1	STATE OF MISSOURI
0	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2	
3	
4	
5	MDANICADIDE OF DECCREDINGS
6	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
7	Hearing
	January 9, 2002
8	Jefferson City, Missouri
9	Volume 1
10	To the Method of the Teleb
11	In the Matter of the Joint) Application of the City of)
	Centralia, Missouri, and)
12	Public Water Supply District)
	No. 10 of Boone County,) Case No. WO-2002-208
13	Missouri, for Approval of a)
14	Written Territorial Agreement) Concerning Territory within)
	Boone County, Missouri)
15	
16	KEITH THORNBURG, Presiding,
17	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE.
18	STEVE GAW,
1.0	BRYAN FORBIS,
19	COMMISSIONERS.
20	
	REPORTED BY:
21	
0.0	STEPHANIE L. KURTZ MORGAN, RPR
22	ASSOCIATED COURT REPORTERS 714 West High Street
23	P. O. Box 1308
	Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
24	(573) 636-7551
25	

ASSOCIATED COURT REPORTERS

(573) 636-7551 JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65101 TOLL FREE 1-800-636-7551

1	APPEARANCES:			
2				
3	MERRITT N	114 S	X, III, Attorney at Law outh Rollins Street	
4			alia, Missouri 65240 682-2114	
5		FOR:	City of Centralia, Missouri.	
6	JAMES T.		S, Attorney at Law orth Allen Street	
7		P. O.	Box 127	
8			alia, Missouri 65240 682-2114	
9		FOR:	Public Water Supply District No. 10 of Boone County, Missouri.	
10	RUTH O'N	EILL, 1	Legal Counsel	
11			Box 7800 rson City, Missouri 65102-0780	
12			751-4857	
13 14		FOR:	Office of the Public Counsel and the Public.	
15	KEITH R.		ER, Deputy Counsel	
16		P. O. Box 360 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 (573) 751-6434		
17		FOR:	Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission.	
18			Conunitssion.	
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

2

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(Written Entries of Appearance filed.)
3	(EXHIBIT NOS. 1 AND 2 WERE MARKED FOR
4	IDENTIFICATION.)
5	JUDGE THORNBURG: We'll go on the record at
6	this time. We'll probably have a couple of
7	Commissioners join us in a moment, but why don't we go
8	ahead and get started with the entries of appearance
9	and the preliminary issues such as that.
LO	We're here for on the record hearing
11	presentation regarding a territorial agreement in
12	Case No. WO-2002-208. The case style is In the Matter
13	of Joint Application of the City of Centralia,
14	Missouri, and Public Water Supply District No. 10 of
15	Boone County, Missouri, for Approval of a Written
16	Territorial Agreement Concerning Territory within Boone
17	County, Missouri.
18	There was a unanimous stipulation agreement
19	filed in this case on January 3rd. I'll note that
20	there was a order scheduling hearing that was issued or
21	December 19th, and that scheduled the hearing for today
22	beginning at 10 a.m.
23	At this time we'll begin with entries of
24	appearance. I'll begin with is there a
25	representative for the City of Centralia?

3

- 1 MR. BECK: Yes, Your Honor.
- JUDGE THORNBURG: If you would, give your name
- 3 and -- and address and your entry.
- 4 MR. BECK: Merritt Beck, III. I'm the city
- 5 attorney for the City of Centralia, Missouri. My
- 6 address is 114 South Rollins Street, Centralia,
- 7 Missouri 65240.
- JUDGE THORNBURG: Thank you, Mr. Beck.
- 9 And is there an attorney here for an
- 10 appearance for the Public Water Supply District?
- MR. AUSMUS: Yes, sir.
- 12 JUDGE THORNBURG: Yes, sir.
- MR. AUSMUS: Yes, Your Honor. James T.
- 14 Ausmus, A-U-S-M-U-S, 116 North Allen Street, Centralia,
- 15 Missouri 65240 --
- JUDGE THORNBURG: Thank you.
- 17 MR. AUSMUS: -- representing Public Water
- 18 District No. 10.
- 19 JUDGE THORNBURG: Thank you.
- 20 And for the Staff?
- 21 MR. KRUEGER: Keith R. Krueger for the Staff
- 22 of the Missouri Public Service Commission. My address
- 23 is P. O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.
- 24 JUDGE THORNBURG: And for the Office of Public
- 25 Counsel?

