1 STATE OF MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2 3 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 4 Hearing May 31, 2000 5 Jefferson City, Missouri Volume 1 6 7 In the Matter of the Application ) 8 of Fiber Four Corporation d/b/a ) KLM Long Distance for a Certificate) Case No. TA-2000-23 9 of Service Authority to Provide ) Interexchange and Local Exchange ) Telecommunications Services in 10 ) Missouri ) 11 In the Matter of the Revised Tariff) Filing of Fiber Four Corporation ) Case No. TA-2000-24 12 d/b/a Holway Long Distance 13 In the Matter of the Revised Tariff) 14 Filing of Fiber Four Corporation ) Case No. TA-2000-25 d/b/a Iamo Long Distance ) 15 In the Matter of the Application ) 16 of Fiber Four Corporation d/b/a ) Rock Port Long Distance for a ) Case No. TA-2000-27 Certificate of Service Authority ) 17 to Provide Interexchange and Local ) Exchange Telecommunications 18 ) Services ) 19 BILL HOPKINS, Presiding, SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE. 20 21 SHEILA LUMPE, Chair, M. DIANNE DRAINER, Vice-Chair COMMISSIONERS. 22 23 REPORTED BY: 24 KELLENE K. FEDDERSEN, CSR, RPR ASSOCIATED COURT REPORTERS, INC. 25 1

1 APPEARANCES: 2 W.R. ENGLAND, III, Attorney at Law SONDRA MORGAN, Attorney at Law Brydon, Swearengen & England, P.C. 3 P.O. Box 456 312 East Capitol Avenue 4 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0456 5 FOR: Fiber Four Corporation. 6 KURT U. SCHAEFER, Attorney at Law 7 Lathrop & Gage 326 East Capitol Avenue Jefferson City, Missouri 65101-3004 8 9 FOR: AT&T Communications of the S.W., Inc. MICHAEL DANDINO, Senior Public Counsel 10 P.O. Box 7800 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-7800 11 12 FOR: Office of the Public Counsel and the Public. 13 WILLIAM K. HAAS, Senior General Counsel 14 P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 15 FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission. 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 2

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE HOPKINS: This is May 31, 2000, and
3	the case is No. TA-2000-23, 24, 25 and 27,
4	collectively known as the Fiber Four cases. And today
5	we are hearing the parties' presentation of the
6	Stipulation and Agreement, and this hearing is taking
7	place in the Commission's hearing room in Jefferson
8	City, Missouri. It's approximately 10 a.m., Bill
9	Hopkins presiding.
10	Do we have entries of appearance? Okay.
11	Thank you. Bill Haas for the Staff. And you're going
12	to have to tell me your name.
13	MR. SCHAEFER: Kurt Schaefer for
14	JUDGE HOPKINS: Kurt Schaefer. I'm sorry.
15	MR. SCHAEFER: for AT&T.
16	JUDGE HOPKINS: For AT&T.
17	MS. MORGAN: Sondra Morgan.
18	JUDGE HOPKINS: Sondra Morgan. Mr. Dandino
19	for Public Counsel.
20	Before I call the Commissioners in here, is
21	there anybody who has anything to say preliminarily?
22	(No response.)
23	Hearing no response, I'm assuming that no
24	one has any questions or statements or motions or
25	anything preliminary to begin this. I am going to go
	3

1 fetch the Commissioners and then we will be able to 2 begin with this presentation, and I'm assuming that 3 you-all want to do this -- Ms. Morgan, you would go first, is that --4 5 MS. MORGAN: That's fine. JUDGE HOPKINS: -- how you're wanting to do 6 7 that? MS. MORGAN: That's fine. I guess I do have 8 9 a question as to procedure. I mean, I do have a witness here. Will she be called to the stand? 10 JUDGE HOPKINS: We will be glad to do that. 11 12 MS. MORGAN: Or just wait and see what the Commissioners' questions are or how --13 JUDGE HOPKINS: It would be nice if we had a 14 15 witness. I appreciate you bringing her, and that 16 would be very helpful. And we'll try to conduct this similar to what we do in an evidentiary hearing. 17 We'll let you go first. Then if any of the other 18 attorneys have questions, they can ask them, and then 19 20 we will ask if there's any questions from the Bench, 21 meaning the Commissioners, or I might have some, and 22 then if anybody needs to do any redirect because of 23 the questions from the Bench, we will allow that also. 24 MS. MORGAN: Ms. Callahan did not file the 25 testimony in this case. Will it be necessary for her

4

1 to adopt the testimony that was filed or do we need 2 to --3 JUDGE HOPKINS: I don't think so. This is kind of an informal hearing type of deal. We just 4 5 want to actually see what type of progress is being made here and we want to make sure this case is on 6 7 track because we've got operations of law date, which 8 you know. 9 Anything else? No one else has any further 10 questions or statements. Ms. Morgan, I'm sorry, what is your witness' name? 11 MS. MORGAN: Phyllis Callahan. 12 JUDGE HOPKINS: Phyllis, P-h-y-l-l-i-s? 13 MS. MORGAN: Yes. 14 15 JUDGE HOPKINS: Callahan, C-a-l-l --MS. MORGAN: -- a-h-a-n. 16 JUDGE HOPKINS: -- a-h-a-n. And you are 17 with Fiber Four? 18 MS. MORGAN: Well, she's with Warner, 19 20 Gessinger & Associates. She was a consultant for 21 Fiber Four in this case. 22 JUDGE HOPKINS: Okay. We'll have her put 23 all that on the record. 24 We're going to go off the record now and I'm 25 going to go fetch the Commissioners. 5

