerol Iv Tope Level 11 Relative Areas Shar Larray for Type II en V. 2004.0 (92) 1 CCW may be traced of a restriction of the Physical restriction and early Type II. (In Phy Minu- rosel endormation). (25) AC.	Na 258.MC-1028-1	Writte's 50 test of adds wards Documbry on property line. 2551 is 10,254 rsp.	Wittien 50 feet of skich versity At Insold provinging editert and Three boundary of programs the 2014 kbC downging addient weeks 2023 kbC (6- 1028-103)	Phase 1 parameters pN apolic conductors and visition, strend ammont, and visition, strend prendist, multiplene adjustration optionization, methy employers 22-distrong, methy employers 22-dis	Ounnerly by this year is emission becapture: 320 ACC 10.26 140340 Semi-amman 320 AC	n 30 years 186 MC 10-24-3 129 10-31 286	Elementaria de la volume - Sen receverán des la volume - Sen receverán des las ritem 103 cuestos rente of CCVM de years fram generations retros e seus - SCO 46C / 50-11(0), 60	92	Yes. CCM (detailed as Type IV reveal economic from localiti hege (203 MC) (0.54. Type III states acompti from groundwalks monotrod regis
Auspraum 1971. Amenoped 2007. Regulation on permit-by permit bosen: KS ADC 25-29-96.	Possibly, (A ADC 567-303.1.) Vertences annucho Discretionary, KS ADC 28-29- 19.	No. (A ADC 562-102-1 Not specified	Wittin 50 feet of wase bounder M ADC 567 1921 (ref)C1 Discretionary, KS ADC 55 25- 19	With 20 feet of wases hourselves background locations: 14 AUC 567 (120) M kinacome AUC 567 (121) M (120) M kinacome A AUC 567 (121) (100) M AUC 567 (121) (100) 103 (140) 103 (1	Areanic basium confermination cooper- loci dead, magnesium management elefelum, noc. enforcedes, and outtains (A ADC 5677-103-1929) Discretionary, KS ADC 26-26- 15	Duartierly for thirty year to establish baselines. Thirty annuality (A ADC 867-183-144/01) Discretionary, KS ADC 28-26- 18	 Tet years S67-193-1/5/sh/l/l Discretionary, KS ADG 28-29- 18 	tio NA	Na NA	NA NA
	Pointby, 401 K49 45 (102) / COW regulated as general water /	Lectabel to po	Lectric In providentity datection A Jans; one of SW continuumor, 401 AAA	Al jeass one upgradient and wo demogradient will skit MAR	Fig. manufille, chivinge, chemical acception chemical land disambiend assert, onto organic cardiom secolar centractiones, p.M. cospon secolariones attemptes may be required these on significant acceptes from statefiel	Servic arrivati normeting lan mercelika - 40 - 60 - 60 - 60 - 60 - 60 - 60 - 60 - 60 - 60	E years (0) KAR	Specific samping parameters and stream and property parameters and provide the second		4

State	Regulation date	Monitoring required at tandilits	Monitoring required at landfills Grandfathering of old landfills Monitoring location	Monitoring location	Minimum number of wells	Sampling parameters	Monitoring frequency	Post-closure monitoring period Manofili exemption	Monotili exemption	Onsite exemption	results
analana. Kayana	Adopted Fath 1963 Amendad Apr. 2008	6	Yes. LAC 33.14 a03 Discreteberry, DOMAR 35.04.10.04.	An and an and an and an and an	Construction of the Constr	Prenet agreement, Typer Handla, sampla bin 10 net-tangk part interaction part agreed with the method part agreed with the method part agreed with the method part agreed with the method part agreed with the answer method. Description of the part agreed with a several method part agreed with a part of the part agreed with a part of the part of the part agreed with a part of the	Quarterly o lize year, theneen- period and the set year, thereen- menodia up, to further iso or of sotory apply		2 2	2 2	£ £
dichigan	1980 1991, Ameridad 2005	Microsoftal waste) Mi ADC R 229 4439 Not magured for Type Ill/Industrial waster landfills. Mi ADC R 299 4306	No. MI ADC R 286 4302 299 4318	No requirement	All least one background/upgradient and one downgradient with Mr ADC R 202 4318 N	captinum, dhiomeum, cotalli copper, lead, increte, selectum, eiver, thatteur, variatteur, pro- ADC R-299-4318.5	Quarterly, during operation. M Blammafly post-clocure (Typh III) MI ADC R 288 A315(15).	30 years. MI ADC R 200 A316(4), No	9	2	No
5	Adopted 1938	Yes. MN ADC 7035 1700(S); teroditis indicate Yes. MN ADC 7035 1700(S); excetting lacities 7005 2815.3 V Malances arcadioling anchathered.	tables report on tables report on the that ten, of a worre	Within 200 feet from wirste until boundary MN ADC 7035 2815 4.c.2	Al liase one upgradiant and one dowingradiant well. MN ADC 7005 2815 10 C 1	Discretionary MN ADC Tros 2566-0, 7036 1 700(5)	Discretionary MK ADD 7035 2965 2, 7036 1 7005	20 years. MM ADC 7006-2665.1 A	No.	ų.	Na
-	Elective (Dr(1993) Ameridad	Ves. MS ADD 11/3/1/10 / de- atte seeringi	Mo. MS ADC 1124 I/ 0.1	Solitement our Solitement our Wother 100 metros from unit conding. Mis ADC 11:5-4 V.C.0.	Sollwarm number at teast twel redding Stadgerand topgradmin and stadgerand topgradmin	Mitterior a service actions: provide a service actions: provide a service actions: provide a service action actions: provide a service actions:	Benis annual, Annual per Benis annual, Annual per Distriment dispositor	20 years, may be applicated per- dependence discriment. MS ADC	\$	Yes, if wanting do not pope evolutiverent. MX ADC 11-2- 418.7	ş
a de la dela dela dela dela dela dela de	andry	Yab. 10 CSH 80-11 (010(1))(A) Discretionery 10 CSH 80-11 (010(1))(A) Yab. 10 CSH 80-11 (010(1))(A) Discretionery 11 (010)(1)(B)(B)		Ditectionary, 10 CER 80: 11 DIVI 10 CER 80:	Al Neal Time uppradient and Three downgradient week. 18 COSH 80-11 (2010) 11(30)(40) (10)	COO chlorolen, yen ykk specifik connectance, TUS, chemicalis in Appendix i TUS, chemicalis in Appendix i TUS, chemicalis in antimosy, lanishin benjani, chemicalis antimosy, lanishin benjani, chemicalis chemical, antibili belli magneti antimosy, lanishi nappeni man antimosy antibili pelli belli magneti chemical antibili pelli pelli pelli pelli sensuri suatale, pelli pelli pelli condicatance suatale, pelli pelli sensuri suatale, pelli pelli pelli sensuri suatale, pelli pe	Велитентнык, то СБР 80-1-1.010. 20-уевиж, то ССБР (11)[С],446A		\$	2	i
-	163) oddore	Yes. MT ADD 17:00.701 GW morestrond professional files in sense. Fload funct carginal dis Gauge 2 angle MT ADD 17:00 GB(1)(0)(0) (on-alte organge. Yes. NAC and one AMC on AMC ATTAN	101.001.11.000 11.001.001	Virihin, 150 mediere ol Ansale Michael Lan Discladure, M3 A007–17-50,7101/10.	win instance one service one and service one of the service one of the service of the service of the service of	Orthersong, anterior, barran, genyllans, countum relations are performed and support synthesis enterioristic countum relations and performance and relation and relations and relation and relation and relation and relation and relation and relation and relation and relation and relation and relation and relation and relation and rel	Service M. A.D.C.	of which are 12 for 21 to 0.	2	8	á
devada	11/0/15	AU US	No. NAC 444 6835, 444 7482. 444 7485	As close an possible loweste boundary writt NAC 444.7438(1).	Mupgradient and one int well NAC (3)	programes NAC A44 7497 (40 CFH Part 256, Appx 1) /Variance available	Serint-annual, NAC 444,7468(1) 30 years, NAC 444,6994		and a	ł	3

Monitoring requires at incertisia. Caracteritatives of old landitise. Monitoring Scanpling parameters Monitoring preparatives at process and and the samplion transference of another and another and and the samplion transference of another and another and another and another and another and another another and another and another another and another another another another and another another and another another and another another another another and another another and another an	In receiver: A limit one comparison of these comparison of these comparison of the comparison of	ely anothe. In the constraint of the constraint	144 144 144 144 144 145 145 145 145 145	Ment D Markingsment of constructions Ment D Markingsment of consobiol of ment of constructions Ment	H 1623Hail International Internation	No 13 db 2 March 200 feet from Left 10 db eest from Left 10 db 20 db eest from 10 db	A list die Ster-specific an dass al positive be-specification stimus and sold support stimus and sold support A list die stimus and sold support A list die a list die stimus and sold support A list die a list	A month is a construction of the construction	Arrense cencerum licenteeles contraction houses contraction payer formation contraction payer formation contraction payer contraction cont
Regulation data Moniforting required at la	Very limbled. Chyl 2 requires Norder M1 Chyl 2 requires Printer M1 Chyl 2 requires Printerson SC2005 T/1 (193) American 15, Act M0 CE TWV 904 04 184 Jiller 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2015	Possible, Fig. and survival pro- production for the Althoring for KLARA 32, Althoring for exposited for Class 1, Althoriz 41 and models 13, Althor 7 and 4 at the antihil 2, Althor 7 and 4 at 1995 Waters treated to 1995 Waters treated to 19	ON ON WEAR RECOORD TO A CONTRACT OF A CONTRA	Possibly, 6.07 AliC 300.2.11 J Possibly, 6.07 AliC 300.2.11 J	10-541543 Discretionary 15-4162 A	Possibly, ND ADC 35 20-13-02. / 1211/1922 Variances available. Possibly Scientific vehiches and	Applied 2: 1984 Annual 2: 10 ADC 2745/2014 Applied 2: 1984 Annual 2: 10 ADC 2745/2014 Control 2: 1984 Annual 2: 10 ADC 2745/21 2: 2002 2: 2014 Annual 2: 2014 ADC 2745/21 2:	Parabbly, Requirements appring the set of papers functioners appring at the of papers functions. Of all the set of papers is the set of the set of the set of the set of option of the density of the option of the set of the set of the option of the set o	
State	New Harspolice	former and	North Marrico		North Carolina	North Datesta	8	Contrarts	

