```
1
 2
                        STATE OF MISSOURI
 3
                    PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
 5
                    TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
 6
                             Hearing
 7
                        February 21, 2002
                     Jefferson City, Missouri
                             Volume 2
 8
 9
10 Staff of the Missouri Public Service )
   Commission,
11
                 Petitioner,
12
                                         ) Case No. WC-2002-146
          v.
13
   St. Louis County Water Company,
14 doing business as Missouri-American
                                        )
   Water Company,
                                         )
15
                 Respondent.
                                         )
16
17
18
                 KEVIN A. THOMPSON, Presiding,
                      DEPUTY CHIEF REGULATORY LAW JUDGE.
19
20
21 REPORTED BY:
22 KELLENE K. FEDDERSEN, CSR, RPR
   ASSOCIATED COURT REPORTERS
23
24
25
```

### ASSOCIATED COURT REPORTERS JEFFERSON CITY - COLUMBIA - ROLLA (888)636-7551

### 2 RICHARD T. CIOTTONE, Attorney at Law 949 East Essex St. Louis, MO 63122 (314)822-7355 FOR: St. Louis County Water Company. RUTH O'NEILL, Legal Counsel P.O. Box 7800 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-780 (573)751-4857 FOR: Office of the Public Counsel 8 and the Public. KEITH R. KRUEGER, Deputy Counsel 10 P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 11 (573)751-3234 FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public 12 Service Commission. 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

1 APPEARANCES:

25

- 2 JUDGE THOMPSON: Good morning. We're here for
- 3 Case No. WC-2002-146, and this is the Staff of the Missouri
- 4 Public Service Commission vs. St. Louis County Water
- 5 Company, doing business as Missouri-American Water Company.
- 6 And I should note that we have been advised that there has
- 7 been a name change and St. Louis County Water Company now is
- 8 Missouri-American Water Company.
- 9 MR. CIOTTONE: That's correct.
- 10 JUDGE THOMPSON: So I guess that's a
- 11 substitution of name of party.
- 12 Why don't we take oral entries of appearance
- 13 at this time. We'll begin with Staff.
- MR. KRUEGER: Keith R. Krueger for the Staff
- 15 of the Missouri Public Service Commission. My address is
- 16 P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65101.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Respondent.
- 18 MR. CIOTTONE: Richard T. Ciottone appearing
- 19 on -- 949 East Essex, St. Louis, Missouri 63122, appearing
- 20 on behalf of the respondent now Missouri-American Water
- 21 Company, successor to St. Louis County Water Company, doing
- 22 business as Missouri Water Company.
- 23 And I'm also authorized to enter the
- 24 appearance of Robert H. Grant, Deputy County Counselor, by
- 25 proxy through myself. He is the attorney for St. Louis

- 1 County, Missouri, the government. His address is 41 South
- 2 Central, Clayton, Missouri 63105.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Public Counsel.
- 4 MS. O'NEILL: Thank you. Ruth O'Neill for the
- 5 Office of the Public Counsel and the Public. Our address is
- 6 P.O. Box 7800, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Now, it's my
- 8 understanding that the parties would like to submit the case
- 9 on the basis of the prefiled testimony and we'll set a
- 10 briefing schedule today and that the parties will waive
- 11 hearing and cross-examination. Is that correct?
- MR. CIOTTONE: Yes, sir.
- MS. O'NEILL: Yes, it is.
- 14 JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. I have here the direct
- 15 testimony of Wendell R. Hubbs. I have the surrebuttal
- 16 testimony of Wendell R. Hubbs. I have the rebuttal
- 17 testimony of James M. Jenkins and the rebuttal testimony of
- 18 Senator Wayne Goode. Is there any prefiled testimony that I
- 19 failed to refer to?
- MR. KRUEGER: No.
- MS. O'NEILL: No.
- MR. CIOTTONE: Not to my knowledge.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: We will go ahead and mark
- 24 Mr. Hubbs' testimony as Exhibit 1, Mr. Hubbs' surrebuttal
- 25 testimony as Exhibit 2, Mr. Jenkins' rebuttal testimony as

