

FILED

JUL 29 2004

Federal Communications Commission DA 04-1304

Missouri Public  
Service Commissioner

Federal Communications Commission

DA 04-1304

Before the  
Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of )  
 )  
CenturyTel, Inc., CenturyTel ) File No. EB-04-JH-0012  
of Washington, Inc., CenturyTel of ) NAL Account No. 2004132080136  
Coville, Inc., and CenturyTel of )  
Islet Island, Inc. )  
 )  
Apparent Liability for Forfeiture ) FRN No. 0004-3651-14

NOTICE OF APPARENT LIABILITY  
FOR FORFEITURE

Adopted: May 11, 2004

Released: May 13, 2004

By the Chief, Enforcement Bureau:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. In this Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture ("NAL"), we find that CenturyTel, Inc., CenturyTel of Washington, Inc., CenturyTel of Coville, Inc., and CenturyTel of Islet Island, Inc. (collectively, "CenturyTel"), during the period November 24, 2003 to April 14, 2004, apparently violated section 52.26(a) of the Commission's rules by willfully and repeatedly failing to route calls from CenturyTel's customers in Washington to wireless customers with ported numbers.<sup>1</sup> Based on our review of the facts and circumstances of this case, and for the reasons discussed below, we find that CenturyTel is apparently liable for a monetary forfeiture in the amount of one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000).

II. BACKGROUND

2. Number portability is, "the ability of users of telecommunications services to retain, at the same location, existing telephone numbers without impairment of quality, reliability, or convenience when switching from one telecommunications carrier to another."<sup>2</sup> Under the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, (the "Act"), all telecommunications carriers have a duty to provide, to the extent technically feasible, number portability in accordance with requirements prescribed by the Commission.<sup>3</sup>

3. In 1996, the Commission required all local exchange carriers ("LECs") to begin a phased deployment of local number portability ("LNP") within the 100 largest metropolitan statistical areas

("MSAs").<sup>4</sup> The Commission explained that "the ability of end users to retain their telephone numbers when changing service providers gives customers flexibility in the quality, price, and variety of telecommunications services they can choose to purchase."<sup>5</sup> On reconsideration, the Commission clarified that LECs need only provide number portability within the 100 largest MSAs for switches in which another carrier made a specific, bona fide, number portability request.<sup>6</sup> Additionally, the Commission extended the number portability requirement to commercial mobile radio service ("CMRS") providers.<sup>7</sup> CMRS carriers were required to have the capability to query number portability databases in order to deliver calls from their networks to ported numbers anywhere in the country by December 31, 1998.<sup>8</sup> Three categories of CMRS providers—cellular, broadband personal communications service, and covered specialized mobile radio providers—were directed to offer number portability by June 30, 1999.<sup>9</sup> After several extensions, the Commission established November 24, 2003 as the deadline for wireless-to-wireless number portability for the top 100 MSAs.<sup>10</sup>

4. Regardless of the status of a carrier's obligation to provide number portability, all carriers have the duty to route calls to ported numbers. In other words, carriers must ensure that their call routing procedures do not result in dropped calls to ported numbers. In this regard, the Commission stated clearly:

We emphasize that a carrier operating a non-portability-capable switch must still properly route calls originated by customers served by that switch to ported numbers. When the switch operated by the carrier designated to perform the number portability database query is non-portability-capable, that carrier could either send it to a portability-capable switch operated by that carrier to do the database query, or enter into an arrangement with another carrier to do the query.<sup>11</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Telephone Number Portability, First Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 11 FCC Red 8132 (1996) ("First Report and Order"). MSAs, designated by the Bureau of Census, follow geographic borders and are defined using statistics that are widely recognized as indicative of metropolitan character. See Policy and Rules Concerning Rates for Domestic Carriers, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 12 FCC Red 8115, 8122, ¶ 17 n.26 (1997).

<sup>2</sup> First Report and Order, 11 FCC Red 8168, ¶ 30.

<sup>3</sup> Telephone Number Portability, First Memorandum Opinion and Order on Reconsideration, 13 FCC Red 7236, 7273, ¶ 60 (1997) ("First Reconsideration Order").

