Exhibit No.:

Issue(s): NOL Reduction in Rate Base
Witness/Type of Exhibit: Riley/True-Up Rebuttal
Sponsoring Party: Public Counsel
Case No.: ER-2022-0129 and ER-2022-0130

TRUE-UP REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

JOHN S. RILEY

Submitted on Behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel

EVERGY METRO, INC. D/B/A EVERGY MISSOURI METRO AND EVERGY MISSOURI WEST, INC. D/B/A EVERGY MISSOURI WEST

CASE NOS. ER-2022-0129 AND ER-2022-0130

August 25, 2022

TRUE- UP REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

JOHN S. RILEY

EVERGY METRO, INC D/B/A EVERGY MISSOURI METRO EVERGY MISSOURI WEST, INC, D/B/A EVERGY MISSOURI WEST

CASE NOs. ER-2022-0129 & ER-2022-0130

1	Q.	What is your name and what is your business address.
---	----	--

- A. John S. Riley, PO Box 2230, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.
- Q. Are you the same John S. Riley that submitted direct, rebuttal and surrebuttal testimony in this case?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. What is purpose of your true-up rebuttal testimony?
- A. Both Evergy Metro and Evergy West (together "the Company") have included an amortization of net operating losses in its true-up calculations. I argue that a net operating loss ("NOL") is not amortizable and, since the Company has exhausted its NOL balance, no NOL should be included as an offset to rate base in these cases.

NOL REDUCTION IN RATE BASE

- Q. Has Evergy Metro and West made any adjustments to the amount of NOL included in rate base?
- A. Evergy Metro made a jurisdictional allocation of its NOL and has now included \$39,041,419 in rate base. Evergy West did the same and included \$46,375,645 in rate base. As I explained in my surrebuttal testimony, the Company is amortizing the NOLs using the Average Rate Assumption Method ("ARAM"). However, an NOL is not an amortizable asset.

2.2

Q. What is your basis for claiming that an NOL is not an amortizable asset?

A. There are actually three facts I rely on. The first is that under IRS regulations, a NOL carryforward created prior to 2018 could be carried forward for 20 years and then expires. Conventional wisdom would dictate that a financial resource, with a specified expiration date, would not be amortized. Why slowly kill off a tax benefit without being used? Since the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, the 20 year time limit has been lifted so an NOL can effectively wait as long as necessary for the taxpayer to either increase income or decrease deductions sufficient to generate taxable income that the NOL can be used to reduce. Secondly, I could find no record of the IRS stating that an NOL could be amortized. Specifically, I didn't find any mention of an NOL amortization in 26 U.S. Code § 172 – Net operating loss deduction. Finally, I am quite confident that the Company has exhausted its balance of NOL with the completion of the 2021 income tax return. Since Evergy's current NOL balances have been effectively reduced to zero, the object of the wrongful amortization no longer exists. There is no reasonable accounting justification for the amortization of nonexistent NOLs as an offset to rate base.

Q. The Company has included NOL balances in the rate base of both rate cases. Should the Commission allow these balances?

A. No. Regardless of the 2018 stipulation and agreement, an NOL is not a rate case generated item and the stipulation and agreement should not have the authority to artificially insert an NOL balance into a rate case. An NOL only exists in rate base because the Company claimed a taxable loss on its income tax return. It is therefore a tax return generated item. This isn't the same as goodwill or some other intangible rate base. "An NOL is a tax return adjustment and not a regulatory item"³. This is an income tax return created balance and that balance doesn't

¹ Prior to the Tax Cut and Jobs Act (TCJA), there was a 20 year limit, however, that restriction has been lifted.

² Evergy has not provided a completed or estimated 2021 tax return but analyzing the Corporation's 2021 10K reveals that the Corporation increased net income by 41% over 2020.

WO-2019-0184, Report & Order, page 6, paragraph 14

True-Up Rebuttal Testimony of John S. Riley ER-2022-0129 & ER-2022-0130

exist anymore because it has been completely used up in the past four tax returns. The NOLs have no accounting or ratemaking authority to be amortized, much less be amortized after its expiration.

Q. What effect does including the NOL balances have on rate base?

A. The Company is allowed a rate of return on a non-existent asset. In the case of Metro it would approximate \$39,041,419 multiplied by the pre-tax ROR of 7.807% to equal \$3,047,964 in revenue requirement and a nearly \$9.75 million annual amortization.⁴ With West at \$46,375,645 multiplied by 7.882% to equal \$3,655,328 plus \$11.6 million in amortization that is unnecessarily included in revenue requirement.

Q. Does this conclude your true-up rebuttal testimony?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

⁴ There is a proposed four year amortization of the NOL with the Excess Deferred Tax balance

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Evergy Metro, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri Metro's Request for Authority to Implement a General Rate Increase for Electric Service)))	Case No. ER-2022-0129		
In the Matter of Evergy Missouri West, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri West's Request for Authority to Implement a General Rate Increase for Electric Service)))	Case No. ER-2022-0130		
AFFIDAVIT OF JOHN S. RILEY				
STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss COUNTY OF COLE)				

John S. Riley, of lawful age and being first duly sworn, deposes and states:

- 1. My name is John S. Riley. I am a Utility Regulatory Supervisor for the Office of the Public Counsel.
 - 2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my true-up rebuttal testimony.
- 3. I hereby swear and affirm that my statements contained in the attached testimony are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

John S. Riley

Utility Regulatory Supervisor

Subscribed and sworn to me this $25^{\rm h}$ day of August 2022.

NOTARY C SEAL ST

TIFFANY HILDEBRAND My Commission Expires August 8, 2023 Cole County Commission #15637121

My Commission expires August 8, 2023.

Tiffany Hildebrand

Notary Public