# STATE OF MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS PUBLIC HEARING

MAY 18 2000

JOPLIN, MISSOURI

VOLUME 6

In the Matter of Missouri-American	)		
Water Company's Tariff Sheets Designed	)		
TO IMPLEMENT GENERAL RATE INCREASES	)	CASE No.	WR-2000-281
FOR WATER AND SEWER SERVICE PROVIDED	)		
TO CUSTOMERS IN THE MISSOURI SERVICE	)		
AREA OF THE COMPANY	)		

KEVIN A. THOMPSON, PRESIDING

DEPUTY CHIEF REGULATORY LAW JUDGE

SHEILA LUMPE, CHAIR,

M. DIANNE DRAINER, VICE-CHAIR

COMMISSIONERS

# MEGAHERTZ REPORTING SERVICE

MICHAEL H. ZAJDEL, CCR, CVR P.O. BOX 587, NEVADA, MO 64772 (417) 667-6738

# **APPEARANCES**

### FOR MISSOURI-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY

DEAN L. COOPER

312 E. CAPITOL AVE

P.O. Box 256

JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65102-0456

# FOR THE INDUSTRIAL INTERVENORS

JEREMIAH D. FINNEGAN AND

STUART W. CONRAD

3100 BROADWAY STREET

SUITE 1209

Kansas City, Missouri 64111

# FOR OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC COUNSEL AND THE PUBLIC

SHANNON COOK, ASSISTANT PUBLIC COUNSEL

P.O. Box 7800

JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102-7800

# FOR STAFF OF THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CLIFF E. SNODGRASS, SENIOR GENERAL COUNSEL (PRO HAC VICE)

301 W. HIGH STREET, SUITE 530

P.O. Box 360

JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102

# INDEX

APPEARANCESI	Ί
INDEXII	Ξ
EXHIBITSV	'I
HEARING	1
REPRESENTATIVE CHUCK SURFACE	4
WILLIAM A. CLARK1	. 0
GISELE PUTMAN1	. 2
GLORIA MITCHELL1	. 5
ANNA RUTH CRAMPTON1	. 6
RICHARD RUSSELL	2 0
DAVID NIEBUR	: 6
HAROLD LAYTON	0
GREGORY J. KUNTZ	3
JUDITH KRAMER3	6
VIRGIL LEWIS4	. 0
BRAD ALLEN4	. 4
ARMOND A. BORCHARDT5	; 0
STEVE HUNTER5	; 2
ED GOEBEL5	; <b>7</b>
JOHN A. WILLIAMS	i 1

JOE ADAMS62
MAYOR DARIEUS ADAMS64
STEVEN LEWIS
SHIRLEY MONDT73
BILL JOYCE80
PATRICK BOYER82
NAOMI BROWN85
ROBERT BRASCH88
PAUL BARR90
BARBARA LANDRETH92
FREDERICK W. KING
JACK GOLDEN96
ROB O'BRIAN
FRED FERGUSON
KEVIN MANARD114
MARVIN GORHAM119
JEFF GIBSON
DIANA SWENSON
RANDALL PRESLEY128
WILLIAM H. KNAUST
DEBORAH VAUGHN

CHARLES AMES140	)
WILLIAM ROSS142	2
RON SAMPSON147	,
JAMES BRUCE	
JOSEPH CLAYTON	;
DEBBIE MARKMAN	<b>;</b>
SONJIA CORUM158	;
JERRY SMITH	;
EARL CARR	t
LEROY GETCHELL	)
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	L

# **EXHIBITS**

EXHIBIT	NUMBER	1	• • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • •	ERROR! BOOKMARI	K NOT DEFINED.
EXHIBIT	NUMBER	2	• • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	20
EXHIBIT	NUMBER	3	• • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	50
EXHIBIT	NUMBER	4	• • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	5 5
EXHIBIT	NUMBER	5	• • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	69
EXHIBIT	NUMBER	6	• • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
EXHIBIT	NUMBER	7	• • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	9 2
EXHIBIT	NUMBER	8	• • • • • •			101
EXHIBIT	NUMBER	9	• • • • • •			
	NUMBED	4.3				170

1

# HEARING

JUDGE THOMPSON: If I could have your attention please. Thank you very much. My name is Kevin Thompson. I'm a regulatory Law Judge with the Missouri Public Service Commission. It is my job this evening to preside over this local public hearing.

I'm going to explain the hearing procedures to you, and then we will go ahead and get on the record and start taking testimony. Everyone who would like to testify this evening needs to sign up on a sheet, a witness sheet. I will call each witness in the order in which you have signed up. You must come forward to the podium. I will swear you in. You will then give your testimony. Please do not leave the podium until you are excused; there may be some questions for you from counsel or from one of the Commissioners or for myself.

The purpose of the hearing tonight is to take your testimony. It is not for us to answer questions. We will take your testimony. It will become part of the official and permanent record of this case. Each of the commissioners will read and consider all the testimony that is given. When you first come to the podium, I will ask you to spell

your name for the court reporter. A record is being made. This will result eventually in a written transcript which will be part of the permanent case record. At this time we can go on the record.

We are here in the matter of Missouri-American Water Company's tariff sheets designed to implement a general rate increase for water service provided to customers in the Missouri service area of the company, Case Number WR-2000-281.

With me tonight, our Chair, Sheila Lumpe, of the Missouri Public Service Commission, and Vice-Chair Dianne Drainer. There are five Commissioners on the Commissioner, and each of the Commissioners will read the entire transcript of tonight's hearing.

This is one of five local public hearings which the Commission is holding in the various portions of the service area of Missouri-American Water Company to gather public comments. There will also be a trial-type evidentiary hearing in Jefferson City which will begin, I believe, on June 5th. That is scheduled to run for over a week. At that time, the attorneys for the company, for the public Counsel, for various other parties in the

case, will put on witnesses, will put on evidence just like a court trial, in order to make an evidentiary foundation with respect to this rate increase. Our purpose tonight is to listen to your comments, the record your comments with respect to the proposed rate increase.

With all that being said, I will ask counsel to make entries of appearance at this time. Mr. Snodgrass, let's start with you.

MR. SNODGRASS: I have done that Judge. My name is Cliff Snodgrass, I'm appearing pro hac vice in this case. I represent the interests of the staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, Mr. Snodgrass. Mrs. Cook.

MS. COOK: Shannon Cook on behalf of the Office of Public Counsel and the Public, P.O. Box 7800, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-7800.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: On behalf of the Industrial Intervenors, Jeremiah D. Finnegan and Stewart W. Conrad, 3100 Broadway, Suite 1209, Kansas City, Missouri 64111.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.

