1	STATE OF MISSOURI
2	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3	
4	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
5	Public Hearing
6	
7	June 16, 1999
8	St. Joseph, Missouri
9	Volume 3
10	
11	In the Matter of St. Joseph Light &) Power Company's Revised Industrial)
12	Power Company's Revised Industrial) Steam Rate Schedules Designed to) Case No. Increase Rates for Steam Service in) HR-99-245
13	the Company's Missouri Service) Territory
14	refrictory
15	In the Matter of St. Joseph Light &)
16	Power Company's Revised Electric) Case No. Rate Schedules Designed to Increase) ER-99-247 Rates for Electrical Service in the)
17	Company's Missouri Service Territory)
18	MORRIS L. WOODRUFF, Presiding,
19	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE.
20	M. DIANE DRAINER, Vice-Chair, COMMISSIONERS.
21	COMMISSIONERS.
22	REPORTED BY: KAREN J. LYMAN, RPR, CSR, CCR ROBERTS & ASSOCIATES COURT REPORTERS
23	ROBERTS & ASSOCIATES COURT REPORTERS
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES
2	MR. GARY MYERS AND MR. JAMES C. SWEARENGEN, 312 E. Capitol Avenue
3	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
4	FOR: St. Joseph Light & Power Company
5	MR. STUART W. CONRAD,
6	Finnegan, Conrad & Peterson 1209 Penntower Office Center
7	3100 Broadway Kansas City, MO 64111
8	FOR: Industrials
9	MR. JOHN B. COFFMAN, Assistant Public Counsel
10	P.O. Box 7800 Jefferson City, MO 65102-7800
11	FOR: Office of Public Counsel and the Public
12	MD DUOMAG D GGUNADA TO Donotes Geneval Goursel
13	MR. THOMAS R. SCHWARZ, JR., Deputy General Counsel P.O. Box 360 Tofforgon Gity MO 65102
14	Jefferson City, MO 65102 FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission
15	Service Commission
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Τ	PROCEEDINGS
2	(Hearing commenced at 6:00 p.m.)
3	
4	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Good evening
5	everyone. I guess we will go ahead and get
6	started. I'd like to welcome everyone here this
7	evening. My name is Morris Woodruff, and I'm the
8	Regulatory Law Judge with the Missouri Public
9	Service Commission. We're here today for a local
10	public hearing in the cases number HR-99-245 and
11	ER-99-247 and also $DC-95-73$. They all regard
12	St. Joseph Light & Power Company's proposed rate
13	increases for industrial steam and electrical
14	service. Notice of this hearing has been sent by
15	the Commission's information officer to various
16	local newspapers and radio stations.
17	I'll begin by giving a brief explanation of
18	the agency and the procedures that will be
19	followed tonight, and I'll identify some of the
20	people that are present here this evening. Also
21	there's a list of witnesses right up here at the
22	front. If anyone would like to offer a comment
23	tonight, please add your name to the list and
24	you'll be called up here to give your testimony.
25	The Commission has prepared a brief

1	information sheet which I think you all got a copy
2	of when you came in. If you don't have a copy,
3	just let us know and we will make sure you get
4	one.
5	The Missouri Public Service Commission is a
6	state agency that regulates rates charged by
7	investor-owned utility companies in Missouri and
8	makes sure those rates are just and reasonable.
9	Their operations also are regulated by the
10	Commission. The Public Service Commission is made
11	up of five commissioners who are appointed by the
12	Governor. One of the commissioners is here with
13	us tonight, Commissioner Diane Drainer.
14	Commissioner Drainer is also the vice-chairperson
15	of the Commission. The Commission employs a staff
16	of engineers, accountants, attorneys, financial
17	analysts and other specialists in utility
18	regulation and relies on their expertise. Some of
19	the parties are also here with us this evening.
20	Tom Schwarz is their attorney, and Mr. Schwarz, if
21	you'll introduce the other people from the
22	Commission.
23	MR. SCHWARZ: Okay. We have Jim
24	Watkins who is a regulatory economist. Steve

25 Traxler who is an accountant, and Lisa Canady

1	who is also an accountant. I believe that is the
2	entire staff that's here this evening.
3	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you, sir.
4	In addition to the Commission Staff, John Coffman
5	of the Office of the Public Counsel is present.
6	This is John over here. It is the job of the
7	Public Counsel to represent you the public in
8	hearings before the Commission. Mr. Coffman, is
9	anyone else here for the Public Counsel?
LO	MR. COFFMAN: No, I'm it. Thank
L1	you.
L2	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Representing
L3	St. Joseph Light & Power Company is their attorney
L4	Mr. James Swearengen, and Mr. Swearengen, if you'd
L5	like to introduce representatives of the Company.
L6	MR. SWEARENGEN: Thank you.
L7	Your Honor, Gary Myers is the general counsel and
L8	is here. I'll ask him to stand. There are other
L9	company representatives here that are interspersed
20	throughout, and I'll ask them to stand and
21	identify themselves.
22	MR. RUSH: Tim Rush. Manager
23	customer operations.
24	MR. STUART: John Stuart, vice

25 president customer service.