<sup>4</sup> See First Report and Order, 11 FCC Red at 8431-32, ¶¶ 152-53.

<sup>5</sup> Id. at 8439, ¶ 165.

<sup>6</sup> Id. at 8440, ¶ 166.

<sup>7</sup> See Verizon Wireless's Petition for Partial Forbearance from the Commercial Mobile Radio Service Number Portability Obligation, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 17 FCC Red 14972, 14981, ¶ 23 (2002). The Commission subsequently waived, until May 24, 2004, the requirement that wireless carriers operating outside the top 100 MSAs port numbers to wireless carriers that do not have a point of interconnection or numbering resources in the rate center where the customer's wireless number is provisioned. See Telephone Number Portability, CTR1 Petition for Declaratory Ruling on Wireless Porting Issues, Memorandum Opinion and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 18 FCC Red 23697, 23709, ¶ 39 (2004) ("Further Notice Order"). The Commission also granted a waiver of the wireless-to-wireless porting requirement, until May 24, 2004, for "two percent carriers" that operate in the top 100 MSAs. Telephone Number Portability, Order, 19 FCC Red 875 (2004).

<sup>8</sup> First Reconsideration Order, 13 FCC Red at 7237, ¶ 69. The issue of dropped calls to ported numbers was more recently raised in a slightly different context in the *Intermodal Order*. There the Commission observed, in response to comments filed by CenturyTel, that the calls to a customer with a number ported from a LEC to a CMRS carrier should not be dropped "because the Commission's rules require carriers to correctly route calls to ported numbers." *Intermodal Order*, 18 FCC Red at 2371-1, 12 n.92.

EXHIBIT  
207

Exhibit No. 26  
Case No(s) 10-2001-0461  
Date 7-21-01 Rptr KF

5. Furthermore, in adopting, with some modification, recommendations of the North American Numbering Council ("NANC") as set forth in a Working Group Report,<sup>14</sup> the Commission clearly imposed requirements on the carrier immediately preceding the terminating carrier, designated the "N-1 carrier," to ensure that number portability databases are queried and that calls are properly routed to the N-1 carrier. Currently, call routing is accomplished by use of Location Routing Numbers ("LRNs").<sup>15</sup> Under the LRN method, a unique ten-digit number is assigned to each central office switch.<sup>16</sup> The routing information for end users who have ported their telephone numbers to another carrier is stored in a database, with the LRNs of the switches that serve the ported subscribers. Carriers routing calls to customers with ported numbers query this database to obtain the LRN that corresponds to the dialed number.<sup>17</sup> This query is performed for all calls to switches from which at least one number has been ported.<sup>18</sup> In adopting the Working Group Report, the Commission noted that if the N-1 carrier does not perform the database query, but instead relies on another entity to perform the query, the other entity may charge the N-1 carrier in accordance with long-term number portability cost allocation and recovery guidelines.<sup>19</sup>

6. CenturyTel provides local exchange service in 22 states in rural markets and small-to-mid-sized cities. CenturyTel also offers long distance service, Internet access, and data services.<sup>20</sup> After receiving information that, post-implementation of wireless-to-wireless number portability, CenturyTel may not have been routing calls from CenturyTel customers in Washington to wireless customers with ported numbers, the Enforcement Bureau ("Bureau") issued a Letter of Inquiry to CenturyTel requesting information on this issue.<sup>21</sup>

<sup>14</sup> *Telephone Number Portability, Second Report and Order*, 12 FCC Rcd 12281, 12283-84, ¶ 13 (1997) ("Second Report and Order"). In its *First Report and Order*, the Commission had directed the NANC to make recommendations regarding specific aspects of number portability implementation. *First Report and Order*, 11 FCC Rcd at 8401, ¶ 93. The NANC Working Group Report was incorporated by reference in section 52.26(a) of the Commission's rules. See 47 C.F.R. § 52.26(a) (stating that the "[t]ypical number portability administration shall comply with the recommendations of the North American Numbering Council ('NANC') as set forth in the report to the Commission prepared by the NANC's Local Number Portability Administration Selection Working Group, dated April 23, 1997 (*Working Group Report*) and its appendices, which are incorporated by reference pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a) and 1 C.F.R. part 51").

