1	STATE OF MISSOURI					
2	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION					
4						
3						
4						
5	PREHEARING CONFERENCE					
J	April 3, 1999					
6	Jefferson City, Missouri Volume 2					
7	voranie 2					
8						
9						
10	In the Matter of Missouri-American) Water Company's Tariff Sheets) Designed to Implement General Rate) Case					
11	Increases for Water and Sewer) No. WR-2000-281					
12	Service Provided to Customers in) the Missouri Service Area of the) Company.					
13	,					
14						
15						
16	BEFORE:					
	KEVIN A. THOMPSON, Presiding,					
17	DEPUTY CHIEF REGULATORY LAW JUDGE.					
18						
19	REPORTED BY:					
20	KRISTAL R. MURPHY, CSR, RPR, CCR					
21	ASSOCIATED COURT REPORTERS, INC. 714 West High Street Post Office Box 1308 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102 (314) 636-7551					
22						
23						
24						
25						
د ک						

9

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	W.R. ENGLAND, III, Attorney at Law DEAN L. COOPER, Attorney at Law
4	Brydon, Swearengen & England, P.C. P.O. Box 456
5	312 East Capitol Avenue Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0456
6	FOR: Missouri-American Water Company.
7	LARRY W. DORITY, Attorney at Law
8	JAMES M. FISCHER, Attorney at Law Fischer & Dority
9	101 West McCarty Street, Suite 215 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
10	
11	FOR: Public Water Supply District No. 1 of Andrew County.
12	Public Water Supply District No. 2 of Andrew County.
13	Public Water Supply District No. 1 of Dekalb County.
14	Public Water Supply District No. 1 of Buchanan County.
15	City of St. Joseph.
16	JAMES B. DEUTSCH, Attorney at Law 308 East High Street
17	Suite 301 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
18	FOR: City of Joplin.
19	CHARLES BRENT STEWART, Attorney at Law Stewart & Keevil
20	1001 Cherry Street, Suite 302
21	Columbia, Missouri 65201
22	FOR: Public Water Supply District No. 2 of St. Charles County.
23	
24	
25	

10

1	APPEARANCES Continued:
2	
3	STUART W. CONRAD, Attorney at Law Finnegan, Conrad & Peterson
4	3100 Broadway, Suite 1209 Kansas City, Missouri 64111
5	FOR: St. Joseph Industrial Intervenors.
6	JOHN B. COFFMAN, Senior Public Counsel
7	SHANNON COOK, Assistant Public Counsel P.O. Box 7800
8	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
9	FOR: Office of Public Counsel and the Public
10	KEITH R. KRUEGER, Deputy General Counsel CLIFF E. SNODGRASS, Senior General Counsel
11	ROBERT FRANSON, Assistant General Counsel P.O. Box 360
12	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
13	FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission.
14	00
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	PR	0 C	E E	DΙ	NGS

- 2 (Written Entries of Appearance filed.)
- 3 JUDGE THOMPSON: Good morning, ladies and
- 4 gentlemen. We're here for a prehearing conference in
- 5 the matter of Missouri-American Water Company's tariff
- 6 sheets designed to implement general rate increases
- 7 for water and sewer service provided to customers in
- 8 the Missouri service area of the company, Case
- 9 No. WR-2000-281.
- 10 May I have oral entries of appearance,
- 11 please, beginning with Public Counsel?
- MS. COOK: Thank you, your Honor.
- 13 Shannon Cook and John B. Coffman on behalf
- 14 of the Office of Public Counsel and Public, P.O.
- 15 Box 7800, Jefferson City, Missouri, 65102-7800.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.
- 17 Mr. Deutsch.
- 18 MR. DEUTSCH: James B. Deutsch with the law
- 19 firm of Blitz, Bardgett and Deutsch for the City of
- 20 Joplin.
- 21 Do you need my address?
- JUDGE THOMPSON: No, sir.
- Mr. Dority.
- MR. DORITY: Good morning. Larry Dority and
- 25 James Fischer appearing on behalf of Public Water

