John Van Eschen

September 14, 1988

Additions (which are underlined) to Direct Testimony in Case No. TA-88-218, et al.

Page 8, Lines 20 and 21:

The failure to pay charges not subject to Commission jurisdiction shall not constitute cause for a discontinuance unless specifically authorized in telephone utility tariffs approved by the Commission.

Page 9, Line 16:

...phone service disconnected. Although before permitting noncertificated charges to be separately listed on a local exchange bill, I would recommend that the Commission direct the Staff, Office of the Public Counsel, and the local exchange industry to construct appropriate tariff provisions which would prohibit discontinuance of service for nonpayment of charges established by a party without a Missouri Commission certificate of service authority.

Page 14, Line 16:

...of the granting of the certificate. Finally, I have recommended that the Commission direct the Staff, Office of the Public Counsel, and the local exchange industry to construct appropriate tariff provisions which would prohibit discontinuance of service for nonpayment of charges established by a party without a Missouri Commission certificate of service authority before such charges can be listed on a local exchange bill.

Exhibit No. //-A

Date 1/20/88 Case No. 7A-88-2/8