PUBLIC SERVICES COMMISSION PO BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY MO 65102



7002 0460 0003 0704 7048



MO 419-1183 (12-91)

FILED

FEB 0 9 2004

Selvis Sauri Public

ADDRESS TO

Long Distance Billing Services, Inc. c/o TCS Corporate Services, Inc. Legal Department 222 East Dunklin Street, Suite 102 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Refused of 6501 - More Specific Addressee

FUSED

let MOTICE
24 MME
RETURNED.

# OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

The Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission,	
Complainant,	
v	Case No. TC-2004-0327
Long Distance Billing Services, Inc.,	
Respondent.	) )

### NOTICE OF COMPLAINT

Long Distance Billing Services, Inc. 436 Lynchburg Avenue
Brookneal, Virginia 24528
CERTIFIED MAIL

Long Distance Billing Services, Inc. c/o TCS Corporate Services, Inc., Registered Agent 222 East Dunklin Street, Suite 102 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101 CERTIFIED MAIL

On January 30, 2004, the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission filed a complaint with the Commission against Long Distance Billing Services, Inc., a copy of which is enclosed. Pursuant to 4 CSR 240-2.070, the Respondent shall have 30 days from the date of this notice to file an answer or to file notice that the complaint has been satisfied.

In the alternative, the Respondent may file a written request that the complaint be referred to a neutral third-party mediator for **voluntary mediation** of the complaint. Upon receipt of a request for mediation, the 30-day time period shall be tolled while the Commission ascertains whether or not the Complainant is also willing to submit to voluntary mediation. If the Complainant agrees to mediation, the time period within which an answer is due shall be suspended pending the resolution of the mediation process. Additional information regarding the mediation process is enclosed.

If the Complainant declines the opportunity to seek mediation, the Respondent will be notified in writing that the tolling has ceased and will also be notified of the date by which an answer or notice of satisfaction must be filed. That period will usually be the remainder of the original 30-day period.

All pleadings (the answer, the notice of satisfaction of complaint or request for mediation) shall be mailed to:

Secretary of the Public Service Commission P.O. Box 360
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0360

A copy shall be served upon the Complainant at the Complainant's address as listed within the enclosed complaint. A copy of this notice has been provided to the Complainant.

BY THE COMMISSION

Hoke Hard Roberts

Dale Hardy Roberts

Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

(SEAL)

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri, on this 4th day of February, 2004.

Thompson, Deputy Chief Regulatory Law Judge

Copy to: Robert S. Berlin

**Assistant General Counsel** 

Missouri Public Service Commission

Post Office Box 360

Jefferson City, Missouri 65102



Commissioners

STEVE GAW Chair

CONNIE MURRAY

ROBERT M. CLAYTON III

# Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://www.psc.mo.gov ROBERT J. QUINN, JR. Executive Director

WESS A. HENDERSON Director, Utility Operations

ROBERT SCHALLENBERG Director, Utility Services

DONNA ML PRENGER Director, Administration

DALE HARDY ROBERTS Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

> DANA K. JOYCE General Counsel

#### Information Sheet Regarding Mediation of Commission Formal Complaint Cases

Mediation is a process whereby the parties themselves work to resolve their dispute with the aid of a neutral third-party mediator. This process is sometimes referred to as "facilitated negotiation." The mediator's role is advisory and although the mediator may offer suggestions, the mediator has no authority to impose a solution nor will the mediator determine who "wins." Instead, the mediator simply works with both parties to facilitate communications and to attempt to enable the parties to reach an agreement which is mutually agreeable to both the complainant and the respondent.

The mediation process is explicitly a problem-solving one in which neither the parties nor the mediator are bound by the usual constraints such as the rules of evidence or the other formal procedures required in hearings before the Missouri Public Service Commission. Although many private mediators charge as much as \$250 per hour, the University of Missouri-Columbia School of Law has agreed to provide this service to parties who have formal complaints pending before the Public Service Commission at no charge. Not only is the service provided free of charge, but mediation is also less expensive than the formal complaint process because the assistance of an attorney is not necessary for mediation. In fact, the parties are encouraged not to bring an attorney to the mediation meeting.