1	MR. VANDIVER: Ron Vandiver, key
2	customer consultant.
3	MS. LIECHTI: Lois Liechti,
4	supervisor of rates.
5	MS. BRADFORD: Sharon Bradford,
6	manager of customer services.
7	MR. MUSIL: Jerry Musil, manager
8	of corporate communications.
9	MR. BEDINGHAUS: Matt
10	Bedinghaus, manager of engineering.
11	MR. WARNER: Art Warner,
12	marketing.
13	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Anyone else?
14	MR. SWEARENGEN: Thank you.
15	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you.
16	Several industrial customers of St. Joseph Light &
17	Power have intervened in this case and they are
18	represented by their attorney Mr. Stuart Conrad.
19	There he is. Mr. Conrad, is there anyone you'd
20	like to introduce?
21	MR. CONRAD: It's my
22	understanding, Your Honor, that those individuals
23	have signed up to give testimony, so if it would
24	be agreeable with Your Honor, we would move to
25	proceed at this time.

1	JUDGE WOODRUFF: That would be
2	fine. Thank you. All right. The purpose of
3	tonight's hearing is to give members of the public
4	an opportunity to offer comments and suggestions
5	regarding proposed rate increases. Since this is
6	an official public hearing of the Public Service
7	Commission, the statements and testimony are being
8	recorded by the court reporter, and therefore they
9	must be under oath. A transcript from tonight's
10	proceeding will be read by the commissioners who
11	are unable to attend tonight.
12	St. Joseph Light & Power Company will not
13	have the opportunity to present witnesses this
14	evening and therefore cannot answer your questions
15	on the record. I believe they will be available
16	to speak with you after the hearing is completed,
17	and if you wish to speak to members of the Public
18	Service Commission staff or the Office of Public
19	Counsel, I'm sure they will be available after the
20	hearing also.
21	Our procedure is going to be as follows: I'm

Our procedure is going to be as follows: I'm going to begin the hearing by giving the attorneys for all the parties a chance to make an opening statement. Then John Coffman of the Office of the Public Counsel will call your name to testify.

22

23

24

1	When your name is called please come stand at the
2	podium and I'll swear you in, and make sure you
3	spell your name for the reporter so she can put it
4	in the record correctly. There may be a few
5	preliminary questions from Mr. Coffman such as
6	your address and so forth, and then you may make
7	your statement. I ask that you please remain at
8	the podium until I excuse you after you speak.
9	There may be some questions for you from
LO	Mr. Coffman or from one of the other attorneys, a
L1	commissioner, or from myself. We sometimes ask
L2	follow-up questions to clarify any details
L3	surrounding your comments. The record from
L4	tonight's hearing does become part of the formal
L5	record upon which a final decision is based and so
L6	we want it to accurately reflect your comments.
L7	Does anyone have any questions before we proceed?
L8	(No response.)
L9	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay.
20	Commissioner Drainer?
21	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Only I
22	wish to thank everyone for coming this evening,
23	and if there are any problems hearing anyone
24	making statements, please let us know so we can
25	accommodate everyone so we do make sure you do

1	hear what's going on in the proceeding. I won't
2	detain us from moving forward, so thank you.
3	JUDGE WOODRUFF: We will begin
4	then, and I'll ask counsel to make their entries
5	of appearance on the record.
6	MR. SWEARENGEN: Yes, thank you.
7	Let the record show the appearance of James C.
8	Swearengen, 312 East Capital Avenue, Jefferson
9	City, Missouri, on behalf of the company.
10	JUDGE WOODRUFF: For Staff?
11	MR. SCHWARZ: Thomas R. Schwarz,
12	Jr., P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri,
13	appearing for the Staff of the Public Service
14	Commission.
15	JUDGE WOODRUFF: For Public
16	Counsel.
17	MR. COFFMAN: John B. Coffman,
18	P.O. Box 7800, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
19	appearing on behalf of the Office of the Public
20	Counsel and the rate payers.
21	JUDGE WOODRUFF: And for the
22	industrial intervenors?

COVERING MISSOURI 1-800-633-8289

show the appearance of Stuart Conrad, 1209

Penntower Office Center, 3100 Broadway, Kansas

MR. CONRAD: Your Honor, please

23

24

1	City, Missouri 64111. And I would respectfully
2	reserve an opening statement so we can conduct the
3	hearing. This is an opportunity for the public
4	and the customers to give their remarks. Thank
5	you.
6	JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right.
7	Thank you. Mr. Coffman, would you like to make
8	any opening statements?
9	MR. COFFMAN: Just briefly. As
10	Judge Woodruff explained, the Office of Public
11	Counsel is a separate agency. It is our job to be
12	involved with Public Service Commission hearings
13	and do our best to represent the public. We focus
14	our advocacy primarily on the residential and
15	small business rate payers to the extent sometimes
16	those rate payers conflict with industrial
17	interests. Mr. Conrad, of course, knows very well
18	in representing the industrial customers when
19	there is a conflict, but just happy to have those
20	people who are here from all customer classes; and
21	we will be eventually having hearings in Jefferson
22	City where we will try the highly technical
23	aspects of this case, which are some of the
24	issues. As you heard, the electric company is

requesting various raises that add up to

1	approximately six million dollars. The staff of
2	the Public Service Commission and the Office of
3	Public Counsel take different positions on those
4	issues and, in fact, believe that the company
5	should be earning less by several million dollars.
6	So we will have to hash this all out later. There
7	are other issues than the revenues and issues
8	involving how this company is regulated, how its
9	tariffs are designed, but basically we're here to
10	get public comments so that that can be in the
11	record and we would consider that. I will call
12	all the names and you may make any further
13	statements you like.
14	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you.
15	Mr. Swearengen?
16	MR. SWEARENGEN: Thank you, Your
17	Honor. I've been participating in cases with
18	Mr. Conrad now I think for over 20 years, and this
19	is the first time I can recall that he's had the
20	opportunity to make a speech and has turned it
21	down. I have no formal opening statement. We
22	look forward to hearing the comments of our
23	customers this evening. Thank you very much.
24	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Mr. Schwarz?
25	MR. SCHWARZ: I have no opening