<sup>15</sup> *Second Report and Order*, 12 FCC Rcd at 12283-84, ¶ 13-14.

<sup>16</sup> *Id.*, 12 FCC Rcd at 12324, ¶ 73.

<sup>17</sup> See *Id.*, 12 FCC Rcd at 12287-88, ¶ 8.

<sup>18</sup> *Id.*

<sup>19</sup> *Id.*

<sup>20</sup> 14, 12 FCC Rcd at 12324, ¶ 73. The Commission permitted incumbent LECs to recover their costs of providing LNP through a tariffed five-year, levelized monthly end-user charge. See *Telephone Number Portability, Third Report and Order*, 13 FCC Rcd 11701, 11776-79, ¶¶ 142-47 (1998) ("Third Report and Order"), *affirmed*, Memorandum Opinion and Order on Reconsideration and Order on Application for Review, 17 FCC Rcd 2318 (2002). See also *Telephone Number Portability, Bethesda Corporation Petition for Declaratory Ruling and/or Relief*, Order, FCC 04-91 (rel. Apr. 13, 2004).

<sup>21</sup> See [www.centurytel.com](http://www.centurytel.com).

<sup>22</sup> See Letter of Inquiry from Hillary S. DeNigro, Deputy Chief, Investigations and Hearings Division, Enforcement Bureau, FCC to Glen F. Roth, III, Chief Executive Officer, CenturyTel, Inc. (Feb. 4, 2004) ("LOI").

### III. DISCUSSION

7. Under section 503(b)(1) of the Act, any person who is determined by the Commission to have willfully or repeatedly failed to comply with any provision of the Act or any rule, regulation, or order issued by the Commission shall be liable to the United States for a monetary forfeiture penalty.<sup>23</sup> In order to impose such a forfeiture penalty, the Commission must issue a notice of apparent liability; the notice must be received, and the person against whom the notice has been issued must have an opportunity to show, in writing, why no such forfeiture penalty should be imposed.<sup>24</sup> The Commission will then issue a forfeiture if it finds by a preponderance of the evidence that the person has willfully or repeatedly violated the Act or a Commission rule.<sup>25</sup>

8. We find below that CenturyTel apparently failed to properly route all calls to ported numbers for which CenturyTel was the N-1 carrier. Based on the preponderance of evidence, we therefore conclude that CenturyTel is apparently liable for a forfeiture of one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000) for apparently willfully and repeatedly violating Commission orders and section 52.26(a) of the Commission's rules.

#### A. CenturyTel Apparently Has Willfully and Repeatedly Failed to Route Calls to Wireless Customers Who Have Ported Numbers

9. CenturyTel concedes that "under the Commission's rules, the carrier in the call routing path that immediately precedes the terminating carrier is responsible for ensuring that database queries are performed."<sup>26</sup> Further, CenturyTel correctly states that "the N-1 carrier is responsible for ensuring that the database query is performed to determine number portability."<sup>27</sup> According to CenturyTel, "[f]or a local call made by CenturyTel's customer to a wireless customer who has a ported telephone number, CenturyTel's LNP-capable switch performs the database query necessary to obtain the LRN that corresponds to the dialed telephone number. Based on this information, CenturyTel then routes the call to the wireless carrier serving the ported number."<sup>28</sup>