The formal complaint process before the Commission invariably results in a determination by which there is a "winner" and a "loser" although the value of winning may well be offset by the cost of attorneys fees and the delays of protracted litigation. Mediation is not only a much quicker process but it also offers the unique opportunity for informal, direct communication between the two parties to the complaint and mediation is far more likely to result in a settlement which, because it was mutually agreed to, pleases both parties. This is traditionally referred to as "win-win" agreement.

The traditional mediator's role is to (1) help the participants understand the mediation process, (2) facilitate their ability to speak directly to each other, (3) maintain order, (4) clarify misunderstandings, (5) assist in identifying issues, (6) diffuse unrealistic expectations, (7) assist in translating one participant's perspective or proposal into a form that is more understandable and acceptable to the other participant, (8) assist the participants with the actual negotiation process, (9) occasionally a mediator may propose a possible solution, and (10) on rare occasions a mediator may encourage a participant to accept a particular solution. The mediator will not possess any specialized knowledge of the utility industry or of utility law.

In order for the Commission to refer a complaint case to mediation, the parties must both agree to mediate their conflict in good faith. The party filing the complaint must agree to appear and to make a good faith effort to mediate and the utility company against which the complaint has been filed must send a representative who has full authority to settle the complaint case. The essence of mediation stems from the fact that the participants are both genuinely interested in resolving the complaint.

Because mediation thrives in an atmosphere of free and open discussion, all settlement offers and other information which is revealed during mediation is shielded against subsequent disclosure in front of the Missouri Public Service Commission and is considered to be privileged information. The only information which must be disclosed to the Public Service Commission is (a) whether the case has been settled and (b) whether, irrespective of the outcome, the mediation effort was considered to be a worthwhile endeavor. The Commission will not ask what took place during the mediation.

If the dispute is settled at the mediation, the Commission will require a signed release from the complainant in order for the Commission to dismiss the formal complaint case.

If the dispute is not resolved through the mediation process, neither party will be prejudiced for having taken part in the mediation and, at that point, the formal complaint case will simply resume its normal course.

Dale Hardy Roberts

Secretary of the Commission

Hole Hard Roberts

Date: February 4, 2004.

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

The Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission,	)
Complainant,	Ś
v.	) Case No. TC-2004
Long Distance Billing Services, Inc.,	)
Respondent.	)

# **COMPLAINT**

COMES NOW the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Staff") and initiates its complaint pursuant to Section 386.390 and 4 CSR 240-2.070, against Long Distance Billing Services, Inc. (the "Company") for violation of the Commission's statutes and rules relating to annual report filings. In support of its complaint, Staff respectfully states as follows:

#### **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

1. Respondent Long Distance Billing Services, Inc. is a "telecommunications company" and "public utility" as defined in Section 386.020 RSMo (2000) and is subject to the jurisdiction of the Missouri Public Service Commission pursuant to Section 386.250. The Commission granted the Company a certificate of service authority to provide interexchange telecommunications services in Case No. TA-2001-295 on December 11, 2000. Long Distance Billing Services, Inc. has provided the following contact information to the Commission:

Long Distance Billing Services, Inc. 436 Lynchburg Ave. Brookneal, VA 24528

Long Distance Billing Services, Inc.'s registered agent, according to the records of the Missouri Secretary of State's Office, is:

c/o TCS Corporate Services, Inc. 222 E. Dunklin St., Ste 102 Jefferson City, MO 65101