State	Regulation date	Moniforing required at leadility	Grandfathering of old landfills	Monitoring location	Minimum number of wella	Sampling parameters	Monitoring frequency	Post-closure monitoring period	Monofill exampline	Onsite exemption	Exemption based on TCLP results
ave	They consider the	supproving requires at innonini	Grandmanering of old magnina	alonsoring location	summer of were	pH, specific conductance.	monitoring requercy	Post-closore monitoring period	Monoria exemption	Conside exemption	reading
outh Carolina	5/23/200	Possibly, SC ALXC 51-107 19 Part V E 258-50, / Waiver 8 available	No. SC ADC 61-107 19 Part V E 258 50(a). (c)	Within 150 meters of waste management unit boundary SC ADC 61-107 19 Part V E 258 51(a)(2)	At least one background/upgradient and one downgradient well. SC ADC 61- 107.19 Prt V E 256 51(a). (d)	entmorty, assenic, ballom, beryllium, cadimum, chronium, cobalt, copper, lexid, nickel, selenitim, silver, Halliun, vanadium, zmc, and certain organics. SC ADC 61-107.19 Appz IV, Antmory, assenic, ballum,			Na	No	TCLP determines it waste goe a Case II or Class III facility 1 ADC 61-107.19 Part I C
outh Dakota	Adopted 7-26-1990. Amended 10 4-1990.	- Possibly, SD ADC 74,27:19, / Variances available	No. SD ADC 74 27 19 52	Wiltim 150 meters of waste management with boundary 40 CFR 258.51(a)(2)	At least one upgradient and three downgradient wills. SD ADC 742719/03	beryfilam, cadmium, choronium, coball, coppur, lead, nickel selenium, silver, thalium vanadium, zinc, and vanous organics. SO ADC 74:27:19/04 (40 CFA Pan 258 Apps, I) Regs require sampling for antomoriy, scienic, banum, beryfilam, cadmium, choronism, beryfilam, cadmium, choronism,	Semi-annual SD ADC 74:27/19:05	30 years. SD ADC 74 27 15:08.	Na	Να	NO.
unressee	TN ADC 1200-01-07-0104 adopted in 1974; amended in 2006. / CCR usually Cless II	Possibly, Class Land II. TA ADC 1200-01-07-04(7) / Variances/waiver available	No. TN ADC 1200-01-07- 04(18b)	ADC 1200-01-07-04(7)(a)(2)	Al level one upgradient and two downgradient wells TN ADC 1200 01-07- 04(7/(a)(3)	manuary, nickel, selenium, silver, mailium, vanadium, zivic, and vanous organics. TN ADC 1200- 01-07-04 Appendix 1 Antimory, anienic, barium, benylfium, cadmium, chemium,	discretion of Commissioner. TN	30 years unless alternative is approved in post-closure care plan TN ADC 1200-01-07- 04(8)(d)	No	NG	Na
neats	2004 (Chap 335), 2996 (Chap 330), 2009 amendments CCW excluded from definition of	Yes. 10 TX ADC 335 592 /on- site exempt	Yee: 30 TX ADC 330 401	Within 500 ft downgredient of waste unit boundary, no more tha 500 feet apart, 30 TX ADC 330 403(a)(2).	n Af least one: "sufficient number " 30 TX ADC 339.403(e)(1)	cettelt, copper, lead reckel, selenium, silver, thattium, vanadium, zinc, and various organice. 30 TX ADC 330 419	Sensi-annual, 39 TX ADC 035 590(24)(D); 330 497	30 years, 30 TX ADC 330 463	No	Yes	Na .
ah .	solid wante: UCA 1953 §19-5- 102(16)	No	NA	NIA	N/A	N/A	N/A	NA	Yes. Excluded from definition of solid wester	N/A	NIA
pinia -	- HAVENER	Possibly, Monitoring required for "atl (anditits", 9 VA ADC 20-80- 300; 20-80-250(C)(16); 20-60- 3 270(12), / Walver available	No. 9 VA ADD 20-86-240; 20-80- 60(C)(6)	Wasto management unit boundar 9 VA ADE 20-95-300(A)(3)(a)(2).	At least one upgradient unit y three downgradient wolls 3 VA	Phase I Indicators (specific moductance, pH_TOC_TOX).	Semi-annual 9 VA ADC 20-80	10 years: 9 VA ADC 20-80- 270F0(1)	No	No	No
ashington	98/200	Possibly, WAC 173-304-490(1). /	No. WAC 173-004-400(9/in)	Locations/depths from uppermost and all hydraulically connected aquiters. WKC 173-304- 306(2)(a)	Al least one upgradient with three downgradient wells. WAI; 173-304-490(2Ha)	Temperature, conductivity, pH chloride, ritrate, ritrite, ammonia, as netrogen, suitate, dissolved iror dissolved zinc and mangarese. COD, TOC, and total coliform. WAC 173-364-490(2)(d)(r/A+IK).	Quarterly, WAC 173-304	20 years, WAC 173-304- 407(7)(a)	No	Nat	No
set Wegana	1 dan D	S Yes, WV ADC 533+538d	Yee WV ADC # 35-1-1(1 / ±2).	William 150 meters of waste unit boundary, WVADC's 35-1- 4(4.5.d.1.6)	Al least one upgradient and linne downgradient wels. WV ADC 5 23-1-3(3.6 g)	Akalingy amenic, bariom, bearbornale, Nardress, borom, commun, calcine, noticine, local and breakvieter chromium, incl. 163, mangareken, maganetaim, writek, TDSA, TOC, specific conductance, and the WA ADC 6.33 1.555 6.53 A) Akaenity, borom, COD, conductively, pt4, temp, GW alevation, hardness, sulder, WJ ADC 6.NH 507 Apox 1.7356 2 BODs, conductioner, pt4 Akaenity, borom, CDDs, conductively, pt4, stemp, GW	Semi-annuat, WV ADC 533-1- 5(55.5.3.4)	30 years. WY ADC (: 33-1 6(53)	Ng	No	No
scohtim	aule	Discretionary. WI ADC ± NR 507.04	Discretionary. WI ADC s NR 507.64	Discretionary WI ADC a NH 141 D65(1): a NH 507 D6	Discretionary, WI ADC 5 NR 507 19(1)	boron cadmium, chloride COD hurdness, iuor lead, marganise, meccury, selenium sullate. TSS Table 4. Antenory, arsenic, barlum, benflium, cadmium, chromium, cobat, copper, lead, nickel, selenium, selenium, selenium,	Semi-annual. WI ADC = NR	Not specilled : WI ADG s NR 574.06(11)	Yes, exempt tipm GW monitolig i VOCs. W ADC:s NR 507 (B(3)(a)	Na.	80
lyoming	Effective Date: November 28, 199 AMENDED: May 26, 1995 October 15, 1998	0 Possibly, WY ADC ENV SW Ch. 3.5.10. / Walver avgRable	No. WY ADC ENV SW CH 3 5 6(b)(I)(A)(VI)	Within 150 meters of facility wast boundary WY ADC ENV SW Ch 3 = 6(b)(i)(6)(f)	e At least one "huttickent number" WY ADC ENV SW Ch. 3 ± "8ttstokBitt	Vanachum, sever, didaulm, Vanachum, zinc, and teumerous VOCs. WY ADC ENV SW Ch 3 IL 5(00)(D)(1) Appr A		30 years. WY ADC ENV SW Ch. 34. 7(q)(i).	No	No	Na

State	Regulation Date	Monitoring required at impoundments	Grandfatterring of old impoundments	Monitoring location	1	Sampling parameters	Monitoring frequency	Post-closure monitoring period Monofili exemption	Monofill exemption	Onatte exemption	Exemption based on TCLP results
Antons	No roge	No	NA NA	50V	ALM ALM	NUA NUA	NN NN	VIN WIN	NUM NUM	NIA NIA	N/N
Colorado	Limbad impoundment regil. 8 CO ADC 1007-2 Part 1, Seekion 9	uminia imposintament regis. 8 CO Yes. 6 CO ADC 1007/21/9.84 / Stile-specific. 6 CO ADC 1007- U.C. 00/72/9.44 / Selector 3 meaning exemination	Site-specific 6 CO ADC 1007- 21-0.1-4	Not specified.	At least one opgratient and one dowignatient with 6100 ADC 1007 271 65 8.	Cilissi quart Class (1/194 (1/194	Class / and/ quartering / Class / interconsense annually Minquarterin 6 CD ADC 1007-21: 30 years. 6 CD ADC 1007-21: 11 Minquarterin 6 CD ADC 1007-21: 30 years. 6 CD ADC 1007-21: 4 3 8	1/2-/001 DOM CO 8. Analy OC	No.	Yes, 9 CO 40C 107/21-14	and the second s
Florida Georgia	Hega appoy to examine contrain mpoundments only. B2 FL ADC 62-701. A00(6) No 1903	22	N.M.	AUA AUA	NA Na	12	NUM NUM	N.N. Kan	NAM	AUM AUM	ALM ALM
litinos Indana bridana	Sectors 606, 615 and 616 Sectors 606, 615 and 616 No orgs No orgs No orgs	Limited, CNLY where on still exponentimetry of bits ' settack zone' or 'yogularied relating and NG, ADC 616 441, 615 443 ND	Ves, intrough some requirements and forced closures of existing terms in moment action is process to ever emission action & ACIC NMA NMA	Mdd specieod NUA NUA	Man supromed	Specific conductances pH, initial programs carbonn Istidi organise headopin, carbonn Istidi organise headopin, adhe par discretionion, ade NAS 816 207(a/1) j NA	Cuarterly 35 IL ADG 615 2071(A) each send around monitoring 2 years each senuel monitoring 2 years compliance. 35 IL ADG 015 2071(b) (616 2071(b) NIX	Cuarterly SS LATC 015 2010) (16 2010) M we page yours 3 years 1 copied in place 36 M semi-annual monement of years A0016 (16 2020) M semi-annual monement of years A0016 (16 2020) M menovers not annufate 35 LADC 015 2010) (016 2020) 015 2010) (016 2020) 016 2020	No.	or NA NA	No NUA NUA
Känsas Kentucky	CCW facilities regulated on permit-Dis try permit trasis. KS ADC 29, 29-31 19 No repa.	cretionary, KA ADC 28-29	Not specified #10	Discretionary, RA ADC 28-29- 19 NA	Discretionary, KA ADC 28 39 19	Discretionary. KA ADC 26-29- 19 N/A	Discretionary, KA ADC 28-59- 39 N/A	No regs NA	NUN NON	NIA	Vite
Louistana	Adapted Feb 1933 Ameridad Apr 2006 No regs	Yess. LAC 33 VII 805 A. No	No. LAC 33 VII 637 NA	Wimur, 150 meters cosmprayers of unit, rispinsare than 800 feat apair LAC 33 VN 805 A I J N/A	upgradient and hvo int wells per zone. 05.4.2	Permit-specific, lischide indicators, LAC 53 VII 805 0, D 33 VII 3065 Appx C N/K	Cuarterly in living your, then semi- amount LAC 201VII 805-0 NVA	30 years. It operating after 10.9532,3 years it stopped receiving easter before 103933 LAC 33 VII 711 F 2 NA.	SA AN	en Viv	No. NA
Michigan Microsofte Administration Administration	Inductrial maste Sis closed as immilia. MACC R-cos 4/300 No rego. No rego. No rego. No rego.	Limited. Post-contant monthring M ADC H 556 4503 2 No No No No No	Nor specified Nov Nov Nov Nov	No requirments Nor NuA NuA NuA NuA	ast one ground/upgradtert and one ngradtert will MI ADD 9 4318 8	Premary incrugance indications. All ADC R 20th 4216 S. NUA NUA VIA	Guartenty, elung operation Biannually post-operation 12:56.4318(15) No. No. No.	20 years. MI ADC R 299 4319(4) Nux Nux Nux Nux	N NO Neis Neis Neis Neis	on NA NA NA NA	N NA NA NA
Nevada New Hampsher New Jartes New Mexico				NIA NIA NIA NIA		NA NA NA NA	NUA NUA NUA NUA	NA NA NA NA	NJA NJA NJA NJA	NIA NIA NIA NIA	ANA ANA ANA ANA
New York New Control	American American Ages American	6 NY KDC 300 6 501 / ances available ND ADC 35-20-16-00 / ances available	MA B NY ADC 369 6 1 NA ADC 369 6 1 NA ADC 369 6 1 NA ADC 369 13 02(1)	Within 50 Held downgrailaid of weight development of the Adowngrailaid of weight development of the ADC 306- 2.11 (dath 900 He) MMM 300 Held hom und. ND ADC 35 ab 15 320; 35 40 13 403 MM	Mine 86 Aed Genergranderic Al An Cho. 36 Di 10 Cito 755 sublimi Antalia Provincia and Antalia	Rucket experimentary (indexcentrol) whole in model in more an anomo- code and index presents and another particity presents contrast indexing presents contrast provide index contrast provide and provide and another present contrast and another present another present another present	 Conterny, Fratryane sample (si conterny), Fratryane sample (si section parameter process) (si section parameter paramet	Outperforming Frank pairs: sample (in submerging Frank pairs: sample (in submerging perturbative constraints) (in the submerging frank pairs) (in the submergi		25 <i>3</i> 5	92 92 92
Oktationta	F1 52000 54 1/3		No. OK ADC 252 616-3-1	No	- 1			13.8(3)	No	No	100