<sup>21</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 503(b)(1)(B); 47 C.F.R. § 1.806(a)(1); see also 47 U.S.C. § 503(b)(1)(D) (forfeitures for violation of 14 U.S.C. § 1464). Section 312(d)(1) of the Act defines willful as "the conscious and deliberate commission or omission of [any] act, irrespective of any intent to violate" the law. 47 U.S.C. § 312(d)(1). The legislative history to section 312(d)(1) of the Act indicates that the definition of willful applies to both sections 312 and 503(b) of the Act. H.R. Rep. No. 97-765, 97<sup>th</sup> Cong. 2d Sess. 51 (1982), and the Commission has so interpreted the term in the section 503(b) context. See, e.g., *Application for Review of Southern California Broadcasting Co.*, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 6 FCC Rcd 4387, 4388, ¶ 3 (1991) ("Southern California Broadcasting"). The Commission may also assess a forfeiture for violations that are merely repeated, and not willful. See, e.g., *Calstar Cablevision, Inc., Grand Isle, Louisiana*, Notice of Apparent Liability for Monetary Forfeiture, 16 FCC Rcd 1359 (2001) ("Calstar Cablevision") (issuing a Notice of Apparent Liability for, *inter alia*, a cable television operator's repeated signal leakage). "Repeated" means that the act was committed or omitted more than once, or less more than one day. *Southern California Broadcasting*, 6 FCC Rcd at 4388, ¶ 5; *Calstar Cablevision*, 16 FCC Rcd at 1362, ¶ 9.

<sup>22</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 503(b); 47 C.F.R. § 1.800.

<sup>23</sup> See, e.g., *SBC Communications, Inc., Apparent Liability for Forfeiture*, Forfeiture Order, 17 FCC Rcd 7589, 7591, ¶ 4 (2002).

<sup>24</sup> See Letter from Karen Brinkmann and Tonya Rutherford, Latham and Watkins LLP, counsel for CenturyTel to Mita Sivik, Investigations and Hearings Division, Enforcement Bureau, FCC, at 2 (Feb. 24, 2004) ("LOI Response").

<sup>25</sup> *Id.*

<sup>26</sup> *Id.*

that the witness undisputed that where CenturyTel delinquency records are maintained, CenturyTel delinquency records are stored in Washington apparently covered the database query, one of which was dated \$7.26(a) of

the Commission

12. Centum, regarding its doing. Attention regarding its doing calls for a refusal to perform, even if the refusal is not a refusal to perform.

13. We disagree. Since the reliability database, the numbers portability is supported by the conclusion is supported by

...and Tonya Rubenstein, co-  
founder of the Bureau, P.O. ...  
...to another

Ed. M. A.

The morning wireless carrier's number changed carriers

carrier when that subscriber  
LOI Response at 5. CenturyTel also routi-  
to LOI Response to the wireless carrier ("YCC")

Second Report and Order, 12 F.R. 24,000 (1947).

11 1,01 Refund...

20 1d.  
21 32email routing occurs when the 34-7  
22 is routed by default to the carrier that originally received  
23 12324-25, ¶ 76.

Red at base  
" (O) Response W. 6.  
1912, 74

"*Id. id.*"

20 Second Report on

[illegible][illegible]

15. First, CenturyTel's reliance on a wireless database service provider to perform the N-1 database query and transmit the call to the new wireless service provider is not a "release" of the information. CenturyTel did not use the service to release the information. CenturyTel merely asked the Commission to change the current rule to require that carriers provide to perform the N-1 database query in this particular situation. Without change to the Commission's rules does not release CenturyTel was aware that our rules require to do so. CenturyTel merely asked the Commission to change the current rule to require that carriers provide to perform the N-1 database query in this particular situation. Without change to the Commission's rules does not release CenturyTel was aware that our rules require to do so. CenturyTel merely asked the Commission to change the current rule to require that carriers provide to perform the N-1 database query in this particular situation. Without change to the Commission's rules does not release CenturyTel was aware that our rules require to do so.

16. Similarly, we reject the conclusion that our review of NANC supports the conclusion that wireless carriers should be [the NANC] the issue of whether wireless carriers should be

See LOI Response at 7.  
CenturyTel's policies also p...

Document CT 1006000-20c.  
 ss Document CT 1006000-20c.  
 ss Document CT 1006000-20c.

1. Q1 Response:

[illegible][illegible]

The codes associated with LNP query and creating should be [redacted]  
[redacted] may be required of the porting WSP with each case that CenturyTel is required to  
[redacted] CT 0000153.

This obligation must be required of the person who provides LNP in CenturyTel's central office switch. See also Document CT 0000153.