- 2. According to the Office of the Secretary of State of Missouri official web site, the Secretary of State notified the Company by letter on January 2, 2004 of its failure to file its 2003 annual report, and has advised the Company it is subject to administrative dissolution as permitted by Sections 351.484 and 351.486. The Company may be administratively dissolved in the near future.
- 3. Section 386.390.1 authorizes the Commission to entertain a complaint "setting forth any act or thing done or omitted to be done by a public utility in violation of any law, or of any rule, order or decision" of the Commission.
- 4. Commission practice Rule 4 CSR 240-2.070(1) provides that the Commission's Staff, through the General Counsel, may file a complaint.
- 5. The Missouri courts have imposed a duty upon the Public Service Commission to first determine matters within its jurisdiction before proceeding to those courts. As a result, "[t]he courts have ruled that the Division cannot act only on the information of its staff to authorize the filing of a penalty action in circuit court; it can authorize a penalty action only after a contested hearing." State ex rel. Sure-Way Transp., Inc. v. Division of Transp., Dept. of Economic Development, State of Mo., 836 S.W.2d 23, 27 (Mo.App. W.D. 1992) (relying on State v. Carroll, 620 S.W.2d 22 (Mo. App. 1981)); see also State ex rel. Circse v. Ridge, 138 S.W.2d 1012 (Mo.banc 1940). If the Commission determines after a contested hearing that the Company failed, omitted, or neglected to file its annual report and/or pay its annual assessment, the

Commission may then authorize its General Counsel to bring a penalty action in the circuit court as provided in Section 386.600.

#### COUNT ONE

- 6. Section 392.210.1 states that telecommunications companies must "file an annual report with the Commission at a time and covering the yearly period fixed by the commission."
- 7. Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-3.540(1) requires all telecommunications companies to file their annual reports on or before April 15 of each year.
- 8. On February 3, 2003, the Executive Director of the Commission sent all regulated utilities, including Long Distance Billing Services, Inc., a letter notifying them of the requirement to file an annual report covering the calendar year 2002, together with the appropriate form for the Company to complete and return to the Commission and instructions on how the Company may complete its filing electronically. The letter was sent to the address that was current in the Commission's Electronic Filing and Information System ("EFIS") at that time, and the letter was not returned.
- 9. The Company never returned a completed form, nor did it file its annual report electronically; and as of the date of this pleading, has not filed its 2002 Annual Report. See Affidavit of Janis Fischer, attached to this Complaint as Exhibit A.
- 10. Section 392.210.1 provides that "[i]f any telecommunications company shall fail to make and file its annual report as and when required or within such extended time as the commission may allow, such company shall forfeit to the state the sum of one hundred dollars for each and every day it shall continue to be in default with respect to such report...."

#### **COUNT TWO**

- against the wishes of the certificate holder. State ex rel. City of Sikeston v. Public Serv. Comm'n, 82 S.W.2d 105, 109 (Mo. 1935). Thus, the Commission has the authority to cancel a telecommunications company certificate pursuant to Section 392.410.5, which provides that "[a]ny certificate of service authority may be altered or modified by the commission after notice and hearing, upon its own motion or upon application of the person or company affected." However, the Commission need not hold a hearing, if, after proper notice and opportunity to intervene, no party requests such a hearing. State ex rel. Rex Deffenderfer Enterprises, Inc. v. Public Serv. Comm'n, 776 S.W.2d 494 (Mo.App. W.D. 1989).
- 12. If the Company fails to respond to this Complaint in a timely manner as required by 4 CSR 240-2.070(8), Staff requests that the Commission find that the Company's default constitutes its consent for the Commission to cancel its certificate and tariff, and therefore cancel the certificate of service authority of Long Distance Billing Services, Inc. to provide interexchange telecommunications services and the accompanying tariff, Mo. PSC Tariff No. 1.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Staff now requests that the Commission open a complaint case pursuant to Section 386.390; and, after hearing, find that Long Distance Billing Services, Inc. failed, omitted, or neglected to file its 2002 Annual Report as required by Missouri statute; and authorize its General Counsel to bring a penalty action against the Company in the circuit court as provided in Section 386.600, based on the statutory penalties set forth in Sections 392.210.1 (for failing to file annual reports).

Moreover, if the Company fails to respond to this Complaint in a timely manner as required by 4 CSR 240-2.070(8), in addition to a finding in default under 4 CSR 240-2.070(9),

Staff requests that the Commission find that the Company's default constitutes its consent for the Commission to cancel its certificate and tariff, and therefore cancel the certificate of service authority of Long Distance Billing Services, Inc. to provide interexchange telecommunications services and the accompanying tariff, Mo. PSC No. 1.