12

ROBERTS & ASSOCIATES BY KAREN J. LYMAN, RPR, CSR

1	statements to make at this time.
2	JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right,
3	thank you. And Mr. Conrad has already waived his.
4	We will go ahead with the first witness.
5	Mr. Coffman?
6	MR. COFFMAN: First name on the
7	sign-up sheet is John Barclay.
8	
9	JOHN BARCLAY
10	called as a witness herein, being first duly sworn
11	by Judge Woodruff, testified on his oath as
12	follows:
13	MR. COFFMAN: For the record
14	please give your address and who you represent.
15	MR. BARCLAY: Do you want a
16	corporate address or do you want a home address?
17	MR. COFFMAN: Depends on who you

MR. BARCLAY: I'm representing a

20 corporation.

18

21 MR. COFFMAN: Okay. Well, then

the corporate address.

are representing.

MR. BARCLAY: 609 North Second

24 Street, St. Joseph, Missouri 64501. The

25 corporation is Wire Rope Corporation of America,

2	MR. COFFMAN: Thank you. I
3	think that's all the preliminary questions I have.

4 Please go ahead with your comments.

Incorporated.

steel cable.

5 MR. BARCLAY: Well, thank you
6 for the opportunity. As I already stated my name
7 is John Peter Barclay, Jr. I'm chairman and chief
8 executive officer of Wire Rope Corporation of
9 America, Incorporated. WRCA. A position that
10 I've held since December of 1961. Wire Rope
11 Corporation's core business is the manufacturing
12 and distribution of Wire Rope. Familiarly called

Wire Rope is primarily used in hoisting,
lifting and hauling. The major market segments
WRCA services are mining, such as for coal in the
Powder River Basin of Wyoming and copper in the
U.S. Southwest as well as in Peru and Chile. The
oil and gas industries, both exploration and
workover rigs. Wire Rope operates on a global
basis such as Venezuela, Southeast Asia and other
areas. Logging industry in the Pacific northwest
and Canada, general construction world wide such
as tower and mobile cranes and similar equipment;
domestic as well as foreign steel mills; specialty

1	assemblies for the aircraft industries, such as
2	Boeing, and general purpose ropes as well as

Marine and fishing ropes.

Our emphasis is on value added products. We
sell performance and service relative to cost.

Therefore, we place great emphasis on quality, and
we are the only manufacturer in the U.S. that is
ISO 9001 certified. But such quality is measured
relative to cost, where we have placed equal
emphasis.

WRCA is one of St. Joseph Light & Power
Company's largest electrical customers. I have
served on the board of directors of St. Joseph
Light & Power Company since May of 1974. Since I
cannot recall any specific discussions regarding
St. Joseph Light and Power Company's electrical
rates and schedules having taking place at the
board level and at board meetings or any
subcommittee meetings that I have attended over
the 25 years that I have served on Light & Power's
board, I can see no conflict of interest in my
testifying.

I feel compelled to testify as an intervenor on behalf of Wire Rope Corporation of America,
Incorporated, WRCA, whose competitiveness in the

global economy is my first concern and
responsibility. At the same time it appears to
the management of WRCA that St. Joseph Light &
Power should act as our advocate in the interest
of retaining jobs and promoting growth of a local
industry.

In the years past with St. Joseph Light & Power Company being a low-cost producer of electricity, the management then of WRCA paid only cursory attention to the structure of St. Joseph Light & Power's electrical rates and schedules. However, as the domestic wire rope industry has been decimated by unfair traded, imported wire rope, primarily from Asia, and the domestic manufacturers have lost market share from 97 percent to just over 50 percent and the number of U.S. based manufacturers of wire rope has shrunk from more than 20 to now just four, every cost -- and certainly power is a highly significant cost -- has come under closer scrutiny and analysis.

That closer scrutiny and analysis has led WRCA's management to conclude that in the past Public Service Commissions have been guilty of widening the gap between electrical rates and the

cost of service, particularly in the case of
manufacturers, for the perceived public benefit of
subsidizing other rate classes.

Since Wire Rope Corporation of America,
Incorporated, operates wire mills in Chillicothe,
Kansas City, St. Joseph, and Sedalia, Missouri,
and manufactures wire rope and fabricated products
in Kansas City, Kirksville, St. Joseph, and
Sedalia, Missouri, we are deeply concerned about
this widening gap between our electrical rates and
the cost of our service. Only in Chillicothe are
our electrical rates competitive with the
deregulated environment being mandated by
Washington, D.C.

