1	STATE OF MISSOURI
2	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3	
4	
5	
6	PREHEARING CONFERENCE
7	January 15, 1999
8	Jefferson City, Missouri Volume I
9	
10	
11	In the Matter of the Monitoring) of the Experimental Alternative) Case No. EO-96-14
12	Regulation Plan of Union) Electric Company.
13	Freetric Company.
14	
15	
16	BEFORE:
17	SHELLY A. REGISTER, Presiding, REGULATORY LAW JUDGE.
18	REGULATORI LAW GUDGE.
19	
20	
21	REPORTED BY:
22	KRISTAL R. MURPHY, CSR, RPR, CCR
23	ASSOCIATED COURT REPORTERS, INC. 714 West High Street Post Office Box 1308 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102
24	
25	(314) 636-7551

ASSOCIATED COURT REPORTERS, INC. (573)S636-7551 JEFFERSONOCITY,,MON65101

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	JAMES A. COOK, Attorney at Law Post Office Box 66149
4	St. Louis, Missouri 63166
5	FOR: Union Electric Company (Ameren UE).
6	DIANA M. SCHMIDT, Attorney at Law Bryan Cave, LLP
7	211 North Broadway Suite 3600
8	St. Louis, Missouri 63102
9	FOR: Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers Adam's Mark.
10	Anheuser-Busch. Alcoa.
11	Boeing. Ford.
12	General Motors. Holnam.
13	ISP Minerals. Mallinckrodt.
14	MEMC Electronic Materials. Monsanto.
15	Precoat Metals. Procter & Gamble.
16	ROBIN E. FULTON, Attorney at Law
17	Post Office Box 151 Fredericktown, Missouri 63645
18	FOR: The Doe Run Company.
19	ROBERT C. JOHNSON, Attorney at Law
20	Peper, Martin, Jensen, Maichel and Hetlage 720 Olive Street
21	Suite 2400 St. Louis, Missouri 63101
22	FOR: Chrysler Corporation.
23	Barnes - Jewish Hospital, et al.
24	
25	

1	SAMUEL E. OVERFELT, Attorney at Law 618 East Capitol Avenue
2	Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
3	FOR: Missouri Retailers Association.
4	
5	JOHN COFFMAN, Senior Public Counsel P.O. Box 7800
6	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
7	FOR: Office of Public Counsel and the Public.
8	STEVEN DOTTHEIM, Deputy General Counsel P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
9	- ·
10	FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(Written Entries of Appearance filed.)
3	JUDGE REGISTER: We're here for the
4	prehearing conference for Case No. EO-96-14, in the
5	matter of the monitoring of the experimental
6	alternative regulation plan of Union Electric
7	Company. And there are also matters pending on Case
8	No. EM-96-149, in the matter of the application of
9	Union Electric Company for an order authorizing,
10	number one, certain merger transactions involving
11	Union Electric Company; two, the transfer of certain
12	assets, real estate, leased property, easements and
13	contractual agreements to Central Illinois Public
14	Service Company; and, number three, in connection
15	therewith, certain other related transactions, which I
16	understand actually have to do with the settlement
17	agreement, weatherization that part has to do with
18	weatherization normalization.
19	MR. DOTTHEIM: As that relates to the rate
20	reduction, which is provided for in the stipulation
21	and agreement in Case No. EM-96-149.
22	JUDGE REGISTER: Okay. Now, as I understood
23	it from just looking at these, these cases have not
24	been consolidated, though, but we're just taking them
25	both up because they're issues provided for in this