- 1 MS. O'NEILL: Good morning. My name is Ruth
- 2 O'Neill for the Office of Public Counsel and the
- 3 Public. Our address is P. O. Box 7800, Jefferson City,
- 4 Missouri 65102.
- JUDGE THORNBURG: Thank you.
- Is there anyone I've overlooked today?
- 7 (No response.)
- 8 JUDGE THORNBURG: Okay. We could proceed with
- 9 the first witness and I'll -- I'll just also see if
- 10 anyone has an opening statement they want to make
- 11 concerning the agreement. And I'll begin with Staff.
- 12 Mr. Krueger, did you have any opening remarks?
- 13 MR. KRUEGER: No, I don't -- I don't have an
- 14 opening statement.
- 15 JUDGE THORNBURG: Okay. And for the City of
- 16 Centralia?
- 17 MR. BECK: No, Your Honor.
- 18 JUDGE THORNBURG: And Water District?
- MR. AUSMUS: No, Your Honor.
- 20 JUDGE THORNBURG: Okay. And, Ms. O'Neill?
- MS. O'NEILL: No, Your Honor.
- 22 JUDGE THORNBURG: Okay. I believe we had one
- 23 witness this morning and -- and I'll begin with that.
- 24 And I believe that's on behalf of Staff, so,
- 25 Mr. Krueger, you can begin.

5

- 1 MR. KRUEGER: I'll call Dale Johansen.
- JUDGE THORNBURG: Mr. Johansen, would you
- 3 raise your right hand, please?
- 4 (Witness sworn.)
- 5 JUDGE THORNBURG: Thank you. You may be
- 6 seated.
- 7 Mr. Krueger, you may proceed.
- 8 MR. KRUEGER: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 9 DALE JOHANSEN testified as follows:
- 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KRUEGER:
- 11 Q. Please state your name and mailing address for
- 12 the record.
- 13 A. Dale W. Johansen. And my business mailing
- 14 address is Post Office Box 360, Jefferson City,
- 15 Missouri 65102.
- 16 Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
- 17 A. I work for the Missouri Public Service
- 18 Commission and I'm the manager of the Water and Sewer
- 19 Department in the Utility Operations Division.
- 20 Q. How long have you worked for the Commission?
- 21 A. Nearly 19-and-a-half years.
- 22 O. And how long have you been in your current
- 23 position?
- A. Just over 6-and-a-half years.
- Q. Have you previously testified in cases before

5

- 1 the Commission?
- 2 A. Yes. I've testified in numerous cases before
- 3 the Commission, including six previous cases involving
- 4 applications for Commission approval of water service
- 5 territorial agreements.
- 6 Q. I'm gonna hand you what's been marked for
- 7 identification as Exhibit 1, and ask if you can
- 8 identify that document.
- 9 A. Yes, I can.
- 10 Q. Can you tell me what it is, please?
- 11 A. Yes. This is Joint Application for Approval
- 12 of a Water Service Territorial Agreement, which Public
- 13 Water Supply District No. 10 of Boone County and the
- 14 City of Centralia filed with the Commission on
- 15 October 26th, 2001.
- 16 Q. In your capacity as manager of the Water and
- 17 Sewer Department, have you had an opportunity to
- 18 re-- review the Joint Application and the Territorial
- 19 Agreement?
- 20 A. Yes, I have.
- Q. Would you please briefly describe your review
- 22 of that document -- those documents?
- 23 A. Yes. I first conducted an overall review of
- 24 the provisions of the Joint Application, the
- 25 Territorial Agreement and the attachments to those

7

- 1 documents. And I then compared those provisions to the
- 2 statutory requirements applicable to water service
- 3 territorial agreements and to the requirements of the
- 4 Commission rules that pertain to applications for
- 5 review and approval of those agreements.
- 6 Q. Now, regarding the statutory re-- requirements
- 7 that you just mentioned, where are those found?
- 8 A. They are found in Chapter 247, Section 172 of
- 9 the Revised Statutes of Missouri.
- 10 Q. Could you please summarize the statutory
- 11 requirements that are found there as you understand
- 12 them?
- 13 A. Certainly. First the territorial agreement
- 14 must specifically designate the boundaries of the water
- 15 service areas of each water service provider subject to
- 16 the agreement.
- 17 Second, the agreement must specify the powers
- 18 granted by the entities subject to the agreement to
- 19 operate within one another's corporate boundaries.
- Third, the Commission's approval of a
- 21 territorial agreement shall in no way affect or
- 22 diminish the rights and duties of any water supplier
- 23 that is not a party to the agreement to provide service
- 24 within the boundaries designated in the agreement.
- 25 And, fourth, Commission approval of