Regulation Date	Monitoring required at Impoundments	Grandfatheering of old Impoundments	Mentioring location	Minimum number of wells	Sampiling parameters	Manitoring frequency	Post-closure monitoring period Monofill exemption	Monotill exemption	Onsite exemption	Exemption trased on TCLP results		
Jan 13, 2001 Normal Roman Roman Norman	Hes. 25 PA ADC 209 261 No. No. No. No. No.	Ma, 25 PADC 289.2611 NAR NAR NAR NAR	Whith 200 feet of lingual and 2. PA AUC 269 262(14)3) MA MA MA MA MA MA	A least one upgredient well. 25 A least one upgredient well. 25 De ADC 2592 APG(a) (1, A, well three demographics), A, well ADC 3953 APG(a)(2), A, well three demographics), A, well ADC 3953 APG(a)(2), A,	Armonia endingin baselinoonaa castisiin dharoonaa eastisiin dharoonaa erioopati phi gende erioopati phi ge	A Qualetykenualty, Issued on sambing partmenets,	Cuaterylamuarty, tased on Mis topoliet. Clouine planmare amping partments, 35 P. AdD focuse and/or write quarky 280 564(a)(1)-(4). Nak Nak Nak Nak Nak Nak Nak Nak Nak Nak	the Back Mark Mark Mark Mark	No Na Na Na Na Na Na	****		
Regs upply if stored	Limited. Post-bosere monoring Regs apply if board in place as tars VA ADC 20:80-360(8)	NIN .	Wastermanagemeint und soundary 9 VA ADC 20-60-300(A)(3)(a)(2)	A liest one uppradient and Water management unit poundary three downgradient web. 9 VA 9 VA ACC 20-80 350(A)(3)(a)(2) ADC 20:80-300(A)(3)(i)(2).	Not specified.	Not specified	Not specified,	2	440	2		
	Posstby, Must have enter GW posstby, Must have enter GW contention featment WAC 173- ast 2000 304-436(2)()	4 No. WAC 173 304-4003(4).	Locationaldquifts from uppermaat avot all hydraulicating connected aquiliens. WAC: 173-504- 400(2)(a).	At least one upgradient wol three downgradient wels: WAC (73-304-490(2)(a)	Tempteratural, conductority, p44 chipotale, micrafile, interla, interla, interla, interla, interla, interla, interla, interla, interla, interla- tion of the and interlation of the analysis o	400:001 2014 (Mathematica)	AGE 511 2014 Anna 20	ž	ł	2		
	Discretionary, WA ADC s 20-1- 1-464-90 44 8 -3 01	Discretionary, WA ADC a 10.4. No. Rings require retroffing of Aboversey. WA ADC a 10.4. Rings require retroffing of Aboversey. WA ADC 5 514-144 (46.4.6.10), 44.6.0.16), 44.6.0.16)	te.	At feast one upgradient and three downgradient wells (per DEP dependion) wV AUC 5 32- 1-4(3 8,4/3 D);	Mulmy, premix, bound bechconend inschreim, proving and from actionar profession, point and from actionar profession and from any actionary action sufface profession and actionary WV MDC 5(35) 5 (555) 203, 3050 5	Bent-annual, WV ADC 4,35-1 5(5,5) 2,4)	- MA	2	2	2		
Discretionary resputation of enductrial lagoons not licenteed under other solid waste proves wit ADC s NR 243.00. No rega.	Discretionary reputation of recounting leaps for other reconstructions on learning of theoretionary. Wi ADC & MR Wi ADC & MR 213 DD. No. No. No. No. No. No. No. No. No. No	N/A N/A	Discretionary. Wr ADC & MR 14) 085(1) & MR 507 06. NM	Discretionary Wi ADC s MR 507 (1911) MAR	Discretionary Wi ADC = NR 223.06(3)(b) NA	Discretionary Wit ADIC s NR 2013 08(3)(b) N.A	Discretionary WLADC 5 NR 213 07 40A	NUA NUA	KUN KUN	N/N N/N		
State	Regulations date	Grandflathering of old landflils	Liner type	Leachate collection system	Cap	Financial assumence	Daily Cover	Dust controls	Rum-on, num-off controls	Monolili examplites	Onaite exemption	results
----------------	--	--	--	--	---	---	--	--	--	---	--------------------------	---
Automa	18.50 br §225.27-3(1), 1 an most auste	Ven Automa Codo (22.37.30)	Compatie live (/ cepameri scorvol aferrate disep. Al. ADC 335-13.4.18		19 lean primaton layer poolu socitaate layer, 6-eon sui moraina layer, regetteres cover: ALADC 105-13-4-20	fee (powership perti-contere ADC 305-13-4-05	FEI-SCOODS IN ADDODS24		2	2	ee ee	100
	No mpt	The second se			WH I	Via. (Muuni politidesure and precess action 6 CO ADC 1007	The Sector dears \$100	1	1		2	(Income)
and the second	CSEL-DOL	10/0-1991 Yes. 6 CO ADC 100721-132	Composite (30-mi PAK, upper-2 k3-2 AD) Class / Composite (50 mi HDPE pertrementation upper, 5-	(0)(2)(0)	Composite (20.0 give ferminate		ADD 1007 21-334	Vie. 810 Mrc (60731,253	Yes. 6:00:400 100/21216	8	Yes: 9:00 ADC 1007/21-14	40
	Adopted 1 - 1930 Americand 1-	Year, Donight requirements apply to seve construction and salares augmention: A2 61, ADC 425, 701, 400.	rect: Mills (the Stored) or doubting (00) and 10/PE personenceares upper and Stores' (5-ext)-auditoxing or personentiation start (start) (42 FL AUC 627 (19) 40(19) Composition (2) end PAR, actime 27 Composition (2) end PAR, actime 27	Year, 42 Ft ADD 55 This ADD	Soli permeteran a terreste di ADC (E 701 600s)	Ves its consts, pay character, and spreather attoor 02.51, AUX 52- 703 000	Const. You, caly 1271, ADC 62:791 30007(e811)	Yee REFLADCE REPORTS	Ver. BL AUC 65-31 300(15) Yes, SL FL AUC 62-301 40031	1	4	I
	05.8 hotening COST tentpook	Yes GA ADC SP1-3-4-07(1) Design represented apply to new construction and talent	thes compared as a new compared to a new compare	BUILD ALC THE DUA AD . WHY	Boal CAADC S91 54 (CD) (II	Year, An intrasers, part statution and strengtion attent. GA AUC 36(3, Year, Electron, DA AUC 391:3-4- 1-15).		ł	Yam. DA 605 201 54 07090	Yes - macretorary writeres available GA ADC 301:34 079381 Yes tr COVEFGD monotos	4	at the
	sigiri 1060 "COC Pressent	Yes, particle: Executing pertitive reserved transfer (Executing pertitive reserved transfer (EX-biochebornades control (EX-biochebornades control (EX-biochebornades and basering) execution of (EX- pertitive) and execution of (EX- execution of the execution o	601 (5 fab) constants with 1 3 2 fab (2 more parts) a constant (2 more parts) a constant (2 more parts) More parts (2 more parts) 20 (11) 30(1	Yes, 53, APC R12, 301 (6-4), APC 811, 268	Self (2-kort sombart namt Law Permaksikh Layer Fried Proceders and Layer Fried Proceders (24, 25, 25, 21, 21, 24, 24) Self Typer (24, 25, 25, 21, 24) Berl Typer and Self (24, 25, 24, 24) Revenues and Self (24, 25, 24, 24)	17.000-000 111.000-120	Val. So receive of a strengton St. 4.450 no. 106 106 answerd of the 106 106 environment of the 106 1, 406 environment of the 106 1	Yes, include that the appro-	Ł	and The Core (account) and the Core (accou	2	,
	Aboutinet 1996.	Yes. Lardfills (deared year or 4-14 1006 gaardforwee, 205 ACT 04 1001, Unter dearge reservents upty for the set	Visa, Lardink Lönger Tree vie ar Löne Stermenskoly sold. 10: 15 (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2)	Hingured way # ward Innuti. 2019 (AC) th23 dept. Sciences associate opticies insurand for Type Lines in 300 AAC 70 28-15.	20 All controls represents correst 200 (no. 10:300.2 Table 11: Conjult and 12 All 12: Exercise controls and table 10:00 (control and controls from the 500 (control and controls from and control and controls (control and control and controls (control and control and controls (control and control and controls)	 An contains and post-contains Static short physics 		Ves. 221-040, (6.9 46,041), (6.2 601: 16.06 40), 10-23 46,043, 15-3 601:	Viai 20100 (1934)(11) (1938) 2011 (1934)(11) (1938) 2011 (1934)(11) (1938) (1934)(11) (1938) 2011 (1934)(11) (1938) (1938)(11) (1938) 2011 (1934)(11) (1938)	Exemption based on volume Set revision on the market for volume years of CNV per year thron generators are produced side years (0.2 ± 100).	1	Yes, TCLP and to demining insponds of 5per U. II. In. (in UV isolity. Topo N. emingli
		No. 14 ADC 567 102 1	Act spectrum	ter second	Compared solf (51468) 11601 (conditional to separations (A ADC 507) (CD 105)	Yes, Inc. 2006. Stelling additional addit		Were A ADC SST 713 UTIMU	Vie. A 400 367-103 1(5)(2)	ł.	2	ų
	trans. K5 ADC 26-29-4.	Not appecting	hot spectred	their spectrac	NoN inpectied	Nuis apticified.	A horeoffeet	second line	hot specified	**	N.Y	
Nout	524/1/92 MW	VIS	Discretionary. 401 (All 45.110) (rec 1)(1).(9)	Discretionary, 401 846145,110 (sec. 1)(1)	foot spectral act KAN (1) (1) (2005542).	come. April MAP 45: OB0 (see 5) and (rec 6)		100	Discretionary 401 #341 45.110(sec.1)(7)	Als:	Not	44
	Accounting 1993 American Sept. 2009	No. UND 31 VIE 403	Compatite (30-m) promensioners 3 koli can) of aliminative - LAG 33 VII 114 B.S.E. Vee, LAC 33 VII 114 B.K.C.	Yes. LAC 32 VII 711 B.S.C.L.	Clay (24 ections) and permembrane 6 extra transit as . Yes, the conserva alternations. UAC 30 VI; 111 ± 1.3 _ EAC 32 VII; 1202	Britano-Good En	Yes, Bisches Chyrur approved anorange LAC 2010/1711.24	54	Vale: LAC 32 VIE TTE A 6	4	1	2
-	. harroom	Yes. Faceline autocided bottom 12-148 eps. Containers agenda, bot XEE may existing autocatador COMMA (2010000, 25 to 00.0401)	Clevy (at least 1 / Aux) or synthetic (at the surger manufactur) (at the surger manufactur) (at the surger at the surger picture of COVAR (at the of OF OF (C) (1 (a) (ab) (b)))	Yes, COMAR 36 DA 07 DIG(15pu)	Clary (1 1000 or synthesite (20 mil) 1 0 × 10 10 million (promotion) 6 million (promotion) 6 million (promotion) experimencome (CDAAR (60 AD) (21 (H))	-	Discretionery COMAR 26 Octor 1952	Yes, Fercury ta zime faiturer ensame COMAR Analor (ERI)(2), ICIN factors to designed to colleve COM and prevent at principal COMAR (it on 10 op/D/1).	Year Plenim ago Shrandah rundhayn an osnala COMMA North Ar an Osnala (COMMA Northwar School (COMMA Northwar School (COMMA COMMA BLOW (COMMA	. 1	ł	. Abs.
char	Siel and	Ymc. Erschog (ja. of 1992) Que Anord Scholog (ja. of 1992) Que Anord Scholog (ja. of 1992) Que Berengi, di, ALC, R. 2004 (1992)	Composite or synthetic (Source) of natural sole targets of ACI, for the sole target and targets for the sole of the sole of the soletime and targets	Yes An ADS 11 204 ADS	Emuloi dipre (k-minis andrem restensi (k-minis andrem lager 2) line controllare poil Ort tratege 2) line controllare poil Ort tradeler pointer poil or tradeler pointer (k-minis and ACC R-200 ASO h. Lue Nature ACC R-200 ASO h. Lue Nature ACC R-200 ASO h. Lue Nature ACC R-200 ASO h. Lue Nature (k) him may initial point viet	Ves, channe and post-canene. M	2	A SUCK REFERENCE OF NO.	VAL IN ADORIZASIANS, IN	ž	10	Ves. M AUC R 299 4411
1	Accord 1988. But inclume and/disr regulated on permit day permit banks	Aurt specifiers.	Set (/ Min) a compose (- bio) to and Social prevention (- bio) memory (- bio) and prevention (- bio) and (- bio) and (- bio) memory (- bio) role and (- bio) and (-	Yes, tes ADC TOM (700.1 POLISIENS #	Soft (24 Vernes) in symmetric (20 Inc), 12-and larger of which it inc) software expension unrel MMADC 2056.2815.815.81	Ves for meaning post-compose and correction actions MNI ADG, ridds 2009.	Yes, 9 symmetry Mr. Mat.	Yes MAY AUCTORS FIDOL	A TO YOU I TOOL DOLY ANY	1	ž	ł
1	Effective (OV-LIPUS American) 420-1996	Yes. Landills with incored reunering adale before 5:25 00 are events: AS ADC 11.2 AVA1.2	Composite or dispersion approved Attenuative: MS ADC 11 2-4 N/C 1	Yea / Alternative per diguthmini decrease. A55 42C 11.2 a.1V C.1	Soli 15 non automic selfa popi- layer: Solar actional layer (a cognitive for Alternative por laborhment accretion: AS ADC 11.24.M.E.2.	Ves for character posti consume orive and consistive activity is sequentified. NS ADC 11,2:4:1/15.1 3. Feddramathe consume arrangel MB ADC 11,2:4.1/17.8.1	Shiftendie Notdally NS DC 11/24/202	1	TRVIESTI DO NO	Ves Exercicle mencuning society requirements, MS, AUX, 17-2-4 IV 8-2	ž	1
		recent processing and the second seco	Creat and factors 2 benty or composition (2 Noot traver coll		Clay (1) (post, 7) (post post post requirement and CSR 80)	View for (commit, and) complete must corrective access 10 CSH2 MS	of date. Conservation increases	We to CSReput processes we to CSR 80	View 10 CS2180			