(Discussion off the record.) 1 2 JUDGE HOPKINS: Thank you. We're back on 3 the record now. We have Commissioner Lumpe and Commissioner Drainer with us. 4 5 Is there anything that happened off the record while we were off the record? 6 7 (No response.) 8 Hearing no response, we will proceed, and we 9 will let Ms. Morgan call Phyllis Callahan first. 10 MS. MORGAN: I have a short opening that I would like to give that's sort of the procedural 11 12 history --JUDGE HOPKINS: Please go right ahead. 13 MS. MORGAN: -- of this complicated 14 proceeding, and I think it may help to answer some 15 16 questions before we get started. When the four companies that comprise Fiber 17 Four, Rock Port Telephone Company, Iamo Telephone 18 Company, Holway Telephone Company and KLM Telephone 19 20 Company, were in the process of implementing their intraLATA dialing parity plans and found that the 21 customers of the local exchange companies were not 22 23 going to have adequate choices for long distance 24 service due to the loss of the primary toll carrier 25 plan and AT&T's decision to not provide 1+ service in

6

1 those exchanges, the companies decided that they 2 should find a way to offer toll services to their 3 local exchange customers.

4 Since Fiber Four Corporation was already 5 certificated as a competitive interexchange company in 6 Missouri, the companies first filed an intrastate long 7 distance message telecommunication service tariff for 8 Fiber Four Corporation with the Commission on 9 June 25th, 1999.

10 This tariff proposed to provide intrastate toll services in the exchanges served by the four 11 12 local exchange companies, but in order to provide toll services tailored to the individual needs of the 13 14 customers of each local exchange company and to 15 reflect the different costs involved, which were 16 primarily access, encountered in each LEC's exchanges 17 Fiber Four sought to implement different toll services at different rates in each LEC's service area. 18

19 Fiber Four also sought to do business using 20 four fictitious names related to the underlying LEC, 21 for example the Rock Port Long Distance, Iamo Long 22 Distance, Holway Long Distance and KLM Long Distance. 23 After review of this tariff, the Staff of 24 the Commission recommended the application -- that the 25 tariff not be approved and further recommended that

7

1 the companies instead file individual applications for 2 certificates of service authority for each fictitious 3 name.

On July 15th, 1999 Fiber Four filed four 4 5 applications with the Commission seeking certificates of service authority to provide interexchange 6 7 telecommunications service for each fictitious name. And since time was getting short at that point before 8 9 the implementation of intraLATA dialing parity, the companies asked for expedited treatment. 10 AT&T Communications of the Southwest 11 intervened in these individual cases. AT&T stated 12 13 that it did not oppose approval of the applications or 14 the underlying tariffs but intervened to insure that 15 these applications were treated and processed in the 16 same manner as AT&T's proposed intraLATA overlay plan tariff. 17 The Commission granted intervention to AT&T 18 19 but denied its request to consolidate these 20 applications with AT&T's overlay tariff. The 21 Commission did consolidate the four Fiber Four applications, however. 22 23 By Orders on June 29th -- July 29th, 1999, 24 the Commission granted a temporary certificate of 25 service authority to Fiber Four doing business as Rock

8

Port Long Distance and Fiber Four doing business as 1 2 Iamo Long Distance. On August 26th, 1999, the Commission granted temporary certificates to Fiber 3 Four doing business as Holway Long Distance and doing 4 5 business as KLM Long Distance. The parties to the consolidated case 6 7 proposed a procedural schedule to the Commission which 8 was adopted and filed both direct and rebuttal 9 testimony. 10 Because of the controversy these filings had engendered regarding possible geographic toll 11 12 deaveraging and because after further reflection the individual companies decided that it would make more 13 sense to provide the long distance service to their 14 15 customers through the use of either a separate division of the LEC or through subsidiaries or 16 17 affiliates, Fiber Four proposed that the parties to this case enter into a Stipulation and Agreement. 18 In the Stipulation and Agreement, Fiber Four 19 20 agreed that the individual companies would file new 21 applications with the Commission requesting new certificates of service authority to provide the long 22 23 distance service in their respective service areas and 24 requesting Commission approval for the transfer of the 25 assets which were primarily the customer list used in

> ASSOCIATED COURT REPORTERS, INC. (573)636-7551 JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65109 TOLL FREE - 1-888-636-7551

9

providing the service from Fiber Four to the new
entity.

3 Fiber Four also agreed that at such time as these new certificates were granted by the Commission 4 and new tariffs approved, it would withdraw the 5 applications which make up this consolidated case. 6 7 The parties have stipulated and agreed that once Fiber Four transfers the assets and withdraws the 8 9 applications, there's no need for a hearing. 10 The individual companies have now all filed new joint applications with Fiber Four which are 11 12 currently pending before the Commission. In these joint applications, Fiber Four requests authority to 13 14 transfer the assets used in providing long distance to 15 the individual LEC's service areas and asks that the certificates Fiber Four now holds and the current 16 tariffs be canceled. 17 Tariffs were filed with these applications 18 which are substantially similar to the tariffs now in 19 20 place. These tariffs bear effective dates 45 days from the dates the applications were filed. The last 21 two applications and tariffs filed bear effective 22 23 dates of July 10th. 24 We believe that this will allow the 25 Commission sufficient time to consider the request for 10

1 authority before the expiration of the temporary 2 certificates. We believe that this is a good 3 resolution of this case and that the Commission should approve the Stipulation and Agreement as agreed to by 4 5 the parties. I'll be happy to answer any questions I can. 6 7 I also have Ms. Phyllis Callahan of Warner, 8 Gessinger & Associates here today. She has served as 9 a consultant to Fiber Four in these matters, and she's 10 here to assist me in answering questions the Commission might have of Fiber Four. 11 12 Thank you. JUDGE HOPKINS: All right. Thank you. 13 Now, Mr. Haas, do you have an opening 14 15 statement you need to make? MR. HAAS: Yes, I would like to add a few 16 17 comments. JUDGE HOPKINS: Go right ahead, please. 18 MR. HAAS: On May 5, 2000, the Staff filed 19 20 its suggestions in support of the Unanimous 21 Stipulation and Agreement recommending approval thereof. However, the Staff noted that it was 22 23 possible that there could be a lapse of 1+ intrastate 24 toll service to Fiber Four customers now receiving 25 service pursuant to the temporary certificates.