8

- 1 territorial agreements may come only after an
- 2 evidentiary hearing is held and after the Commission
- 3 determines that approval of the agreement is not
- 4 detrimental to the public interest.
- 5 Q. And, now, you mentioned Commission rules as
- 6 well. Where are the Commission rules that you
- 7 previously mentioned found?
- 8 A. They are found in 4 CSR 240-2.060 and
- 9 4 CSR 240-51.010.
- 10 Q. What general subjects are covered by these
- 11 Commission rules?
- 12 A. Section 1 of 4 CSR 240-2.60 contains the
- 13 general requirements that all applications filed with
- 14 the Commission must meet; while Section 13 of that rule
- 15 contains the specific requirements that applications
- 16 for Commission approval of territorial agreements must
- 17 meet.
- 18 4 CSR-240-51.010 contains the requirements
- 19 regarding the schedule of fees that are applicable to
- 20 applications for Commission review and approval of
- 21 water service territorial agreements.
- 22 Q. Now, regarding the statutory requirements that
- 23 you previously mentioned, is it your opinion that the
- 24 Joint Application and the Territorial Agreement satisfy
- 25 those requirements?

- 1 A. Based upon my review of the application, the
- 2 agreement and the attachments to those documents, it is
- 3 my opinion that the statutory requirements have been
- 4 satisfied for the following reasons: First, Exhibits 1
- 5 through 6 attached to the Territorial Agreement
- 6 designate the boundaries of the water service areas of
- 7 the district and the city.
- 8 Second, that the agreement clearly sets out
- 9 the powers that each party to the agreement grants to
- 10 the other to operate in their respective corporate
- 11 boundaries.
- 12 Third, the application contains a provision
- 13 acknowledging that the agreement in no way affects or
- 14 diminishes the rights of any water service provider
- 15 that is not a party to the agreement.
- 16 And, fourth, as a result of these items and
- 17 additional items I will cover later in the testimony,
- 18 it is my opinion that Commission approval of the
- 19 agreement would not be detrimental to the public
- 20 interest.
- 21 Q. Now, regarding the Commission rules that you
- 22 previously mentioned, is it your opinion that the
- 23 requirements found in those rules have been met in this
- 24 case?
- 25 A. Yes. Based upon my review of the application

- 1 and verification that the joint applicants tendered the
- 2 necessary filing fee at the time they filed the
- 3 application, it is my opinion that the applicable
- 4 requirements have been met to date.
- 5 Q. Are you aware of any customer inquiries or
- 6 complaints that have been received regarding the Joint
- 7 Application that the parties have filed in -- in regard
- 8 to this Territorial Agreement?
- 9 A. To my knowledge, the Staff has not received
- 10 any contacts of any kind regarding the application or
- 11 the agreement. This is based upon responses to an
- 12 inquiry that I made of my staff and the Staff of the
- 13 Commission's Public Information and Education
- 14 Department regarding customer calls or other contacts
- 15 that they may have received.
- 16 Also, had such calls or contacts been received
- 17 by any other member of the staff, I'm sure I would have
- 18 been made aware of that.
- 19 Q. Will any existing customers of either the City
- 20 or the Water District experience a change in water
- 21 service providers upon implementation of this
- 22 agreement?
- 23 A. As is noted in the Joint Application and as
- 24 the staff has verified, no existing customers of either
- 25 the District or the City will have their water service

