

ON SWEI SHEET

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

BPS TELEPHONE COMPANY, ET AL. vs. VOICESTREAM WIRELESS CORPORATION, WESTERN WIRELESS CORPORATION AND SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY

Cause No. TC-2002-1077

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

HEARING - VOLUME 4

NOVEMBER 6, 2003



ASSOCIATED COURT REPORTERS

714 West High Street • Jefferson City, MO 65109 1.573.636.7551 • 1.888.636.7551 • 1.573.636.9055 (Fax) Jefferson City • Columbia • Rolla • St. Louis • Clayton • St. Charles www.missouridepos.com

spherion.

Associated Court Reporters 1-888-636-7551

,	•		Page 291
	1	INDEX); ;
	2	COMPLAINANT'S EVIDENCE:	
	3	ROBERT SCHOONMAKER	a de la companya de
	_	Direct Examination by Mr. England	58
1	4	Cross-Examination by Mr. Bates	62
	_	Cross-Examination by Mr. Bub	63
	5	Questions by Judge Thompson	90
		Questions by Commissioner Murray	231
	6	Questions by Chairman Gaw	236
		Further Questions by Commissioner Murray	243
	7	Recross-Examination by Mr. Bub	252
		Redirect Examination by Mr. England	261
	8	Further Questions by Commissioner Murray	267
		Further Redirect Examination by Mr. England	268
	9		
	10	RESPONDENTS VOICESTREAM/WESTERN'S EVIDENCE	
	11	RON WILLIAMS	107
		Direct Examination by Mr. Johnson	107
	12	Cross-Examination by Mr. England	112
· 1		Cross-Examination by Mr. Bates	115
	13	Cross-Examination by Mr. Bub	119
	•	Questions by Judge Thompson	158
	14	Recross-Examination by Mr. England	160
}		Recross-Examination by Mr. Bates	161
	15	Questions by Commissioner Murray	163
		Questions by Commissioner Forbis	165
	16	Further Recross-Examination by Mr. England	167
		Recross-Examination by Mr. Bub	207
	17		
.		STAFF'S EVIDENCE:	•
	18	ACT CONTROL OF CONTROL DE	
	 -	MICHAEL SCHEPERLE	. 127
	19	Direct Examination by Mr. Bates	129
ļ		Cross-Examination by Mr. Johnson	131
	20	Cross-Examination by Mr. Bub	133
		Questions by Judge Thompson Questions by Commissioner Murray	270
	21	Questions by Commissioner Forbis	275
ļ		Onestions by Chairman Cam	278
	22	Questions by Chairman Gaw SOUTHWESTERN BELL'S EVIDENCE	
	23		
	24	ALAN KERN	136
•		Direct Examination by Mr. Bub	137
~~**	25	Cross-Examination by Mr. England	
	1		

Columbia 8fb24500-1386-11d8-9184-608054c10000

1		Page 116			
1	Q.	Good morning, Mr. Williams.			
2	Α.	Good morning.			
3	Q.	Still morning.			
4	Α.	Still morning.			
5	Q.	You support the factors that the Complainants			
6	have presented in this case, do you not?				
7	Α.	Yes. We are concurring in those factors.			
8	Q.	Okay. And the intent of those factors is to			
9	use them to determine how much of the traffic at issue here				
.10	is inter-MTA; is that correct?				
11	A.	That's correct.			
12	Q.	And you do that by applying it to the minutes			
13	SBC reports on its CTUSR, cellular transiting usage summary?				
14	Α.	For indirectly routed traffic, that would be			
15	correct.				
16	Q.	And you agree that those minutes identified by			
17	your agreed-on factor as inter-MTA should be rated at				
18	switched access rates?				
19	Α.	We agree for inter-MTA traffic that that is			
20	the appropriate rate.				
21	Q.	So for the traffic that's produced by the			
22	factor, swit	ched access rates apply?			
23	Α.	Correct.			
24	Q.	I understand that you have a disagreement with	1		
25	Complainants	about the application of their wireless tariff	· ·		
			-		

Page 117 to intra-MTA traffic; is that correct? ٦ Correct. Δ. And the dispute there primarily is on their use of a tariff for intra-MTA traffic and the rate level; is 4 my understanding correct? 5 There really -- there's a disagreement Yeah. 6 in principle about the validity of a state-approved 7 termination tariff for federally regulated traffic, correct. 8 And then there's also a dispute about what is an appropriate forward-looking transport and termination agreement. 10 Okay. But you don't dispute that the minutes 11 are your traffic? 12 I don't dispute the fact that we generate 13 traffic, that that traffic is transited through SBC's 14 network and terminated to independent telephone companies. 15 Thank you. And you also don't dispute that 16 you're financially responsible for paying for your own 17 customers' traffic, for the termination of that traffic? 18 We are responsible for determining what Α. 19 relationships exist for the reciprocal compensation 20 associated with the exchange of traffic between ourselves 21 and another carrier. 22 And in this case, it's the Complainants; is

In this case, the other carriers are the

23

24

25

that correct?

Page 118

- 1 Complainants.
- 2 O. And the dispute you have with intra-MTA
- 3 traffic, that doesn't apply to inter-MTA traffic, does it?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. And focusing just on that inter-MTA traffic
- 6 that's identified by your agreed-on factor, you agree that
- 7 you're responsible for paying terminating compensation on
- 8 that traffic?
- 9 A. Western Wireless would agree with that.
- 10 Q. In other states you operate, it's not unusual
- 11 for wireless carriers to need to use a tandem LEC's
- 12 facilities to reach another LEC's customers; would that be a
- 13 true statement?
- 14 A. That is a true statement. We utilize a
- 15 transit carrier because that's the most economically
- 16 efficient means of delivering traffic to many different
- 17 telephone carriers.
- 18 Q. Happens all across the country?
- 19 A. All across the country.
- Q. And in those situations where you need to use
- 21 facilities of two LECs to complete one of your customer's
- 22 calls, you pay both the tandem LEC and the terminating LEC
- 23 for the use of those facilities; is that correct?
- 24 A. Yes. In essence, we hire a transit provider
- 25 to deliver the traffic to the terminating telecommunications

Page 119

- 1 carrier, and then depending upon what relationship we have
- 2 with that terminating telecommunications carrier, the
- 3 parties are compensated according to that.
- 4 Q. So on this inter-MTA traffic where access is
- 5 due, you would be paying access charges to the tandem LEC
- 6 and to the terminating LEC; would that be correct?
- 7 A. That's correct with respect to our
- 8 interconnection agreement with SBC, which has a factor
- 9 allocation for inter-MTA traffic, and then depending upon
- 10 what factor would be appropriate to an individual LEC, that
- 11 traffic rated as inter-MTA would, in fact, be the obligation
- 12 for us paying to terminate.
- 13 Q. And then you would pay those rates once the
- 14 factor's in place in this case?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- MR. BUB: Thank you, Mr. Williams. Those are
- 17 all the questions we have.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, Mr. Bub.
- 19 QUESTIONS BY JUDGE THOMPSON:
- 20 Q. Mr. Williams, I understand you're testifying
- 21 on behalf of T-Mobile and Western Wireless, which are no
- 22 longer affiliated; is that correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. T-Mobile used to be known as Voicestream?
- 25 A. Correct.