

In the Matter of the Application of Southwestern
Bell Telephone Company, d/b/a AT&T Missouri,
For Approval of an Interconnection Agreement
Under the Telecommunications Act of 1996

Issue Date: October 25, 2016 Effective Date: November 4, 2016

On September 8, 2016, AT&T Missouri filed an application with the Commission for approval of an Interconnection Agreement with Call One Inc. (Call One). The Agreement was filed pursuant to Section 252(e)(1) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.¹ Both AT&T Missouri and Call One hold certificates of service authority or are registered to provide basic local exchange telecommunications services in Missouri.

Although Call One is a party to the Agreement, it did not join in the application. On September 12, 2016, the Commission issued an order making Call One a party in this case and directing any party wishing to request a hearing to do so no later than October 3, 2016. No requests for hearing were filed.

The Staff of the Commission filed a memorandum and recommendation on September 15, 2016, recommending that the Agreement be approved.

Under Section 252(e) of the Act, any interconnection agreement adopted by negotiation must be submitted to the Commission for approval. The Commission may

reject an agreement if it finds that the agreement is discriminatory or that it is not consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity.

Staff recommends that the Agreement be approved and notes that the Agreement meets the limited requirements of the Act in that it is not discriminatory toward nonparties and is not against the public interest. Staff recommends that the Commission direct the parties to submit any amendments to the Commission for approval.

Findings of Fact

The Commission has considered the application, the supporting documentation, and Staff's verified recommendation. Based upon that review, the Commission finds that the Agreement meets the requirements of the Act in that it does not discriminate against a nonparty carrier and implementation of the Agreement is not inconsistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity. The Commission finds that approval of the Agreement shall be conditioned upon the parties submitting any amendments to the Commission for approval pursuant to the procedure set out below.

Amendment Procedure

The Commission has a duty to review all interconnection agreements, whether arrived at through negotiation or arbitration, as mandated by the Act.² In order for the Commission's role of review and approval to be effective, the Commission must also review and approve or recognize amendments to these agreements. The Commission has a further duty to make a copy of every interconnection agreement available for public inspection.³

¹ See 47 U.S.C. § 251, *et seq.*

² 47 U.S.C. § 252.

³ 47 U.S.C. § 252(h).

The parties to each interconnection agreement must maintain a complete and current copy of the agreement, together with all amendments, in the Commission's offices. Any proposed amendment must be submitted pursuant to Commission rules 4 CSR 240-28.020(5) and 4 CSR 240-28.080.

Conclusions of Law

The Commission, under the provisions of Section 252(e)(1) of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996,⁴ is required to review negotiated interconnection agreements. It may only reject a negotiated agreement upon a finding that its implementation would be discriminatory to a nonparty or inconsistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity.⁵ Based upon its review of the Agreement between AT&T Missouri and Call One and its findings of fact, the Commission concludes that the Agreement is neither discriminatory nor inconsistent with the public interest and shall be approved.

THE COMMISSION ORDERS THAT:


1. The Interconnection Agreement between Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, d/b/a AT&T Missouri and Call One Inc., filed on September 8, 2016, is approved.
2. Any changes or amendments to this Agreement shall be submitted in compliance with 4 CSR 240-28.020(5) and 4 CSR 240-28.080.

⁴ 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(1).

⁵ 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(2)(A).

3. This order shall become effective on November 4, 2016.
4. This file may be closed on November 5, 2016.

BY THE COMMISSION



Morris L. Woodruff
Secretary



Morris L. Woodruff, Chief Regulatory Law
Judge, by delegation of authority pursuant
to Section 386.240, RSMo 2000.

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri,
on this 25th day of October, 2016.


STATE OF MISSOURI

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

I have compared the preceding copy with the original on file in this office and I do hereby certify the same to be a true copy therefrom and the whole thereof.

WITNESS my hand and seal of the Public Service Commission, at Jefferson City, Missouri, this 25th day of October 2016.




Morris L. Woodruff
Secretary

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

October 25, 2016

File/Case No. TK-2017-0072

**Missouri Public Service
Commission**

Staff Counsel Department
200 Madison Street, Suite 800
P.O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102
staffcounsel@psc.mo.gov

Office of the Public Counsel

James Owen
200 Madison Street, Suite 650
P.O. Box 2230
Jefferson City, MO 65102
opc@psc.mo.gov

AT&T Missouri

Leo J Bub
909 Chestnut St., Room 3518
St. Louis, MO 63101
leo.bub@att.com

Call One, Inc.

Legal Department
225 W Wacker Drive, 8th Floor
Chicago, IL 60606

**Missouri Public Service
Commission**

Cully Dale
200 Madison Street, Suite 800
P.O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102
cully.dale@psc.mo.gov

Enclosed find a certified copy of an Order or Notice issued in the above-referenced matter(s).

Sincerely,



**Morris L. Woodruff
Secretary**

Recipients listed above with a valid e-mail address will receive electronic service. Recipients without a valid e-mail address will receive paper service.