

# MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES "From Discovery to Trial"

- Jefferson City, MO
- Rolla, MO
- Columbia, MO

- Headquarters
  711 North 11th Street
  St. Louis, Missouri 63101
- **Nationwide Scheduling**

1.800.280.3376

- Springfield, MO
- Kansas City, MO
- Edwardsville, IL

```
Page 1
1
                         STATE OF MISSOURI
2
                     PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3
5
 6
                     TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
 7
                       Prehearing Conference
                           June 14, 2004
 8
                      Jefferson City, Missouri
                              Volume 1
 9
10
11
12
   In the Matter of the Application )
    of WWC License, LLC d/b/a
13 CellularOne for Designation as an )
    Eligible Telecommunications ) Case No. TO-2004-0527
14 Carrier, and Petition for
    Redefinition of Rural Telephone
15
    Company Areas.
16
17
                   NANCY M. DIPPELL, Presiding,
18
                        SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE.
19
20
21
22
   REPORTED BY:
23
   KELLENE K. FEDDERSEN, CSR, RPR, CCR
    MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES
24
25
```

	Page 2
1	APPEARANCES:
2	MARY ANN (GARR) YOUNG, Attorney at Law
3	William D. Steinmeier, P.C.
	2031 Tower Drive
4	P.O. Box 104595
•	Jefferson City, MO 65110
5	(573)734-8109
6	MATTHEW SLAVEN, Attorney at Law (Via Telephone)
"	Briggs & Morgan, PA
7	2200 First National Bank Building
	St. Paul, MN 55101
8	(651)808-6509
9	FOR: WWC License, LLC
10	SONDRA MORGAN, Attorney at Law
	BRIAN T. McCARTNEY, Attorney at Law
11	Brydon, Swearengen & England, P.C.
	312 East Capitol
12	P.O. Box 456
	Jefferson City, MO 65102-0456
13	(573)635-7166
14	FOR: Craw-Kan Telephone Cooperative, Inc.
	KLM Telephone Company.
15	
	CHARLES BRENT STEWART, Attorney at Law
16	Stewart & Keevil
	Southampton Village at Corporate Lake
17	4603 John Garry Drive, Suite 11
	Columbia, MO 65203
18	(573)499-0635
	FOR: Spectra Communications Group, LLC
19	d/b/a CenturyTel.
20	MARC D. POSTON, Senior Counsel
1	P.O. Box 360
21	200 Madison Street
	Jefferson City, MO 65102
22	(573)751-3234
23	FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public
24	Service Commission.
24 25	
I	

	Page 3
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE DIPPELL: This is Case No.
3	TO-2004-0527, in the matter of the application of WWC
4	License, LLC for designation as an eligible
5	telecommunications carrier, and petition for redefinition
6	of rural telephone company service areas.
7	My name is Nancy Dippell. I'm the Law
8	Judge assigned to this matter. And we've come here today
9	basically for a scheduling conference. I have several of
10	the parties present, and I also have one of the parties
11	represented both in person and by telephone. And we have
12	a pending petition for leave to appear for Mark and I'm
13	probably going to slaughter his last name. It's
14	A-y-o-t-t-e, Ayotte.
15	MR. SLAVEN: It's Ayotte.
16	JUDGE DIPPELL: Ayotte. Thank you. And
17	Matthew Slaven, a petition to appear as counsel who are
18	not licensed in the State of Missouri, and their petition
19	meets the qualifications of the Commission's rule. I will
20	just point out that Missouri Supreme Court Rule 9.03 is
21	going to be amended as of July 1st and requires out of
22	state counsel to pay a fee to the Missouri Supreme Court
23	of \$100 per case.
24	And so I will point counsel in that
25	direction to comply with whatever rules are necessary as

Page 4 of July 1st, but I will go ahead and grant the petition 1 for leave to appear for those two attorneys in the case. And then we can go ahead and take entries 3 4 of appearance at this time, and I'll begin with Staff. 5 MR. POSTON: Marc Poston appearing for the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission. 6 JUDGE DIPPELL: And can you hear that okay, 7 8 Mr. Slaven? 9 MR. SLAVEN: It was a little soft. Is it 10 Marc Poston? JUDGE DIPPELL: Yes. I'll just ask 11 everybody to speak up. I don't know how well our 12 technology is working here. 13 So go ahead, Ms. Young. 14 MS. YOUNG: Mary Ann Young with the law 15 firm William D. Steinmeier, P.C., appearing on behalf WWC 16 License, LLC. And Matt slaven is on the phone with us 17 today. He's with the law firm of Briggs & Morgan, PA in 18 St. Paul, Minnesota. 19 20 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. MR. McCARTNEY: Brian T. McCartney of the 21 22 law firm Brydon, Swearengen & England, P.C., 312 East 23 Capitol Avenue, Post Office Box 456, Jefferson City,

Fax: 314.644.1334

Missouri 65102, on behalf of Craw-Kan Telephone

Cooperative and KLM Telephone Company.