1	MR. COOPER: Dean Cooper from the law
2	firm of Brydon, Swearengen and England, P.O. Box
3	456, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102, appearing on
4	behalf of Missouri-American Water Company.
5	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Are there
6	any other counsel here this evening?
7	(No responses.)
8	JUDGE THOMPSON: Very well, I call
9	Representative Chuck Surface.
10	REPRESENTATIVE CHUCK SURFACE
11	was called as a witness, was sworn, and
12	testifies as follows:
13	JUDGE THOMPSON: State your name,
14	please.
15	JUDGE THOMPSON: Chuck Surface, S-u-r-
16	f-a-c-e.
17	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Do you
18	have a statement you'd like to make, sir?
19	MR. SURFACE: I do.
20	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please proceed.
21	THE WITNESS: Good evening. Welcome
22	Commissioners Lumpe and Drainer and their staff to
23	Joplin. Sheila, it's nice to see you again. I
24	would also like to welcome the citizens of Joplin
25	and the surrounding areas for taking the time to

make their feelings known. In the interest of time, my remarks will be brief so that those who wish to speak may be heard. And from what we've come across in the last hour, I know there's a lot of folks that want to be heard. I would like to address Case WR-2000-281, which you will be called upon to decide later this year.

We understand that there must be increases in rates, but those increases should be based on the cost of delivering services to us. A 54 percent increase in water rates in our community is not warranted, as the Joplin area does not benefit in better service, better delivery, cleaner water, or anything else. This is, pure and simple, a welfare plan for St. Joseph, funded on the backs of rate payers in the Joplin and other areas of the state.

Joplin is being asked to pay for new plant in St. Joseph which Joplin consumers do not use and cannot benefit from. With other utilities such as gas and electric service, there are mechanisms to move that power and those utilities around. In this case, Missouri American Water does not have such a mechanism. It is my hope that in this case you abandon single tariff pricing, whereby all pay for one, and instead utilize district specific

pricing, where each area pays its rightful share for the benefits it receives.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It is my understanding that your staff has recommended district specific pricing in Case 2000-It is further my understanding that the Office of Public Counsel has also stated that district specific pricing should be applied to this Sometimes when we talk about numbers, we forget what those numbers mean. To our senior citizens is another increase in services that they must have to live, an increase they cannot pass on. To our industrial customers, they may be able to pass on the costs, but it makes them less competitive, and it's artificial inflation, as again, they receive no benefits but must account for costs that they cannot control. Our retailers, such as laundries, car washes, and other water intensive businesses, must pass this cost on again to the consumers of Joplin, thus multiplying the effect of a 54 percent increase. I know that the public Counsel will discuss overbuilding. That is what is believed to have to have occurred in this matter, as will others. I will leave that discussion to them. My belief is that the stockholders, not the ratepayers, should pay for

such extravagance.

(APPLA

(APPLAUSE FROM AUDIENCE.)

REPRESENTATIVE SURFACE: I again want to thank you for showing interest by coming to our community as well as other communities. I hope you will look out over this audience and register their interest in this matter and the way your decision affects them. I hope that your decision is that, in cases such as this, there should be no corporate welfare paid for by ratepayers who can never glean an ounce of benefits from increased rates.

At this time, I would like to present you with copies of petitions with over 1000 signatures opposing this unconscionable rate increase.

(APPLAUSE.)

JUDGE THOMPSON: THANK YOU REPRESENTATIVE Surface. I have been presented with the file folder which I have Marked as Exhibit Number 1.

REPRESENTATIVE SURFACE: Any questions that I can answer from you?

JUDGE THOMPSON: Questions from the bench?

CHAIR LUMPE: I have no questions.

COMMISSIONER DRAINER: I have no questions. I'd like to thank you very much for

your comments this evening. I think you have probably summarized very well what many of your citizens here would like to express to us, and I appreciate your doing that. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Thank you for your coming in your consideration, Commissioner.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Any questions from counsel?

# (APPLAUSE)

JUDGE THOMPSON: Chair Lumpe, do you have any remarks you would like to make of a general nature?

CHAIR LUMPE: Very briefly, I would just -- I'm very appreciative of the turnout that we have here. The comments that you will make to us will help us make better decisions. As it's been stated, we will be -- we have visited two communities. We will be going to two committees later this month also to hear their comments. It's very important that we hear, that it becomes part of the record and becomes part of our making a decision.

We are not the jury. We have made no decision yet, and I think it's very important that you know that, so that you know what you tell us is equally

important to anything we hear at the evidentiary hearing. So thank you again for taking your time to come.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE THOMPSON: Vice-Chair.

COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Yes. I, first of all, would like to reemphasize that I agree wholeheartedly with Chair Lumpe, that we are here to hear you, this is your meeting. And I first would like to say that I apologize this from wasn't big enough. We asked to get as big a room as we could, and I know that I see at least three seat --If there is anybody standing in the back that really needs to be seated, if you would come forward, there are some open seats. Also, there's room over here on this side of the wall. don't be bashful; come and make yourselves comfortable, so that you can hear. So I would like to offer that.

I guess also want to say, I've been to Joplin. This is my third public meeting down in this area in the last couple years. What you tell us tonight makes a difference. It always has, it always will. We are here to hear you, and to take and reconsider this evidence, and we will use it. So I will also ask that, as we have speakers tonight, if we could

refrain from clapping until the end, so that we can -- so we need to hear you all. We have this scheduled from 6:30 to 8:30, but I can guarantee you we're going to sit here until we've heard the last person. And we're not leaving, we're not calling this, so we'll stay and will hear you, and we look forward to your comments. Thank you very much for coming this evening.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you Vice-Chair Drainer. In the interest of speeding things up where possible, if another speaker has given a view that you agree with, you may simply come to the podium after you're sworn, state that you agree with what that speaker said, okay.

As Vice-Chair Drainer said, we would ask you to refrain from clapping, from applause, until the end of the hearing. And if you have any exhibits, hand them to me, please. Thank you.

At this time, I will call William A. Clark.

# WILLIAM A. CLARK

was called as a witness, was sworn, and
testifies as follows:

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you sir. State your name, please.

SPEAKER CLARK: Its William A. Clark,

Clark with an "E".

JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay.

SPEAKER CLARK: I'm a resident of 25 years in Joplin, Missouri, 2421 West --

JUDGE THOMPSON: Excuse me. Are you presently a customer of Missouri-American Water Company?

 ${\tt SPEAKER} \ {\tt CLARK:} \quad {\tt I} \ {\tt certainly} \ {\tt am}.$ 

JUDGE THOMPSON: Please proceed.

Missouri-American Water Works. However, I'm very, very interested in being here tonight, and, after what you said, I will not read what I have here. I will make my comments very brief. I think our representative Chuck Surface made an outstanding comment. He happens to live on the same block that I do.