Our decision to locate our wire group in Chillicothe, Missouri, rather than in St. Joseph was based on many factors and many issues. One was a growing distrust of government under the then mayor Glenda Kelly with respect to the utility franchise tax. But far more significant in our decision was the competitive power rate offered in Chillicothe. A rate that was based on the cost of service. The result was that more than 125 jobs went to Chillicothe rather than St. Joseph. Wire Rope Corporation can no longer

1	subsidize other customer classes and remain
2	globally competitive, hold market share in the
3	U.S., and retain jobs. Because of our financial
4	commitments and our commitments to all our
5	employees in the State of Missouri, we would
6	welcome and applaud a definitive move by the
7	Public Service Commission to close, or at the very
8	minimum significantly narrow the gap between
9	WRCA's cost of service and WRCA's power rates.
10	Equally unfair and unjustified are the
11	peaking and seasonal charges levied on WRCA and
12	manufacturing customers of the St. Joseph Light &
13	Power. WRCA's experience is that we are charged
14	for peaking when WRCA does not peak. We are
15	charged for seasonality when WRCA's consumption
16	does not vary significantly from month to month or
17	from hour to hour. Without such a positive
18	initiative being taken by the Public Service
19	Commission, Wire Rope Corporation of America,
20	Incorporated, will be forced to pursue either
21	co-generation or to shift manufacturing from
22	St. Joseph to our other facilities and/or at some
23	later date relocate our St. Joseph facilities
24	elsewhere. The issue is that serious, and ours

25 will be strictly a business decision.

	ROBERTS & ASSOCIATES BY KAREN J. LYMAN, RPR, CSR
1	Thank you for the courtesy of listening to my
2	testimony.
3	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you, sir.
4	Mr. Coffman, do you have any questions?

5 MR. COFFMAN: No questions,

6 thank you.

JUDGE WOODRUFF: Mr. Schwarz?

MR. SCHWARZ: No questions.

MR. SWEARENGEN: No questions.

JUDGE WOODRUFF: Mr. Conrad?

MR. CONRAD: No questions.

13 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Commissioner

14 Drainer?

15 COMMISSIONER DRAINER: I have a

16 few questions. Thank you very much for a very

17 eloquent testimony. I appreciate it.

18 Second, could you tell me who does provide

19 your electricity in Chillicothe?

MR. BARCLAY: It's a co-op.

21 COMMISSIONER DRAINER: So it's

one of the electric co-ops?

MR. BARCLAY: And they aren't

24 actually under the Public Service Commission, so

25 they make the cost of service the issue.

1	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Because
2	they are providing to their basically to their
3	owners, right, co-ops to owners only?
4	MR. BARCLAY: Well, yes, I guess
5	you could say that.
6	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: I live
7	out in the country, so I have a lot of respect for
8	our co-ops.
9	MR. BARCLAY: And the work they
10	do in Chillicothe is outstanding, so we're happy
11	people.
12	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: How many
13	employees do you have?
14	MR. BARCLAY: Total?
15	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Total.
16	MR. BARCLAY: Now after this
17	last acquisition we have about 1,500.
18	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: And just
19	because of curiosity, I would like to make sure I
20	understand, when you were talking wire rope, you
21	were saying that's steel cable?
22	MR. BARCLAY: Steel cable.
23	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: And just
24	really so I understand, like I have a come-along
25	that I used this past weekend that has a steel

1	cable.
2	MR. BARCLAY: That's wire rope.
3	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: That's
4	wire rope. So I could be using your wire rope.
5	MR. BARCLAY: Could be.
6	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Well, it
7	helped me with a project, so I appreciate that it
8	was very well made. Thank you very much. I
9	appreciate your honesty.
LO	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you, sir.
L1	MR. BARCLAY: Thank you.
L2	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Mr. Coffman,
L3	next witness?
L4	MR. COFFMAN: Next witness would
L5	be Ken Cooper.
L6	
L7	KEN COOPER
L8	called as a witness herein, being first duly sworn
L9	by Judge Woodruff, testified on his oath as
20	follows:
21	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Mr. Coffman?
22	MR. COFFMAN: Yes, sir. Just
23	spell your name and give your address for the
24	record, please.
25	MR. COOPER: Ken Cooper, 2017

- Lower Lake Road, Post Office Box 4099, St. Joseph
 64504.
- 3 MR. COFFMAN: Are you
- 4 representing an industrial employer?
- 5 MR. COOPER: Yes, I am. I'm
- 6 representing Friskies Pet Care, Division of Nestle
- 7 Corporation.
- 8 MR. COFFMAN: Please proceed.
- 9 MR. COOPER: As I said, I'm the
- 10 regional human resource manager for Friskies Pet
- 11 Care. I represent the two plants here in
- 12 St. Joseph. We have two manufacturing sites; one
- on Packers Avenue which produces the Fancy Feast
- 14 product for over 30 different countries throughout
- the world. We are one of only two plants in the
- 16 United States that produce the Fancy Feast product
- 17 as you see probably on advertisements, 3.3 ounce
- 18 can. We also have a facility on Lower Lake where
- 19 we produce both canned cat and dry cat and dog
- 20 food, so we have two different sections there
- 21 including products such as Friskies Buffet, Alpo
- Ocean Whitefish, Alpo Lamb and Rice, Come and Get
- 23 It, Friskies Dental Diet, Chef's Blend, Alpo Beef
- 24 Dinners and a multitude of different Friskies
- 25 products. We have approximately 412 employees

1	located at these two plants. We're currently in
2	the process of hiring 20 more and we have a
3	payroll of over \$17 million annually. We pay
4	our payroll taxes are over a half million dollars
5	a year. Our property taxes over a million dollars
6	a year. These dollars spent in St. Joseph and the
7	State of Missouri, of course. The competition for
8	Friskies Pet Care in the pet food industry is
9	extremely strong. We're dealing with many, many
10	powerful names in the pet care industry including
11	Ralston Purina, Heinz, Mars, world-wide type
12	companies, and if you noticed out at Wal-Mart or
13	wherever you shop, the price per can, you're
14	talking cents. You're talking 25 cents, you're
15	talking 26 cents a can. So it's very, very price
16	focused in the industry here.