- 1 supplier changed.
- Q. Is there anything else you think the
- 3 Commission should consider in reaching its decision on
- 4 whether to approve the Joint Application and the
- 5 Territorial Agreement?
- 6 A. Yes. There are five additional items that I
- 7 believe the Commission should consider. First,
- 8 implementation of the Territorial Agreement will enable
- 9 the parties to the agreement to avoid wasteful and
- 10 costly duplication of facilities and services in the
- 11 areas that are the subject of the agreement.
- 12 Second, implementation of the agreement will
- 13 preclude destructive competition that might otherwise
- 14 arise between the parties.
- Third, implementation of the agreement will
- 16 improve the ability of the parties to plan for future
- 17 water service and will also enable prospective
- 18 customers to know who will be providing that service.
- 19 Fourth, implementation of the agreement will
- 20 establish a method for the parties to the agreement to
- 21 amend their service territories in the future.
- 22 And, fifth, I would ask the Commission to note
- 23 that it is my opinion that each of the parties to the
- 24 agreement have the ability to provide adequate service
- 25 to the customers in the service areas that are the

- 1 subject of the agreement.
- 2 And I believe that all of these items are
- 3 clearly to the benefit of the customers of the parties
- 4 to the agreement and should thus be given positive
- 5 consideration by the Commission.
- 6 Q. I'm gonna show you now Exhibit 2, and ask if
- 7 you can identify that document.
- 8 A. Yes. This is the Unanimous Stipulation and
- 9 Agreement that the District, the City, the Commission
- 10 Staff and the Office of the Public Counsel filed in
- 11 this case on January 3rd, 2002.
- 12 Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the terms that
- 13 are contained in that stipulation and agreement?
- 14 A. Yes, I am.
- 15 Q. Did you participate in the develop of that
- 16 agreement?
- 17 A. Yes, I did.
- 18 Q. And do you recommend that the Commission
- 19 approve the stipulation and agreement?
- 20 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Do you have anything further to add?
- 22 A. No, I don't.
- 23 MR. KRUEGER: At this time I would offer
- 24 Exhibits 1 and 2 and -- and I would note that both of
- 25 those are part of the Commission's file in the case

- 1 and -- and counsel, I -- I believe, has them so I did
- 2 not provide copies. I assume they aren't needed and I
- 3 would tender the witness for cross-examination.
- 4 JUDGE THORNBURG: Okay. Exhibit 1 was the
- 5 Territorial Agreement?
- 6 MR. KRUEGER: Exhibit 1 is the application.
- 7 JUDGE THORNBURG: The application with --
- 8 MR. KRUEGER: With the Territorial Agreement
- 9 attached.
- 10 JUDGE THORNBURG: And --
- 11 MR. KRUEGER: And Exhibit 2 is the Unanimous
- 12 Stipulation and Agreement.
- 13 JUDGE THORNBURG: And those have been filed.
- 14 Are there any objections to the receipt into
- 15 evidence of Exhibits 1 and 2?
- MR. BECK: No objections.
- MR. AUSMUS: No objections.
- MS. O'NEILL: No objections.
- 19 JUDGE THORNBURG: Hearing no objections, then
- 20 Exhibits 1 and 2 are received.
- 21 (EXHIBIT NOS. 1 AND 2 WERE RECEIVED INTO
- 22 EVIDENCE.)
- JUDGE THORNBURG: Thank you, Mr. Krueger.
- 24 Mr. Beck, did you have any questions for the
- 25 witness?

- 1 MR. BECK: I have no questions.
- JUDGE THORNBURG: Mr. Ausmus?
- 3 MR. AUSMUS: No questions, Judge.
- 4 JUDGE THORNBURG: Ms. O'Neill.
- 5 MS. O'NEILL: No questions, Your Honor.
- 6 JUDGE THORNBURG: Commissioner Gaw.
- 7 COMMISSIONER GAW: Just a couple.
- 8 QUESTIONS BY COMMISSIONER GAW:
- 9 Q. First of all, I want to take the time to thank
- 10 the parties for the work on this. It's always nice to
- 11 have these come in and -- and when everyone has signed
- 12 off on them.
- 13 I want to ask Mr. Johansen: This territory
- 14 is -- is -- before this agreement was signed, who was
- 15 this territory assigned to? Was there an assignment to
- 16 it?
- 17 A. Well, basically it -- it involves an area
- 18 where the service overlaps. The City currently
- 19 provides service to some customers that are outside of
- 20 the city limits, but in the district's boundaries. And
- 21 basically what this does, it -- it clarifies who's
- 22 going to serve which customers and -- and what areas
- 23 are -- are going to be served.
- Q. All right. And -- and as you've already
- 25 pointed out they -- how -- they -- there's no