24

25

	Page 5
1	JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Stewart?
2	MR. STEWART: Charles Brent Stewart, law
3	firm of Stewart & Keevil, LLC, appearing on behalf of
4	Spectra Communications Group, LLC, doing business as
5	CenturyTel. And I've given the court reporter my mailing
6	address.
7	JUDGE DIPPELL: Very well. I see no one
8	else represented here today. There are also some
9	Commission Staff people present, for the benefit of those
10	on the phone.
11	The only other pending motion that I have
12	is from the Office of the Public Counsel, who is not here
13	yet today, and they had made a motion for an evidentiary
14	hearing. I did not receive any objections to that motion,
15	and, therefore, I set this scheduling conference in
16	anticipation that, just that, we would need to schedule a
17	hearing. So that motion can be considered granted as
18	well.
19	Are there any other pending motions or
20	matters that need to be brought to my attention? Okay.
21	This is actually the second one of these types of cases
22	that the Commission has dealt with. The other one is
23	still pending a decision, which actually is expected
24	fairly shortly. And I don't know what effect that
25	decision might have on this case and how you-all want to

Page 6

Fax: 314.644.1334

plan for that. But given the way the hearing calendar for 1 the Commission is stacked up, I assume that decision will be out well before any hearing takes place in this matter. 3 4 5 I don't know of any specific issues or questions that I need to ask, and that's the reason I 6 decided to set this as a scheduling hearing because I just 7 thought you-all probably needed to get together to talk 8 9 about dates and times. So I think I directed a proposed procedural schedule to be filed no later than June 21st. 10 Is there any problem with that? I don't see any objection 11 12 to that. 13 So I'm just going to go ahead and leave 14 you-all to it, then, if you want to plan your scheduling, 15 if you don't have anything else for the record. MR. POSTON: I have one question. I didn't 16 17 attend the adjudication roundtable where they talked about 18 the desire for live testimony. Do you have any preference 19 on this case as to whether we do prefiled or live 20 testimony? 21 JUDGE DIPPELL: I personally do not have a 22 preference. The Commissioners seem to have a preference 23 for live testimony. I can't say which would be better or 24 worse, and I will leave it to counsel to make a suggestion

as to that. If counsel thinks that prefiled testimony

25

Page 7

- 1 would be more beneficial in this type of a case, then I
- 2 will leave that for you-all to suggest. I will not say
- 3 that the Commission will necessarily accept every
- 4 suggestion.
- 5 No changes at this point have been made in
- 6 any rules or actual operating procedures. So I'll just
- 7 leave that to you-all to decide what method you think is
- 8 best in this case, this particular case.
- 9 MR. POSTON: Thank you.
- 10 JUDGE DIPPELL: Are there any other
- 11 questions?
- 12 MR. SLAVEN: Your Honor, it's Matt Slaven.
- 13 The Order that you issued asked for, among other things, a
- 14 proposed list of issues to be addressed.
- JUDGE DIPPELL: Yes.
- 16 MR. SLAVEN: Can you explain for my benefit
- 17 what you envision with that list of questions?
- 18 JUDGE DIPPELL: Well, I actually envision a
- 19 list of things that are going to be contested basically
- 20 between the parties. In a similar case like this, my list
- 21 of issues consisted of the issue is is it in the public
- 22 interest. To be honest, I already know that's the issue,
- 23 but I want to know what's the real issue. Why does one
- 24 side think it's not in the public interest, the other side
- 25 thinks it is in the public interest?