11

For this reason, the Staff obtained the 1 2 agreement of the other parties to propose a procedural 3 schedule to provide the Commission with the option of going forward with any of the TA-2000-23, 24, 25 or 27 4 5 cases unresolved at a point in time sufficiently in advance of the end of the temporary certificates in 6 7 each case to permit the Commission time to issue 8 Orders in them as contested cases if needed. 9 The sole purpose of proposing the procedural 10 schedule was to give the Commission the opportunity to ensure current Fiber Four customers are not left 11 without intrastate toll service if the customer 12 transferred to the four separate companies could not 13 be affected before the expiration of any temporary 14 15 certificate. 16 Four separate companies have now filed applications to take over Fiber Four's four d/b/a 17 operations. The case numbers are TA-2000-663, 765, 18

19 786 and 789. Although the Staff anticipates the usual 20 give and take as we review these companies'

applications and tariffs, we anticipate that these applications can be processed before the expiration of the four temporary certificates issued to Fiber Four. We, the Staff, continue to recommend that the Commission adopt the Stipulation and Agreement,

12

1 and I too am here to answer questions. We have 2 Staff member Sherri Murphy here to answer questions as 3 well. Thank you. 4 5 JUDGE HOPKINS: Mr. Dandino, do you have an opening statement? 6 7 MR. DANDINO: No, your Honor. I have 8 nothing further to add except to ask the Commission to 9 approve the Stipulation and Agreement. Thank you. 10 JUDGE HOPKINS: Thank you very much. 11 Mr. Schaefer? MR. SCHAEFER: Yes, your Honor. 12 Kurt Schaefer for AT&T. I think Ms. Morgan 13 14 pretty much summed it up on the history of the case. 15 We really don't have much to add other than we'd ask that you approve the Stipulation and Agreement. 16 17 I do have Mr. Matt Kohly with me here today from AT&T if the Commission would have any questions 18 for him. If the Commission does not have questions, I 19 20 don't anticipate putting him on for any testimony. 21 Thank you. JUDGE HOPKINS: Thank you, sir. 22 23 All right. Ms. Morgan, if you would want to 24 call Phyllis Callahan to the stand for a brief rundown 25 on this, we'd appreciate it. 13

1 (Witness sworn.) 2 JUDGE HOPKINS: Please be seated and state 3 and spell your name for the court reporter. THE WITNESS: My name is Phyllis Callahan, 4 5 P-h-y-l-l-i-s C-a-l-l-a-h-a-n. JUDGE HOPKINS: Ms. Callahan, we have a 6 7 sound system in here that I hope is on. If you'll pull that down there towards you, that may help. 8 9 THE WITNESS: Is that better? JUDGE HOPKINS: That's much better. That 10 will enable us up here to hear you. Thank you. 11 Go ahead, Ms. Morgan. 12 PHYLLIS CALLAHAN testified as follows: 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION MS. MORGAN: 14 15 Ms. Callahan, by whom are you employed? Q. 16 Α. Warner, Gessinger & Associates. And what is your business address? 17 Q. It's in Lenexa, Kansas. I can --18 Α. I'm sure it's in the record. Is it 19 Q. 20 necessary that --21 JUDGE HOPKINS: No, ma'am. That's fine. THE WITNESS: Thank you. I just work there. 22 23 BY MS. MORGAN: 24 Q. And what was your role in these proceedings 25 for Fiber Four?

14

1 Α. Well, we have -- throughout the process we 2 have assisted the companies and assisted with writing 3 testimony for Mr. Warner and have done financial analysis for toll provision and aided in obtaining 4 reseller aggregator costs. So actually just to assist 5 the companies in their financial decision. 6 7 MS. MORGAN: I would offer Ms. Callahan for 8 questions. 9 JUDGE HOPKINS: All right. Mr. Haas, do you have any questions of --10 11 MR. HAAS: No questions. JUDGE HOPKINS: -- Ms. Callahan? Thank you. 12 Mr. Dandino? 13 14 MR. DANDINO: No questions, your Honor. 15 Thank you. JUDGE HOPKINS: Mr. Schaefer? 16 17 MR. SCHAEFER: No questions, your Honor. JUDGE HOPKINS: Chair Lumpe? 18 QUESTIONS BY CHAIR LUMPE: 19 20 Q. I really have only one concern, and I think 21 it's in the dates, and that seemed to be the issue of 22 the cases before us as temporary certificates, when 23 they expire and when the new certificates or ability 24 to operate would take place, and would you clarify for 25 me that time line?