w reit FML upper 3-1004 tow permediatry sol tower) MT ADC 17:40:508(13)3(0).	Leachate collection system C-ap Eachate collection system C-ap both collection system (NL and NL		Outer controls	Run-on, run-off controls	Monofill exemption Onsite exemption	uption menu or read
Year, MAC 444 SELECTED	An ADC 11 (AD04010)(b)() Determined experiments of ADC 11 (AD04010)(b)() Determined experiments Determined experiments of ADC 11 (AD04010)(b)() Determine	e und Ves to Class I Landles (entra el semin MT 400 111/001111) el semin MT 400 111/001111) el semin MT 400 111/001111	NO. Yes Live 244 896.	YM. NIT ADD 1750511100	2 2	2 2
Mental Andream (2014) Mental Antralia (2014) Antral Antral Antral Antral Antral Antral Antral Antral Provinsi (2014) Antral A	In the second se	3		You, NH ADD ENV SW BAS OF	Yes. May be decaying in length and address of the decaying in length and address. Net ADC ENV SW Rs	\$
Carly, symfactic, or composition Yam, NJ AGC 726/2A 416/ NJ VL AGC 728/2A 716/ NJ AGC 738/2A 716/		Tes. Sinches day, AJ ADC 726 24 60(7)	Ves NJ XDC 726-24 Bigg20) Ves		92 18	Ve
***	Aut Annual (Fig. star security Aut	NA	NA NA		NA NA	454
Double comparish live kope Double comparish live kope (18-res) Mort promitture (18-res) Mort Town - Anno Comparison (18-res) ANN ADC 2012 20 45. Town - ANN ADC 2012 20 45.	Mattering from the presentability addition (2) generatization larger to many constraints and processing and processing in the processing professional pro- tering and processing and processing professional pro- tering and processing and processing professional pro- per Processing and processing professional pro- sent processing and processing professional pro- per professional pro- per processing professional pro- per processing professional pro- per processing professional pro- per processing professional pro- per pro- per pro- per proper proper proper pro- per pro-	DATE CORE CON AND INVESTIGATION	Yes SW ADC 3091 HIN	Ver. 4 to ADC 200 2 Z	Detertioning: pCC may increase benchmarker processing in the process manufacturers in memorial, (KN) AOC 2007 A(I).	y
Comparison advocance advoc	Yea, Ry maneyer path commun. Yea, Ry maneyer path commun. Name 1, 9 cold symbols composition mane standing commun. Name 1, 9 cold symbols microsci hange page-memory and MSML 55 155, NG-MC 2021 2021 (2021). 155, NG-MC 2021 2021 (2021).	nd Year & Achie AUC	Yes	Ver TRAHC ADC	No. 100	ver notia≜ jaskass⊀ As
PRA, Jine Vandin, Inconvog aukan wach nuy create nooraan aukan kan panse construmt aukan kan panse construmt aukan panse and an a	Clayford 16 correspond; 12 Clayford 16 correspond; 12 restrict and of childs: Restrict your instance in childs: Restrict your instance in childs; 16 correspond actions and Restrict your instance in childs; 16 correspond action (20, 202, 33 Restrict your instance); 17 correspond action (202, 33) Restrict your instance; 18 correspond action (202, 33) Restrict your in	Sparty Moderny 10.0405(23:23:04) h Moderny 10.23:23:04) (10:25) 20.025 011 (10:25) 20.025 03:01 (10:25) 20.025:23:051 (10:15)		014, 109(EN01 20 00 20 00 7 00 100	2	ž
 DH ADC 7245 271 (0)(100 	Composite (au/ tarrent) lights. Yes, by lithout on other costs strategies and been compression (anyor). Other addition of annihary been costs antibiction (anyor). Other addition of annihary and the cost antibiction (anyor). Other and the cost and strategies of annihary and the cost and strategies of annihary and the cost and strategies of annihary and the cost annihary annihary and the cost annihary annih	Yes. DH ADC 3745-27-1015-	Yes. 2014 ADC 3745-07-19(8)(3)(2)	Was. ON ADC 3745 27 19(3)	Yes. Exempt from daily corect wegalements. OH ADD 3745-30 14(F). No.	ļ
Comparison for the second s	Soli (24-intro burner injer: 1-Noi. You, NY cosiumir (aprico research sterr to requiration). Die consume action. OH 40, 400, 250, 515-115-2040. Die consume action. OH 40,	Yee, M. Kolowe just depute and Mordelly. For free earliest concerner actor. OR ACC. Reports March Color. Reports March Collin Acquirement. Yee, My comparative Col ACC SIGN 57(3). OR ACC 2020 515 (1974)(1916). 2020 315 (1924)(2020).		Ver OK ADC 252 S15-17-2	2	ž
 Carlot Laboration Carlot Laboration Carlot Laboration Comparison (Control of Control of Con	Clay or perturbation (1.0.40) component (1.0.40) co		Kee, Competition of the Advance		Am. Cold antrocold Am. Cold antrocold and antrocold and antrocold back size and antro back size and antro back and antrocol back antro	

State	Regulations date	Grandfathening of old lamitities	Linue Cype	Lanchark contraction systems	Cap	Fluencial assurance	Oally Cores	Duel controls	Run bi, run off contraits	Motofill exercicion	Orielle ssemption	Exercition based on TCLPL results
-	C.D.D.	Accession And Sick Accession of Accession	Care L. no line per Class F Care L. no line per Class F day line. Chara R. Concella (Dorol J.M., agen: 2 hot recognised and lines. 20 ADC at 107 AP Per V D 204 ADACO	Clima in Yes, SC 6053- the of the Yor SC 404	See Class II. (Soci-tartier retraction taple 1405 tartier retraction taple 1405 tartier retraction taple 2400 kt (11) ta-	Max Claim 81: Sector turners Max Values control contr		Yes. 30 40044-101148 Peet on 4.4.11 Peero 0.2003148	Yes GLADC 61 (47 19 Peri M D3 Per V D Sei 30	The Composition control control of the Composition control of the composition of the comp	1	TCLP determines it water presits to Carto or Carto II though 50 d DC at 107 th Bast 10
Andri Damma	Accessed 7, 25, 1990. Answere 6 10, 1980	Yes. 50 ADC 14 27 (20)	Comparity 2 foot comparing and type: 12 hOCh discipler (ID will limit for monthania livery, 20 ADC (N27)-12-15	Ver. 80.400 (4.27/12/14)	Sol 15 retries compacted son in received second vegetation 30 ADC 9427 4221	dense postolaum an e actor Maj (or mjure o mensione \$0 AOC	Yes it extrem dam, "52 ADC 24.27(13)06.	Ves. 50 AGC 55 27-12-12	Ves. SU NUC 1427 1216	4		2
1	Sections (2004) UP/C (10-10) accorden or (1034, arrevealer to 2001, 2004, 2004, 2004 OFTE call and warrants or under either at Calloi (10 Class) 1 water either at Calloi (P. Yaa, partial. Proc. 1990 (normal research form order requirement. TA ACC 1990 011-01. CH (1986) 13.	Compacts. Al kard for sit PM. Compacts. Al kard for sit PM. and another performance and another performance of the Canad and the Periode and another ACC radics of periods and	Yes. TV ADD 1200 01-07 04(4)(0)(1)(1)(1)	Sell (2.94) Kp (2.5-45% type) segments units) TA 425 (2004 0) 401 (2004) (445) (445)	Yes, its cincuts: 14.6/C 1300 (1.07.10). No. sequences for state of interact operation (scotter of highline with per- 315000 percents:	Canal I Yan & Arives antreated Canal I Yan & Arives antreated ACC 2000-407 Min.Max. ACC 2000-407 Min. ACC 2000-407 Min.	Yee TA ADD 100641-01	These The ACC 1000-01-027. Decision (re)	2	1	1
1	2004 (Chap 335), 2006 (Chap 200, 2009 anteritation	Yes. 30 TX ADC 325 589	Composite (20 m) factor membran upper 3 for losil 30 1X ADC 335 strong 41(A) / Alternative decign per CEC detenter 30 7X ADC 335 335	Yee 30 TX ADC 235 SMOTHER 30 TX ADC 300 215	Compares 15 Met of 1264 com ad materies 1 de actes spould bezdes synthisc eventoane sere aerus 16. XOTK ADC 303 550 244(E) 30 TK ADC 303 557	Yes for crease poli-climan, constraine action, and their party sectory. 30 TX ADC 37.6021. 31.6019	Fore I Van J6 roch appril. Figure 16: Meanly control Materia 20:0 damatics: 30 TX AOC 500 H6:	Very, July ampetizant 30 TX ADC 301, 560,750	Har pair see don ki di wea	2		ź
5	of solid weeks. U.C.A. 1953 § 19 6 10311500(nu) / por process werens withable Banni	NA	NIN	MAN .	NA.	MA	12	NA.	NA	AUM	huð.	-
-	1) 2minimuk, 1061-61-0 bingrick	Town IS VA ADC 20 BO BORD	Boild (1) functionnegation (and 1-1-and manage larges) or sylifteness (35) microsope larges) or sylifteness (35) microsope larges) (31) microsope larges) (32) microsope (3	Sold (15 and white of the other oth	501 (15 not influence layer 6 inchronomerayer 3 VA ADC 30 in 2755(1); 2048-250(2)(1)	Yes for contract of the contract post communities to serve additionation (server) to accelerate program agest, 20 A AGC 20 (processing) server addite agest TORENT, 20 Sec 250 (5,1) (processing) and accelerate acceleration (server) and acceleration (server) and accelerate acceleration (server) acceleration (server) acceleration (server) acceleration (server) (server) (server) (server) (server) acceleration (server) (s	Discretionery II VA ADC 20-80- 2705C012500	The A VA ADC 20-80-	www.www.so.co.dd/lill	ž	Yes. Expansion of pare 10 th 92 dot up up up to the territory from the territors measurements. If YA ADC 20 50 80(0.8.0)	, £
cicitaria de la	and the	No. Usengo translanda epoy ta New communication and expension 112,000 MAG 177,004.460.		The WAC T23-201-460(5)(4)	Law permetality kol (2 her); 6 mmh byged heat (cean - segminise WAC y 13 cost description);	Ver, to converse and pode censers of twee separated and establish as at 11:22 and testamic ways 112 (04 467)	No. Congactan inqueed WAU. 175 Sole 4009(I)(0),	Unditite pocies a store was	INTERNAL TO SHARE THE TANK	2	2	, en
and they are	(100)	Yes, Linit Quanti Paneria apply to landing paperti in constant and 5-1-90. WV ADC 4 1 May 90 23-1 5(5-5,6 1)	115	Max, WV ADCLL2D Late NLLL2D, Claw, (1 tool: WV ADCLL2D + 16(5.5 to 1.5), 16(5.	1 Clay (11 tool: WV ADC = 50-1 B(6.1.6.7.4.2)	Per constitue point constraint and and constitue action WV ADS a 25-1-0-2131 Numeroconstraints functions praining	Ves. Composition (not specified) WV 405 5 331 1 - ((k)) (n 1 + ()	The ADC 9261-945 Page 19 2 20 400 400 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	Yes WYACCODIOLOGY	2002	101	90
-	01-1-1-1	Yes. Tergo apply to term combuction and separation of all minimum VM ADD of the 504 DB.	Compositio Inter (55 ml geometristering oper: 4 lost cling 1 (neign) Wi ADCA MM 504 26(1)(s).	THE WEADLINE SHE SHE OVER	Carry C Intel or provyments one trans (GCL) over 2 their id non. VI ALEC 5 (4) SOU (2)(1)	Yes, the longue, they leave use and leaved a chain. We ADC 1 747.520.05	Not their wild Calent	Yes. WI ACC VOR SOCIETION	Yes, WI ADD, ILES SD4 (070)			
	Elsense Dan Movender 28 1990 ANENDED May 25, 1995 Dotter 15, 1998	Elisione Date Anovember 28 1900: Address 28, 1995, May Win ADD Elive Set Di Shi 2004: Address 11: 1992, 2004	Then WY ADC	Yaa, we kild Brie Swick Ja.	Subsol (7 test) topsel (9 rectas) w ADC 200 SN Dr 1 r 755	Ver the comes post-comes and communication. WY ADD ENV SARCH 71-32.	Not only Comp 21 days Comparison of the Second Second Comparison of the Second	Yes we knot by six on as	New ARCENPERCENTS	Yes. Exterpt from time migratements. WY ADC EVV. 199 Cit. 3.1 4 Upper, Laws stronged proc. migratures. WY ADC EVV. Stat Cit. 3.1 4 50000.		

	No mos No Mo	ent rege. 8 CD Section 9 Chase collection	Impoundments only. 62 FLADC NIA.	MAN Reps.	Lambo importantificit rage # booted = "statistic unit" (a) "regular anali usis" (a) ADC 606 at 1 5514 ADC 5514.0	No rege No rege No rege No rege		Ð	er regis and autose sources sources an untined autose monoprofilmental prohibited without MDE autonomori COMAR active 10.05(B) NiA	Reprace/vortune/ease 34 Advinger Advinger Morental No egg No egg No	No regs. Solid Wash Deposes Area permanarequired if no NPDE3 permit 10 CSR 80-3 000 NAA No regs. NAA No regs.	W Hampstrate Not regis	Programmer and the construction of the constru	Adissind 1985. Arrended 1993. Yes. 6 Flog: apply to her-deb collection	Indoundments only 154 NCAC NVA CAC NVA CAC NVA	New Dates 10 A 10	ertappoortike. Ok
impoundments					Yes. Some rejuntments and honori dosuels of existing pterm. 35 h, ADC 615 441,			train Sing impoundments from Sine standards LAD						Yes. 6 NY ADC 300-6 1		00.33,00-01 (422 33-	ALTOPODO NA OK ADC 262 ELENT
ec type		Discretionary: Class 1 http://discretionary.class 1 http://discretionary.org http://discretionary.com behavior.class 1 http://discretionary.com behavior.com beha	NUA ANA	NUA	Theo or more thems with hapdhate collection however, focus more layer of call or shown values where the other solutions and and with postcolor permetabling. 361, AUX 511, eAX. 511, eAX. 2014. 015, 644.	NUN NUN NUN		Yes. Existing impoundments Composite (20-mil energy from hore standards: LAC performance (2) Het (593) or 33.Viii.773.A.3.A. atternative: LAC 33.VII:773.A.3.8. No	N/N		ANA NA	AUA NUA	VON VON	posite IC 360	NA	Sold of ferrit or synthetic. ND ADC 35.20 66.1-01(2)(b) VAA	Depurchent im wake cassification Clay, composita, fatrible membriene siner, or concrete tox abor orea circle or
Leachate collection system	NUA NUA	Nu collection system, Manterng system required, 6 CO ADC 1007 21(9.3	ALA	N.N.	Par. Biteeen finer, 25 8, 427. 516, 444	NUA NUA NUA				111	NA Nik Nik	w.w	100	Yes, petreen two krens. IS WY ADC 360-6.5(b)(D).	N.N.	Yes. ND ADC 35 25-04 1. 0907(6), 33-20-07.1 01(6) NA	3
Cap	NUM NUM	NA.	NW	NA	Yes, Sul material unspecified. Must have serve abserve permeability finan bases interes. 25 4. ADC 516.447(6)(3).	NA NA NA	NUN Martine	cury concrete mod permentane or alternative; () inches topsail (AC 30 VB 713 E3 c	Ka.	Examination (c) inconsequenties where of the consequences in the above where of the consequences (c) in the latitude commune feetor). 2 lives device the state and the the state of the state and the consecutive state and the consecutive state and the consecutive state and the consecutive state and the state of the	NA Rus MA	NIK	Non-	Removal upon closure is AV ADC Discretionary, is AV ADC 360- MD 4.8.	N/A Bierrory/UniccarnationriGH	tradmenticus, not specified. ND ADC 35:30:08:1:02:33.50.04.1- 00 N/A	Class of PML. Cox ADC 252 616. Coverage post-clane
Financial assurance	WIN .	ctheare, post-echeare, and conversion, 4: CO ADC 1007 1.8	10	Yes, to closure, post-costure, and corrective assort, GA ADO 391-3- 4-13	Yes, to soyum: 35.1, ADC 307.405-666, 511.705-7205	No. 309 (AC 10.3.1 Sec. 1 (6), (9) NA NA NA	for consine and post-clonare 401 KAP 45-080 (see 5) and 4).	Yes, the chesure and post-closure. LAC 33 VH 1303.	-	Yaa cisaare ard politiciaare. M ADC R 289 4922.4 VA	E	Yes for cassure fait ADC Part ENV/SW rape	NUA NUA	Discretionary, 6 NV ADC 980- 1.13(A) (b)		traintreartizer rot specified. ND: Illiolity, for connotine action al ADD: 35-80-08 - 00; 31-30-04 - agency values 30:14-01 NA	e. md
Run-on, run-off controls	N/N	NA	10.0	MA	3	NUA NUA NUA	Vov	Yes LAD 35 VIETS &	WY	Ves. Mi ADC 8,299,415.13. MA	NA NA NA	NA.	VIN	2	YON	Yes: ND ADC 35-20 Or 5: 09(5)(4) NA	
Monofill exemption	NN	NA	848	NIN	W.	N/A N/A N/A	MA	ME	NA	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	NUN NUN NUN	NA	NUN NUN	ą	NUN	an Na	
Onsite exemplion	VN VN	Yee: 6 CID ADC 1007291-1.4	NA	NUN	2	NUK NUK NUK	ALK	4	AAA	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	255 255 255 255 255 255 255 255 255 255	NA	14.15 14.15	ş	1914	teo tria	
reaufts	NUN NUN	MA	NA	AN	ž	NUX NUX NUX	12	100	V/N	Men. 16 ADC 8,299.431 (Nei No	KN KN KN	NA	1	1	NW	780- 740-	
																Considers action requirement. ND NDC 33-20-0611-01(4).	