Page 8 1 And I think when you discuss with local 2 counsel here, Mr. Slaven, then she can help you, guide you 3 in that direction when you discuss with the other 4 attorneys. They're pretty used to that procedure. 5 would like something more specific than just is this in 6 the public interest. I know that's the underlying 7 issue. I want to know why somebody thinks it is and why 8 somebody thinks it isn't. 9 Any other questions, Mr. Slaven? 10 MR. SLAVEN: No. Thank you, your Honor. 11 JUDGE DIPPELL: Anything else from any of 12 the parties? 13 I did not think to bring down a copy of the 14 Commission's current calendar, but I see Mr. Poston has 15 one. So I'm going to go ahead then and I will leave the 16 room and go off the record. Since Mr. Slaven's on the 17 phone, I'll just leave him on the phone and you-all can 18 just disconnect him when you're done, and let me know that 19 you're finished and I'll take care of the phone and so 20 forth. 21 If there's nothing further, then we can go 22 ahead and go off the record. 23 WHEREUPON, the recorded portion of the prehearing conference was concluded. 24

25

	<del></del>	<del></del>		
A	Avenue 4:23	2:23 4:6 5:9,22 6:2	E	here 3:8 4:13 5:8,12
a 3:9,12,17,22 4:9	<b>Ayotte</b> 3:14,15,16	7:3	E 3:1,1	8:2
5:13,16,23 6:7,9	A-y-o-t-t-e 3:14	Commissioners 6:22	East 2:11 4:22	He's 4:18
6:21,22,24 7:1,13		Commission's 3:19	effect 5:24	him 8:17,18
7:18,20 8:13	<u>B</u>	8:14	eligible 1:13 3:4	his 3:13
about 6:9,17	Bank 2:7	Communications	else 5:8 6:15 8:11	honest 7:22
accept 7:3	basically 3:9 7:19	2:18 5:4	England 2:11 4:22	Honor 7:12 8:10
actual 7:6	<b>be</b> 3:21 5:17,20 6:3	company 1:15 2:14	entries 4:3	how 4:12 5:25
actually 5:21,23	6:10,23 7:1,14,19	3:6 4:25	envision 7:17,18	
7:18	7:22	comply 3:25	every 7:3	I
address 5:6	because 6:7	concluded 8:24	every 7.3 everybody 4:12	I 3:1,9,10,19,24 4:1
addressed 7:14	been 7:5	conference 1:7 3:9	evidentiary 5:13	4:12 5:7,11,14,15
adjudication 6:17	before 6:3	5:15 8:24	expected 5:23	5:24 6:2,5,6,6,7,9
ahead 4:1,3,14 6:13	begin 4:4	considered 5:17	explain 7:16	6:9,11,16,16,21,23
8:15,22	behalf 4:16,24 5:3	consisted 7:21	ехріані 7.10	6:24 7:1,2,18,22
All 4:20	beneficial 7:1	contested 7:19	F	7:23 8:1,4,6,7,13
already 7:22	benefit 5:9 7:16	Cooperative 2:14	fairly 5:24	8:14,15
also 3:10 5:8	best 7:8	4:25	FEDDERSEN 1:23	if 6:14,15,25 8:21
amended 3:21	better 6:23	copy 8:13	fee 3:22	in 1:12 3:3,11,18,24
among 7:13	between 7:20	Corporate 2:16	filed 6:10	4:2,18 5:15 6:3 7:1