The external competition is very severe. Any change of a cent per can makes a major difference in the volumes that are sold out there. We lose customers based on increases of as little as a penny a can. Also we have international customers throughout Nestle. We have nine other sites in the United States that manufacture pet food in different states, several of those being here in the Midwest, and we go on the basis of a low cost

producer. Therefore, it's very important to us

what the cost of our products are. Any increases

in that cost could result in our products being

shifted and volumes of our products being shifted

to another site.

We also have 60 pet food plants throughout the world. A big portion of our manufacturing operation here in St. Joseph is export to other countries. As I said earlier, Packers Avenue, we have about 30 different companies we export to.

About 35 percent of our entire business is exported to other countries, so it's a big part of our operation. However, a lot of these little countries also have plant food manufacturing sites. We're able to send it out because we are cost competitive.

We also get in a lot of competition from some of the major competitors I mentioned earlier but also from the private label is becoming very popular in the stores now, as you see with any other food products. It's also hitting the pet food industry. Private label is undercutting a lot of major manufacturers and causing us a lot of concern out there. The marketplace is very price-oriented. Therefore, we're not able to pass

24

ROBERTS & ASS	OCIATES BY	KAREN J.	LYMAN.	RPR.	CSR

1	those increases on to our customers. They can
2	result in lost customer base out there.
3	Basically, in conclusion, we're asking the
4	Public Service Commission to service industry in
5	connection with this rate case.
6	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Mr. Coffman,
7	any questions?
8	MR. COFFMAN: No questions.
9	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Mr. Swearengen?
10	MR. SWEARENGEN: No questions.
11	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Mr. Schwarz?
12	MR. SCHWARZ: No questions.
13	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Mr. Conrad?
14	MR. CONRAD: No questions, thank
15	you.
16	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Ms. Drainer?
17	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Actually
18	I have to ask, you were saying that you packaged
19	the ocean fish, white fish?
20	MR. COOPER: Yes.
21	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: For cats?
22	MR. COOPER: Ocean white fish is
23	one of our products.
24	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: And what
25	was the Alpo one?

1	MR. COOPER: We had a Alpo beef
2	dinners. We have Alpo beef dinners.
3	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: The can?
4	MR. COOPER: That is the dry.
5	We don't do the Alpo canned here in St. Joseph.
6	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: But you
7	do the can
8	MR. COOPER: We do canned cat;
9	canned Buffet and canned Fancy Feast.
LO	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: And let
L1	me tell you, I have ten cats. They eat your food,
L2	and if those go up three cents I'm not not going
L3	to buy it, so it isn't worth listening to them
L4	when they won't eat anything else. So okay. How
L5	would I know if you had canned it if I look at one
L6	of my cans?
L7	MR. COOPER: There's a code on
L8	the cans that and I'm going to have to look to
L9	one of my people to tell you what that code is.
20	I'm human resources. They don't tell us anything.
21	Employees, do you know what the code is for
22	St. Joseph?
23	WOMAN FROM AUDIENCE: SM, and I
24	believe the other is KM.
25	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Okay.

1	MR. COOPER: She's the expert.
2	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: There you
3	go. Thank you very much, and I appreciate your
4	testimony.
5	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you,
6	Mr. Cooper.
7	MR. COOPER: Thank you.
8	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Next witness?
9	MR. COFFMAN: The next witness
10	is Gary Chesnut.
11	
12	GARY CHESNUT
13	called as a witness herein, being first duly sworn
14	by Judge Woodruff, testified on his oath as
15	follows:
16	MR. COFFMAN: Would you please
17	spell your name for the record and give your
18	address.
19	MR. CHESTNUT: My name is Gary
20	Chesnut, C-H-E-S-N-U-T. I'm with Ag Processing,
21	and my business address is P.O. Box 2047, Omaha,
22	Nebraska, 68103. We have a plant here on Lower
23	Lake Road.
24	MR. COFFMAN: Ag Processing is
25	an industrial customer of St. Joseph Light &

1	Power?
2	MR. CHESNUT: That's correct.
3	MR. COFFMAN: Thank you.
4	MR. CHESNUT: Once again my name
5	is Gary Chesnut. I'm the corporate purchasing
6	manager for Ag Processing, Incorporated. We're a
7	cooperative. Thank you for the opportunity to
8	speak to you tonight. Ag Processing is a co-op
9	whose mission is to serve local cooperatives and
10	agricultural producer owners, which are farmers,
11	by purchasing grain, processing grain and
12	marketing agricultural products. AGP is owned by
13	285 local cooperatives and ten regional
14	cooperatives representing 300,000 farmers in 16
15	states throughout the United States and Canada.
16	AGP operates in a global market.
17	In St. Joseph AGP processes soybeans, refines
18	soy and other vegetable oils. We produce soy
19	by-products and soy flour. Our products are
20	produced in bulk quantities. The majority of
21	products purchased are priced as a commodity on
22	the Chicago Board of Trade which is influenced by
23	both direct and indirect interests. AGP operates
24	within a given margin without the ability to pass
25	on energy price increases to our customers.