	+	Grandfathering of old								Exemption based on TCLP
itate	Regulation date	Impoundments	Liner type	Leachaia collection system	Cap	Financial assurance	Run-on, run-off controls	Monofill exemption	Onsite exemption	results
entosybvansa over Cantienz	Jan 13 Nermigs	Vet. But DEP now resure L2001 cosum: 25 PA ADC 287 111. IVA	Class J Anpotacioneds • S-endo scalarathen validises play er compositions recordury (inner) inter lineachate detection rane; dempositio scalarathen inter 18-endo protective cover including valchas colection system. 32 PA-ADC 288-341-339. Class II Angoundmente • S-endo taxolexamen scalarator compositio for (geosymbolic upper and santhen compositio) 18-inch protection system. 32 PA ADC 289-331-538. NA	Yes, 25 PA ADC 289 415.	Chay or geosynthetic (1.0 x 10-7 cm/sec cernsebby) formage Jayre 2-box register sol. 28 PJ AGC 288.242: Appr. A NA	267.342. Hourance for third- party claims. 25 PA ADC 267.371. N/A Discretionary, for closure, post- closure, and corrective action.	Yes. 25 PA ADG 389 271 (MIG) 25 PA ADG 389 413 (135) 399 254 (04) 399 254 (04) MIA	No. No.	1405. 3604	Me. Not
and an an and a set of the	al construction	NA	N/A	here :	N/A	May be required for environ remediation. SD ADC 74:07.16	NA	10/2	N/A	10h
cuth Dakota	No rega No rega	N/A	N/A	A/A	NA	N/A	NIA	14/A	NG	N/A
PART	No rega	NEA	N/A.	N/A	N/A	A140	NA	NA	N/A	N/A
Ital)	No regn	(N/A	N/A	NUA.	N/A	7615	NUA	14/A	For A.	N/A
		and the second se			Material not specified, 9 VA 300.			<u></u>		
Nginia	Regs apply I closed in place	has lar N/A	NIA	N/A	26-80-380(8323(8)	NA	NIA	76/A	Yes. VA ADI: 20-80-80(6)(8)	76A
Vastvingkon	91	Yes. Design standards apply to new construction and expansions 8/2000 WAC 173-304-469	Solf (2 teets or synthesic (30 mil) WAC 373-304-430(2)(b) Two trians and leak detection sortem, WY ADC 35-1	Must have either GW monitoring OR inachate detection pollution/ ireatment. WAC 173-304. 430(2)(0)	Removal DR said cap (2 teel) 8 inch topicie final cooler vegetation WAC 175-355-460(3)(0)(0-(iii)		Yes, WAC 173-304-460(3)(a)(0) (9).	.746	No.	140.
Veal Virginia	14	Yes. Lines system requirements apply to SIs placed in operation after 5-1.50. WV ADC s 33-1- May-90 5(5.5.5, 1)	I(4.6.c.2.B). 60 mil synthetic top liner, composite lower (2-fool	Yes. WV ADC #33-1-4(4.8) #33- (5(5.5),1.8)	No.	Yes, closure, post-closure care, and consolive action. WV ADIC a 33-1-3(3-13)	N/A	Nais.	9 1 00	No.
Vaccinalin	Discrimonary regulation of industrial lagoone null logens under other solid waste prov Wr ADC § NR 215.02.		Soli or synthetic, WI ADC s NR 213.10(1)(a)(1)	Yes. WI ADC's NR 213 14(7)	submitted to DNP. W/ ADC s NP 212.07	Yes, for closure, tong term cars, and remedial action. WI ADC's NR 580.05 Yes, for closure, poth-closure, and currentive action. WY ADC ENV	Suo.	Sea	No	No.
vyorning.	No regs	NIA	here.	NA	NA		N/A	AUA.	NA	NA

Rates R (A SA)														
	Regulatory Clation No State Regulations*	Date of Enactment of Regulations	Designed by Engineer No	Review of Rens by Regulators No	 Size Classification Mo 	Hazard Classification No	Haped Classification Suspections by Operator No	Reporting	Magection by Regulators Emergency Action Plans	Emergency Action Plans	Inundation Maps		Certification of Construction Technical Standards for Construction to	Rowd Requirement No
	Aur Mex Stat 9 45-1201 44 400 Ant American Golds 9 32-15-1301 Ant American	ALBERT CET		Arc: Admin Code § 23 (by 232) water agree of a code § 23 (by 232) water agree of code § 24 (b) data Admin Code § 23 (b) 13/4 Locan Admin Code § 23 (b) 13/4 Locan Admin Code § 24 (b) 13/4 Locan Admin Code § 24 (b) 13/4 Locan Admin Code § 24 (b) 13/4	Attr. Administration (A) (2) 15-12031, Junisholenceause Provided – under 6 4), under 15 Attration (2) active Attra Administration (2) 15-12040(4) SUE (deschlaration)	Avit. Adminy Code 9.12. 15-120408. Huzzed Catasification facuated and thread and thread and	And Administration of the Administration of Admi	Arts Adom Code 112/15: A 2027. regular inpection. I during construction Arts Adom Code 19/19: A Arts Adom Code 19/19: A 2028. https://orgin.com.com/ 2028. https://orgin.com/ 2028. http://orgin.com/ 2028. http://orgin.com/	et Atomic Loak § 12-15 (4) (1) (4) extraction materian extraction based upon modulari to freeumor of homescian angulari	Aut. Adense Code § 12. 15 12.11. Creargedery Action 12.21. Creargedery Action Phys. Res. Code § 12. 15	en. Admin Coale S 2-15, 1221(A)/7) weathron mug muned as part of mergeney Actine	Arty, Admin, Cade & 17 (N- 10), 2000 (a sea, construction operations by disparation). Arty Admin Code 8 (21:0), 2021 Completed (Sourcement) for a Significant form	Att, Admin Code 112.05 (216) [heap	Aut. Adomic Code 4 V2: 55-105 Performance hond many ant/or high bytero dimit to emore rosts af common rosts af
Concerned Concerned Production Concerned	Coreach Roy Sort § 32.8.0 101. Moler immende in Coldines Discontry Kink Frysteen Discontry Kink Frysteen	ugeriti	Nuje 5.7. Omign. Ex Professional Engineeri Bub 5.3. Construction Stockfultion by Ensteadmin Operations by Professional Inde 9.1. Constructional Observations by Professional Engineeri	Autor S. Construction Permit	Maile 4.5.2. Annalogi 4.5.2. Annalogi accentions 120 acre analogi accention analogi (120 Preph) Maine, Small (120 pr	4. Nacard ancsi 2. Asaard so property and to the and son Report	a (when our is at much our is at much our hour hough or around items.	10 S	Calorado Pero, sea 5, 9,3 % (LD): An offen al seamed appropriate by the State Engineers Built 14: Qualified erginer may expert a line of althe ingention.	die 16 margenet Artuur Pan sonred Pan Aptra end demet brazed damie	Auto-1813. Recglines translation materials	aue 10 Contractor foodmarten 59	tate 10 Contraction by Append Antonio Nameson Man	Coloredo Res Suz (53) 87-104: Owner exercition from marter properti
nia Sta Regelari	ta sur \$ 273.402. 4566 Na sur \$ 273.402. 4566 Requirem 10416	673	MAA JRU, Internect (MAA JRU, Internect spectrations must be expression - Mossiand professional - Mossian expression - Mossian (MAA 483). Mostiment (MAA 483). Mostiment and a spectra for the provide structure for the provide structure and the provide structure evolution by eVol. Cognition and the provide structure profession by eVol. Cognition and the provide structure for the pro	spatiement). Adv. 2011. Non-towney (10/00) adv. 2011. Non-towney (10/00) by adv. 2011. Non-towney comparison adv. 21 adv. 2010. Non-towney (10/00) adv. 21. Non-to	(44) 4 (3)(1) Reprimental Printiga juntificational regr (Printiga juntification) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2)	Methanasi Floridas Methanasi Floridas Metha Statisti Metha Metha Statisti Metha S	Michaele Program Michaele Neuer Internet Program Michaele Neuer Internet Reconstruction Michaele Neuer Bachaele Neuer Bachaele Neuer Bachaele Neuer Bachaele Neuer Michaele	46.4.201 Rectineds 46.4.201 Rectineds Human Society Human Human Lines Human Human Human Lines Human Human	2) 4.03. Proteining unperformations (instructions) (instruction	Mailtinional Aturda, Joine Mailtinional Aturda, Joine Despensa Pisa. Manuela Angri Santas.	22 1 22 2 22	Nonment I recipio noncentra esti an anti- crepera solti an anti- di pio a solti anti- no confiscativa non confiscativa contraterio environa anti- hono anti- honore bior anti- honore	Nutrites the field in the part of explorent toolin, the part of explorent factor and 2.0. Dennin Cratmin boothread briefs - Hone 2. Jan (S. Kon and A. Bark D. 2. Jan (S. Kon and A. Bark D. 2. Jan (S. Kon and A. Bark D. And A. Son and A. Bark D. Control of the state state (S. Son and A. Son and A. And A. And A. Son and A.	Authenet Foods- Manheet Foods- Koon Foods Hone Southeast thada Rope Mak 11.1 Strands Muk 11.1 Strands Muk 11.1 Strands Autheorem
CALCORE CALCOR	DE VEZ STATU	beet/k2/k	GA Code 1.13 - 5.70(in). Application must lim. Application must lim.	Geb. Comp. R. Kegel. A 2011 S.B. Obl. Comp. R. & Kegel. A 2011 S.B. Show (editor) and Statistic structures of Commun. Statistics of Statist. A 301 A 388 Contrasticution of Down.	GA Comin R & Hoge II 1913 S. 2019/10(11) Incode:Coole Innet.25 of high on 100 acceleration (MP on 100 acceleration) (MP o	Comp. 6. Mogo. 6. White Comp. 6. Mogo. 6. White Statistics (1): 6. Comp. 6. Mogo. 6. Comp. 6. Mogo. 6. Comp. 6. Mog. 6. Comp. 6. Mog. 6. Comp. 6. Mog. 6. Comp. 6. Mog. 7. Comp	54 Const & Alers A (9): 34, UP Prosee constriminant violationary or exampler for Violation 154	GA Spring II, K Regis, A. 20 912-38-16(h) 2016-38-38(h) 4 2016-38-38-39(h) 4 34-44-34-34-34 34-44-34-34-34 34-44-34-34 34-44-34-34 34-44-34 34-44-34 34-44-34 34-34-34-34 34-34-34-34 34-34-34-34 34-34-34-34 34-34-34-34-34 34-34-34-34-34 34-34-34-34-34 34-34-34-34-34-34-34-34-34-34-34-34-34-3	0.6. Soles \$12.5.101. Mp/A of extratin inspection: No irreparent of Inspection	eco.	Mane	and a second	(A. Comp. K. Kwg., 1. 591 - 54 (1914). Mit them cult to accepted profetence. (MKS), 2044, 1. 942, C. Chananyul A. Kerkinström (1914). C. Chananyul A. Kerkinström (1914). K. Kwg. (1931). 35, 07. United for Elevel on the Laurange of United for Elevel on the Laurange of Chan.	tione
A Sole	HEZYA A NIB - HOZ H		ob. SDE E 11 and 10 models in ob. SDE E 11 and 10 models in the standard of the standard of the	 Adminiscrate 25 \$ 242 2000 Adminiscrate 25 \$ 242 2000 Entrema Req. (B) extreme explore any to the current explore any to the current of a 	W. Annual Code 17 h (4 Annual Code 17 h 2902 300b 4(4) (1904 the state of the state (1904 the state of the state (19 accert) accert: 3022, 00 mM, information or state	A Admini Lobie (1 % A Admini Lobie (1 % 1772 Min Valuenti Minacot to the and Physicot to the and projectly	 Administration (1) N. Adm	 Administration (and a strategy stra	M. Altime Gaple 17.9 M. Altime Gaple 17.9 hyperbolic control control control hyperbolic control control control hyperbolic control control in Administration Control of Mathematica Control Control in Control Control Control hyperbolic Control hyperbolic Control hyperbolic Control hyperbolic Control hyperbolic Control hyperbolic Control hyperbolic Control hyperbolic Control hyperbolic hyperbolic Control hyperbolic hyp	e (1. Aztimir Crode 373 3002 40(4) 2002 40(4) 2002 40(4) 2002 40(4) 2002 40(4) 2004 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40		li Adomin Gade I & Arto, 2004. Satteniciona di Adomin Gade		It Adminis Looks 11/8 3102.401 Performance bookd