But this whole increase, in my opinion, and others that I've talked to, is simply absurd. We are going on record tonight feeling that like that we're being ungraciously asked to contribute to St. Joe's new \$75 million plan. Now, we feel like that there is a better way, and we would certainly like for the Missouri Public Service Commission to ask the American Water Works to find a better way than

1	the idea that they have of increasing our rates
2	like 54 percent. Thank you.
3	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, sir.
4	Questions from the bench.
5	CHAIR LUMPE: I have not questions for
6	Mr. Clark, thank you.
7	JUDGE THOMPSON: Commissioner Drainer.
8	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: I just with to
9	thank you very much for taking this public hearing
10	off and coming up and giving us your comments. I
11	appreciate that very much.
12	JUDGE THOMPSON: Questions from
13	counsel?
14	MR. SNODGRASS: None Judge, thank you.
15	GISELE PUTMAN
16	was called as a witness, was sworn, and
17	testifies as follows:
18	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please state your
19	name.
20	SPEAKER PUTMAN: Gisele Putman, G-i-s-
21	e-l-e, Gisele.
22	JUDGE THOMPSON: Could you spell your
23	last name for the reporter, please.
24	SPEAKER: Putman. P-u-t-m-a-n.
25	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Are you

presently a a customer of Missouri-American Water 1 2 Company? 3 SPEAKER PUTMAN: Yes. JUDGE THOMPSON: Please proceed. 4 SPEAKER PUTMAN: I lived here now for 5 6 50 years, and I raised three boys and two 7 grandsons, and I'm paying out now the same water 8 bill I paid when I had my family, and there is no way I could stand a raise. I'm on Social Security, 9 10 I'm living by myself. It just don't do any farther. Or like I said, I may have to guit taking 11 12 I don't have a garden, I don't water the my bath. 13 That was before my husband passed away. 14 done all that. But I'm still paying the same 15 amount. So, please, I can't handle it. Thank you 16 very much. 17 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, ma'am. 18 Please don't step away yet. Questions from the 19 bench, Chair Lumpe. No, I have no questions. 20 CHAIR LUMPE: 21 JUDGE THOMPSON: Vice-Chair Drainer. COMMISSIONER DRAINER: No questions 22 23 other than thank you very much for coming. 24 SPEAKER PUTMAN: You're welcome.

MEGAHERTZ REPORTING SERVICE
P.O. BOX 587, NEVADA, MO 64772
(417) 667-6738

JUDGE THOMPSON: Ouestions from

25

1	counsel.
2	MR. FINNIGAN I have one. I have a
3	question for you.
4	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please return to the
5	
6	SPEAKER PUTMAN: I'm in a hurry.
7	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, ma'am.
8	MR. FINNIGAN Giesele?
9	SPEAKER PUTMAN: Giesele.
10	MR. FINNIGAN Giesele. Are you aware
11	that there are parties in the case, including the
12	group that I represent, that are proposing a
13	\$642,000 decrease for Joplin?
14	SPEAKER: Oh,that would be nice. A
15	decrease in everything: light bill, water bill,
16	whatever.
17	MR. FINNIGAN Just for water. Would
18	you support that?
19	SPEAKER PUTMAN: A decrease, yes, I
20	sure would support that, but I haven't seen any
21	yet. They promise. They promise all the time.
22	MR. FINNIGAN: Okay, well, the
23	testimony in the case supported a decrease.
24	SPEAKER PUTMAN: Okay. Can I go? No?
25	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, Mr.

1	Finnigan. Any further questions?
2	(No responses.)
3	SPEAKER PUTMAN: Oh, thank you very
4	much.
5	(APPLAUSE)
6	JUDGE THOMPSON: Gloria Mitchell.
7	GLORIA MITCHELL
8	was called as a witness, was sworn, and
9	testifies as follows:
10	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please state your
11	name.
12	SPEAKER: Gloria Mitchell.
13	JUDGE THOMPSON: Could you please
14	state your last name for the reporter.
15	SPEAKER MITCHELL: M-i-t-c-h-e-l-l.
16	JUDGE THOMPSON: Are you presently a
17	customer the Missouri-American Water Company?
18	SPEAKER MITCHELL: I am.
19	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please proceed.
20	SPEAKER MITCHELL: I was born here,
21	and I love this town, and I agree with what Chuck
22	Surface said and the others, and it's just too much
23	of a raise.
24	JUDGE THOMPSON: Does that complete
25	your statement?

1	SPEAKER MITCHELL: That completes my
2	statement.
3	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Questions
4	from the bench, Chair Lumpe.
5	CHAIR LUMPE: I have no questions.
6	JUDGE THOMPSON: Vice-Chair Drainer.
7	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: No, but thank
8	you very much for coming out, thank you.
9	JUDGE THOMPSON: If you could just
10	wait a moment, ma'am. Questions from counsel.
11	(No responses.)
12	JUDGE THOMPSON: You're excused, thank
13	you very much.
14	Anna Ruth Crampton.
15	ANNA RUTH CRAMPTON
16	was called as a witness, was sworn, and
17	testifies as follows:
18	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please state your
19	name.
20	SPEAKER CRAMPTON: I am Anna Ruth, A-
21	n-n-a, R-u-t-h, Crampton, C-r-a-m-p-t-o-n.
22	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Are you
23	presently a customer of Missouri-American Water
24	Company?
25	SPEAKER CRAMPTON: I am.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Please proceed.

SPEAKER: I am here this evening to address WR-2000-281. Thank you for the opportunity to speak to you this evening. I am an Anna Ruth Crampton, as I've stated. I am Western District Commissioner of Jasper County. I will present to you later documents signed by Jasper and Newton County commissioners regarding your rate increase for water service to our area.

The quality of water in our lifestyle is very important to us here in southwest Missouri. Water is certainly a commodity that is of extreme importance. We pride ourselves in neighbors helping neighbors, and we strive for this on a daily basis. The water increase of over 50 percent certainly is not one that we feel part of our policy of neighbors helping neighbors.

The area of St. Joseph, Warrensburg and Mexico are located several hundred miles away from us, and are not contingent on Jasper or Newton County. An industrial park has recently been started in Jasper County bordering Newton County. We have a strong desire to see that this project will produce many employment opportunities for our joint counties.

As a County Commissioner representing the citizens,

I strongly encourage you not to use this as something to affect our county.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I do also have a statement I would like to read from the Newton County Commission. "Dear Mrs. Crampton, the Newton County Commission is in full support of your position of opposition to the water increase by the Missouri-American Water Company. We applaud your efforts. Newton County, as Missouri-American Water customers in Saginaw and Silver Creek area who became customers because their water wells were polluted by industrial The commission does not feel Missouri chemicals. American Water can justify such a large increase in water rates for taxpayers of this area. County Commission recognizes that the new industrial park east of Joplin we'll be a great economic benefit to both Jasper and Newton counties, and it will provide opportunities for employment and housing. For these reasons and others addressed in your testimony before the public -- the Missouri Public Service Commission hearing May 18th, we again are in opposition to this water rate increase and join Jasper County in that opposition. I thank you for your attention.

MEGAHERTZ REPORTING SERVICE
P.O. BOX 587, NEVADA, MO 64772
(417) 667-6738

Thank you, ma'am.

