```
1
                     STATE OF MISSOURI
 3
                 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
 6
 7
                 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
 8
               Second Pre-Hearing Conference
 9
                      August 27, 2007
10
                  Jefferson City, Missouri
                          Volume 2
11
12
    Staff of the Public Service
13
    Commission, of the State
14
    Missouri
                     Complainant,
15
                                     ) Case No.
                                      )TC-2007-0413
16
     Time Warner Cable Information
                                      )
17
    Services (Missouri), LLC
                                      )
18
                     Respondents.
                                     )
19
                BENJAMIN H. LANE, Presiding,
20
                   REGULATORY LAW JUDGE
21
22
    REPORTED BY:
23
    MINDY VISLAY, CCR
    MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES
24
```

1	APPEARANCES								
2									
3	PAUL S. DeFORD, Attorney at Law Lathrop & Gage, L.C.								
4	2345 Grand Boulevard, Suite 2800 Kansas City, MO 64108								
5	(816) 460-5827								
6	FOR: Time Warner Cable Information Services (Missouri), L.L.C.								
7	JULIE LANE,								
8	Time Warner Cable 290 Harbor Drive								
9	Stamford, CT (203)328-0600								
10	FOR: Time Warner Cable Information								
11	Services (Missouri), L.L.C.								
12	MICHAEL DANDINO, Deputy Public Counsel								
13	200 Madison Street P.O. Box 2230								
14	Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573)751-5565								
15	FOR: The Office of Public Counsel.								
16	JENNIFER HEINTZ, Deputy General Counsel								
17	BLAINE BAKER JOHN VAN ESCHEN								
18	MYRON COUCH P.O. Box 360								
19	200 Madison Street Jefferson City, MO 65102								
20	(573) 751-3234								
21	FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission.								
22	Service Commission.								
23									
24									
25									

```
1 PROCEEDINGS
```

- JUDGE LANE: It is the appointed hour.
- 3 It's ten o'clock on August 27th, and we're here for a
- 4 second pre-hearing conference in Case No.
- 5 TC-2007-0413; that is Staff of the Missouri Public
- 6 Service Commission versus Time Warner Cable
- 7 Information Services, Missouri, L.L.C.
- 8 The Commission's order establishing this date was
- 9 issued on July 12th. And as I mentioned, there's
- 10 already been one pre-hearing conference. We also have
- 11 established, in the same order, a date by which a list
- 12 of issues and witnesses are due and a date for the
- 13 evidentiary hearing should one be necessary.
- 14 Before we go any further, I guess I'd like to
- 15 start out by taking oral entries of appearance for
- 16 everyone that's present here today.
- 17 Let's begin with the Complainant; the Staff of the
- 18 Public Service Commission of Missouri.
- 19 MS. HEINTZ: Jennifer Heintz, H-E-I-N-T-Z,
- 20 and Blaine Baker for the Staff of the Missouri Public
- 21 Service Commission, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City,
- 22 Missouri 65102.
- 23 Also here, from the Telecommunications Staff, are
- 24 John Van Eschen and Myron Couch.
- 25 JUDGE LANE: Thank you very much.

- 1 From Time Warner Cable Information Services,
- 2 Missouri.
- 3 MR. DeFORD: Paul DeFord at the Law Offices
- 4 of Lathrop and Gage, 2345 Grand Boulevard, Kansas
- 5 City, Missouri 64108 appearing on behalf of Time
- 6 Warner. Also appearing is Julie Lane.
- 7 MS. LANE: Julie Lane with Time Warner
- 8 Cable, 290 Harper Drive, Stamford, Connecticut 06902.
- 9 JUDGE LANE: Thank you very much for
- 10 participating this morning.
- 11 From the Office of Public Counsel.
- MR. DANDINO: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 13 Michael Dandino, Deputy Public Counsel, Post Office
- 14 Box 2230, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 representing
- 15 the Office of Public Counsel and the public.
- 16 JUDGE LANE: Thank you very much.
- 17 Anyone present that has not entered their
- 18 appearance or that I have overlooked? Very well then.
- 19 As there's already been one pre-hearing
- 20 conference, I know the parties are well familiar with
- 21 the issues, which at this point in the case appear to
- 22 be primarily legal. The defense raised by Time Warner
- 23 Cable is preeminently a legal defense, one indicating
- 24 that they are not required to submit the quarterly
- 25 service reports that Staff claims -- or alleges --