- 1 settlement agreement?
- 2 MR. DOTTHEIM: Yes, that is correct. They
- 3 are not consolidated. And, actually, I think as it's
- 4 indicated in one or more of the pleadings, there is a
- 5 need possibly for an interim rate design for purposes
- 6 of effectuating the rate reduction as provided for in
- 7 EM-96-149. And there is reference to -- there is
- 8 presently pending a comprehensive rate design
- 9 customer class cost of service case, which is Case
- 10 No. EO-96-15, and I believe all of the parties in
- 11 E0-96-15 but one are also parties in E0-96-14.
- 12 JUDGE REGISTER: Okay. And I do have
- 13 EO-96-15, which is in the matter of the investigation
- 14 of class cost of service and rate design for Union
- 15 Electric Company, and have one pending application to
- 16 intervene outside the established deadline from the
- 17 State of Missouri, but we'll take that one up earlier
- 18 only if necessary. Or I'll -- you-all may need to
- 19 discuss it, rather, I should say, on the procedural
- 20 schedule.
- 21 Let's go ahead and taken the entries of
- 22 appearance, please. Mr. Cook, do you want to start?
- 23 MR. COOK: Certainly. James J. Cook, Post
- 24 Office Box 66149, St. Louis, Missouri, 63166-6149,
- 25 appearing on behalf of Union Electric Company, or

- 1 Ameren UE.
- JUDGE REGISTER: Next.
- 3 MR. DOTTHEIM: Steven Dottheim, Post Office
- 4 Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri, 65102, appearing on
- 5 behalf of the Staff of the Missouri Public Service
- 6 Commission.
- JUDGE REGISTER: Thank you.
- 8 MR. JOHNSON: John B. Coffman, P.O.
- 9 Box 7800, Jefferson City, Missouri, 65102, appearing
- 10 on behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel.
- 11 MS. SCHMIDT: Diana M. Schmidt, Bryan Cave,
- 12 LLP, 211 North Broadway, Suite 3600, St. Louis,
- 13 Missouri, 63102, appearing on behalf of the Missouri
- 14 Industrial Energy Consumers.
- MR. FULTON: Robert Fulton, P.O. Box 151,
- 16 Fredericktown, Missouri, appearing on behalf of Doe
- 17 Run Company.
- 18 JUDGE REGISTER: Any other intervenors?
- 19 MR. JOHNSON: Robert C. Johnson, 720 Olive,
- 20 St. Louis, Missouri, 63101, appearing on behalf of
- 21 Chrysler Corporation and Barnes Jewish, et al.
- 22 JUDGE REGISTER: Okay. I have received
- 23 phone contacts from Mr. William Riggins representing
- 24 KCP&L, and they have been excused this morning, and
- 25 also a telephone call from Michael Pendergast on

- 1 behalf of Laclede Gas Company, and they've asked to be
- 2 excused this morning. Any objections to those?
- 3 (No response.)
- 4 JUDGE REGISTER: If that's all of the
- 5 intervenors present --
- 6 MR. OVERFELT: Sam Overfelt, Jefferson City,
- 7 Missouri, P.O. Box 1336, 65102, appearing on behalf of
- 8 the Missouri Retailers Association.
- 9 JUDGE REGISTER: Any other intervenors? Did
- 10 I miss anybody?
- 11 (No response.)
- 12 JUDGE REGISTER: Okay. Thank you for
- 13 catching me there.
- 14 All right. Just to clear up my record, are
- 15 there parties that are not present that you-all know
- 16 of? Missouri Gas Energy, are they represented here
- 17 today? I think they were previously a party in
- 18 95-411. And Empire District Electric Company, Jim --
- 19 James C. Swearengen?
- 20 (No response.)
- 21 JUDGE REGISTER: Okay. All right. We have
- 22 a couple of pending matters today, and I'd like to
- 23 take up the pending request for Commission guidance
- 24 and the responses to that and the purpose for the --
- 25 or the request for early prehearing notice from Office