JUDGE THOMPSON:

1	Questions from the bench, Chair Lumpe.
2	CHAIR LUMPE: I'm just wondering,
3	shouldn't we enter her statement into the record?
4	SPEAKER: Yes, I have a copy for you.
5	CHAIR LUMPE: If you could provide
6	them for us, thank you.
7	JUDGE THOMPSON: Vice-Chair Drainer.
8	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Yes, thank you
9	for your well-organized thoughts and statement. I
10	would like to ask, you are a Commissioner for
11	Jasper County?
12	SPEAKER CRAMPTON: Yes.
13	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: And then are
14	you speaking tonight on your own behalf as a
15	Commissioner or on behalf of the Jasper County
16	Commission?
17	SPEAKER CRAMPTON: I'm speaking on
18	behalf of the entire Jasper County Commission. The
19	document is signed by all three commissioners.
20	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Oh, very good.
21	Thank you very much, I appreciate that.
22	JUDGE THOMPSON: Questions from
23	counsel, Shannon Cook.
24	MS. COOK: Yes, ma'am. I'd like to
25	know your opinion as to both the water quality and

1	water service you are receiving presently.
2	SPEAKER: I really have no comments on
3	that. I have not had any complaints
4	MS. COOK: No complaints.
5	SPEAKER CRAMPTON: brought to my
6	attention.
7	MS. COOK: Okay, thank you.
8	JUDGE THOMPSON: Other questions?
9	(No responses.)
10	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you ma'am, you
11	are excused. If you could please give me the
12	exhibit.
13	(Documents are handed to Judge Thompson.)
14	JUDGE THOMPSON: I HAVE A PACKET OF
15	MATERIALS THAT I'M MARKING AS EXHIBIT $2.$
16	Richard Russell.
17	RICHARD RUSSELL
18	was called as a witness, was sworn, and
19	testifies as follows:
20	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please state your
21	name.
22	SPEAKER RUSSELL: My name is Richard
23	Russell.
24	JUDGE THOMPSON: Could you spell your
25	last name for the reporter?

SPEAKER RUSSELL: R-u-s-s-e-l-l.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Are you presently a

customer of Missouri-American Water Company?

SPEAKER RUSSELL: Yes, both

residential and commercial.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE THOMPSON: Please proceed, sir.

SPEAKER RUSSELL: Yes. Give my

regards to Janet Herskin, please, when you get back to Jefferson City.

JUDGE THOMPSON: We will, sir.

SPEAKER RUSSELL: I'm the Executive Director on the Area Agency on Aging. The Federal Older Americans Act passed in 1965 mandates that an area agency on aging be an advocate for senior citizens. As Director of Region Ten Area Agency on Aging I would remiss not have spoken to you tonight concerning this outrageous request for a 50 percent plus rate increase. I along with some of my colleagues at some of the other area agencies around the state are concerned about the impact that this issue will have on all citizens, but especially on older Missourians, many of whom live on a small fixed income. For tonight's purpose, however, the issue at this time will especially affect the citizens of Joplin.

Many of Joplin's senior citizens make a choice daily about what to pay first: utilities, food or medicine. American Water Company records show that Joplin has been the profit center for the Missouri operation. In fact, citizens of Joplin have overpaid to the tune of approximately \$434,000 for past rate approvals. If this rate increase is approved, Joplin residents would be subsidizing over \$1 million towards Missouri American Water operation, especially in St. Joseph and Brunswick, Missouri.

The good news is that you, The Commission, have taken the position -- or so it has been reported -- that this rate request is unreasonable and have publicly stated that in some hearings, it is your belief that the Joplin ratepayers should have a rate reduction of eleven percent, not a rate increase. We applaud these statements.

The bad news, however, is that, until tonight, as early as last week, that the Office of Public Counsel which is appointed to be an advocate for the ratepayers, reportedly supported a rate increase and not a freeze.

Other good news is that you, the counsel, have been quoted that you feel rate pricing should be

done at the district level, which means that the rates are based on water costs in each individual area. We also appreciate and applaud those statements.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But the other bad news is that the Office of Public Counsel appears to take the position of a single rate for all of Missouri, which until tonight we heard different, which means that water users in the individual areas would be paying the same rate, no matter what the actual cost for water in that area is.

There is no question that the federal government is asking for diversification among some utility companies. I myself am a retiree of 33 years of a major Missouri investor-owned utility company. I understand that. But electric and telephone companies are constructed in such a way that they can transmit or transport through their grids nationwide. The water Company is no such critter. It appears that somebody was asleep at the wheel when past increases were allowed after audits of the American Water books for Joplin residents to have been overcharged. One at this time should praise you, the Missouri Public Service Commission staff, for being awake to the concerns

of Missouri citizens. Unfortunately, the office of public counsel still seems to be asleep at the wheel when looking out for the best interest of the Joplin ratepayers. Thank you for coming to Joplin and for giving us an opportunity to voice the views of hundreds of seniors, and to hear us say we support a rate reduction for all of our citizens. We also support the support of Representative Chuck Surface, Senator Caskey, Senator Singleton, and the entire Missouri State Senate on our behalf. Thank you.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, sir. Questions from the bench, Chair Lumpe.

CHAIR LUMPE: I'd just like to clarify the staff of the Public Service Commission has taken a position, as I said earlier, the commission has yet to hear all the testimony and has not taken a position yet. So, as I said, we are like the jury, and that's why we want to all the concerns and opinions before we take a position.

SPEAKER RUSSELL: I understand that.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, Chair

Lumpe. Vice-Chair Drainer.

COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Thank you for much for coming and speaking to us on behalf of the

elderly of your region. How much region do you 1 2 represent down here? 3 SPEAKER RUSSELL: Four counties of Southwest Missouri. 4 CHAIR LUMPE: Which four counties? 5 SPEAKER: Barton, Newton, Jasper, 6 7 McDonald. 8 CHAIR LUMPE: Okay, thank you very 9 much, I appreciate you coming on their behalf. 10 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you Vice-Chair Drainer. Questions from counsel. 11 MS. COOK: SPEAKER RUSSELL: Yes, Your 12 13 Honor. 14 JUDGE THOMPSON: Ms. Cook. MS. COOK: Sir, I have ask where you 15 16 came up with the impression that the public counsel 17 is recommending a single tariff pricing in this 18 case. 19 SPEAKER RUSSELL: In the hallways of 20 the legislature and in the city of Joplin. 21 MS. COOK: Okay, are you aware that 22 all the testimony we filed in this case has recommended a zero percent increase for the City of 23 24 Joplin? 25 SPEAKER RUSSELL: Until tonight I was

1	not, no.
2	MS. COOK: Okay. I just wanted to
3	clear that up.
4	SPEAKER RUSSELL: Yes, thank you.
5	JUDGE THOMPSON: Further questions?
6	SPEAKER: None from staff.
7	JUDGE THOMPSON: You're excused, sir,
8	thank you.
9	SPEAKER RUSSELL: Thank you, sir.
10	David Niebur.
11	DAVID NIEBUR
12	was called as a witness, was sworn, and
13	testifies as follows:
14	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you sir.
15	Please state your name.
16	SPEAKER NIEBUR: David Niebur, N-i-e-
17	b-u-r.
18	JUDGE THOMPSON: Are you presently a
19	customer of Missouri-American Water Company?
20	SPEAKER NIEBUR: Yes, I am.
21	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please proceed, sir.
22	SPEAKER NIEBUR: I am also speaking
23	tonight on behalf of two organizations which are
24	also customers of American Water Corporation, and
25	they are the Lafayette House and the Salvation Army

of Joplin. We wish to express our -- I will speak first for the Lafayette House. And the Lafayette House is spelled L-a-f-a-y-e-t-t-e.