15

From what I understand with the last 1 Α. 2 application, if that is approved by July 10th, we 3 still have 'til the end of the month for those companies. There is some confusion whether it's 4 July 29th, August 1st, August 29th, but I agree with 5 you, there is some confusion there. But I think if we 6 7 have it all in place by the end of July, there shouldn't be any problem with the legal certification. 8 9 Ο. So the ending of the temporary certificate is what day in July? Are they different days? 10 11 MS. MORGAN: Could I help? 12 CHAIR LUMPE: Ms. Morgan, would you like to help me out on that? 13 THE WITNESS: Yes, please help. 14 15 MS. MORGAN: The earliest I understand would be July 30th, and I think there are two companies that 16 were certificated effective July 30th, 1999, so one 17 18 year. 19 CHAIR LUMPE: Their temporary certificate 20 expires --MS. MORGAN: Temporary certificate would --21 22 CHAIR LUMPE: -- July 30th? 23 MS. MORGAN: Right. The other two are 24 August 30th, I believe. 25 CHAIR LUMPE: So that if we were to approve 16

1 the other cases, the 663, et cetera, prior to that,

2 then this is really moot?

3 MS. MORGAN: That's what I would think, yes. CHAIR LUMPE: And our deadline, then, for 4 5 these four new cases is for two of them July 30th and for two of them August 30th --6 7 MS. MORGAN: That's correct. CHAIR LUMPE: -- is that correct? 8 9 Okay. So those are the -- I was trying to get the significant dates so that we knew at what time 10 we needed to act. And I think that's really all my 11 12 concern was was how the time line worked so that we 13 could do the appropriate action in the appropriate 14 time. 15 MS. MORGAN: Well, that's our concern as well. So we're trying to get everything in place. 16 17 CHAIR LUMPE: Okay. And if we approve this stipulation, what in effect does that do, other than 18 state this is moot if we do the other one? 19 20 MS. MORGAN: Well, it sort of stays the 21 procedural schedule that is in place in that there would be no need to file surrebuttal testimony, no 22 23 need to have a hearing, and then at such time as the 24 other certificates are approved and the other tariffs 25 are approved, then it would -- we would withdraw all

17

1 the applications that make up this case. 2 CHAIR LUMPE: So approving this stip in 3 effect just stays all those other potential proceedings and urges us to act on these others in a 4 5 timely fashion; is that correct? MS. MORGAN: Correct. 6 7 CHAIR LUMPE: Thank you. Those are really 8 all my questions. 9 JUDGE HOPKINS: Commissioner Drainer? 10 COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Yes. QUESTIONS BY COMMISSIONER DRAINER: 11 12 Q. Good morning, Ms. Callahan. Good morning, Commissioner. 13 Α. How are you this morning? 14 Ο. 15 Good. And you? Α. Good. It really is a pleasure to see you 16 Q. 17 here. I do want to compliment the four companies that attempted to deal with the PTC issue in such an 18 expedited basis to take care of their customers, and 19 20 if the company you're with was trying to help them get 21 into place in a timely fashion, I thought it was very impressive and they should be complimented for that. 22 23 Α. Thank you. 24 Ο. To get to the final solution, I guess what I 25 had was that July 29th, 2000 is the latest date for

18

Rock Port and July 31st was the latest date for
 Holway, and that would be August 29th, 2000 as the
 final date for Iamo and KLM.

4 Having said that those are the dates that we
5 have to be sensitive to, have you worked on the joint
6 applications? Has your company worked on filing the
7 joint applications?

8 Α. Most of that's been done by Ms. Morgan. 9 All right. Then let me state that my Ο. concerns are how we get from here to those dates, and 10 if the final date for the, I guess the last company 11 that filed was KLM, and that would be the July 10th 12 effective date for the tariff that you were 13 discussing. All the applications are filed. Are they 14 15 identical?

16 MS. MORGAN: They are identical except for the entities to which the assets will be transferred. 17 Rock Port chose to use a subsidiary of Rock Port 18 19 Telephone Company. Iamo has asked that the assets be 20 transferred to a nonregulated subsidiary of Iamo 21 Telephone Company called First Fiber. KLM and Holway both formed new affiliates to handle the long distance 22 23 service.

24 COMMISSIONER DRAINER: All right. Now, with25 Rock Port I notice that you filed a letter for an

19

extension of the tariff to June 23rd. Are there any issues out there on any of these joint applications that you are needing to resolve with Staff that are now in conflict?

5 MS. MORGAN: Well, we filed that after a conversation with the Staff. It had to do with the 6 7 registration of the fictitious name. We had called 8 the Secretary of State's Office before we filed that 9 and understood that only one company could hold a 10 fictitious name, and so we had stated in the application that we couldn't transfer that fictitious 11 12 name until after the Commission had given its 13 approval.

The Staff had some concerns about approving a tariff or recommending approval of a tariff that the company really wasn't registered to use that name, the fictitious name. Ms. Murphy called the Secretary of State's office and got a different answer than we got when we called.

20 So we are in the process of now registering 21 that fictitious name, and that was one reason to 22 extend the effective date of the tariff. As I 23 understand it, the Secretary of State's Office will 24 let multiple companies register the same fictitious 25 name.