State.	Regulatory Citation	Date of trustment of Regulations	Designed by Engineer	Review of Plant by Regulators	Net Carofication	Nacard Cassilication	Nacure Classification Impections for Operator	Reporting	impection by Regulators	Emergency Action Plans	involution Maps	Certification of Construction	Technical Standerds for Construction Band Requirement	Sand R
	MU2 (6464 34 27.214 312) MU2 (6464 34 27.214 312) MU2 Advise (2466 4 105 4) Let son. Educate conference of solution	CONCURSE 1		Inter-code § 24-36.1.2.2. Gentractities permit foo dractionen in Moodman		112 Mill: Admin. Cubr. § 10.5-3 1. Haanet classifications barnet on threater information	form		ND Code § 54-27/14 meeting from at least way percy 2 years			fice	and for the second s	None
			towa Admun, Code N SAF 22.3. Requires compliance with anyonening orderia						towa Admini Code (1967) 11.21. Impetition schedule during and after contribution					IONUL COOK 9 4550 275 Ront Lettured 20
	towa Code 9 507 52.1 et rec lowar Admin. Code 9 507 73.1 Enforceable Codefines Vanign Criteria and Cadentines for Vanig Oteria	STREAM PROVIDENT	the get Criteria and Galochine for board Drime, retrainen interpretain during construction during di as fault spinn by engeleer for mape durin 5	Liona Admin. Colle § 567 22.2(45):8(1.74) Former fiss 22.2(45):8(1.74) Former fiss 22.2(45):8(1.74) Former fiss mandemarks, or alternation only when data sumplies with 20:2(4):2(1:14):8(2) Former and Guidelines for fisse Ethnic	towa Advine Cade 9.65 11.56556 primitski advine primitski aprin provinski aprin	linia Admes Cotte § 967-72 ND - Hanet UND besid on thread to the anti-property	teves Marrier Code 3 A6F. 73.33(1): Annual	Physics Advinct Codel \$ 56.5. Physics Advinct Codel \$ 56.5. Physics Response workten resource dy opticable	deva Attenu Cade 9 507. 23.37(1) Post. cumbrathen segestion every then to the year depending span hazard class.			towa Admini Gole 1 3677). IL Regulated dam comparteer Inspectam	tread Admin. Scales 9,567,72,3(538) Period for contraction, operation and Administration of Attention Ork Admin Administration of Attention Administration Computer Scales and Galdenines for Voras Dama.	Occurron of Communican Sol 12.1 Permit MAY require performance bond
	Kan. Star. (122-2015 61 und Kan. Star. (122-2015 61 und	866-17199	tan Attinin Negs \$5.40.70. Designi negs \$5.40.70. Designi neg tip submitted	lan, Sai, S.RJOT, Petrol In Landard Utale engineer	tore: Dot 3 (20, 2 (2)) The number of the second s	Age Admont Regis (1- Age Admont Regis (1- Admont Admont Regis (1- Admont Admont Admont protects (1- protects (1- pr	Kun Adems Pergi 15-400 (1) Internetion Admin Admin (1) Internetion Admin (1) Internetion Admin Internetion Admin Admin Admin Admin Admin Admin (1) Statistica Mur Internetion Admin (1) (1)	fam Admin Higs 4 5-44 25. Canturation impertion repairs. Son Admin Regs 15-44 Son Admin Regs 15-440 Ben Adday incorregion for a gen tably incorregion		tan Adom Rogs § 5-40. 76 Femaleus Adom Paie	tan, Admin Regs 8 (-40/26)(3) sequention from	kan Aonni Perc. 5.340 12 Corfection of a halk	Ann Amni Heja, 95 40 23. Design Hegunsenets for construction of a dam	ficer
	Merchany dim Sc 9 155 601 to activity lings 5 4 010 Vehicosofte Galiance		401 kP Adrian Ange, § 4.010 Active S Adrian Ange, § 4.010	many moving cost 111 & 32 was of	Anthony Rev. 25 § Martinov (Rev. 25 § 1M 100 (Januaria) Martinovici (180	Guidante Sector B Header Canada			Kentucky Res St. 3 13.1124: Rages of eertry for transcription fermiony Res. 24.3 15.1263. Certhodinizat	Remark New St. 1 151,29488(n), call-len- promugation of the second store of the second		Sector 6 of facilities	401 KY Admin- Regs. 9.4 530. Decigo Contesta fue Oximis and Associated Naciones	
kengu	Torono of Water Tegorensing Memoryation No. 5 (11:75)	5961	engrees on stars and spectrostons	(the int terms applies only to understand of foodwards)	ngh ar haiht 10 sei feat ar mite	bured on threath the	Acore	Norma except cart/ficates	-	antingericy action plane.	Moree	Requires approvel of as built priori	See pho guidence	Houe
DUNISATIN	tur Plen Your II.S. N bio J.A Lia Adminic Coole 105 82301 eti Lia	ber 42	La Admin. Code JIJ (2.10.010.01). Macanimi Latertitudes he professional regiment.	La Admini Code Ph (M1011) Promit reserventi Promit reserventi Adminis Ecole 20 (M101) Guinistan and reverse of place	4. Advinue clade (0 4.1. Advinue clade (0 4.1. Advinue clade (0 4.1. Advinue) and advinue clade (0 4.1. Advinue) and advinue clade (0 4.1. Advinue) (1 4.1.	(a Adminis Code 20 Mal (01 (7406-10) "Instants callopery" based on patternal (00) of the	 A Almini (carle 10) (21010): Dougleyer much (21010): Dougleyer much (21010): Dougleyer much (21010): Carle 10) 	No regular recording (poly f proceden with operational mandemante)	La Admin Crebe 70 121311), "Periode" Prupertion 55 Regulatora No Peruority of Important	La Admin Code 70 521010: Neguivernent of fennigenery Achine Piece	 Attimin Lode 70 (21250) Availation (Transpecy Actum Psian (vubsday mundathan muj) 	Astron	La Jelmin Coale 10.45101(5) Programmer	Nome
dargiored	MD Cole Mag. 26.17.04.01 et an	U4	MD. Cole Rep., Jo. 17 (M. Ob. Requiring to dough by Directional Engineer	MO Conte Inels, 26 13 04 (1). Permet Reis,	MD Croth Regs 24.17 G405(N) Usive Th Site and Reamed contribution the antimicitient	MD Code Ingo 16 () OKDONI Case before and fistant femilyemen	000 Scale Heas. In 17 Ok Cycli. Cheme green reproductivy for upperfor estationerity. Un finapercy estationerit	None surply to notify all	MD Cole Rog. 26.17.04.09.01 Emeral right of interaction Mo Perspectore	MII Courr Peans No. 1704 1944(1)(1943) - Wummer Tawa Kee begin Maard dama, mu oosertha negorimment dar engorimment dar	Ngine	MO Contribution 26 (2000)(0	MO Conemie 261/2010/01 MO Colemes 361/2010/010	Nove
anti-	Meth. Comp. (Janis) 574.31502 Meth. Comp. (Janis) 574.31502 Meth. Admin. Coder. 2014, 5213	The second	A result great. And A result great. And A result for 10015 LDL Decond (of Annual Foreigner)	e001E MSE FreeLinger Ander ROUE MSE FreeLinger Ander ROUE MSE Part Lands (Landson Ander ROUE MSE Part Landson Ander ROUE MSE Part Landson Ander Ander ROUE MSE Part Landson Ander An	Mont Comp Laws 5 20.11502, Annactional Annaced a train 5 Lindean Arces Lindea Arces Lindea Arces 20.41556 Cambrador Propeto Arce anthracol Propeto Arce anthracol	Meh. Conja, Lawe 3 Dá 10516 Chente Antonio Chente Antonio Intra and Intra and Intra and Intra and Intra and Intra and	More completions Beer completions R42,021 Instruction More Completion & S25 Lond on Nazio Gano, Magnetion S5 Lond on Nazio Gano, Magnetion		More comp case's \$24,1527 mare of they for share repeating	Mill Tamp Low (23.1533. Hepitemeter	Mich. Admin. Code. 1. 201.11.11. Predicer Foundation	Muh Cum, (ann 5 324 353.6 Inni Controlina Indecidati Ny Department	Mich Admin, Cash, J.201, 1905. Dignaturg sider and descributions	Mich, Ammin, Cade 1, 201, 1007 Performance trimolo
	Minn: 151; § 1036,001		Meen & KISS (MUSS) Account to orbits Replacement to orbits	Maine & 6335,26430. Criteria feo Internet anadoxed	Mini, E. 8115 012031 Joundethous threetodd R.R. or 51 arre II: ur 2515 arrest 50 arre II: ar 2515 arrest 10 farmari Me	Aline R. 6115 034 March 126050 Invite on thread to the and annexts	Morie M. (015.0380 A Chemical Array (Economic of Cyneral Array (Economic of Cyneral Array (Economic Mutameterson array Report Reporterson	Mini-, E. ALLS 0380 Ganvar must kreep resumit of conversion and must relative and report problems	Minor II, ALTEGRAC Minor II, ALTEGRAC Insight Structure were year to elight years depending upon hazzait clave	Mine R A(15-04)0 "Winning Plocetaries" reported of canony of Age hazard dama		Mine & 611/6010(Summarian of a built formeration plant for element		