1	In calendar year 1998 AGP St. Joseph plant
2	paid the following. They paid public taxes
3	221,000; real estate tax of 125,000. We have
4	employee payroll of over six million. Number of
5	employees is 166 at the St. Joseph plant, and
6	we've also paid St. Joe Light & Power about \$6.6
7	million a year. AGP is a steam and electrical
8	customer of St. Joseph Light & Power.
9	I would like to address the following issues
LO	related to the St. Joseph Light & Power steam rate
L1	case and electric rate case. First issue. Rates
L2	must be based on cost of service. Second issue.
L3	Monopolies should not have performance based
L4	incentives. Third issue. Energy cost adjustment
L5	must not be allowed, and the fourth issue is
L6	St. Joe Light & Power excess earnings.
L7	First issue again, cost based rates. It is
L8	our understanding that industrial customers are
L9	currently subsidizing residential customers by
20	eleven percent, which is over \$4 million per year,
21	based on standard cost of service principles. The
22	commission staff is proposing to increase the
23	subsidy to six million per year without using
24	standard costs to these principles. Industries

operating in the global commodity market cannot

afford to continue subsidizing other than paying customers. In addition, rate subsidies promote

inefficient use of energy.

1

2

8

19

20

21

22

23 2.4

25

Second issue, performance based incentives. 5 St. Joe Light & Power has proposed performance based incentives based on performance measures; A, customer satisfaction; B, customer service average customer minutes of interruption and 9 outreach frequencies; and C, employee safety. I'd 10 like to address A first, customer satisfaction. A 11 number of years ago St. Joe Light & Power sent to me a survey. I did not complete the survey 12 13 because I did not feel that the survey asked 14 pertinent questions and felt the questions were 15 biased. In addition, answering surveys of this type takes time, and experience has proven to me 16 17 that surveys seldom result in any type of 18 corrective actions.

> B, average customer minutes of interruption. Currently St. Joseph Light & Power ranks at least reliable of all AGP plants. AGP lost more than a hundred hours of operating time in 1998; operating time or lost production time caused by either a utility outage or reduced voltage. In competitive markets we would lose customers if we failed to

deliver	а	anality	product	on	time

2 C, in regards to employee safety. We do not understand why a company such as St. Joseph Light & Power needs the incentive to operate a safe 5 working environment for their employees. In fact, OSHA will issue fines for not providing a safe 6 7 working environment. Safety is a high priority with AGP. St. Joseph Light & Power is a monopoly. 8 9 Currently AGP has no other vendors to purchase 10 steam or electricity. It is St. Joseph Light and 11 Power's obligation to provide the best quality 12 product and service at the lowest possible cost. 13 They owe it to their customers and the 14 stockholders. We believe that performance based 15 incentives destroy the accounting creativity to arrive at particular results. The final result 16 17 may not be a true reflection of service quality 18 and the costs paid by the customer to obtain the 19 results may not be considered. 20 AGP requests that the Commission reject performance based incentives proposed by 21 22 St. Joseph Light & Power.

Third issue is energy cost adjustments.

Several years ago St. Joseph Light & Power had a bill to adjust the monthly cost of steam based

23

1	fuel cost adjustment. The Commission at that time
2	removed the bill for various reasons. AGP
3	believes that energy cost adjustments allow a
4	plant to operate inefficiently and do not utilize
5	the lowest cost fuel. Another concern with the
6	fuel cost adjustment factors is a lack of
7	information related to budgeting. AGP, just as
8	other customers of St. Joseph Light & Power,
9	operates their facilities as an independent cost
10	center projecting operating costs on a monthly
11	basis and make various decisions based upon those
12	cost projections. Normally fuel cost adjustment
13	factors is provided after the operating month
14	allowing cost adjustments. This will make it
15	difficult for many utility customers, including
16	AGP, to make informative operating decisions that
17	will benefit the customers and their owners. AGP
18	requests that the Commission reject the proposed
19	energy cost adjustment.
20	Fourth issue, St. Joseph Light & Power's
21	excess earning. AGP is supportive of the
22	Commission staff's initial complaint case
23	decreasing total revenues by \$7 million. Even
24	though St. Joseph Light & Power has taken the

position that the best defense is a good offense,

we support decreasing rates. AGP requests that
the Commission require St. Joseph Light & Power to
provide optional tariffs that would benefit both
the utility and customers. Many utilities located
in the Midwest offer various electric tariff
options. Example; time of use rates, introductory
provisions, those things. In addition a bundling
of rates would be helpful when discussing
electrical structuring.

In conclusion, AGP has various plants in Iowa, Nebraska, Minnesota, and Texas. The St.

Joseph plant is served by one of the highest priced electrical utilities in the company. We are doing our part to attempt to keep St. Joseph Light & Power's costs down.

Recently AGP deeded a section of land approximately 2,000 feet by 65 feet to St. Joseph Light & Power for \$10. This allowed St. Joseph Light & Power to build to extend their coal rail track to receive trains, resulting in substantial transportation cost savings.