20

1 COMMISSIONER DRAINER: So you're in the 2 process of registering that, and have you talked to the Secretary of State's Office and now you are not 3 seeing any problems? 4 5 MS. MORGAN: They told me that there will be 6 no problems. 7 COMMISSIONER DRAINER: And so when you have 8 that registration you'll give that to Staff, and I 9 guess we'll have to swear in Ms. Murray so she can --10 Murphy so she can answer for Staff. I don't want you 11 to have to answer for her. 12 MS. MORGAN: Okay. COMMISSIONER DRAINER: So I have no other 13 questions for Ms. Callahan at this time. She could be 14 15 excused, then we could -- I'd like to swear in 16 Ms. Murphy so I can ask her the same thing. JUDGE HOPKINS: Thank you. You may be 17 excused. 18 19 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 20 (Witness excused.) 21 JUDGE HOPKINS: Ms. Morgan, I'm assuming you have no more witnesses; is that correct? 22 MS. MORGAN: I have no more witnesses. 23 24 JUDGE HOPKINS: All right. We'd like to 25 hear, Mr. Haas, from Sherri Murphy, please. 21

1 MR. HAAS: Staff calls Sherri Murphy. 2 COMMISSIONER DRAINER: But don't leave, 3 Ms. Callahan. MS. CALLAHAN: Commissioner, we learned 4 5 about customers from serving with you and our good friend Rachel. 6 7 (Witness sworn.) 8 JUDGE HOPKINS: Please be seated. State and 9 spell your name for the court reporter. 10 THE WITNESS: Sherri Murphy, S-h-e-r-r-i M-u-r-p-h-y. 11 JUDGE HOPKINS: Thank you. Mr. Haas, you 12 13 may proceed. SHERRI MURPHY testified as follows: 14 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HAAS: 16 Q. Ms. Murphy, where are you employed? Missouri Public Service Commission in the 17 Α. telecommunications department. 18 Q. What's your position? 19 20 I'm a rate and tariff examiner. Α. 21 Q. And what was your role in the cases that are involved in today's hearing? 22 23 Α. I have been assigned to review the four 24 applications that were recently filed. 25 JUDGE HOPKINS: Your Honor, those were all 22

1 the direct questions I have. I tender the witness. 2 JUDGE HOPKINS: Thank you. Ms. Morgan, any 3 cross-examination? MS. MORGAN: I have no questions. 4 JUDGE HOPKINS: Mr. Dandino? 5 MR. DANDINO: No questions, your Honor. 6 7 JUDGE HOPKINS: Mr. Schaefer? MR. SCHAEFER: No questions. 8 9 JUDGE HOPKINS: Commissioner Lumpe? QUESTIONS BY CHAIR LUMPE: 10 Ms. Murphy, you said you are to analyze the 11 Q. new cases that have been filed --12 13 Α. Yes. 14 Ο. -- the 633, et al? 15 Yes, that's correct. Α. 16 Q. And would you -- I'm still a little confused on the dates. I thought I was clear but now I'm 17 confused again. What is the first date by which one 18 of these has to be approved to make the other one go 19 20 away? 21 Α. The first date would be July the 29th. All right. So July, and which company is 22 Q. 23 that? 24 Α. That would be Iamo Long Distance. 25 Q. So if we approve this --23

1 COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Excuse me. It's Rock 2 Port. THE WITNESS: I have Rock Port as 3 expiring -- oh, you're right. It is Rock Port. I'm 4 5 sorry. It is Rock Port. BY CHAIR LUMPE: 6 7 Q. So it's Rock Port, and when does theirs expire? 8 9 Α. July the 29th. I'm sorry. July the 29th? 10 Q. 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. And so we would need to act on 663 before July 29th? 13 14 Α. Yes. 15 What is the next one then? Q. 16 Α. And the next date I showed is July the 31st, and that one --17 18 Q. Is that --19 -- is Holway Long Distance, I believe. Α. 20 Holway. Okay. And then the next one is? Q. 21 Α. And then the next two I show expiring no later than August the 29th. 22 23 Q. August 29th, and that's the last two? 24 Α. That's the last two. 25 Q. So those are the time lines by which we need 24

1 to respond to make this all mesh --

2	Α.	Yes.
3	Q.	is that correct?
4		CHAIR LUMPE: All right. Thank you. That's
5	what I ne	ed to know.
6		JUDGE HOPKINS: Commissioner Drainer?
7	QUESTIONS	BY COMMISSIONER DRAINER:
8	Q.	I'm sorry. I didn't mean to correct you.
9	Α.	No, you're right. I was on the wrong line.
10	Q.	This was more just a proceeding to clarify
11	some thin	gs for the Commissioners.
12		All right. I did have a question of who
13	from Staf	f was reviewing them. So you're doing their
14	review?	
15	Α.	Yes, I am.
16	Q.	My only concern when I read the stip and
17	read the	Staff's suggestions in support has remained
18	that we g	et this done on a timely basis. I guess the
19	Commissio	n on its own motion could always do an
20	extension	of the temporary if it was just a few days,
21	but it wo	uld be nice if it all was in place by
22	July 10th	. So the question I have for you, then, is
23	are you a	nticipating any problems?
24	Α.	I've only reviewed one tariff, and that
25	would be	the Rock Port proposed tariff. And I'm not
		25

1 sure how long it takes to get a fictitious name 2 registered at the Secretary of State's Office, but 3 I -- that was my only concern with the Rock Port tariff. 4 5 Ο. So if the Rock Port tariff, they get the fictitious name registered, you would recommend 6 7 approval? 8 Α. There were a few other tariff revisions that 9 need to be made. Some substitute pages need to be 10 filed. 11 Ο. For what purpose? 12 Minor typographical errors. And Ms. Morgan Α. and I have discussed that a little bit. We will get 13 those straightened up, the pages filed in a matter of 14 15 a couple of weeks. I would be certain of that. 16 Q. What type of typographical errors? Well --Α. 17 I mean, are they the type that change the 18 Q. substance of the tariff? 19 20 No. No, not at all. No. A typographical Α. 21 error is, for instance, they're missing some text in a couple of places. Nothing that would change the 22 23 intent of the tariff. 24 Ο. So if the text wasn't inserted, it still 25 would have been fine? 26