Century Tel. Oct. 20 -  
1000155-66

See, e.g.,  
at 10) Response at 6; Documents

portability queries when the N-1 carrier fails to perform the query.<sup>14</sup> CenturyTel misses the point of Allied's filing. Therein, Allied explained that in many cases N-1 carriers fail to perform the database query, misrouting calls to the ported customer's original carrier where the call fails if the original carrier does not perform the query. Importantly, Allied contended that default queries by the original carrier are not a "long term solution" and that "[t]hese misrouted calls utilize facilities of the [original carrier] needlessly at a cost that will far exceed dip charges that can be billed back to the N-1 carrier who failed to perform the [number portability] query."<sup>15</sup> The Allied request for clarification does not alter CenturyTel's number portability or call routing obligations.

17. CenturyTel also argues that compliance with the rule is infeasible because it would require traffic to be routed to a third party tandem access provider to perform a database query and that such routing violates the terms of CenturyTel's interconnection agreements with wireless carriers.<sup>16</sup> CenturyTel's feasibility argument is flawed because our rules do not require CenturyTel to route traffic to third party tandem access providers. Instead, CenturyTel is permitted to arrange for the default wireless carrier that originally serviced the ported telephone number to perform the query. Documents produced by CenturyTel indicate that it has performed such database queries when another carrier routed calls to a CenturyTel switch by default. Specifically, CenturyTel's documents describe a situation where a non-LNP-capable N-1 carrier in Missouri failed to perform LNP queries for ported times and instead routed calls by default to CenturyTel's switch.<sup>17</sup> CenturyTel performed the database queries and properly routed these calls.<sup>18</sup> According to CenturyTel's document, the N-1 carrier was "obligated to do" these queries and CenturyTel intended to bill the N-1 carrier for them.<sup>19</sup> We find that CenturyTel's own practices demonstrate that it is feasible to comply with the rule.<sup>20</sup>

18. CenturyTel contends that it is not "technically possible" to perform the database query if the CenturyTel switch is not LNP-capable<sup>21</sup> and that it is not obligated as an N-1 carrier to negotiate new business arrangements with the tandem provider.<sup>22</sup> This position is unavailing. As stated above, our rules expressly permit the N-1 carrier whose switches are not LNP-capable to arrange for another carrier to perform the database query. Moreover, nothing in our rules requires routing to a tandem provider. Finally, during the pre-implementation period as a result of the Commission's many specific pronouncements regarding the requirements of local number portability, CenturyTel had sufficient time and warning to negotiate any necessary business arrangements in order to fulfill its obligations.

19. The Commission has consistently held that the N-1 carrier has the obligation to ensure that the call routing query is performed, that carriers are required to route calls to ported numbers, and that compliance with this rule is technically feasible for CenturyTel. Based on the record, we conclude that CenturyTel has apparently willfully and repeatedly violated Commission orders and section 52.26(a) of

<sup>14</sup> LOI Response at 6.

<sup>15</sup> Documents CT 0000163-46 (Problem Identification and Description form submitted by Allied to NANC-LNPA Working Group (Jan. 23, 2004)).

<sup>16</sup> See April 14 LOI Response at 2.

<sup>17</sup> See Document CT 0000158.

<sup>18</sup> *Id.*

<sup>19</sup> *Id.* (emphasis added).

<sup>20</sup> We note that billing for database queries on default routed calls is discussed in the Second Report and Order, 12 FCC Rcd at 12246, 178.

<sup>21</sup> April 14 LOI Response at 2.

<sup>22</sup> *Id.* at 3.

the Commission's rules by failing to properly route calls to ported wireless numbers by either performing the number portability database query or making arrangements with another carrier to do so.

#### B. Proposed Forfeiture Amount

20. Section 503(b)(2)(D) of the Act authorizes the Commission to assess a forfeiture of up to \$120,000 for each violation or each day of a continuing violation, up to a statutory maximum of \$1,200,000 for a single act or failure to act.<sup>23</sup> In determining the appropriate forfeiture amount, we consider the factors enumerated in section 503(b)(2)(D) of the Act, including "the nature, circumstances, extent and gravity of the violation, and, with respect to the violator, the degree of culpability, any history of prior offenses, ability to pay, and such other matters as justice may require."