- 1 Supply Districts, the intervenors, Fischer and Dority,
- 2 101 West McCarty, Suite 215, Jefferson City, Missouri,
- 3 65101.
- 4 We're also appearing this morning on behalf
- 5 of Mr. Zobrist and Ms. Robertson representing the City
- 6 of St. Joseph.
- 7 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.
- 8 Mr. Conrad.
- 9 MR. CONRAD: Good morning, Judge.
- 10 JUDGE THOMPSON: Good morning, sir.
- 11 MR. CONRAD: Stuart Conrad on behalf of Ag
- 12 Processing, Inc., Wire Rope Corporation of American,
- 13 Friskies Pet Care Products, Division of Nestle,
- 14 Incorporated, all three companies in St. Joseph.
- 15 My office is with the Finnegan, Conrad and
- 16 Peterson law firm in Kansas City, Missouri, 3100
- 17 Broadway, Suite 1209.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, sir.
- 19 Mr. Franson.
- 20 (No response.)
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. Krueger.
- 22 MR. KRUEGER: Keith Krueger, Cliff Snodgrass
- 23 and Robert Franson for the Staff of the Missouri
- 24 Public Service Commission. Our address is P.O.
- 25 Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri, 65102.

- 1 I don't believe Mr. Snodgrass is in the room
- 2 this morning, but he is in this case.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. Krueger, has
- 4 Mr. Snodgrass been admitted to the Missouri Bar yet?
- 5 MR. KRUEGER: No, he has not.
- 6 JUDGE THOMPSON: You will need to submit a
- 7 motion for leave for him to appear pro hac vice.
- 8 MR. KRUEGER: Is there not one in the file?
- 9 JUDGE THOMPSON: I do not believe that there
- 10 is one in this file, but why don't you check?
- 11 MR. KRUEGER: We do that routinely, but
- 12 perhaps we failed to abide by routine.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.
- Mr. England.
- MR. ENGLAND: Thank you, your Honor.
- 16 Let the record reflect the appearance of
- 17 W.R. England, and, if I may, I would also like to
- 18 enter the appearance of my partner, Dean Cooper --
- 19 JUDGE THOMPSON: Very well.
- 20 MR. ENGLAND: -- on behalf of
- 21 Missouri-American Water Company, the applicant in this
- 22 case. Our mailing address is Brydon, Swearengen and
- 23 England, P.C., Post Office Box 456, Jefferson City,
- 24 Missouri, 65102.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, sir.

- 1 MR. ENGLAND: Thank you.
- 2 MR. STEWART: Charles Brent Stewart of the
- 3 law firm Stewart and Keevil, L.L.C., appearing on
- 4 behalf of Intervenor Public Water Supply District
- 5 No. 2 of St. Charles County.
- 6 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, Mr. Stewart.
- 7 Any other appearances at this time?
- 8 (No response.)
- 9 JUDGE THOMPSON: Very well. Pending is a
- 10 Joint Motion to Modify the Procedural Schedule and
- 11 Request for Expedited Consideration. This was filed
- 12 after lunch on March 31st. That was Friday.
- 13 At that time I was advised that not all of
- 14 the parties had joined in this. This was a request to
- 15 postpone or reset this prehearing conference and to
- 16 make some other changes to the procedural schedule.
- I can only say that at that eleventh hour I
- 18 am unable to do anything with a request of that kind.
- 19 If it had been unanimous, I would have been able to
- 20 grant the request of relief. I'm not saying I would
- 21 have, but it would have been feasible. But,
- 22 certainly, there is nothing I could do with a less
- 23 than unanimous request to alter the procedural
- 24 schedule at such a late date, and I hope I will not
- 25 see something like that in the future.