an 1:13 3:4 5:13	both 3:11	counsel 2:20 3:17,22	finished 8:19	7:5,8,20,21,24,25
and 1:14 3:5,8,10,11	Box 2:4,12,20 4:23	3:24 5:12 6:24,25	firm 4:16,18,22 5:3	8:3,5
3:11,12,16,18,21	Brent 2:15 5:2	8:2	First 2:7	Inc 2:14
3:24 4:1,3,3,4,7,17	Brian 2:10 4:21	court 3:20,22 5:5	for 1:13,14 2:9,14,18	interest 7:22,24,25
4:25 5:5,13,15,24	Briggs 2:6 4:18	Craw-Kan 2:14 4:24		8:6
5:25 6:6,9,13,24	bring 8:13	CSR 1:23	2:23 3:4,5,9,12,12	is 3:2,7,20 4:9,13,17
8:1,7,15,16,17,18	brought 5:20	current 8:14	4:2,2,5 5:9,13 6:1 6:1,15,18,23 7:2	5:12,12,21,22,23
8:19,19,22	Brydon 2:11 4:22	J	7:13,16	6:2,11 7:7,21,21
Ann 2:2 4:15	Building 2:7	D	forth 8:20	7:25 8:5,7
anticipation 5:16	business 5:4	D 2:3,20 3:1 4:16	from 5:12 8:11	isn't 8:8
any 5:14,19 6:3,5,11	but 4:1 6:1 7:23 8:4	dates 6:9	further 8:21	issue 7:21,22,23 8:7
6:11,18 7:6,10 8:9	8:14	dealt 5:22	Turther 0.21	issued 7:13
8:11	by 1:22 3:11	decide 7:7	G	issues 6:5 7:14,21
anything 6:15 8:11		decided 6:7	G 3:1	it 4:9,9 6:14,24 7:21
appear 3:12,17 4:2	C	decision 5:23,25 6:2	GARR 2:2	7:25 8:7,8
appearance 4:4	C 3:1	designation 1:13 3:4	Garry 2:17	it's 3:13,15 7:12,24
APPEARANCES	calendar 6:1 8:14	desire 6:18	get 6:8	I'll 4:4,11 7:6 8:17
2:1	can 4:3,7 5:17 7:16	did 5:14 8:13	given 5:5 6:1	8:19
appearing 4:5,16 5:3	8:2,17,21	didn't 6:16	go 4:1,3,14 6:13 8:15	1
application 1:12 3:3	can't 6:23	<b>Dippell</b> 1:17 3:2,7,16	8:16,21,22	I've 5:5
are 3:17,25 5:8,19	Capitol 2:11 4:23	4:7,11,20 5:1,7	going 3:13,21 6:13	
7:10,19	care 8:19	6:21 7:10,15,18	7:19 8:15	J
areas 1:15 3:6	carrier 1:14 3:5	8:11	grant 4:1	Jefferson 1:8 2:4,12
as 1:13 3:4,17,21,25	case 1:13 3:2,23 4:2	directed 6:9	granted 5:17	2:21 4:23
5:4,17 6:7,19,25	5:25 6:19 7:1,8,8	direction 3:25 8:3	Group 2:18 5:4	John 2:17
ask 4:11 6:6	7:20	disconnect 8:18	guide 8:2	Judge 1:18 3:2,8,16
asked 7:13	cases 5:21	discuss 8:1,3	guide 0.2	4:7,11,20 5:1,7
assigned 3:8	CCR 1:23	do 6:18,19,21	Н	6:21 7:10,15,18
assume 6:2	CellularOne 1:13	does 7:23	had 5:13	8:11
at 2:2,6,10,10,15,16	CenturyTel 2:19 5:5	doing 5:4	has 5:22 8:14	July 3:21 4:1
4:4 7:5	changes 7:5	done 8:18	have 3:9,10,11 5:11	June 1:8 6:10
attend 6:17	Charles 2:15 5:2	don't 4:12 5:24 6:5	5:25 6:15,16,18,21	just 3:20 4:11 5:16
attention 5:20	City 1:8 2:4,12,21	6:11,15	6:22 7:5	6:7,13 7:6 8:5,17
<b>Attorney</b> 2:2,6,10,10	4:23	down 8:13	hear 4:7	8:18
2:15	Columbia 2:17	<b>Drive</b> 2:3,17	hearing 5:14,17 6:1	
attorneys 4:2 8:4	come 3:8	d/b/a 1:12 2:19	6:3,7	K
	Commission 1:2		help 8:2	K 1:23
<u> </u>				<u> </u>