In addition to the high kilowatt hour cost,

St. Joseph Light & Power service outages rank as
one of the highest for all AGP plants. Earlier I
discussed AGP lost a hundred hours of operating

1	time in 1998. This loss of utility service is one
2	of the highest plant production outages in our
3	company. We respectfully request that the
4	Commission reject St. Joseph Light and Power's
5	position that the best defense is a good offense
6	and give consideration to the staff's complaint
7	that
8	St. Joseph Light and Power has earned excess
9	revenues. We also ask that subsequent cost of
LO	service standards match cost of service
L1	principles. Thank you for your attention.
L2	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you, sir.
L3	Mr. Coffman, any questions?
L4	MR. COFFMAN: Yes. Mr. Chesnut,
L5	you made a statement I think was your first
L6	point about what you believe was an eleven
L7	percent subsidy of industrial customers? I was
L8	wondering if you could tell us what the source of
L9	that figure came from.
20	MR. CHESNUT: AGP is part of a
21	group, industrial group, Divan and Morris Group,
22	and they sponsored this testimony.
23	MR. COFFMAN: That came from the
24	testimony?

MR. CHESNUT: That's correct.

1	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Mr. Swearengen?
2	MR. SWEARENGEN: No questions.
3	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Mr. Schwarz?
4	MR. SCHWARZ: No questions.
5	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Commissioner
6	Drainer?
7	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Yes, good
8	evening. Thank you for your comments. You stated
9	that you deeded, AGP deeded land.
10	MR. CHESNUT: Uh-huh.
11	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: For \$10.
12	MR. CHESNUT: Uh-huh.
13	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Why did
14	you do that?
15	MR. CHESNUT: Two reasons. One,
16	we had a dispute. This resolved a dispute that we
17	had with Light & Power, and in addition we also
18	wanted to reduce their cost, and as one of our top
19	management said, we wanted to be a good neighbor.
20	We're right across the street from the power
21	plant.
22	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Okay.
23	And AGP, is it a farm cooperative or does it
24	service the farm co-ops such as AAA and Farmland?
25	MR. CHESNUT: No, we're a

т	separate co-op by ourselves.
2	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Are they
3	your competitors?
4	MR. CHESNUT: No. Well, there
5	is one co-op, Dunn, in Arkansas, but basically
6	we're the largest soybean processing co-op let's
7	say west of the Mississippi.
8	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Oh, wow.
9	Thank you. And thank you very much for your
10	comments.
11	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Next witness.
12	MR. COFFMAN: The next witness
13	will be Tom Wolters.
14	
15	TOM WOLTERS
16	called as a witness herein, being first duly sworn
17	by Judge Woodruff, testified on his oath as
18	follows:
19	MR. COFFMAN: Could you state
20	and spell your name for the record.
21	MR. WOLTERS: Tom Wolters,
22	W-O-L-T-E-R-S, representing Quaker Oats Company.
23	MR. COFFMAN: And is Quaker Oats
24	an industrial customer of St. Joseph Light & Power
25	electric service?

1	MR. WOLTERS: Yes.
2	MR. COFFMAN: And would you give
3	your address for us, please.
4	MR. WOLTERS: 2811 South 11th
5	Street, St. Joseph, 64503.
6	MR. COFFMAN: Please proceed
7	with your comments.
8	MR. WOLTERS: As I stated, my
9	name is Tom Wolters. I'm the manager of
10	engineering at the St. Joseph plant. An
11	introduction to the company, our company is
12	headquartered in Chicago, Illinois, and it's a
13	global food and beverage company with
14	manufacturing operations and sales in countries
15	throughout the globe. We have six North American
16	manufacturing plants, one being located in
17	St. Joseph, Cedar Rapids, Iowa, Shiremanstown,
18	Pennsylvania, Danville, Illinois, Manhattan,
19	Kansas, and Peterborough, Ontario, Canada. We
20	also have seven Gatorade beverage plants; two
21	Golden Grain pasta plants, and one rice cake
22	plant in the U.S. as well as various distribution
23	centers throughout the United States.
24	Our product lines company wide include
25	ready-to-eat cereals such as Captain Crunch,

1	Quaker Toasted Oatmeal, Life Cereal, oatmeal,
2	standard oatmeal in tubes, pouches, instant
3	oatmeal as well as industrial and food service
4	oats. Aunt Jemima pancake and syrup products,
5	corn bread and coffee cake, grits and corn
6	products such as Motsa Morena and corn meal.
7	Golden grain products such as Rice-A-Roni pastas,
8	snacks such as rice cakes, popcorn cakes, Quaker
9	chewy granola bars and fruit and oatmeal bars.
10	Crunchable wheat germ and finally Gatorade thirst
11	quencher beverages. Our manufacturing plant here
12	in St. Joseph, Missouri, dates back to the 1920s.
13	It's one of the six North American cereal
14	manufacturing locations and is the second largest
15	manufacturing location for the Quaker Oats Company
16	world-wide next to Cedar Rapids, Iowa. The annual
17	production from St. Joseph's plant is
18	approximately 20 million cases annually. The
19	products we produce here in St. Joseph include
20	ready-to-eat cereals, oatmeal products, grits,
21	corn products, Aunt Jemima pancake products. Our
22	employment consists of over 650 people being
23	comprised of 500 bargaining unit people, 110
24	salaried and managerial people, in addition to a
25	seasonal work force of temporary workers numbering

1 approximately 150.