- 1 Exhibit 3, and Senator Goode's rebuttal testimony as
- 2 Exhibit 4.
- 3 (EXHIBIT NOS. 1 THROUGH 4 WERE MARKED FOR
- 4 IDENTIFICATION BY THE REPORTER.)
- 5 JUDGE THOMPSON: At this time, then, I will
- 6 ask whether there are any objections to the receipt of
- 7 Exhibit No. 1 into the record of this proceeding?
- 8 MS. O'NEILL: No objection.
- 9 MR. CIOTTONE: No objection.
- 10 JUDGE THOMPSON: Are there any objections to
- 11 receipt of Exhibit No. 2 into the record of this proceeding?
- MS. O'NEILL: No objection.
- MR. CIOTTONE: No objection.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Any objection to the receipt
- 15 of Exhibit No. 3?
- MR. CIOTTONE: Your Honor, there's one
- 17 correction to Exhibit No. 3 that perhaps I should make
- 18 known.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Absolutely.
- 20 MR. CIOTTONE: On page 5, line 15, Mr. Jenkins
- 21 refers to a CSR Chapter 12. It is, in fact, Chapter 13.
- 22 That's a typographical error.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Very well. Any other
- 24 corrections, to your knowledge?
- MR. CIOTTONE: No, sir.

- 1 JUDGE THOMPSON: So are there any objections
- 2 to the receipt of Exhibit 3 as corrected into the record of
- 3 this proceeding?
- 4 MS. O'NEILL: No objection.
- 5 MR. KRUEGER: No, your Honor.
- 6 JUDGE THOMPSON: How about the receipt of
- 7 Exhibit No. 4?
- 8 MS. O'NEILL: No objection.
- 9 MR. KRUEGER: No objection, your Honor.
- 10 JUDGE THOMPSON: Hearing no objections,
- 11 Exhibits 1, 2, 3 and 4 are received and made a part of the
- 12 record of this proceeding.
- 13 (EXHIBIT NOS. 1 THROUGH 4 WERE RECEIVED INTO
- 14 EVIDENCE.)
- 15 JUDGE THOMPSON: And I think I should get your
- 16 waivers on the record. So I'll put a question to counsel
- 17 and then ask each of you to reply audibly for the purposes
- 18 of the record.
- 19 Do you on behalf of your client waive the
- 20 opportunity for an evidentiary hearing and for
- 21 cross-examination in this matter? Mr. Ciottone?
- MR. CIOTTONE: Yes, your Honor. Both the
- 23 company, responding company does so waive, and the St. Louis
- 24 County, Missouri intervenor also waives.
- 25 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, sir. Mr. Krueger?

- 1 MR. KRUEGER: Yes, your Honor, the Staff
- 2 waives the evidentiary hearing.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Ms. O'Neill?
- 4 MS. O'NEILL: Yes, we waive the hearing.
- 5 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you very much. At this
- 6 time, then, we will put together a briefing schedule. What
- 7 do you have in mind?
- 8 MR. KRUEGER: Your Honor, I believe there
- 9 are -- times for filing the Briefs have previously been
- 10 ordered, but that was done with the idea that we would be
- 11 waiting on the transcript of the hearing, and so we may
- 12 possibly want to revise it.
- 13 MR. CIOTTONE: I can live with those dates
- 14 myself.
- MR. KRUEGER: They're fine with me.
- 16 JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Well, that's fine with
- 17 me, too, then. So we will stick with the briefing dates as
- 18 previously ordered in the procedural schedule. Very well.
- 19 And I do not believe that we have an operation
- 20 of law date in this case; isn't that correct?
- MR. KRUEGER: Correct.
- MS. O'NEILL: That's correct.
- MR. CIOTTONE: That's correct.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: So I think you should
- 25 probably expect about a month after the final Brief is

1	filed.	
2		Anything further at this time?
3		MR. CIOTTONE: No, sir.
4		MS. O'NEILL: No.
5		JUDGE THOMPSON: No.
6		JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you all for coming ou
7	today. We wil	ll be adjourned.
8		WHEREUPON, the hearing of this case was
9	concluded.	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

25

1	EXHIBITS INDEX				
2		MARKED	RECEIVED		
3	EXHIBIT NO. 1 Direct Testimony of Wendell R. Hubbs	13	14		
4					
5	EXHIBIT NO. 2 Surrebuttal Testimony of Wendell R. Hubb	s 13	14		
6	EXHIBIT NO. 3  Rebuttal Testimony of James M. Jenkins	13	14		
7					
8	EXHIBIT NO. 4  Rebuttal Testimony of Senator Wayne Good	e 13	14		
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
٥.					

25