21. As discussed above, the Commission has consistently held that the N-1 carrier has the obligation to ensure that the call routing query is performed and that all calls are properly routed to ported numbers. The Commission specifically held in its Second Report and Order that number portability is essential to meaningful facilities-based competition in the provision of local exchange service.<sup>24</sup> If carriers, such as CenturyTel, fail to ensure that the call routing query is performed and that calls are properly routed to ported numbers, the competitive benefits of number portability will be diminished. The Commission has been implementing a phased deployment of local number portability since 1996 and carriers, such as CenturyTel, have been on notice since 1997 that, irrespective of whether their switches are LNP-capable, they have obligations to route ported numbers. CenturyTel's apparent failure to ensure proper call routing to ported numbers is counterproductive to the Commission's pro-competitive goals. Due to CenturyTel's apparently willful and repeated violation of section 52.26(a) of the Commission's rules, and the Commission's requirement to route calls properly, we find that a proposed forfeiture is warranted.

22. The Commission has not established a base forfeiture amount for failure to comply with section 52.26(a). We note, however, that section 503(b)(2)(D)<sup>25</sup> of the Act and the Forfeiture Policy Statement<sup>26</sup> allow the Commission considerable flexibility in determining the appropriate forfeiture. Therefore, based on the reasons discussed above, including the fact that the record contains evidence only of limited routing failures in one state, we find that CenturyTel is apparently liable in the amount of one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000).

#### IV. ORDERING CLAUSES

23. ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED THAT, pursuant to section 503(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 503(b), and section 0.111, 0.311, and 1.80 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.111, 0.311, and 1.80, CenturyTel, Inc., CenturyTel of Washington, Inc., CenturyTel of Cowichan, Inc., and CenturyTel of Inner Island, Inc. are hereby NOTIFIED of their APPARENT LIABILITY FOR FORFEITURE in the amount of one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000).

<sup>23</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 503(b)(2)(B); see also 47 C.F.R. § 1.80(b)(2); see also Amendment of Section 1.80(b) of the Commission's Rules, *Amendment of Forfeiture Maximum to Reflect Inflation*, Order, 15 FCC Rcd 18231 (2000).

<sup>24</sup> Second Report and Order, 12 FCC Rcd at 12285, ¶ 4.

<sup>25</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 503(b)(2)(D).

<sup>26</sup> The Commission's Forfeiture Policy Statement and Amendment of Section 1.80 of the Rules to Incorporate the Forfeiture Guidelines, 12 FCC Rcd 17087 (1997), *recon. denied*, 15 FCC Rcd 303 (1999).

<sup>27</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 503(b)(2)(D); see also Forfeiture Policy Statement, 12 FCC Rcd at 17100-01, ¶ 27; 47 C.F.R. § 1.80(b)(4).

for willfully and repeatedly violating Commission orders and section 52.26(c) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 52.26(c).

24. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT, pursuant to section 1.80 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.80, within thirty days of the release date of this NOTICE OF APPARENT LIABILITY FOR FORFEITURE, CenturyTel, Inc., CenturyTel of Washington, Inc., CenturyTel of Colorado, Inc., and CenturyTel of Inner Island, Inc. SHALL PAY the full amount of the proposed forfeiture currently outstanding on that date or SHALL FILE a written statement seeking reduction or cancellation of the proposed forfeiture.

25. Payment of the forfeiture may be made by check or similar instrument, payable to the order of the Federal Communications Commission. Such remittance should be made to Forfeiture Collection Section, Finance Branch, Federal Communications Commission, P.O. Box 73482, Chicago, Illinois 60673-7482. The payment must include the NAL/Act. No. and FRN No. referenced above.

26. The response, if any, to this NOTICE OF APPARENT LIABILITY FOR FORFEITURE must be mailed to William H. Davenport, Chief, Investigation and Hearings Division, Enforcement Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, S.W., Room 3-B143, Washington, D.C. 20554 and e-mailed to Mr. Davenport at [william.davenport@fcc.gov](mailto:william.davenport@fcc.gov) in Adobe PDF format. The response must include the NAL/Act. No. referenced above.