1 Α. No. That's why I have to get substitute 2 pages. 3 Well, then it is substantive if it isn't in Q. there and needs to be in, correct? 4 5 Α. Well, for instance, on their cover page, 6 they say that the company has authority pursuant to 7 Case No. and then it's blank. So they're missing the 8 case number. 9 MS. MORGAN: Which we didn't have at the time we filed the application. 10 BY COMMISSIONER DRAINER: 11 12 Q. And that's the case for like the joint application? 13 14 Α. Yes. 15 So you have to put in for Rock Port the Q. 16 2000-663 numbers. So that could be put in. COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Are those types of 17 things on the Rock Port application then something, 18 Ms. Morgan, that can be done on an expedited basis? 19 20 You didn't see any problems with the corrections that 21 need to be made, correct? 22 MS. MORGAN: No. Those usually can be 23 turned around within a day or so. Now, the 24 application for fictitious name I had to send to the 25 company to be signed by the president and the

27

1 secretary, and so that's --

2 COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Is that where that is
3 right now?
4 MS. MORGAN: That's where that is right now.

5 I can file it as soon as I get it back, and I expect 6 to have it within a few days.

JUDGE HOPKINS: Let me interrupt. Just to protect the record, on Commissioner Drainer's next to the last question, Sondra Morgan nodded her head indicating yes.

COMMISSIONER DRAINER: I'm sorry.
 JUDGE HOPKINS: I'm sorry. Go ahead.
 COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Thank you for
 catching that.

15 Then if -- and that's the type of thing that 16 can be overnighted back, right? I mean, if 17 everybody's intent is to get these in place on as expedited basis as possible so Staff can recommend 18 approval and they can be approved, then do you have 19 20 any idea with the Secretary of State's Office how long 21 they take on a fictitious name? 22 MS. MORGAN: We can ask for expedited 23 approval, which we will, and I would anticipate it 24 wouldn't take more than two or three days.

25 COMMISSIONER DRAINER: With the Secretary of

28

1 State?

2	MS. MORGAN: With the Secretary of State.
3	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: And so once you have
4	that and let Staff know that you do have that in
5	place, they can move forward asking us to recommend
6	the Rock Port tariff approval?
7	THE WITNESS: Actually, we need a copy of
8	that. They have to provide us with a copy and then
9	the substitute pages, and then I'm prepared to file a
10	recommendation to approve this with those revisions.
11	BY COMMISSIONER DRAINER:
12	Q. Do you have that written?
13	A. Actually, no, I don't have it written yet.
14	Q. But you could get it written
15	A. I could write it, yes.
16	Q so it would be ready?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. That would take care of Rock Port, which the
19	tariff extension date on it is June 23rd, is what we
20	have now. So do you anticipate any problems with
21	these mechanics being done in a timely enough fashion
22	to be able to get that recommendation to us so that it
23	can go into effect on June 23rd?
24	A. I have to route the recommendation seven
25	working days prior to that effective date. As long as
	29

1 I have the information from Ms. Morgan, I don't expect there would be a problem. 2 3 But you can walk it through the folks you Q. need to walk it through to get it to the 4 5 Commissioners? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Q. Okay. Now, we have three more applications. 8 The next one is the July 31st, which is Holway. That application is TA-2000-786. Is it my understanding 9 10 that you have not reviewed any of the other applications? 11 12 Α. I have not, no. How long does it take you to review an 13 Q. application of these types? 14 15 Well, since they're all almost identical, Α. 16 the first one, once we get it processed, and I think we'll have the fictitious name issue with some of the 17 other applications as well. She will have to register 18 19 the fictitious name for these others. It shouldn't 20 take long at all. 21 COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Ms. Morgan, are you sending letters to the presidents of the companies 22 23 asking for signature? 24 MS. MORGAN: Yes. The only other one that 25 we will have to register is Iamo, and that has already 30

1 been sent to the company for signature. So it will be 2 filed as soon as I get it back. 3 BY COMMISSIONER DRAINER: All right. So with Holway we won't need a 4 Ο. 5 fictitious name, so it will be that you need to review the tariffs? 6 7 Α. Yes. 8 Q. And what is your time line on reviewing a 9 tariff like that? 10 Α. How long does it take? 11 Ο. Uh-huh. 12 Probably about an hour. Α. 13 Okay. So now that you have all of the other Q. 14 three tariffs, you're going to be able to go ahead and 15 review them? Yes. 16 Α. And that's -- so we're talking a day --17 Q. 18 Α. Yes. -- that we just said, Don't give me anything 19 Q. 20 else. Let me just get these reviewed? Yes. I intend to expedite these. As soon 21 Α. as I have the Secretary of State information and the 22 23 substitute pages, I'll route that recommendation the 24 same day. 25 Q. Okay. So we're at the end of May, and it 31

1 seems to me that what I'm hearing is there have not 2 been any serious red flags with Rock Port, and if the 3 others are very similar in nature, the tariffs, we shouldn't be expecting a concern from Staff? 4 5 No, nothing from me, no concerns. Α. Okay. So do you, with your experience as 6 Ο. 7 reviewing so many tariffs and having them go through 8 the Commission, do you really perceive that there's 9 going to be any problem hitting this July 10th date for all four companies? 10 Α. July 10th? 11 12 Q. Uh-huh. The effective date? 13 Α. 14 Ο. That's the last one. 15 Α. I wouldn't expect there would be any problem 16 meeting that date, no. 17 Because you're not seeing anything at this Q. point from the first one? 18 No. The tariffs are almost identical to the 19 Α. 20 Fiber Four tariffs that are already on file here, the 21 difference being these rates are lower. Some of the 22 rates are lower. 23 Q. That should make the customers happy. 24 Α. Yes. 25 COMMISSIONER DRAINER: And Ms. Morgan, with 32