- 1 Also pending is the Office of the Public
- 2 Counsel's Second Motion to Compel and Request for
- 3 Expedited Consideration filed on March 31st, 2000.
- I have not yet received any response from
- 5 Missouri-American to this, and under our rules, one is
- 6 not yet due.
- 7 Do you have any comment on this,
- 8 Mr. England?
- 9 MR. ENGLAND: Very brief and very
- 10 uninformed.
- I'm unaware of it. I was out of town the
- 12 latter part of last week, and I have not seen the
- 13 motion, so --
- 14 JUDGE THOMPSON: Well, it would certainly be
- 15 unfair to take it up at this time.
- MR. ENGLAND: I'm not telling you that I
- 17 haven't argued from an uninformed position previously,
- 18 but --
- 19 JUDGE THOMPSON: I am confident you could
- 20 make a great argument, but I'm not going to make you
- 21 do it.
- MR. ENGLAND: Thank you.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: The important issue is that
- 24 there is a contention that there are a number of data
- 25 requests which are quite late. I suggest you get a

- 1 copy of this and look at it because to the extent that
- 2 it delays Public Counsel in filing direct testimony,
- 3 which is due today and also on the 6th with respect to
- 4 rate design, then it will only impede the prompt and
- 5 steady progress of this case to resolution.
- 6 And I will advise Public Counsel that I will
- 7 look favorably on a motion to file direct testimony
- 8 out of time, if that is necessary due to this matter.
- 9 Okay. You have an entire week in this room.
- 10 I hope that you will settle the entire case. Please
- 11 use this time to advantage. I hope you will discuss
- 12 the various issues that continue to divide you and
- 13 settle as many of them as you can.
- 14 I will be present in the building obviously
- 15 throughout the week. If at any time you need me you
- 16 have but to call for me and I will come and rejoin
- 17 you. You have this room without interruption the
- 18 entire week.
- 19 Is there any matter that any party would
- 20 like to bring to my attention at this time.
- MR. KRUEGER: Yes, your Honor.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Yes, Mr. Krueger.
- MR. KRUEGER: I would like to renew the
- 24 request to modify the procedural schedule in
- 25 accordance with the motion that was filed on Friday.

- I have heard this morning from Mr. Zobrist,
- 2 the attorney for the one party that we were not able
- 3 to reach last week, and he said that he joins in this
- 4 request to modify the procedural schedule.
- 5 There are three -- or five parties
- 6 represented by three attorneys who said that they did
- 7 not -- who did not sign the motion but said that they
- 8 did not oppose it, and so far as I know, there is no
- 9 one who opposes this motion at this time.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Any response or comments?
- 11 (No response.)
- 12 JUDGE THOMPSON: Well, I've discussed your
- 13 motion with other of the Administrative Law Judges and
- 14 with a couple of Commissioners, and I can tell you
- 15 that the general feeling is not one that is favorable
- 16 towards this request at this point. However, I'm
- 17 loath to lock you-all in this room for a week when you
- 18 don't want to be here because I don't think you'll
- 19 accomplish anything.
- 20 So what -- what exactly -- what do you
- 21 foresee as the new procedural schedule?
- MR. KRUEGER: I think we specified the
- 23 procedural schedule in the motion, your Honor.
- 24 Essentially, it involves moving all dates on the
- 25 procedural schedule back by two weeks. The one

- 1 exception to that is the evidentiary hearing which is
- 2 moved just slightly less than two weeks, according to
- 3 this request. The evidentiary hearing is presently
- 4 scheduled for June 5 to 9 and 15 and 16, and we
- 5 requested that it be moved back to begin on June 15
- 6 and 16, dates that we already had, and to continue
- 7 through the following week, June 19 through 23.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay.
- 9 MR. KRUEGER: So that would be delayed by
- 10 just a little less than two weeks. Everything else
- 11 would be delayed by two weeks. The true-up hearing
- 12 would be held at the same time. The case would
- 13 actually be finally submitted to the Commission, all
- 14 issues, by the same time.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Because, of course,
- 16 I can't move the suspension date.
- I will go ahead and grant the unanimous
- 18 motion of the parties to modify the procedural
- 19 schedule, and I will issue an order to that effect
- 20 today.
- I think we're at the point where there is no
- 22 more time to be given out, however, so I would urge
- 23 you, please, adhere to this new amended procedural
- 24 schedule because, otherwise, I don't see how we're
- 25 going to get this case done by the time we have to get

1	it done. Okay?
2	There being nothing further, we will adjourn
3	this prehearing conference at this time, and we will
4	reconvene according to the amended procedural schedule
5	which you should be receiving in the mail any time.
6	Thank you.
7	WHEREUPON, the on-the-record portion of the
8	prehearing conference was concluded.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	