- 1 of the Public Counsel and the request filed by Staff
- 2 requesting the early prehearing conference and the
- 3 areas of disagreement that are brought forth here
- 4 today under the stipulation and agreement in Case
- 5 No. ER-95-411.
- 6 Do you-all have a particular way that you
- 7 want to proceed this morning, or have you-all
- 8 discussed the procedure at all this morning?
- 9 MR. COOK: We've not discussed the
- 10 procedure. It's my thought that they all somewhat
- 11 work together, and we had anticipated that, frankly,
- 12 after the entry of appearance and perhaps a little bit
- 13 of procedural discussion that we might have some time
- 14 to discuss whether any of the issues in part or in
- 15 whole might be resolved.
- 16 JUDGE REGISTER: Okay. Let me go over what
- 17 I have, then, pending before me so that we're clear,
- 18 and then I have also another question then on the
- 19 motion to compel.
- I have Union Electric's request for
- 21 Commission guidance filed November 23rd, 1998; Office
- 22 of the Public Counsel's notice filed November 24th,
- 23 1998. We have pending before us the corrected final
- 24 earnings report and corrected proposed sharing report
- 25 for the third sharing period July 1, 1997 through

- 1 June 30, 1998 filed 11-25 by Ameren UE; Staff's motion
- 2 for setting an expedited early prehearing conference,
- 3 which was filed November 25th, 1998, which brings us
- 4 here -- it's one of the things that brings us here --
- 5 and on November 25th, Staff's motion for leave to file
- 6 late initial report for third year share and credit
- 7 calculations and initial report speculating weather
- 8 normalization earnings share to ratepayers. And then
- 9 I have on December 28th, Office of the Public Counsel
- 10 filed a motion to compel, and on January 13th, '99,
- 11 Ameren UE filed a motion for extension of time in
- 12 which to file a response to the Public Counsel's
- 13 motion to compel.
- Is there anything else pending that I'm
- 15 not --
- MR. DOTTHEIM: Pardon me, Judge Register.
- 17 I'm not sure whether you mentioned the Staff's
- 18 response to Union Electric Company's request for
- 19 Commission guidance.
- JUDGE REGISTER: I'm sorry. I do have both
- 21 Office of the Public Counsel's and Staff's responses,
- 22 both filed on December 3rd, 1998, to Ameren UE's
- 23 request for Commission guidance. Yes, those are both
- 24 pending.
- MR. DOTTHEIM: Thank you.

- JUDGE REGISTER: Okay. Let me ask, first,
- 2 about the motion to compel. Are there -- does anyone
- 3 intend to file an objection to the request for an
- 4 extension of time by Ameren UE for time to file?
- 5 Should I wait?
- 6 MR. COFFMAN: We're certainly willing to
- 7 meet with the Company, and wouldn't object to a
- 8 reasonable extension. But these are matters that we
- 9 had initiated discovery on back in September, and
- 10 since there isn't a time limit in this case, I am
- 11 somewhat hesitant to agree to an open-ended extension.
- 12 I think that these matters are preliminary to us even
- 13 determining what -- what issues we're going to take
- 14 with the earnings report.
- We feel as if our basic ability to verify
- 16 some of the items that we described in the notice and
- 17 are included in the earnings calculation is being
- 18 frustrated at this point, and we feel like the
- 19 information contained in that motion to compel is
- 20 essential to us being able to actually form opinions
- 21 and get, I guess, our testimony together to actually
- 22 try this case, so it is important. And I wouldn't
- 23 have an objection to, you know, a brief extension --
- JUDGE REGISTER: Okay.
- 25 MR. JOHNSON: -- to try to work it out.

- JUDGE REGISTER: Mr. Cook's request is ten
- 2 days -- a request for an additional ten days to file
- 3 his response --
- 4 MR. JOHNSON: Right.
- 5 JUDGE REGISTER: -- to your motion to
- 6 compel, Mr. Coffman. Is that reasonable?
- 7 MR. JOHNSON: I wouldn't object to that.
- 8 JUDGE REGISTER: I'll issue that notice
- 9 today, and I'll make that ten days from today.
- 10 MR. COOK: Thank you.
- 11 For the record, I've indicated, Mr. Coffman,
- 12 that we've come today prepared to sit down and try to
- 13 resolve as many of the issues that are in dispute as
- 14 possible, so that if there is anything that is still
- 15 pending, it hopefully will be fewer than we have in
- 16 the initial motion.
- 17 JUDGE REGISTER: You may not even need that
- 18 time if you resolve your issues here today?
- 19 MR. COOK: Yes.
- JUDGE REGISTER: Is there anything else
- 21 procedural that you would like to take up now, or do
- 22 you need any decision from the Commission to proceed
- 23 with your negotiations?
- MR. COOK: No. At least -- the others may
- 25 have a different opinion, but my hope today would be