1 what you have to do on the fictitious names and the 2 typographical issues and text changes, having been 3 kind of given a heads up with the Rock Port, do you anticipate any problems making corrections to the 4 5 other three and having them returned? MS. MORGAN: No. We will certainly work 6 7 with Sherri to do whatever needs to be done as quickly 8 as possible. 9 COMMISSIONER DRAINER: And you're treating them with the same expedited consideration that Staff 10 is? 11 12 MS. MORGAN: Yes, we are. COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Okay. Can I ask, 13 14 does AT&T have any other concerns at this time, then? They don't have any problem with these tariffs going 15 16 in with the four? MR. SCHAEFER: No, I don't believe so. 17 COMMISSIONER DRAINER: And does the Office 18 of the Public Counsel? 19 20 MR. DANDINO: We have no problem. 21 COMMISSIONER DRAINER: We have lower rates. MR. DANDINO: We love those low rates. 22 23 COMMISSIONER DRAINER: This is a good thing? 24 MR. DANDINO: This is a good thing. 25 COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Well, that basically 33

1 was my concern when I saw the Unanimous Stipulation 2 and Agreement were the dates. It sounds like since 3 we've got all the four applications filed, that -- and the fictitious name filing is not something with the 4 5 Secretary of State that's really anything? MS. MORGAN: I --6 7 COMMISSIONER DRAINER: I mean, is this kind of a --8 9 MS. MORGAN: It seems to me that it doesn't 10 mean much if multiple corporations can use the same fictitious name, but I mean, that's their procedure, 11 12 so --13 COMMISSIONER DRAINER: But it's just a 14 process? 15 MS. MORGAN: Right. 16 COMMISSIONER DRAINER: That's one that you're telling me, from your understanding, the 17 Secretary of State's just a few days, and so we're 18 talking two or three days here, a day for Ms. Murphy 19 20 to look at them, another day to send her 21 recommendation and walk it through, and I won't ask to 22 have the manager of telecommunications sworn in, but 23 he's shaking his head yes back there. 24 I guess you're not anticipating any problems 25 with your chain that you go through to get it to the 34

1 Commission?

2	THE WITNESS: No. We've already discussed
3	it.
4	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: And I guess the legal
5	counsel will be Mr. Haas?
6	MR. HAAS: Mr. Williams will be handling
7	this when he returns from vacation.
8	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Okay. Will you
9	please relay to him that down in General Counsel's
10	office it needs to be given the same expedited
11	consideration so that once it moves from the
12	department of telecommunications and goes to the
13	general counsel office, that he review it and any
14	questions he has he gets back to Ms. Murphy as quickly
15	as possible so that that day it's moved through?
16	MR. HAAS: Yes, I will.
17	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Then I'm feeling a
18	much higher comfort level, and I appreciate that.
19	Thank you. No other questions.
20	QUESTIONS BY CHAIR LUMPE:
21	Q. Again, there are two dates that have been
22	mentioned that were not mentioned by you. I don't
23	know the significance of June 23 and I don't know the
24	significance of July 10.
25	A. July 10 is the effective date of some of the
	35

1 tariffs, I believe.

2 MS. MORGAN: The last two tariffs that were 3 filed, Holway and KLM. CHAIR LUMPE: The temporary tariff or the 4 5 new one? 6 MS. MORGAN: No. With the new applications 7 and the new tariffs. 8 CHAIR LUMPE: Are effective July 10th? 9 MS. MORGAN: Well, they are filed with that effective date, a 45 day effective date. 10 11 CHAIR LUMPE: Then what is August 29th? MS. MORGAN: That was the expiration date of 12 13 the temporary. 14 CHAIR LUMPE: All right. So the expiration dates of the temporary are August 29th and -- or 15 16 July 30th and August 29th, are those the -- are those the --17 THE WITNESS: Actually, the dates that I 18 have don't necessarily -- there have been several 19 20 dates tossed around, but --21 MS. MORGAN: I think some of us are using 22 the date --23 CHAIR LUMPE: I'm only confused about dates. 24 So if someone will clarify all these sets of dates for 25 me, I'll be --

36

1 THE WITNESS: When the temporary 2 certificates were issued, they were to be effective 3 for up to one year, and it's my understanding that two of them expired August the 29th and one expired July 4 5 the 29th and one expired July the 31st. BY CHAIR LUMPE: 6 7 Q. So those are the expiration dates of the 8 temporary certificates? 9 Α. Yes. 10 But now the filings of the new cases, those Q. go into effect on what set of dates? 11 12 Well, currently the first one, the only one Α. that I've reviewed is effective June the 23rd. 13 O. June 23rd? 14 15 Α. That's Rock Port. 16 Q. So really you want us to act by June 23rd, not August 29th? 17 Yes. We're going to get these done well in 18 Α. advance of that before the temporary certificates 19 20 expire. 21 Q. That's what I was looking for. 22 Α. Yes. 23 Q. What is the date you want us to act, then? 24 I was hearing August 29th and July, and I thought, 25 What is this June and July thing? So you really want 37

1 us to act before -- on the one case before June 23rd? 2 A. If we can get all the information that we 3 need, yes. Okay. And if you don't, then you apply for 4 Ο. extension or --5 A. Right. Ms. Morgan would have to file for an 6 7 extension of that tariff. 8 Q. And then on the other three is the 9 significant date July 10th? 10 A. Two of them are July 10th. I'm not sure about the other one. 11 MS. MORGAN: The tariff with the 45-day 12 effective date would be effective July 3rd for the 13 14 other one. 15 BY CHAIR LUMPE: 16 Q. So June 23rd, July 3rd and July 10th on 17 that. Thank you. I think I now have the dates in order. Okay. Thank you. I need to know when these 18 19 dates are so that we can act appropriately, and I was 20 thinking there was August and July 30th and August 21 29th, but that's not the significant date. A. Right. We should be well in advance of 22 23 that. 24 CHAIR LUMPE: All right. Thank you. That's 25 all I have.