We wish to express our strong objection to the revised water service tariffs proposed by the Missouri-American Water Company for our area. It is our understanding that, as proposed, our rates for commercial service would increase by out 58 percent. We are a nonprofit agency providing shelter to women and children who are victims of domestic violence, and other behavioral health services to women, children and families. We also sponsor a boys and girls club at our location. We serve more than 1,600 people each year.

Our services are funded primarily through contracts with the State of Missouri as well as county mental health tax boards and local United Way and United Fund agencies. An increase of 58 percent in the water bill would significantly impact our operating costs approximately \$2,200 per year. Unlike the private sector, we do not have customers to who we may pass along such an increase. We do our very best to provide the most comprehensive services to our clients and to be good stewards of the funds we receive. It would be

difficult to explain to our funding sources why we need such an increase in operating funds. We respectfully request that the Missouri-American Water Company rate increase be denied by the Public Service Commission.

Speaking on behalf of the Salvation Army, which I am a board member, we also object vehemently to the proposed increase. The Salvation Army of Joplin serves approximately 16,500 people a year. We provide food and water to those persons. We operate a transitional housing center in which not only drinking water is used but also water for bathing and for laundry use. And a 50 percent increase would be devastating to us. It's hard to tell people when you're out ringing a bell on a street corner, "Would you mind bumping up your donation by 58 percent, please." So the Salvation Army also objects to it.

As a private citizen of Jasper County and a customer of American Water, I also object to this increase.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, sir. Questions from the bench, Chair Lumpe.

CHAIR LUMPE: Just one, Mr. Neibur. The two not-for-profit organizations are in the

1	commercial class, and the pay the commercial class
2	rates; is that correct?
3	SPEAKER NIEBUR: Yes, they do, yes.
4	CHAIR LUMPE: Thank you.
5	JUDGE THOMPSON: Vice-Chair Drainer.
6	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: I want to thank
7	you for coming. I would like to ask, is everyone
8	able to hear in the back?
9	(NEGATIVE RESPONSES.)
10	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Can hear the
11	citizen when they're speaking?
12	(NEGATIVE RESPONSE.)
13	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: I thought that
14	might be the case, so I guess what I'll just ask is
15	as people come up, they project their voice into
16	the like, and we try to work at letting you hear.
17	I'll ask again after the next speaker. Thank you.
18	Thank you for speaking.
19	JUDGE THOMPSON: Questions from
20	counsel.
21	MS. COOK: None, sir.
22	MR. SNODGRASS: None from staff.
23	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, sir, you

# HAROLD LAYTON

was called as a witness, was sworn, and
testifies as follows:

JUDGE THOMPSON: Please state your

name, sir.

SPEAKER LAYTON: My name is Harold Layton, L-a-y-t-o-n.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Are you presently a customer of Missouri-American Water?

SPEAKER LAYTON: Yes, I am, have been for fifty-some years.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Please proceed sir.

SPEAKER LAYTON: Well, I'm not connected with any organization. I'm a private citizen. I'm a retiree, 15 years. My pension has not increased and my Social Security went up very little. Due to this amount of the rate increase, I don't see why the citizens of Joplin would have to stand that much of an expense.

And another thing, too: the quality of water, putting in a treatment plant at another place that the cost doesn't justify, in my estimation, any affect for us here in Joplin. And whenever you have to clean your faucets out every three months, and clean the sand out of your flush tanks, we need

a new filter system here, because of the water quality. And I speak for several friends and neighbors. And I told them that if I had a chance, I would mention that we don't deserve this rate increase. And that's it. Thank you.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, sir. Questions from the bench, Chair Lumpe.

CHAIR LUMPE: I was going to comment that on quality issues, if our staff would get with Mr. Layton to address, to talk with you about the quality issues.

SPEAKER LAYTON: The quality of water?
CHAIR LUMPE: Yes.

SPEAKER LAYTON: Now, I intended to bring a sample that I took out of my flush tank on one of my stools. And I had taken a sample once before and talked to the company about it, and they said it was dissolvable. And you could take and lay it down and take a hammer and scrunch it around on top of a vice, and it's not dissolvable.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Vice-Chair Drainer.

SPEAKER LAYTON: That's what comes through the water system. So we need a new water system here equally.

COMMISSIONER DRAINER: I'm sorry,

didn't mean to interrupt. We have with us here 1 2 tonight our manager of the water department, Mr. 3 Dale Johansen. If you would raise your hand. would you mind standing up, Dale, and turn around 4 5 so everyone can see you? (Mr. Johansen does as requested.) 6 7 COMMISSIONER DRAINER: What I would 8 ask is that, as Chair Lumpe asked, that if you 9 wouldn't mind after the meeting, or if you have to 10 -- you know you have to leave, to get him to go to 11 the side with you so he can get some information and follow up on that. 12 13 And, also, are you all able to hear better 14 with having two mikes up there? Is that any better 15 at all? 16 (AFFIRMATIVE RESPONSES.) 17 CHAIR LUMPE: Thank you. 18 JUDGE THOMPSON: Questions from 19 counsel. 20 MS. COOK: Yes, Your Honor. 21 JUDGE THOMPSON: Ms. Cook. 22 MS. COOK: Thank you, sir. Sir, do you find the water palatable for 23

MEGAHERTZ REPORTING SERVICE
P.O. BOX 587, NEVADA, MO 64772
(417) 667-6738

water problem primarily?

drinking and bathing and so forth, or is it a hard

24

25

1	SPEAKER LAYTON: It's more of a hard
2	water problem, and as far as the taste of the
3	water, at times chlorination. I've noticed that
4	sometimes the chlorination in the water isn't what
5	it should be.
6	MS. COOK: And is there an odor
7	problem?
8	SPEAKER LAYTON: But otherwise I have
9	no trouble taking a bath in it because I
10	MS. COOK: Okay, thank you.
11	JUDGE THOMPSON: Any further
12	questions?
13	(No responses.)
14	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, Mr.
15	Layton, you're excused.
16	SPEAKER LAYTON: Thank you.
17	JUDGE THOMPSON: Gregory J. Kuntz. If
18	you would hold that one mike close to your mouth
19	when you speak, sir.
20	SPEAKER KUNTZ: Okay.
21	GREGORY J. KUNTZ
22	was called as a witness, was sworn, and
23	testifies as follows:
24	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please state your
25	name.