27. The Commission will not consider reducing or canceling a forfeiture in response to a claim of inability to pay unless the petitioner submits: (1) Federal tax returns for the most recent three-year period; (2) financial statements prepared according to generally accepted accounting practices ("GAAP"); or (3) some other reliable and objective documentation that accurately reflects the petitioner's current financial status. Any claim of inability to pay must specifically identify the basis for the claim by reference to the financial documentation submitted.

28. Requests for payment of the full amount of this NOTICE OF APPARENT LIABILITY FOR FORFEITURE under an installment plan should be sent to Chief, Revenue and Receivables Operations Group, 445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20554.<sup>35</sup>

29. Under the Small Business Paperwork Relief Act of 2002, Pub.L.No. 107-198, 116 Stat. 729 (June 28, 2002), the Commission is engaged in a two-year tracking process regarding the size of entities involved in forfeitures. If you qualify as a small entity and if you wish to be treated as a small entity for tracking purposes, please so certify to us within 30 days of this NAL, either in your response to the NAL, or in a separate filing to be sent to the Investigations and Hearings Division, Enforcement Bureau, 445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20554. Your certification should indicate whether you, including your parent entity and its subsidiaries, meet one of the definitions set forth in the list in Attachment A of this NAL. This information will have no effect on your rights and responsibilities pursuant to section 503(b) of the Communications Act. If you have any questions regarding any of the information contained in Attachment A, please contact the Commission's Office of Communications Business Opportunities at (202) 418-0990.

30. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Enforcement Bureau shall send, by certified mail/return receipt requested, a copy of this NOTICE OF APPARENT LIABILITY FOR FORFEITURE to Glen F. Post, III, Chief Executive Officer, CenturyTel, Inc., 100 CenturyTel Drive, Monroe, LA 71203 and to

<sup>35</sup> See 47 C.F.R. § 1.1914.

Karen Brinkmann and Tonya Rutherford, Lathern and Watkins LLP, 355 Eleventh Street, N.W., Suite 1000, Washington, D.C. 20004.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

David H. Solomon  
Chief, Enforcement Bureau

## ATTACHMENT A

## FCC List of Small Entities

As described below, a "small entity" may be a small organization,  
a small governmental jurisdiction, or a small business.

Any not-for-profit enterprise that is independently owned and operated and  
is not dominant in its field.

Government of cities, counties, towns, township, villages, school districts, or  
special districts, with a population of less than fifty thousand.

Any business concern that is independently owned and operated and  
is not dominant in its field, and meets the pertinent size criterion described below.

Cable Systems	Special Size Standard - Small Cable Company has 400,000 Subscribers Nationwide or Fewer
Cable and Other Program Distribution	\$12.5 Million in Annual Receipts or Less
Open Video Systems	
Wireline Carriers and Service providers	
Local Exchange Carriers, Competitive Access Providers, Interexchange Carriers, Operator Service Providers, Payphone Providers, and Resellers	1,500 Employees or Fewer

Note: With the exception of Cable Systems, all size standards are expressed in either millions of dollars or  
number of employees and are generally the average annual receipts or the average employment of a firm.  
Directions for calculating average annual receipts and average employment of a firm can be found in  
13 CFR 121.104 and 13 CFR 121.106, respectively.