38

# 1 QUESTIONS BY COMMISSIONER DRAINER:

2	Q. And just so we have the company, what we
3	will be expecting to receive so that we are well in
4	advance of the expiration because that's what we
5	want to do is no later than. We don't want to have to
6	file an extension past those dates is if you can
7	make everything happen and get any corrections made,
8	we should have the new Rock Port tariff in effect
9	June 23rd, we should have the new Iamo tariff in
10	effect July 3rd, and then we should have KLM and
11	Holway in effect July 10th, and then those expiration
12	dates are moot?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. And we're rocking and rolling on to the next
15	topic.
16	QUESTIONS BY JUDGE HOPKINS:
17	Q. I have one more question. I do apologize to
18	you, Ms. Murphy, but I want this on the record. I
19	issued a Notice of Operation of Law Dates on the 6th
20	day of April of this year and no one so far has
21	disagreed with me. I want this to make sure that they
22	are correct, and I will not issue a new notice just as
23	long as everybody agrees, but I do want this on the
24	record so I can refer to it.
25	Now, in TA-2000-23, which is KLM, I've got
	39

1 the operation of law date as the 30th of August 2000. 2 Α. I have August 29th. August 29th. Okay. Now, what is the --3 Q. what is the new case number for KLM? 4 5 I'm not sure if I --Α. COMMISSIONER DRAINER: It's TA-2000-789. 6 7 BY JUDGE HOPKINS: Q. 789. All right. The new case number on KLM 8 9 is TA-2000-789. And what is the operation of law date on that, or the tariff date I should say that you show 10 11 currently? A. On KLM? 12 13 COMMISSIONER DRAINER: July 10th. THE WITNESS: Yes. 14 15 BY JUDGE HOPKINS: July 10th. Okay. Now, on TA-2000-24, which 16 Ο. 17 is Holway, I've got that their temporary certificate expires August the 1st, and what are you showing? 18 19 Α. July 31st. 20 And the new case, which I have right here, Q. is TA-2000-786, and that's July 10th also, correct? 21 22 Α. Yes. 23 Q. Now, on Iamo, on TA-2000-25, I've got 24 August 30th and that's --25 A. I have August the 29th. 40

1	Q. August 29th. Thank you. And that is 765
2	now, the new case number?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. TA-2000-765. And its effective date for the
5	tariff is July 3rd; is that correct?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. All right. And finally, TA-2000-27, Rock
8	Port, I put down as August 30th, and what's the actual
9	date for that now?
10	A. Rock Port, it's actually July 29th.
11	Q. July 29th?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Or August 29th?
14	A. July 29th.
15	Q. Okay. I was way off on that one. And that
16	new case number is TA-2000
17	A. 663.
18	Q 663? And that effective date for the
19	tariff is?
20	A. It's been extended to June the 23rd.
21	Q. June 23rd. Thank you very much. I really
22	appreciate your going through that again.
23	JUDGE HOPKINS: Now, Ms. Morgan, do you have
24	any further questions of Ms. Murphy?
25	MS. MORGAN: I don't.
	41

JUDGE HOPKINS: Mr. Dandino? 1 2 MR. DANDINO: No questions, your Honor. 3 JUDGE HOPKINS: Mr. Schaefer? MR. SCHAEFER: No questions, Judge. 4 JUDGE HOPKINS: Any redirect, Mr. Haas? 5 6 MR. HAAS: No questions, your Honor. 7 JUDGE HOPKINS: Thank you. You may step 8 down. 9 (Witness excused.) JUDGE HOPKINS: Now, Mr. Schaefer, I think 10 you've got a witness. Do you wish to call any 11 12 witnesses? MR. SCHAEFER: If the Commission doesn't 13 have any questions for Mr. Kohly, I don't have any 14 15 intention of calling him. I don't think we'd have 16 anything to add here. JUDGE HOPKINS: Chair Lumpe indicates she 17 18 has no questions. COMMISSIONER DRAINER: As much as I hate 19 20 passing on your witness, I pass. 21 MR. KOHLY: I'll be back. 22 JUDGE HOPKINS: Commissioner Drainer 23 regretfully passes on that, and I don't have any 24 questions of Mr. Kohly. Thank you for being here, 25 though, Mr. Kohly.

42

1	All right. Seeing that there's nothing
2	further for any other party, I will close this
3	hearing.
4	Thank you-all very much.
5	WHEREUPON, the hearing of this case was
6	concluded.
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

43

1	I N D E X	
2	Opening Statement by Ms. Morgan Opening Statement by Mr. Haas	6 11
3	Opening Statement by Mr. Dandino Opening Statement by Mr. Schaefer	13 13
4	FIBER FOUR'S EVIDENCE:	
5	PHYLLIS CALLAHAN	
6	Direct Examination by Ms. Morgan Questions by Chair Lumpe	14 15
7	Questions by Commissioner Drainer	18
8	STAFF'S EVIDENCE:	
9	SHERRI MURPHY Direct Examination by Mr. Haas	22
10	Questions by Chair Lumpe Questions by Commissioner Drainer	22 23 25
11	Questions by Commissioner Drainer Questions by Commissioner Drainer Questions by Commissioner Drainer	35 38
12	Questions by Judge Hopkins	39
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	44	