Instruction	State	Regulatory Citation	Regulations	Designed by Engineer	Review of Plant by Regulators	Size Classification		Nazard Classification Impections by Operator	Reporting	Incpection by Regulators	Inception by Regulators Emirgency Action Planis Interdation Maps	Insulation Maps	Certification of Construction	Technical Standards for Continucton	Nond Requirement
Image: section in the section is the sectio	8	Mm. Code 6 51:5-1 11-6-1 400: Code 8 31:5-1		11.4-1 Most Garde R. S. 10.14-1 Most Garde R. S. 100(11), Schweigen eind menfflichtene My andflichtenen anglenen bioregimen		 6-4 Most Code R. 9. Wills. Installationsal Wills. Installationsal More Science II. Inspectment 			 A Minu, Cade R. § Will), Report of migronese inspection of high hau and damn inspection. 	11.5-4 MAX. Code 9.9 (11.5-4 MAX. Code 9.9 (N)(2.8)(4-0)(superumon fly regulator NO frequency of lequences.		44er	I.TG. AMis. Cole R. 6 (VID) Mi-bill glane must be sciencificd asping with engineers is out	11-5-4 More, Code R. a. N. Ossaya and Schery Mergionments.	Notes
Note of the field of		Mo Ben Liau , § 236 400 Mo See Reg & 107 23 500	_	Me Code Sep. 4 102 12. 2 000 Code Sep. 4 102 12. 2 000 Code Sep. 4 102 44 2 000 Code Sep. 4 102 42 2 000 Code Sep. 4 102		des code films - § (02.3- des code films - § (02.3- 2.6)(to: Annundrational Linne di 35.16 hougest of 55 auto-satellog ans	Mo Cole lings § 102. 2122 (Beh Chines of Downshreinans Downshreinans and the structure and the structure photometry		RE	Morceak May, 1102 22 Morceak May, 1102 22 morecture Morecturer of inspection	 CC COT E, April Hand RM analysis (CC COT E, April Hand RM analysis) (CC COT E, April Hand RM Analysis) (CC COT E, April Hand RM Analysis) (CC COT E, April Hand RM April Ha	Ma chois Bigi, A Ma chois Bigi, A 102-22 J stato 102-22 J stato 102-22 Bibliotectionne historic thank	Me Careb Reg. 5 102,23 1000 Contribution of main damin after send motions	No Come faigs 1, 102 22 4, 000	None
State due y 1 3 (300)		Mour Code 96-15-101 Mour Code 96-15-101 Mori Admin # 1, 53-102 a		Apen Ammin N N Bio A Rollos, Garatoretera Bio A Rollos, Garatoretera Jana preparent M no under Unitediore of negatives	Morel: Adonne, R.A., Mit 13, 201, Object adonne, R.A. Mit 13, 201, Object adonne, Scholar Adonne, Scholar Anterneuration Anterneuration Anternet requires de longh Autent Gam	More Advise K.Y. 24 LL 100, and council	Most Alamin 4 § Is.13.260 High Is.13.260 High Is.23.260 High Is.24.260 High Is.24	the second se	Minit Admin. K. A Minit Admin. K. A Minit Programmer Administration	More Admin. 6. 8. More Admin. 6. 8. (6. 3.4.202): mapedian- during constructions. Not forgunary of Administration pool construction.	Annuary per Campion Annuary per Campion Annuary State State N II Winder Yook	Mork, Ameri, K. N. 18, 24, 265 18, 24, 265 18, 24, 265 18, 24, 265 19, 24, 265 19, 265	Amin Amine A 36.3 a 10 Develop in expension and a 10 Develop in expension and a particular matrix of the functionarchile material of the second and a second and a second and a second	Monte Adomin & 5.15.4.400. (Integer	Mont Admin R. 6 26 14 409 26 14 400 Performance Soling fair completion of contraction
M. H. Br. Order M. H. Br.		48 New Aug. St. 5 515 005 ed. Img. Mo registrono promulgared	Wash	tion	1	48 New Sec. 91.5 535 ADD Jumitrobiose Unext 2017 tops and 20 acre If of water	Notice	į	and a second	48 New May, 34, 5.15.030 State engineers respection "Herm those to fense" No frequency of impection	Acres	Manuar Manuar	More		Nerve
N. Jakim Cole Y 201 Null Admin Cole Y 201 N. Jakim Cole Y 201 Null Admin Cole Y 201 N. Jakim Cole Y 201 Null Admin Cole Y 201 N. Jakim Cole Y 201 Null Admin Cole Y 201 N. Jakim Cole Y 201 Null Admin Cole Y 201 N. Jakim Cole Y 201 Null Admin Cole Y 201 N. Jakim Cole Y 201 Null Admin Cole Y 201 N. Jakim Cole Y 201 Null Admin Cole Y 201 N. Jakim Cole Y 201 Null Admin Cole Y 201 N. Jakim Cole Y 201 Null Admin Cole Y 201 N. Jakim Cole Y 201 Null Admin	1	MAH BRA Shari Challet 443 MAH BRA Shari Challet 443	E.	4.4.1 Eno. VIII: 640, 623 4.4.1 Eno. VIII: 640, 623 Enorgical Action Expension Englished do misure element is participant inspect control and the participant inspect control actional does degenerate Account damand actional actionactional actionactional actional actional actional		M.H. Boy, & 407.2 Aundetanal (frieshaat- 4 fr, of 3 and A	Mit the Wr 10L26 29 Coante Ar 0, C bared on theor 10 dis and proteins	 Fine (Mr. 2015)Structure (Mr. 2015)Structure (Mr. 2015) Fine (Mr. 2015)Structure (Mr. 2015)Structure	K.N. Eng. W. JOI: Hapart	Not tray (No. 502.00) Interesting down	N.H. Enc. Wr. 303.06 Entergeney Action Faux Resamed K.N. Enc. Wr. 505 Sociellariane for EAU	National Anna Anna 1900 Anna Recome personal for insubdation maps	A M (Free - No. 2017, 2017 Free) respectively respect to an effect of transpections of properties of transpections and approved MAL Free - No. 2015, 201 MAL Free - No. 2015, 2015 MAL Free - No.	And the second state of the Second	
		A. Sur Counter NA.		 Adminis Colie § 7/20;1/5 Toppines must applicable interpret and applicables and Serrice construction 		10	1.2	- 59 4.8.2 destroyed by destroyed by destroy	1.00	M.I. Admin. Code § 720. 1. N. Admin. Code § 720. 1. N. Adminerty to Inspect	N. Amm. Endré (120) 1. (10). All application chast und Education Obstation and tytemit presidence application		 A. Annin, Corek 7 (2013) (2014) Solution Statement and Technical Technical Technical and Technical Technical Technical Annual Technical Annual Technical Annual Technical Technical Technical Annual Technical Technical Annual Technical Technical Annual Technical Technical Technical Annual Technical Technical Annual Technical Technical Annual Annual Technical Annual Annual Annual	M.A. Admin. Space § 701 T (10)(3) (10)(4) Admin. Space § 701 T (10)(4) (Devide a fraction data of MEL, DOI Bornau of Instantion for MEC. A. Admin. Scole § 7, 20 L 9. Specific design (critical).	

power level of Plant by Regulators Size Classification Hazed Classification Inspection by Operator Regulators by Regulators December Segulators Action Plano Research Regulators Action Plano	2.11 bet bet bet bet bet bet bet bet bet bet	More const 6 k model More cons	LANK PAIR AND	IXMX Advine. Code 3 12:0101 approx. Indexeed to access 3 12:0101 approx. Indexeed to access 3 12:0101 approx. Indexeed to access 3 12:0101 IXXMX Advine. Code 3 12:01 IXXMX Advine. Code 3 12:010 IXXMX Advine. Code 3 12:010 IXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	embodied a dame jordesunt Hereativen, anotherunt jupitroomal espectation and 18.00004 report for India poppinament event if to the india population approximate event if to the india material points and the population approximate event if to the india material points and the population approximate event if to the india material population approximate event india material populat	N.D. Accimentation of States N.D. Accimentation Transfer A competition and the Transfer A commentation Non-Amine Scene of States Differentiation Differentiation Proceedings of the States Proceedings o	90.00.01 Sector 10 Control Co
Date of Exactment of Regulations Designed by Engineer	MM Colone 4: 19:53:12:11 Decrytor structured	5 PPC Campti Coden II, & Baggi 5 PPC Campti Coden II, & Baggi 10 (10) (10) (10) (10) (10) 10 (10) (10) (10) (10) (10) (10) (10) (1		Also No. Adomin. Goder 9 (KAS No. Adomin. Goder 9 (K. ODG), palana. Designi, and	supervision must be comported by engree		M.D. Adminis Code § 199.06.03 01: Engrever to Shorge of Impertian during contraction during
State Regulation Citation	antisad (2234) Antibudos - Anticad (2234) Antibudos - Anticado - 5235,123	W Hydol Gamare, Jan 3,15 (90) (90) (97) (97) (97) (97) (97) (97) (97) (97		EZ SUCCES & LAUS LAUS LAUS LAUS LAUS LAUS LAUS LAUS	North Canolina Level Adminis Code § 36.0101 North Canolina Level		N to Cano Tode's \$1.03

State Regu		Cata Sign	12 Pr. San's Arris 1 Bybaras 25 Pr. Cole (100-1	12.5 Canada (49-01.10) 12.5 Canada (49-01.10) 12.6 Canada (49-9.701)	S.D. Coste 9-465 6 5.D. Coste 9-465 6 A.O. Administra 9-34	Inter Cale 50 Inter Cale 50 Inter Cale 50 Intervention Intervention Intervention Intervention Intervention Intervention Intervention Intervention
Requisiony Citation Regulations	5 5	Ohin Ster 874.116.4 Ohin Ster 874.116.4 Ohin Admin Caste 9, 98:215	1 Mark	λ. ζ. τουκι γ. θρ. 11.10 Χ. ζ. Τουκι γ. θρ. 11.10 22.9	s to coiné é est K Xis Admini in 24 c0 di et ivez	fram Casile § (1) (1) (1) here Casile § (1) (1) (1) here Copine & A large \$ 1200 \$ -1.01 k true & A large \$ 1200 \$ -1.01 k true & A large \$ 1200 \$ -1.00 k true & A large \$ -1.00 k here are index a stat and large here are index are index a stat and \$ 1300 k + 7.00 k
actiment of vitions	161/51	0, 4 ± 4 503	41 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	2/1460	SHEL//CZ/01	4444
Designed by Engineer	Ohio Ree Carle 3512.06 Ohio Ree Carle 3512.06 Plan and profileration by Plan and profileration of Ohio Advance Carle 5 (2012) 121- 155212 (optimer must be in Debage of construction	Ohi, Admin, Code § 785,254. 2. Phosi to de president la regioner di professional	(5) Pa, Eidely 105 102, Wines Treast and instruction and Constanting to engineer appropriat by historigature.	15. C. Cana Mag. 1 15. C. Cana Mag. 1 15. P. C. Cana Mag. 1	 Admin. K. 7A 02.08.04 Engineerimskit angelegeneri and tareficiations gentermin spectration during contructure and periodically thereafter. 	Then Carrier A. Ross. A Loss - Carrier A. Ross. A Discost - J. Mill (1) Distribution (1) Di
Itercew of Plans by Reaching	Dh. An Coni 1322 Br. Print	Ott, Addin, Con y Thr.25 S.1 (6), Addin, Con y Thr.25 S.1 (6), Submount and upperson (6), Admin. Conk y 2005;55 (2), Admin. Conk y 200, 2), (2), (2), Admin. Conk y 2005;55 (2), Admin. Conk y 200, 2), (2), (2), Admin. Conk y 2005;55 (2), (2), Admin. Conk y 2), (2), (2), (2), (2), (2), (2), (2),	25 Pa Golie A 105-116 Period responses	S.C.Cole Reg. 9.72.5(1). Invest Beggenenner	S.D. Cole: A 46-5-4: Annual Begannent	ren done it kingt ten done it kingt 1.0005-1.00100 indication it in an indication it in a
Sie Clauffortion	613r 62101952	Qua, Admin. Code (Qua, Admin. Code (Estatoria de la construc- la singer construction angement SQ construc- menter code (Dual Admin. Code (Dual	32 Pa. Stat (\$ 695.4 ° 15 ft. ar Nd arce ft. 28 pa. Cadar \$ 105.91. 28 pa. Cadar \$ 105.91. Claici A. B. amit Chy alor	X.C. Carde Mog. 8, 72, 310 Very Stratif, stratif, and strategic stratif, stratif entermediate, stratif, stratif Sr.C. Coder Reg. 87, 475 Junited Coloral Presidual Presidential Presidual Presidential Presidual Presidential Presidual Presidential Presidual Presidential Presidual Presidential Presidual Presidential	 Admont at A 400 Dim (1071), 25 H cm 90 at en if 50 Admont at A 60 Cite (doi main), interconnector, and lange many based on interconnector. 	Tennis Grands, R. K. Margi, A. K. 2005, S. (2010) A. K. 2005, S. (2010) (2010) A. K. Margi, A. K. M. Margi, A. K. Margi, A. Tennis, A. K. Margi, S. Margi, A. Margi, A. K. Margi, S. Margi, A. Margi, A. Margi, S. Margi, A. Margi, A. Margi, S. Margi, A. Margi, S. Margi, S. Margi, S. Margi, S. Margi, A. Margi, S. Margi, S. Margi, S. Margi, S. Margi, A. Margi, S. Margi, S. Margi, S. Margi, S. Margi, A. Margi, S. Margi, S. Margi, S. Margi, S. Margi, A. Margi, S. Margi, S. Margi, S. Margi, S. Margi, S. Margi, A. Margi, S. Margi, S. Margi, S. Margi, S. Margi, S. Margi, S. Margi, Margi, S. Margi, S
Mazart Classification	20 PT 10 40 H 15	Ohla Admin Latis 6/85 3 - 3 NB Huand Cauly global Huand Cauly global bend an break fully add property	25 MA Confe 8 195 (M) 2 C155 12, 2, 5M Notand 2 politetrad	L. Carle Pag. A.17. L.C. Carle Pag. A.17. J. H.C. Huzzari Can, Date of so stream for fee	 Admin. K. Admin. R. 4.0.2 (60.05 s. threadow 1.0.2 (60.05 s. threadow 1.0.2 (60.1 k.) 4.0.0055 (40.0 1.0.0055 (40.0 1.0.0055 (40.0 1.0.0055 (40.0 1.0.0015 (40.0 10.0015 (40.0 10.0015 (40.0 10.0015 (40.0 10.0015 (40.0 10.0015 (40.0 10.0015 (40.0 10.0015 (40.0 10.0015 (40.0 10.0015 (40.0 10.0015 (40.0 10.0015 (40.0 10.001	Term, Corrow R. & Term, Corrow R. & Botty, 4 1200 5-12 Botty, 14 200 5
Interactions by Deveator		ONA Adams Edde 5 ONA Adams Edde 5 UNA Adams Edde 4 Turkel Arrist of permitting upper Admiss Edde 3 IND Admiss Edde 3 IND 25 (1) Inspection Conditional	5 % Coles \$155.53 carefund 55 waves serv.3 months	S.C. Coller Ing. 4.72-4 superclasmic proteinancy autoections to the performed by the dependence by the elevent and registric in superscript by second's registered	Voluminous of Personania of Alexandrea	View Condo, F. & Nage, A. View Condo, F. & Nage, A. LL000-57: O40204 LL000-57: O40204 Proprint View Condo
Reporting	Ohua A 150121 engrate engrate interpret interpret frienze encount	Ohio Admin Code § 761,25-9-2. Breach and reports of country	the focular \$ 105.5.8 Interest process by Interest of Chapters by 2 during die annually	5.5. Code Reg. § 22.3H J Aregulae construction reports	Anonia Application	Times Camp & A Ange 4 Times Camp & A Ange 4 Data 20, 20, second
Indection by Readators	March Control (2012) 00- memory 5 years eventy 5 years eventy 5 years down control (2013) 10- metoretisms (2012) 12-13 (2012) 12-23 metoretisms (2012) 12-13 (2012) 12-23 metoretisms (2012) 12-13 (2012) 12-23 (2012) 12-13 (2012) 12-23 (2012) 12-13 (2012) 12-23 (2012) 12-13 (2012) 12-23 (2012) 12-23 (2	Ohla Adamini Code § 285,25 1-1, regen of imperior during control mission Ohla Adamini Code 5,755,32 0,18, Adamini Code 5,755,32 9,1, records imperior an operating of transaction	15 Pa. Code 6 101 45 Bign of Inspection during construction 25 Pa. Code 9 105 82 period April of Inspection Mortrequency of Inspection	 C. Code Eng. J. 72-4. Interestions (pre-immunery executions) inspectations. 	 Administry (M. 2010). Administry for a second structure of the second structure of the second structure of a second str	erren Corren 6. A Page 1 2005 5 / 0413(16); Agent 2005 5 / 0413(16); Agent 2005 5 / 0413(16); Agent 2004 2004 (16); Agent 2004 (16); Agent 2004 (16); Agen
Emainmenter Action Phane		6 Out, Alemon Lader (2013): 17: Energymony Action Fault	§ 201 J.14 Emergenery	S.C. Code Fig. 4 73 3125/21(c). Envergences ALLISS Value (Figure Figure)	 Access a Access a Accoss (C) Envergency (Accoss) (C) Envergency measured for all catrycov dame 	lease cumo it. A farge 4 12000/37/40(1)61 12000/37/40(1)61 12000/37/40(1)61 12000/37/40(1)61
 Mundation Made 	Ohio Admin Code A	Chini Admini Calile S 19555 6.7 Finetgescy Actem for matter and action for the actions which enclass interaction maps	No explicit university to calculate numbering areas infrostigh enregionis problem statement areas	2.5. Control fired: § 7.2 J(p)(2)(a)(a) (heuridation moon instance) with integressy Action (fam)	Michael (Section) Michael (Section) Michael (Section) Michael (Section)	Henn, Camp, K. & Henn, Camp, K. & (Ma), y. 1000-5-17. (Ma), Maloo Parameter Schulze Parameter Schulze Parameter Schulze Parameter Schulze
Certification of Construction	Only Admin. Cole 9, 1001.31 (3): 24: Arti-Anti Hold, 9, 1001.31 (3): 24: Arti-Anti Hold, 9, 1001.21 (3): 24: Arti-Anti-Anti-Anti-Anti-Anti-Anti-Anti-An	ONA, Stat. 4, 113.8, Manteo eff constration of construction- constration (see 9. 3) 85.555. A fractiticate of completion	2. Ma. Code 4, 305. 207 Certificate di contraterior and Les submanece al la tauti patri	S.C. Cerle Hay, 3.72 (19) S.C. Cerle Hay, 3.72 (19) S.C. Tchark et al. Comparigne, and requirement to submit 45 that Man.	211 Code 4 46 5 10	tions dong 4.4 kmg, 4.200
Technical Standards for Construction	0.5	Dills. Anima Code 8, 195.55-1-5. Pring standards based on 15ACE, 1/6/CE, or MES	sanobas teny to Andler, Lynt	5.C. Caule Ing. 9.77 510(1)(c) -fazadare Inac context on those of USAC. 1965, a no Ol Water and Power Registration.	60 - 10 00 20 K. B. Minish, 115	trens, Longu & Jongs Y. (2005 - 1.08 0) Societie dinger of the 201 Societie dinger of the 2020 Societies of Angel V. (2005 - 2.08 0000, Longu & J. Jongs Y. (2005 - 2.08 0000, Societies of Resembling
Bond Requirement	0.0.2.0	Nore	12 Par 5 par 6 601 () Proof of floarcest recommonly	- Mane	Name	