T/	6.12	2 10 10 7 20	1.10	G
Keevil 2:16 5:3	5:17	parties 3:10,10 7:20	1:18	Street 2:21
KELLENE 1:23	motions 5:19	8:12	REPORTED 1:22	suggest 7:2
KLM 2:14 4:25	Mr 3:15 4:5,8,9,21	Paul 2:7 4:19	reporter 5:5	suggestion 6:24 7:4
know 4:12 5:24 6:5	5:1,2 6:16 7:9,12	pay 3:22	represented 3:11 5:8	<b>Suite</b> 2:17
7:22,23 8:6,7,18	7:16 8:2,9,10,14	pending 3:12 5:11	requires 3:21	<b>Supreme</b> 3:20,22
	8:16	5:19,23	right 4:20	Swearengen 2:11
	Ms 4:14,15	people 5:9	room 8:16	4:22
Lake 2:16 last 3:13	my 3:7 5:5,20 7:16	per 3:23	roundtable 6:17	<del></del>
later 6:10	7:20	person 3:11	RPR 1:23	
law 1:18 2:2,6,10,10		personally 6:21	rule 3:19,20	T 2:10 4:21
2:15 3:7 4:15,18	N 3:1	petition 1:14 3:5,12	rules 3:25 7:6	take 4:3 8:19
4:22 5:2	name 3:7,13	3:17,18 4:1	rural 1:14 3:6	takes 6:3 talk 6:8
leave 3:12 4:2 6:13	Nancy 1:17 3:7	<b>phone</b> 4:17 5:10 8:17,17,19	<u> </u>	talk 6:8
6:24 7:2,7 8:15,17	National 2:7	place 6:3	S 3:1	
let 8:18	necessarily 7:3	plan 6:1,14	say 6:23 7:2	technology 4:13 telecommunications
License 1:12 2:9 3:4	necessary 3:25	point 3:20,24 7:5	schedule 5:16 6:10	1:13 3:5
4:17	need 5:16,20 6:6	point 5.20,24 7.3	scheduling 3:9 5:15	telephone 1:14 2:6
licensed 3:18	needed 6:8	Post 4:23	6:7,14	
like 7:20 8:5	no 1:13 3:2 5:7 6:10	Poston 2:20 4:5,5,10	0:7,14   second 5:21	2:14,14 3:6,11 4:24,25
list 7:14,17,19,20	7:5 8:10	6:16 7:9 8:14	see 5:7 6:11 8:14	testimony 6:18,20
LITIGATION 1:23	not 3:18 5:12,14	preference 6:18,22	seem 6:22	6:23,25
little 4:9	6:21 7:2,24 8:13	6:22	Senior 1:18 2:20	than 6:10 8:5
live 6:18,19,23	nothing 8:21	prefiled 6:19,25	service 1:2 2:23 3:6	Thank 3:16 7:9 8:10
<b>LLC</b> 1:12 2:9,18 3:4	100mmg 0.21	prehearing 1:7 8:24	4:6	that 3:20,24 4:7 5:11
4:17 5:3,4	0	present 3:10 5:9	SERVICES 1:23	5:14,16,16,17,20
local 8:1	O 3:1	Presiding 1:17	set 5:15 6:7	5:22,24 6:1,2,6,11
	objection 6:11	pretty 8:4	several 3:9	6:12,25,25 7:2,3,7
M	objections 5:14	probably 3:13 6:8	she 8:2	7:13,17,19 8:3,4
M 1:17	of 1:1,6,12,12,14	problem 6:11	shortly 5:24	8:18
made 5:13 7:5	2:23 3:3,3,6,9,10	procedural 6:10	side 7:24,24	that's 6:6 7:22 8:6
Madison 2:21	3:18,19,21,21,23	procedure 8:4	similar 7:20	the 1:12,12 2:23 3:3
mailing 5:5	4:1,4,6,18,21,24	procedures 7:6	Since 8:16	3:3,7,10,10,18,19
make 6:24	5:3,3,9,12,21,21	PROCEEDINGS	slaughter 3:13	3:19,22 4:1,2,5,6
Marc 2:20 4:5,10	6:5 7:1,14,17,19	1:6	slaven 2:6 3:15,17	4:15,17,18,21 5:5
Mark 3:12	7:21,21 8:11,13,19	proposed 6:9 7:14	4:8,9,17 7:12,12	5:9,10,11,12,12,21
Mary 2:2 4:15	8:23	public 1:2 2:23 4:6	7:16 8:2,9,10	5:22,22 6:1,1,2,6
Matt 4:17 7:12	off 8:16,22	5:12 7:21,24,25	Slaven's 8:16	6:15,17,18,22 7:3
matter 1:12 3:3,8	Office 4:23 5:12	8:6	so 3:24 4:14 5:17 6:9	7:13,20,21,21,22
6:3	okay 4:7 5:20	P.C 2:3,11 4:16,22	6:13 7:6 8:15,19	7:23,24,24,25 8:3
matters 5:20	on 4:16,17,24 5:3,10	P.O 2:4,12,20	soft 4:9	8:6,6,12,13,15,16
<b>Matthew</b> 2:6 3:17	5:25 6:19 8:16,17		some 5:8	8:16,17,19,22,23
McCARTNEY 2:10	one 3:10 5:7,21,22	Q	somebody 8:7,8	8:23
4:21,21	6:16 7:23 8:15	qualifications 3:19	something 8:5	their 3:18
me 8:18	only 5:11	question 6:16	SONDRA 2:10	then 4:3 6:14 7:1 8:2
meets 3:19	operating 7:6	questions 6:6 7:11	Southampton 2:16	8:15,21
method 7:7	or 5:19 6:5,19,23 7:6	7:17 8:9	speak 4:12	there 5:8,19 6:11
MIDWEST 1:23	Order 7:13		specific 6:5 8:5	7:10
might 5:25	other 5:11,19,22	R	Spectra 2:18 5:4	therefore 5:15
Minnesota 4:19	7:10,13,24 8:3,9	R 3:1	St 2:7 4:19	there's 8:21
Missouri 1:1,8 2:23	our 4:12	real 7:23	stacked 6:2	these 5:21
3:18,20,22 4:6,24	out 3:20,21 6:3	reason 6:6	Staff 2:23 4:4,6 5:9	they 5:13 6:17
MN 2:7		receive 5:14	state 1:1 3:18,22	They're 8:4
<b>MO</b> 2:4,12,17,21	P	record 6:15 8:16,22	Steinmeier 2:3 4:16	things 7:13,19
more 7:1 8:5	P 3:1	recorded 8:23	Stewart 2:15,16 5:1	think 6:9 7:7,24 8:1
Morgan 2:6,10 4:18	PA 2:6 4:18	redefinition 1:14 3:5	5:2,2,3	8:13
motion 5:11,13,14	particular 7:8	REGULATORY	still 5:23	thinks 6:25 7:25 8:7
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u></u>	1