2 Our annual payroll is approximately \$30 3 million. Our local property taxes are in excess of \$800,000 annually in addition to state and 5 federal taxes. We're a major supporter and a very involved corporate citizen in the St. Joseph 7 community. We are a major contributor to charitable causes, a supporter and contributor to 8 9 education at all levels within the city, and we 10 allow employees to be involved and contribute 11 their talents and participate in many St. Joseph 12 programs including education, community volunteer 13 organizations, charitable services, and the plant 14 donates over \$50,000 annually to causes and organizations within the St. Joseph community. 15 Our Quaker Oats plant in St. Joseph is the 16 17 No. 1 largest electrical power user and customer 18 for the St. Joseph Light & Power Company with annual electrical power utility costs of 19 20 approximately \$2 million annually. We're a major customer providing significant business income to 21 22 many local businesses within the community. The utilities consisting of electricity, water, sewer 23 24 and natural gas, construction contractors, 25 warehousing, transportation, business machines and

1	office products as well as local farmers supplying
2	us ground.
3	The scope of competition in the Quaker Oats
4	Company is based on cost per case, and we are, of
5	course, in competition in a global market. I
6	mentioned the six North American food plants which
7	we compete for products. We can manufacture those
8	products at any location. We compete for volume
9	based cost per case, and the cost of electricity
10	is a factor in that case. The cost per case cost.
11	In addition to that competition we must
12	continue to overcome hurdles to secure new capital
13	investments for future business and production
14	volume growth. That concludes what I have to say.
15	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you, sir.
16	Mr. Coffman?

MR. COFFMAN: No questions.

JUDGE WOODRUFF: Mr. Swearengen?

MR. SWEARENGEN: No questions,

thank you.

JUDGE WOODRUFF: Mr. Schwarz?

MR. SCHWARZ: One question.

What are industrial oats?

24 MR. WOLTERS: Industrial oats,

25 that's simply food processing not for the

1	customer, but 50 pound sacks that we actually sell
2	to industry.
3	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Mr. Conrad?
4	MR. CONRAD: No questions.
5	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Commissioner
6	Drainer?
7	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Yeah.
8	Mr. Wolters, is it then your testimony that you're
9	asking the Commission in this case when it looks
10	at the rate issue that it take into consideration
11	that the industrial user such as yourself not
12	carry an unfair burden as to all rates for St.
13	Joseph?
14	MR. WOLTERS: Correct, and I
15	apologize for not making that clear.
16	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: You don't
17	need to apologize. I just wanted to be clear. I
18	was also very attentive in your first five minutes
19	because by the time you got to the rice cakes I
20	was getting hungry. Is your rice cake plant a new
21	plant in Columbia, Missouri?
22	MR. WOLTERS: There is a new
23	plant in Columbia.

COVERING MISSOURI 1-800-633-8289

provide them some product for them to finish out

COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Do you

24

41

1	rice cakes?
2	MR. WOLTERS: The rice cakes
3	specifically we don't provide any product for, no.
4	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Well,
5	thank you very much. I appreciate your testimony.
6	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you, sir.
7	Mr. Coffman, next witness?
8	MR. COFFMAN: There are no other
9	members of the public who signed up on the list.
10	There may be some members who walked in during the
11	public hearing.
12	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Is there anyone
13	else who would like to speak who hasn't had a
14	chance to sign up?
15	(No response.)
16	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. I don't
17	see anyone, so thank you all for coming here
18	tonight and giving us your comments. Commissioner
19	Drainer?
20	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Only that
21	I very much appreciate the comments made to us
22	this evening by the industrial users. I felt that
23	your comments were all very articulate and were
24	comments that I've never heard before in a public
25	hearing, and they are very useful. They will be

1	considered not just by myself but that's why we do
2	have a transcript. I can assure you that my
3	fellow commissioners when I get back, when I come
4	in tomorrow will say well, how did the public
5	hearing go, and I will be sure to reinforce to
6	them that they must read this transcript. It was
7	very thought provoking and well put together.
8	Thank you so much for taking the time from your
9	busy schedules to give us not only your thoughts
10	but I know you are really representing your
11	employees and that you very much want to assure
12	that they have their jobs and they do keep very
13	strong businesses going in the St. Joseph area and
14	in Missouri, and I really sincerely appreciate
15	your comments this evening. Thank you, and I am
16	going to look at the bottom of my cat cans
17	tonight.
18	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you
19	everyone. This hearing is adjourned.
20	
21	(Hearing concluded at 7 o'clock p.m.)
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF MISSOURI)
3)
4	COUNTY OF ANDREW)
5	I, Karen J. Lyman, Registered Professional
6	Reporter and Notary Public of the State of
7	Missouri, do hereby certify that I appeared at the
8	time and place first hereinbefore set forth, that
9	said proceedings were taken before me and
10	thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my
11	direction and supervision; and I hereby certify
12	that the foregoing transcript of proceedings is a
13	full, true and correct transcript of my shorthand
14	notes.
15	I further certify I am neither counsel, nor
16	related to any party to said action, nor otherwise
17	interested in the outcome thereof.
18	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereto set my hand
19	and affixed my seal this 25TH Day of $$ JUNE, 1999.
20	
21	
22	KAREN J. LYMAN, RPR, CSR, CCR
23	COSTS: COSTS DUE FROM: PSC
24	