SPEAKER KUNTZ: Gregory Kuntz, K-u-nt-z.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Are you presently a customer of Missouri-American Water Company?

SPEAKER KUNTZ: Both a residential and a commercial customer.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Please proceed, sir.

SPEAKER KUNTZ: Judge, members of the commission, on behalf of Freeman Health System, I thank for the opportunity to present some prepared comments.

Freeman Health System is a large commercial customer -- thank you -- Freeman Health System is a large commercial customer of Missouri-American Water Company and currently pays more than \$125,000 per year in water fees. The proposed 58 percent water rate increase would create a tremendous increase in Freeman's operating expenses. In fact, the more than \$72,500 in added expense under the proposal almost equals the salaries and benefits of three health care workers. Three health care workers at Freeman Health System literally touch the lives of thousands of Joplin area residents every year.

As a not-for-profit, locally-governed health

system, Freeman has a unique and important responsibility to reinvest our resources in the programs and resources advancing the health and wellness of Joplin area residents. Those residents have come to rely on Freeman to provide that only the latest in medical technology but also provide financial support for a variety of committee programs, which include the Joplin Family YMCA, the Ronald McDonald House of the Four States, The Childrens' Center of Southwest Missouri and other programs.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The rate increase the pay for improved water Company and facilities is certainly reasonable if it directly benefits those who use them. reasonable, however, to expect a community-owned entity to pay for improvements for a community hundreds of miles away, and from which no direct benefit is derived. With dwindling federal reimbursements for health care, Freeman's opportunities to share residual resources dwindle as well. In order to pay for the increase in water rates, Freeman will have no other choice but to pass the increase either along to its customers or to reduce its involvement in the committee. Thank you.

1	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, sir.
2	Questions from the bench, Chair Lumpe.
3	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: No, thank you,
4	sir.
5	JUDGE THOMPSON: Vice-Chair Drainer.
6	CHAIR LUMPE: Thank you very much. I
7	appreciate your comments.
8	JUDGE THOMPSON: Questions from
9	counsel.
10	MS. COOK: None, Your Honor.
11	MR. SNODGRASS: None from staff.
12	JUDGE THOMPSON: You are excused, sir,
13	thank you.
14	SPEAKER: Thank you.
15	JUDGE THOMPSON: Melvin Barry.
16	(No response.)
17	JUDGE THOMPSON: Wade Shelton.
18	(No response.)
19	JUDGE THOMPSON: Judith Roamer (SIC).
20	JUDITH KRAMER
21	was called as a witness, was sworn, and
22	testifies as follows:
23	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please state your
24	name.
25	SPEAKER KRAMER: Judith Kramer.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Kramer, I'm sorry.

SPEAKER KRAMER: K-r-a-m-e-r.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Are you

presently a customer of Missouri-American Water

Company?

SPEAKER KRAMER: Yes, sir.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Please proceed.

SPEAKER KRAMER: Okay. I wish to protest the granting of the 50 percent plus rate increase to the Missouri-American Water Company and its parent company the American Water Works.

One of my questions to the commission would be whether or out there were any insurance proceeds on this plant in St. Joe, and if there were, who receives those. I question whether the plant in St. Joe was overbuilt, perhaps with the idea of acquiring future customers for this water company. Shortly about the beginning of this year, the American water Company, Water Works, was involved in 22 acquisitions across the country, and I wonder if the users in these Missouri towns that are affected by this request are not in part financing these acquisitions. American Water Works is -- has become the recipient of this plant in St. Joe, which is, you know, a capital gain for them and

their stockholders. But neither the stockholders nor the company officials are being asked to participate in this improvement. Most companies are restrained from overreaching by the financial constraints of the market. But it's not that so or not so with utilities. The Public Service Commission is the only obstacle that they have to overcome. The users, for their part, are a hostage simply because they have no place else to go. workers in Joplin cannot reasonably expect that there is going to be a pay raise to cover this. The retired people have absolutely no resource to recoup this from. The City of Joplin in and of itself will incur a substantial increase. will the city be forced to raise taxes to cover I think it might be. The rental property owners will pass this tax on to their renters, and those who will get hit twice. They will get twice by a tax increase and a water rate increase. this is the segment of the population that can least afford this. So we really ask that you consider the ripple effect of what an increase like this is going to have on this community. don't really think that there's a justification for this anywhere at all. Let's see. We all

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

understand that infrastructure rebuilding is an 1 2 expensive proposition, and I believe that if you 3 look beneath the surface of this request, what we're really talking about is helping the American 4 5 Water Company expand. And right now this is already the largest publicly traded water company 6 7 in the country. And I think you will -- if this 8 rate increase is approved, you will be forcing us 9 to help create and even larger monopoly. 10 leaves the citizens of Joplin and these other towns 11 no other recourse. We have no place else to go. thank you for coming to Joplin. 12 13 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you for your 14 remarks, ma'am. Questions from the bench, Chair

Lumpe.

CHAIR LUMPE: No, I just thank you for your remarks.

> JUDGE THOMPSON: Vice-Chair Drainer.

CHAIR LUMPE: Thank you very much.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Questions from

counsel.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

None, Your Honor. MS. COOK:

MR. SNODGRASS: None from staff.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Hearing none, you're

excused. Thank you.

Virgil Lewis.

name.

## VIRGIL LEWIS

was called as a witness, was sworn, and
testifies as follows:

JUDGE THOMPSON: Please state your

SPEAKER LEWIS: My name is Virgil Lewis, L-e-w-i-s.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Are you presently a customer the Missouri-American Water Company?

SPEAKER LEWIS: Yes, sir I am.

SPEAKER: Please proceed.

SPEAKER LEWIS: 1998, we were out of town for several days, came and home and found that Missouri-American Water Company had disconnected our water. When we asked why, they said, "That was an in order to get your attention."

We moved to Joplin in 1996 in August. The first thing we noticed, that we definitely needed a filter. The quality of the water was unacceptable, and still is. Rather than ask for an increase, the fact that we have to buy a filter, have to change a filter on our drinking water every thirty days, that's a \$10 cost. That's not a lot of money, but it's significant to some people. We think that

instead of an increase, we should have a rate cut 1 2 because of the quality of the water. 3 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, sir. Questions from the bench, Chair Lumpe. 4 CHAIR LUMPE: Yes, I would ask Mr. 5 6 Lewis also to touch base with our staff person 7 there to make your feelings known about that, and 8 the issues. 9 SPEAKER LEWIS: Yes, I will. 10 JUDGE THOMPSON: Vice-Chair Drainer. 11 COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Yes, I do want 12 to ask about the quality of the water. Do you have 13 to -- Besides the filter, do you have like a water 14 softer? 15 SPEAKER LEWIS: We don't have a 16 softer. We are thinking about that. But to 17 illustrate this point --18 CHAIR LUMPE: Please. 19 SPEAKER LEWIS: -- we enjoy coffee. 20 Now, you you buy a good quality coffee, you're 21 wasting your money in Joplin because of the quality 22 of the water. I enjoy a good cup of 23 CHAIR LUMPE: 24 coffee too. That makes me sad to hear that.