- 1 change in customers -- of existing customers. How much
- 2 territory are we talking about here that's -- that --
- 3 that is being covered by the agreement approximately?
- 4 A. I'm not sure that the area from a physical
- 5 standpoint is actually set out in here.
- 6 Q. Well, let me ask this question: You -- you
- 7 testified that you believe that -- that the parties
- 8 have the ability to supply water for the territory for
- 9 potential new customers that may come into the
- 10 area --
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. -- is that correct?
- 13 And -- and you also believe that -- that not
- 14 only do they have ability to provide the service, but
- 15 they have adequate water supply for the potential
- 16 future -- the foreseeable future for customers that
- 17 may -- may come on line in the next few years?
- 18 A. Yes, that's correct. They -- they both have
- 19 facilities in the area now. And basically what this
- 20 does is clarify which party is going to provide that
- 21 service. And I -- I think they both certainly have
- 22 the -- the capacity and the ability to provide that
- 23 service.
- Q. All right. That's -- that's my main -- my
- 25 main question that there's not any -- that neither one

- 1 of those entities have reached capacity on their water
- 2 supply?
- 3 A. No, I don't believe they have. I think
- 4 they -- I think they are fine from a capacity
- 5 standpoint.
- 6 COMMISSIONER GAW: All right. That's all I
- 7 have. Thank you, Mr. Johansen.
- 8 JUDGE THORNBURG: And, commissioner, do you
- 9 have any questions then?
- 10 COMMISSIONER FORBIS: No.
- 11 QUESTIONS BY JUDGE THORNBURG:
- 12 Q. I -- I had just something that I wanted to
- 13 note on the record. I noted that the agreement in
- 14 paragraph 10 talked about procedure in the future
- 15 to -- to address additional areas that might be served
- 16 by the District and the City.
- 17 And I'm -- I want to know based on your
- 18 understanding of the agreement and the requirements and
- 19 the regulations and the statutes, if the City were
- 20 going to amend the boundaries of the Territorial
- 21 Agreement, would there have to -- the City and the
- 22 District were to amend the boundaries, would they have
- 23 to make another application here?
- 24 A. It -- it's my understanding that they would.
- 25 If -- if the -- if -- what they would potentially

- 1 do under paragraph 10 affects the service area that's
- 2 established by this Territorial Agreement, then I
- 3 believe they would have to come back to the Commission
- 4 to get that amendment approved.
- 5 JUDGE THORNBURG: Okay. And I -- I just
- 6 wanted to note that there is a procedure for amendments
- 7 and the regulations and there's actually a fee statute
- 8 that addresses that also to amend the -- the agreement.
- 9 So I -- that confirms what I was reading in the
- 10 regulations also.
- 11 Are there -- let's see. Let me go back
- 12 through this.
- I want to go back to the parties and see if
- 14 there's any questions that -- in response to the
- 15 questions from the Bench.
- Mr. Beck, did you have any questions?
- MR. BECK: I have no questions.
- JUDGE THORNBURG: And, Mr. Ausmus?
- MR. AUSMUS: No questions.
- JUDGE THORNBURG: And, Ms. O'Neill?
- MS. O'NEILL: No questions.
- 22 JUDGE THORNBURG: Okay. And, Mr. Krueger?
- MR. KRUEGER: No, Your Honor.
- JUDGE THORNBURG: I didn't have anything
- 25 further.

1	Are there any closing statements?
2	(No response.)
3	JUDGE THORNBURG: Okay. Hearing none, the
4	case will be stand submitted on the stipulation and
5	the testimony we had today. And I thank the parties
6	for appearing here today. Thank you very much.
7	WHEREUPON, the hearing of the case was
8	concluded.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	I N D E X	
2	STAFF'S EVIDENCE:	
3	DALE JOHANSEN Direct Examination by Mr. Krueger	6
4	Questions by Commissioner Gaw Questions by Judge Thornburg	15 17
5	Questions by ouage inclinates	17
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	ЕХНІВІ	TINDEX	
2		MARKED	RECEIVED
3	Exhibit No. 1 Joint Application and	3	14
4	Territorial Agreement		
5	Exhibit No. 2 Unanimous Stipulation	3	14
6	and Agreement		
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

21