				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
8:8	who 3:17 5:12	573)734-8109 2:5		
		573)751-3234 2:22		
this 3:2,8 4:4 5:15,21	why 7:23 8:7,7	5/3)/51-3234 2:22		
5:25 6:3,7,19 7:1,5	will 3:19,24 4:1 6:2	6		
7:8,8,20 8:5	6:24 7:2,2,3 8:15			
those 4:2 5:9	William 2:3 4:16	651)808-6509 2:8	!	
thought 6:8	with 3:25 4:4,15,17	<b>65102</b> 2:21 4:24	1	
time 4:4	4:18 5:22 6:11	<b>65102-0456</b> 2:12		
times 6:9	7:17 8:1,3	65110 2:4	1	
to 3:8,12,13,17,21,22	working 4:13	<b>65203</b> 2:17		
3:22,25 4:2,12	worse 6:24			
5:14,16,20,20,25	would 5:16 6:23 7:1	9		
6:6,7,8,8,10,12,13	8:5	<b>9.03</b> 3:20		
6:14,14,19,22,24	<b>WWC</b> 1:12 2:9 3:3		i	
6:24,25 7:2,7,7,14	4:16			
7:19,22,23 8:4,7	-			
8:13,15	Y			
today 3:8 4:18 5:8	Yes 4:11 7:15			
5:13	yet 5:13			
together 6:8	you 3:16 4:7 6:14,15	1	<b> </b>	
Tower 2:3	6:18 7:7,9,13,16			
TO-2004-0527 1:13	7:17 8:1,2,2,3,10			
3:3	Young 2:2 4:14,15			İ
TRANSCRIPT 1:6	4:15			
two 4:2	your 6:14 7:12 8:10			
type 7:1	you're 8:18,19			
types 5:21	you-all 5:25 6:8,14			
types 3.21	7:2,7 8:17			
U	7.2,7 0.17			
underlying 8:6	<u> </u>			
up 4:12 6:2	\$100 3:23			
up 4.12 0.2 us 4:17	\$100 5.25			
used 8:4	1			
useu 0.4	11:9		!	
v				
	1st 3:21 4:1			
Very 5:7	104595 2:4			
Via 2:6	11 2:17			
Village 2:16	14 1:8			
Volume 1:9	1		1	
	200 2 21			
<u>W</u>	200 2:21			
want 5:25 6:14 7:23	2004 1:8			
8:7	2031 2:3			
was 4:9 8:24	21st 6:10		1	
way 6:1	<b>2200</b> 2:7			
we 3:11 4:3 5:16				
6:19 8:21	3			
well 4:12 5:7,18 6:3	<b>312</b> 2:11 4:22			
7:18	<b>360</b> 2:20			
we've 3:8	<u> </u>			
what 5:24 7:7,17	4			
whatever 3:25	<b>456</b> 2:12 4:23			
what's 7:23	4603 2:17			
when 8:1,3,18				
where 6:17	5			
WHEREUPON 8:23	<b>55101</b> 2:7			]
whether 6:19	<b>573)499-0635</b> 2:18			Į.
which 5:23 6:23	573)635-7166 2:13			
	1			1