MEGAHERTZ REPORTING SERVICE
P.O. BOX 587, NEVADA, MO 64772
(417) 667-6738

SPEAKER LEWIS: You won't get it here.

25

CHAIR LUMPE: Thank you very much, I'm glad to know that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE THOMPSON: I would like to ask if you could give me some more details about the incident where your water was terminated.

SPEAKER LEWIS: We had a swimming pool. We were -- We bought the house, like I say, We were unaware of the situation with in 1996. this monopoly. And we found out that -- We were told that they came onto our property, disconnected our watering system through the fixtures in the shrubbery, and then to told us that that was in order to get our attention. When I went down to the office to talk to them, it was quite a song and dance, but no answers. Then they said it was against the law in the State of Missouri to have an outside faucet connected to a well as an independent watering system. So we drove to Springfield to talk to the bureau of natural resources, and they told us that wasn't so, that it wasn't so at all. Missouri-American Water Company insisted that we put in yard hydrants, but we didn't do that. And then they wrote us a letter, and then that was a lot of -- for lack of a better term, song and dance. Didn't make any sense.

have found that -- Oh, I think I've said enough. We are disgusted with Missouri-American Water Company. It's a monopoly and we have no other choice.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, sir. Questions from counsel.

MS. COOK: No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE THOMPSON: Further questions from the bench? None. You are excused.

CHAIR LUMPE: Well, I only want to make the point to you, sir, and to the members of the public here that we do ask that you talk to our staff. Since this is on the record, and it will be in the file, the commissioners aren't allowed to talk to our staff or anyone else outside of the hearing room. It would be considered ex parte, so I can't come back and ask them off the record, well, what did you find out. So what I do ask for these type of meetings is that they talk to the staff, and there be a report put in the record to tell us how the problems are resolved, and that the customer has been given all the information they need, and that their problems have been taken care of. We also ask that the company respond to it, so that we then have our record what has been done, so

I don't come back in four years for another meeting 1 2 and hear that, well, nothing happened. I want 3 something to happen. So thank you. JUDGE THOMPSON: 4 Thank you, sir, 5 you're excused. Brad Allen. 6 BRAD ALLEN 7 8 was called as a witness, was sworn, and testifies as follows: 9 JUDGE THOMPSON: Please state your 10 11 name. SPEAKER ALLEN: 12 Brad Allen, A-1-1-e-n. 13 JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. Allen, are you 14 presently a customer of Missouri-American Water 15 Company? 16 SPEAKER ALLEN: I'm representing our 17 company who is. 18 JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay, please proceed. 19 SPEAKER ALLEN: My name is Brad Allen. 20 I'm the plant manager at Gilster Mary Lee Joplin 21 plant, located at 3727 East 27th Street. I very 22 much appreciate the opportunity to speak to the commission on the very important issue for our 23

MEGAHERTZ REPORTING SERVICE
P.O. BOX 587, NEVADA, MO 64772
(417) 667-6738

Gilster Mary Lee private label or store brand

24

25

company.

food manufacturing company. Our products are not sold under brands we own, rather the brands of our customers. Grocer chains and wholesalers like Wal-Mart, Albertson's, AWG, Kroger and others. The company produces a wide variety of products, including baking mixes, macaroni and cheese pasta, popcorn, drink mixes, cocoa products and ready to eat breakfast cereals. We currently operate 14 plants in four states. Gilster Mary Lee acquired the Joplin plant a little over a year ago with the purchase of Jasper Foods.

Product from the Joplin plant are marketed extensively throughout the U.S. and Canada and exported to many parts of the world. Our plant in Joplin produces cereals, low-cost private label versions of products from Kelloggs, General Mills, and Post. It has been in operation since the fall of 1993, it is approximately 140,000 square feet in size, and employs 160 people in the local community. The plant manufacture of cereal -- excuse me. The plant currently generate about \$30 million in gross sales.

Water is used extensively in the manufacture of cereal processing and cleaning. We believe the Joplin community has been an excellent location for

our operations, and we feel through our employment, the additional economic activity generated by our employees in tax review, we made a positive impact on the community as well.

Due to several factors, the market for the cereal manufacturing in Joplin has never been more competitive. First and foremost, as private label producers, we must show significant savings versus the national brand in pricing, in most cases, half the cost or less to even warrant the consideration of our customers. The entire breakfast cereal market itself has also shrunk slightly during the last two years, between three and seven percent by most estimates.

Finally, consolidation in our customer base has driven our pricing and margins down and increased competition. As the players get larger in size and fewer in numbers, their demands and expectations get bigger. Many of these consolidations and acquisitions are heavily leveraged. Instruction is then given to management to drive out -- drive costs out of the system, which usually starts with their suppliers. Today five grocery chains control 50 percent of the U.S. grocery market. Experts in our industry believe

this may reach 70 percent within 3 to 5 years. 1 2 With such a reduction in the number of potential 3 customers, companies like ourselves must compete fiercely for a handful of accounts. 4 It is clear that only the most efficient companies will survive 5 6 in the long run. Gilster Mary Lee strongly 7 supports true cost base water rates for a number of 8 Any increased costs, particularly one 9 from which we receive no additional benefit, will 10 hurt our ability to remain efficient in what I've 11 described as a hyper competitive market. 12 customers consistently challenge us to get to the 13 true costs of our products. Anything but a true 14 cost in our utilities will prevent us from meeting 15 their expectations. In this extremely competitive 16 market, it is our customers -- our customers will 17 not accept price increases and will not pass them 18 on to consumers. Today, millions of dollars in 19 business literally swings on as little as five to 20 ten cents a case. Additionally, the Joplin plant 21 itself has reasons to be concerned about the proposed increase of over 60 percent, as it relates 22 to the rest of the Gilster Mary Lee organization. 23 24 The company does operate three other cereal plants.

25

MEGAHERTZ REPORTING SERVICE
P.O. BOX 587, NEVADA, MO 64772
(417) 667-6738

If the costs of operation in Joplin inflate to

unacceptable levels, company management would be unlikely to consider any expansion of the site and possibly even relocation of existing businesses.

As a corporate citizen of this water district, Gilster Mary Lee certainly expects to pay its fair share and proper share of costs of the water service we enjoy. The utility also deserves to make a reasonable return on its business. I would also like to state clearly that I'm not holding myself or the company as experienced in the area of utility costing. We can only rely on our work -- on the work of others on this issue, and I'm here only to speak on the impact of these proposed increases on the Joplin plant.