- 1 have not been filed. So, that's the issue to be
- 2 determined.
- MS. HEINTZ: Just for the record, Your
- 4 Honor, I would like to state that Time Warner actually
- 5 has filed reports. So, the issue now is whether or
- 6 not the reports are actually complying with the rules,
- 7 not that they haven't filed anything at all.
- JUDGE LANE: I'm glad to hear that, because
- 9 I did not know that. I know that, in their initial
- 10 answer, they had promised to file the reports by a
- 11 date certain. And I didn't know if -- it appeared
- 12 that date had come and gone with no reports having
- 13 been filed.
- 14 MS. HEINTZ: I apologize if the Notice
- 15 didn't get filed, but they have filed.
- MS. LANE: I'm not sure there's any
- 17 argument that we don't believe, under the current
- 18 status, that there's no obligation to file. I don't
- 19 think we're arguing against that.
- JUDGE LANE: All right. Okay, so we're --
- 21 I guess there was a request; however -- wasn't
- 22 there -- on behalf of Time Warner Cable, that the
- 23 Commission dismiss that complaint upon receipt of the
- 24 fourth quarter 2006 and the first quarter 2007
- 25 reports?

```
1 MS. LANE: That's right. We've actually
```

- 2 filed first quarter and second quarter 2007 reports.
- 3 JUDGE LANE: Yes. And you have
- 4 requested -- at least in the most current pleading
- 5 that I have -- dismissal of Staff's complaint based
- 6 upon those filings; is that correct?
- 7 MS. LANE: That's correct.
- 8 JUDGE LANE: So, we still have a live
- 9 issue, and that being whether dismissal is warranted
- 10 or whether the complaint should move forward even
- 11 though the reports themselves have now been filed.
- 12 Am I on the same page with everyone on that.
- MS. HEINTZ: That's right. And we're going
- 14 to be talking about what's been filed and the
- 15 compliance off the record.
- 16 JUDGE LANE: Great. I just wanted to make
- 17 sure that I was up-to-speed as to where we were in
- 18 this case.
- 19 Thank you, Miss Heintz, for providing additional
- 20 information.
- 21 We've been through this process before, and
- 22 everyone here is very much aware of the issues and
- 23 what happens at these pre-hearing conferences.
- 24 Normally I would talk about that, but we've all been
- 25 through that before.

```
1 So, unless the parties have any further questions
```

- 2 of me or think there's any other way that I can
- 3 contribute to this process before bowing out, I will
- 4 take my leave and leave the parties here to discuss
- 5 the issues before them -- kind of get a heads-up on
- 6 where the parties are and where they want to be.
- 7 I already mentioned the existing deadlines for the
- 8 list of issues and witnesses, and the evidentiary
- 9 hearing, which are set in the future. Should any
- 10 additional filings -- any additional pleadings -- be
- 11 filed as a result of this second pre-hearing
- 12 conference, I'll certainly be willing to issue
- 13 appropriate orders based on those pleadings.
- 14 Unless there's anything else, I'm going to take us
- 15 off the record. Thank you, everyone, for
- 16 participating this morning.
- 17 And Mr. DeFord, I'm sorry I was not -- I'm glad we
- 18 were able to get this scheduled via phone on such
- 19 short notice. I'm glad we were able to get that
- 20 accomplished and that you could actually meet and have
- 21 your client be present as well. I appreciate your
- 22 e-mailing and getting the ball rolling on that.
- MR. DeFORD: Thank you.
- JUDGE LANE: With no further adieu then,
- 25 we're off the record.

1	(WHEREIN,	the	recorde	d poi	rtion	of t	the	pre-he	earing
2		COI	nference	was	conci	Lude	d.)		
3									
4									
5									
6									
7									
8									
9									
10									
11									
12									
13									
14									
15									
16									
17									
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	
4	I, Mindy Vislay, Certified Court Reporter with the
5	firm of Midwest Litigation Services, and Notary Public
6	within and for the State of Missouri, do hereby
7	certify that I was personally present at the
8	proceedings had in the above-entitled cause at the
9	time and place previously described; that I then and
10	there took down in Stenotype the proceedings had; and
11	that the foregoing is a full, true and correct
12	transcript of such Stenotype notes so made at such
13	time and place.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	Mindy Vislay, CCR
19	Notary Public (County of Cole)
20	My commission expires March 19, 2011
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	