Respectfully submitted,

DANA K. JOYCE General Counsel

/s/ Robert S. Berlin

Robert S. Berlin Assistant General Counsel Missouri Bar No. 51709

Attorney for the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission P.O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102
(573) 526-7779 (Telephone)
(573) 751-9285 (Fax)
bob.berlin@psc.mo.gov

## **Certificate of Service**

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed, hand-delivered, transmitted by facsimile or electronically mailed to all counsel of record this 30<sup>th</sup> day of January 2004.

/s/ Robert S. Berlin

Long Distance Billing Services, Inc. 436 Lynchburg Ave. Brookneal, VA 24528

Long Distance Billing Services, Inc. c/o TCS Corporate Services, Inc. 222 E. Dunklin St., Ste. 102
Jefferson City, MO 65101

John Coffman, Esq.
Office of the Public Counsel
P. O. Box 7800
Jefferson City, MO 65102

#### **AFFIDAVIT**

٠.		5.1	. : :	4	B.	÷	×	32	٠.	٠.,		÷.	3.	74	:::	33	1	4	÷		÷	٠.	•	়	:		÷.	٠.
4	S	7		٨	า	17	ú.	3/	O	ì	ď	3	ŁΑ	ı	•	3	ż	a	н	1	1	>	I		٠.	÷	: .	-
	۰		्र	7	3		٠	2	ت	•	7				1		Ζ,	ш	Ż.	۲		•	Т.	٠.		4	-:	í
	::	:':		Ŀ	::		١.		1	3	4			Ţ,	ं :			٠.	٠.	٠.	÷	÷		٠.	٠.	ij	. •	
į.	· ·	Ŷ.		:	::	÷		÷	٠.	÷		÷	÷	18			Ċ.	÷	. :	i.	:	:-	:		- 1	٠.	÷	
	~	11	1	T	Ť		r	T.	٠.	,			E		×			Ŧ			7	:	٠.	٠;٠	٠.	÷		
	C	. •	÷	Ł	IJ	Y	Ļ)	ď	X	1	٠,	7	Ľ	8	ت		,	I,	Я	Ľ	7	÷	÷	1.	٠.,	: :		٠.

I, Janis E. Fischer, Utility Regulatory Auditor IV, of the Commission's Auditing Department, first being duly swom on my oath state that the Public Service Commission's records do not reflect the receipt of the 2002 Annual Report from Long Distance Billing Services, Inc.

Janis E. Fischer

S. Fischer

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 30th day of January. 2004

D SUZIE MANKIN Notaty Public - Notaty Seat STATE OF MISSOURI COLE COUNTY MY OCMMISSION EXP. JUNE 21, 2004

NOTARY PUBLIC

,		
		•
		•
,		
•		
	•	
·		
•		

#### STATE OF MISSOURI

# OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

I have compared the preceding copy with the original on file in this office and I do hereby certify the same to be a true copy therefrom and the whole thereof.

WITNESS my hand and seal of the Public Service Commission, at Jefferson City,

Missouri, this  $4^{th}$  day of Feb. 2004.

Dale Hardy Roberts

Ask Hard Roberts

Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

~

# MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION February 04, 2004

Case No. TC-2004-0327

Dana K Joyce P.O. Box 360

200 Madison Street, Suite 800 Jefferson City, MO 65102

John B Coffman P.O. Box 7800

200 Madison Street, Suite 640 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Legal Department

436 Lynchburg Avenue Brookneal, VA 24528

Long Distance Billing Services, Inc. Long Distance Billing Services, Inc.

c/o TCS Corporate Šervices, Inc., Registered Agent

Legal Department

222 East Dunklin Street, Suite 102

Jefferson City, MO 65101

Enclosed find a certified copy of a NOTICE in the above-numbered case(s).

Sincerely,

Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

			_
			(
		•	
		•	
<b>'</b>			
			•
			*