Etete		die Contra	Voi Angelese Angelese	NA ANA		
Regulatory Citation	tead Weter Com \$ 12 013	(Han Code § 13 59-101 Utan Code § 13 59-101 Utan Admini Code (1675 10 et	M Colore § (D.1 404 4 No Adminent Colore § 900.00 400	Much fav. Code 16.41.214.064. 41.216.656.86.14.019. 60.216.656.86.14.019. 60.0194.065.65.64.0	16/14: Conf. 52:14:1 42 16:44 Conf. 52:14:1	Will, Star (Sc. 3), (house the start (Co.3), (house the start (Co.4)), (23) Star "Start (Sc. 3), (Sc. 3), (Sc. 3), (Sc. 3), (Sc. 3), (Sc. 3), (Sc. 3), (Sc. 3), (Sc. 3), (Sc. 3), (Sc. 3), (Sc. 3), (Sc.
Date of Evertment of Reputations		904	din / Y/Z	ectrine	Det	
Designed by Englemen	is il.	Uptan Adminis Code (453) (D. Ball Place Graening must fin- uteratival participational requirement	4 VA.Amun, Code § 50.30- 60 Manuer (code § 50.30- 60 Manuer (code code) An entry (code code) An entry (code code)	Mark Ammil Cold (; 171, 171, 186) 180	ET W VA CALLAR & 144.6 Pero most the approximate websit to express at W VA Code 11,5,24.0.2.a.1 Express that supress Contraries	 Mercannon Admiss, Colide Mrs. S. Microsoft and Microsoft Admission Encoded Rev and Providerational Microsoft Admiss, Cologe Mrs. 2010, Date Marriel.
Services of Flams by Regulation	1. Transi Admini Conte 1. 2013. Jander Conte 1. 2013. Jander Conte 1. 2013. Jander Conte 1. 2013. Jander Janes M. Transi Adminis Conte (J. 2013. Universid Administrational Conte (J. 2014. Univ	tran Admin. Costo 8 (655-15 tr. Requirement of approvid by Son Legenses	A MA Admin. Lists 9 40120 40 Permit Republishing	Mark Melos Gook 113, 575 Mark Melos Gook 113, 575 1001 Perced Regularized v	et with code # 3.94.2. Centrade di approval	
Sire Clandication	 Trans Administrate Trans Administrate manufacture ma	they finde £ 73 54 2015 strongersed threefold of 10 acre H.	Y.M. Adjimir, Corle, 130 2000 highlight Corle, 130 2000 highlight Corle historical Adjimin for the highlight and Stree A. V.M. Adheren, Usen, 150 p. 15: Sobolishier and ministration and ministration and additional adjiminant.	Math Admini Cardon (17) 11 (17) (2 molecular 11 (17) (2 molecular 12 (17) (2 molecular 13 (1 molecular 13 (1 molecular 13 (1 molecular 14 (1 molecular	FTW Vin Geberk () 18 213 Junnelscena Intervenal 25 feet tage or intervenaling (3 sets or of high and 52 area 6.	em Antona Casa (10,0) (
Hazard Clessification	Prinary Administration (1) Teas Administration (1) 2012 Administration (1) 201	Itan Assess Code V KAS-DO-I, Pazant Construction hand Droved to Ne and accentry	4 MA Almont Code A 20 DL and 20 DL a	Ward administration of Ward administration of LTTTTA (ML) LTTTTA (ML) LTTTA (ML) (ML) (ML) (ML) (ML) (ML) (ML) (ML)	 M. No. (cole (§)4. 3.5%). Natural 1.5%). Natural particulations have protect to the and property. 	Watanno Anne Conn Min (11336 Barako MCS Galabanni Galabanni Katan (2004)
Hazard Classification Inspections by Operatin	M Trave Antime (Jame J) 200 A201 Inspections to another an application and an application model and a grant of the all model and a grant of	Urak Adomic Code (4430- 12-4) Ad damic routh here operation plans in dubling frequency of mapping.	4 Va Adminis (2016) 59 (2010) 1005 Stay apart operations (4 4 analyticineum antificiality a VA Adminis (code 6 4 40 Al) (2011) primate a test of code (4 40 Al) magneticineum of a magnetic second (4 and 6 b) primes).	Wath Minor Code 11/2 17/3 change of the second state of the second anglesis	47 Wina, Coler M. J. M. K. M. L. more per regulation for these peak there and server J. S. L. and Areas descending since haused data.	environmenta Alexan Gode environmenta Alexan Dener Hauff hann Meric Confer (11) (12) Meric Confer (11) (12) Meric Confer (11) (12) Meric Confer (11) (12) Meric Confer (12) (12) (12) Meric Confer (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12)
hisostig	11. Tearry Advent Code 9.1, 2015 SK Caterioration reso activity in intervel and a strate in provide controperture for topics and performant lanear 2015 ASIII. Implementation phys. 43301. Implementation and an antipart lanear and an antipart lanear antipart lanear	Urith Administ Curle 1995 ULISS: Comprehense uppertrag requirements Uritish Administ Code 1995 ULISS: Administ Code 1995 ULISS: Administry requires	4 VA Annie Cant. 5 90.00 14 Martine Cant. 5 90.00 14 Martine Cant. 14 90.00	Reprint Advances Contro y 1712 1755-510 1856-1970- Support Oce	e) W.Ya. Cone 9, % M. 15.5, Neporting within 20 data of such modellose	Wise, Cate 4.11, 29. Benner Jahre and
superies by Regulator	 Frank Administration (1) Party Manuel (2004) 11 Party Manuel (2004) 11 Proceed Administrations 	Units Advers Casis (JOS 2) 13. Conduminies imperfaise One Code 5 th so AU moder integration of lead ance every 5 paint.	 A Adense, Kales & Ro 20 100, light of framerican Marketinger of framerican Marketinger 	Week Annotes Santa 2014 102,005 Annotes Santa Instancia Santa Santa Natara Colon Natara Colon Martinen - 5 Dynamis Martinen -	47 WYA, Code S. § 34- 33 & R. Suzartistical allower pay time dependent university for second- your dependent of manufacture	With Courts 4 11.19 With Courts 4 11.19 Programmer 2014
impetion by Repidences Energynety Attion Plans	 B. 17 Second Montes Carlier (1 2025). 1. Second Montes Carlier (1 2025). 1. Second Montes (2) Second Carlier (2) P. Berns Legel Science Science Montes 	1 Uran Animu Coller (255) 12-0-1 Company Anim Plan Insperd	 A Annue, Cojie, 5, 52 20; 40 Annue A Annue, Cojie, 5, 52 20; 50 54 Annue A Station Transmission Francisco, 50 25 54 Annue 	Waye Administration (2004) 173 1355-020 1355-020 1456-02000 Material Inter- proprietal Advisor Patient Programmed Advisor Patient	LYNYA CORFEETIN LYNYA CORFEETIN	Monomine Judia Monomine Amme Judia Monomine Amme Judia
a shundation Maps	sid opperkulture v perme Nyropoler over		M. M. Auman, Lines, N. Manan, Lines, N. Ko, 20, 54 (20, 20, 54).	8515	4/WVA CORF.N. M.13/16 Meaning Incident	Will Cohine Address Will Cohine Address Brauline Brg, 8, 2010 (p.M.
Certification of Construction	 Yaqaa Admini Gale § 1. 2002 J. Spatian Gale § 1. 2002 J. Spatian must carefully ingti date accurding in corpleting of patronet plan. 	(ii) Admin. Codel 9. (Un-Admin. Codel 9.(5):51. Codel 3. (Contention 2.0); (Codel 9.(5):51. Codel 2. (Contention 2.0); (Codel 9.(5):51. Codel and Codel 3. (Codel 9.(5):51. Codel 3. (Codel 3. (Codel 3. (Code) 3. (C	VM Adminis Copis A Sta 2014 M Adminis Comis N A VA Adminis Codie y 402 20- 125. Beneficiary 2014 Sta 2014. Addise Train.	 A second cause) Monte Aleman Control (2014) 	DWVS finds & 34.8.4. Proceedings of the confliction of reference arrent of the state of the first Arrent of the state of the state of regulation of the state of the state of the regulation of the state of the stat	Wutermen Kannin Coda (MT S Wutermen Kannin Coda (MT S Musica Statu Anter S
 Pednical Standards for Construction Book Regularisant 	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		4 VA Minimi Colet 3 Vo.30.340 (heige logaritmenti 4 VA Minimi Colet 5 Vo.20.240 (heige 4 VA Minimi Colet 5 Vo.250 (10 Heige and specifications strationination	000 - 000 -	a state of the transmission of transmission of the transmission of transmi	Witnesses Admin. Code (MA 33) 20; Witnesses Admin. Code (MA 33) 20; Historia, Joséphine and Salahre antipose partitioned is a calleder antipose partitioned is a calleder antipose of a distribution.
n Boottroot	Porte	More			1	Wiscontes Amenia Wiscontes Amenia Privarda anisanos

vendor State by 5	Appendix: State by State Comparison of Demi Safety Laws.	tety Laws				1								
State	Regulatory Citation	Date of Enachment of Regulations	Designed by Engineer	Designed by Engineer Review of Plant by Regulators		Hazard Classification	Sar Classification Mazard Classification Inspections by Operator	Reporting	Inspection by Regulators	Emergency Action Plans	Inumbition Maps	Cartification of Construction	Inspection by Regulation framegancy Action Plans I hundrition Maps Certification of Contruction Technical Standards for Construction I and Regulement	Bond Requirement
an and a second	Mere Soli 441.5.00 Mere Gole 6.4.6.00 Mere Gole 6.6.00	144	Wes 201, 6.1.1.500 Falars and specifications synaptical by a water direction of explorer. Wess 262, 541.3.000 Explorer in Analypi of contributions example of profile synaptical	Wei, Shei, § 47.5.001. Feiznich Necessionement Necessionement Service (1996) Application (1994) Artischer Forgenon, Differen Mitter Forgenon, Mitter Forgenon, Mitter Forgenon,	We Sair 1414 Sonalise Minischand Lent Minischand Lent Minischand Lent Minischander Inne Halt	Norm	Wey Sure 5 43 300 Newschenel Sy newschenel Sy newson a servicing newson a servicing newson a servicing with Operations with Operations Wo Frequeting	Wyo, 348 541-3.00 Protocol and a second	Were Set 6413-310. Were Set 6413-310. Trapportone by tuber Transvers Angeweik Indergesten Were Set 443-3115. Were Set 443-315. Were Set 4	Kote	Now	Joint	kine let to discense of engineer)	None
 HE 454 Alabama Dem Classification and Internety Act attochance in 2008 Not Paraset 														