International Broadcast Stations	
----------------------------------	--

International Public Fixed Radio (Public and Control Stations)	
Fixed Satellite Transmitter/Receiver Earth Stations	
Fixed Satellite Very Small Aperture Terminal Systems	
Mobile Satellite Earth Stations	
Radio Determination Satellite Earth Stations	
Geostationary Space Stations	
Non-Geostationary Space Stations	
Direct Broadcast Satellites	
Home Satellite Dish Service	\$12.5 Million in Annual Receipts or Less
Television Services	
Low Power Television Services and Television Transmitter Stations	
TV Auxiliary, Special Broadcast and Other Program Distribution Services	\$12 Million in Annual Receipts or Less
Radio Services	
Radio Auxiliary, Special Broadcast and Other Program Distribution Services	\$6 Million in Annual Receipts or Less
Multipoint Distribution Service	Auction Special Size Standard - Small Business has less than \$400 in annual gross revenue for three preceding years
Cellular Licenses	
220 MHz Radio Services - Phase I Licenses	1,500 Employees or Fewer
330 MHz Radio Services - Phase II Licenses	Auction special size standard - Small Business is average gross revenues of \$124 or less for the preceding three years (includes affiliates and controlling principals)
700 MHz Guard Band Licenses	Very Small Business is average gross revenues of \$134 or less for the preceding three years (includes affiliates and controlling principals)
Private and Common Carrier Paging	
Broadband Personal Communications Services (Blocks A, B, D, and E)	1,500 Employees or Fewer
Broadband Personal Communications Services (Block C)	Auction special size standard - Small Business is \$1404 or less in annual gross revenues for three previous calendar years
Broadband Personal Communications Services (Block F)	Very Small Business is average gross revenues of \$134 or less for the preceding three calendar years (includes affiliates and persons or entities that hold interest in each entity and their affiliates)
Narrowband Personal Communications Services	
Rural Radiotelephone Service	1,500 Employees or Fewer
Air-Ground Radiotelephone Service	Auction special size standard - Small Business is \$134 or less average annual gross revenues for three preceding calendar years
800 MHz Specialized Mobile Radio	1,500 Employees or Fewer
900 MHz Specialized Mobile Radio	N/A
Private Land Mobile Radio	
Amateur Radio Service	1,500 Employees or Fewer
Aviation and Marine Radio Service	
Fixed Microwave Services	
Public Safety Radio Services	Small Business is 1,500 employees or less Small Government Entity has population of less than 50,000 persons
Wireless Telephony and Paging and Messaging	

Personal Radio Services	1,500 Employees or Fewer
Offshore Radiotelephone Service	N/A
Wireless Communications Services	1,500 Employees or Fewer
39 GHz Service	Small Business is \$40M or less average annual gross revenue for three preceding years Very Small Business is average gross revenues of \$15M or less for the preceding three years
Multi-point Distribution Service	Auction special size standard (1996) - Small Business is \$40M or less average annual gross revenues for three preceding calendar years Prior to Auction - Small Business has annual revenue of \$12.5M or less
Multi-channel Multipoint Distribution Service	
Interactive Television Fixed Service	\$12.5 Million in Annual Receipts or Less Auction special size standard (1998) - Small Business is \$40M or less average annual gross revenue for three preceding years Very Small Business is average gross revenues of \$15M or less for the preceding three years
Local Multipoint Distribution Service	First Auction special size standard (1994) - Small Business is an entity that, together with its affiliates, has no more than a \$6M net worth and, after federal income taxes (excluding carryover losses) has no more than \$3M in annual profits each year for the previous two years New Standard - Small Business is average gross revenues of \$15M or less for the preceding three years (includes affiliates and persons or entities that hold interest in such entity and their affiliates) Very Small Business is average gross revenues of \$15M or less for the preceding three years (includes affiliates and persons or entities that hold interest in such entity and their affiliates)
218 219 MHz Service	
Satellite Master Antenna Television Systems	\$12.5 Million in Annual Receipts or Less 1,500 Employees or Fewer Small Business is average gross revenues of \$15M or less for the preceding three years (includes affiliates and persons or entities that hold interest in such entity and their affiliates) Very Small Business is average gross revenues of \$15M or less for the preceding three years (includes affiliates and persons or entities that hold interest in such entity and their affiliates)
24 GHz - Incumbent Licenses	
24 GHz - Future Licenses	
On-Line Information Services	\$18 Million in Annual Receipts or Less
Radio and Television Broadcasting and Wireless Communications Equipment Manufacturers	
Audio and Video Equipment Manufacturers	750 Employees or Fewer
Telephone Apparatus Manufacturers (Except Cellular)	1,000 Employees or Fewer
Medical Implant Device Manufacturers	500 Employees or Fewer
Hospitality	\$29 Million in Annual Receipts or Less
Nursing Homes	\$11.5 Million in Annual Receipts or Less
Hotels and Motels	\$6 Million in Annual Receipts or Less
Tower Owners	(See Lessee's Type of Business)