- 1 to see if there is any possibility of settling some or
- 2 all of the issues. We would, of course, on the
- 3 assumption that it is all settled today, and Staff
- 4 apologizes and goes away, that we will still meet the
- 5 deadline for filing the procedural schedule. I
- 6 believe we can start to work on that today as well.
- 7 As to the pending matters, just so it is
- 8 clear, I -- the Company filed its request for
- 9 Commission guidance, the Staff and Public Counsel
- 10 have -- at least the Staff has responded to that.
- 11 I've forgotten if Public Counsel did. And the Company
- 12 has not yet filed its reply. It will seek leave to
- 13 still do that, if necessary, after today. We'll be
- 14 doing so in the near future. So I would not ask for a
- 15 ruling on that today.
- 16 And we -- and I think that's one of the
- 17 things we need to discuss from a procedural
- 18 standpoint. If there is something like that that the
- 19 parties wish to have verified or an order before we
- 20 proceed or whether we should just proceed on the
- 21 assumption that that will happen of its own course,
- 22 I'm not sure.
- JUDGE REGISTER: Okay. The one other thing
- 24 I wanted to note is I did note, I think, in your
- 25 response, Mr. Dottheim, that there was a reference to

- 1 no decision being rendered yet in '96 -- EO-96-15, and
- 2 I did want to note that my calendar has that scheduled
- 3 for hearing April 26th through April 30; is that
- 4 correct?
- 5 MR. DOTTHEIM: I believe that is correct.
- 6 JUDGE REGISTER: So if there is some need
- 7 for a decision before that time or if the parties
- 8 enter into an agreement, that's fine, but we might be
- 9 able to correlate the two if you do end up needing to
- 10 have a hearing on that issue.
- 11 MR. DOTTHEIM: Of course, it -- it depends
- 12 on what happens in EO-96-15. Even if both dockets go
- 13 to hearing, EO-96-15 and EO-96-14, I would anticipate
- 14 that the Commission would render a decision in
- 15 EO-96-14 sooner than it would do so in EO-96-15, the
- 16 rate design customer class cost of service docket.
- So if EO-96-14 goes to hearing, or if it
- 18 doesn't, I think we will need an interim rate design.
- 19 I think the only thing that would possibly prevent the
- 20 need for an interim rate design is if EO-96-15 settles
- 21 around the time that EO-96-14 either settles or there
- 22 is a Commission decision in EO-96-14 after having gone
- 23 to hearing.
- 24 So I think prudence would -- would indicate
- 25 that the parties at this stage do need to be talking

2	reduction that would come out of the first alternative
3	regulation plan as provided for in the stipulation and
4	agreement in EM-96-149.
5	JUDGE REGISTER: Sounds like you-all have
6	some pretty complex issues to discuss today and it's
7	time for me to leave you alone. Does that sound about
8	right?
9	MR. DOTTHEIM: We're here at your pleasure.
LO	JUDGE REGISTER: Well, I have a few other
L1	things I can attend to, unless someone else needs
L2	something from me now.
L3	MR. DOTTHEIM: We won't be bashful if we do
L4	need you to look for you.
L5	JUDGE REGISTER: I'll be there.
L6	Okay. Then I will go off the record then.
L7	Whereupon, the on-the-record portion of the
L8	prehearing conference was concluded.
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1 about an interim rate design to effectuate the rate