The rate increases which have been proposed for the Joplin Water District, especially in light of the evidence indicating there may be an overcharge in the amount of \$500,000, does not seem either fair or proper. Our customers would certainly not accept a price increase of 60 percent, or even 10 percent, that we said was necessary to help support operations of another customer.

We also understand that, in the case of public services, the basic rules of business cannot always

1 apply in the simplest form. However, when a 50 to 2 67 percent increase in rates has been proposed in 3 an area which is already overcharged, we feel the system has strayed too far from acceptable and 4 5 reasonable charges. In the interest of fairness and long-term 6 7 competitiveness of our Joplin cereal plant, we 8 would urge the commission to adopt true cost base rate calculation for Joplin Water District, 9 10 reducing water rates for both residential and 11 commercial water customers from current levels. 12 Thank you very much for your time and 13 consideration. 14 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, sir. 15 Question from the bench, Chair Lumpe. 16 CHAIR LUMPE: No thank you. Thank you, Mr. Allen. 17 18 SPEAKER ALLEN: Thank you. 19 JUDGE THOMPSON: Vice-Chair Drainer. 20 COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Thank you, Mr. 21 Allen, for your time. We appreciate that. 22 JUDGE THOMPSON: Questions from

> MEGAHERTZ REPORTING SERVICE P.O. BOX 587, NEVADA, MO 64772 (417) 667-6738

None, Your Honor.

MR. SNODGRASS: None from staff.

MS. COOK:

23

24

25

counsel.

1 JUDGE THOMPSON: You're excused, sir, 2 THANK YOU. I HAVE RECEIVED A DOCUMENT WHICH I AM MARKING AS 3 EXHIBIT NUMBER 3. Armond Burkhardt. 4 5 I'm disenfranchised. I was told the place was totally wheelchair acceptable -- acceptable, 6 7 rather. I am boxed in back here. I don't have ABS 8 brakes so I can go down this incline, and I don't 9 have a -- to push me back up. I was told there 10 would be microphones available for us from where we 11 were sitting. 12 (A MICROPHONE IS BROUGHT TO MR. BURKHARDT IN THE REAR OF THE AUDITORIUM.) 13 ARMOND A. BORCHARDT 14 was called as a witness, was sworn, and 15 testifies as follows: 16 17 JUDGE THOMPSON: Please state your 18 name. 19 SPEAKER BORCHARDT: Armond Borchardt. 20 A-r-m-o-n-d, A. middle initial, B-o-r-c-h-a-r-d-t. 21 And yes, I am a customer of American Water Company. 22 JUDGE THOMPSON: Please proceed, sir. SPEAKER BORCHARDT: It seems to me 23 that this is excessive. I wonder if in the 24 25 architectural design of this facility in St. Joseph

if there were not some errors made. I understand in the great flood the plant flooded. It was flooded. Now, I don't know how bad. It was -- I was informed by the papers that it did flood. Now, this is a design that should not have happened.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Plus, the other thing, I agree with these other people, yes, I don't know how we should be expected to pay for someone else's equipment. worked for a major trucking companies some 25 years ago. When we needed to buy equipment, new equipment, new tractors, new trailers, add personnel to do the repair and so forth, we couldn't go to a competing trucking company and ask them to kick in. We had to put the money in That company had to be self sufficient. ourselves. They had to plan ahead. Now, here I don't think this was the case. As the previous speaker says, yes, I would like to see them make a fair profit, whatever a fair profit is. But I do not think we deserve this increase. Thank you.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, sir. Questions from the bench, Chair Lumpe.

CHAIR LUMPE: No, thank you. Thank you for your comments, sir.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Vice-Chair Drainer.

1	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Yes, thank you
2	very much for your comments and for you being
3	patient enough for us to get the mike up to you. I
4	greatly appreciate that.
5	SPEAKER BORCHARDT: And I appreciate
6	that.
7	JUDGE THOMPSON: Questions from
8	counsel.
9	MS. COOK: None, Your Honor.
10	MR. SNODGRASS: None from staff.
11	JUDGE THOMPSON: You are excused, sir.
12	Thank you very much.
13	Steve Hunter.
14	(No response.)
15	JUDGE THOMPSON: Steve Hunter. Please
16	bear with me if I mispronounce your name.
17	steve hunter
18	was called as a witness, was sworn, and
19	testifies as follows:
20	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please state your
21	name.
22	SPEAKER HUNTER: Steve Hunter, H-u-
23	n-t-e-r.
24	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. Hunter, are you
25	presently a customer of Missouri-American Water

Company?

SPEAKER HUNTER: Yes, I am.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Please proceed, sir.

SPEAKER HUNTER: I am somewhat by

default, I guess, representing Public Water

District Number One, Jasper County Public Water

District Number One.

On April 29th, we held a meeting in Carl Junction, had over 100 citizens participate, and passed out petitions. And since then we have gathered -- and I want to present these as information or documentation -- over 1,200 signatures. Now, there's a little bit of history. Keep in mind, this is -- there are 5,400 customers in Jasper County Water District number one, Public Water District Number One, so that's better than 20 percent of the people signed it. And it's a good thing nobody was -- -- you all weren't there the night of the meeting because there was a lot of outrage, to say the least.

Many people aren't aware of this, but Jasper - the Rural Water District is the biggest customer,
single customer, of Missouri American Water in the
state. And I had an opportunity to visit a while
ago with some people. The thing that bothers me

about this is the fact that, as we go along through this little period of time, I'm seeing, instead of having ten grocery stores to choose from, we have two. Instead of four or five car lots, maybe we have three major companies. We have this blending together of companies. I'm working the pharmaceutical industry. There are about four or five. It went from 25 or 30 to 4 or 5. I'm seeing this now in our utilities, and it frightens me.

This water situation, American Water is a company -- the lady was very eloquent in her research -- is one of the biggest water companies in the United States. My concern is that, if this happens, and there's a single rate across the state, is it soon going to be that it's a single rate across the country?

In this -- In this area right here, last week it was announced, to drill a well, we have to go to the second aquifer, which is about \$20,000. So if you build a \$100,000 house, you got to build a -- you want to be private, have a private well, it's going to be another \$20,000. That's something the people ought to take into consideration.

But, anyhow, I want to present these things.
But it's something for people to think about,

because Representative Surface used a term called 1 2 corporate welfare. Mine's a little bit stronger. 3 This is pure socialism. It's complete passing --It's all sharing. The term I want to use is 4 5 corporate fascism. And it's rapidly approaching 6 us, and it's costing us money, and it's a monopoly, 7 in almost every facet of our life. And you all are 8 the last hope to try to stop this. I thank you for 9 coming down, I thank you for your time, and I want 10 to present these. Thank you. JUDGE THOMPSON: 11 THANK YOU, SIR. Τ 12 RECEIVED A FOLDER CONTAINING DOCUMENTS WHICH I AM MARKING AS 13 EXHIBIT NUMBER 4. QUESTIONS FROM BENCH, CHAIR LUMPE. 14

CHAIR LUMPE: Yes, Mr. Hunter, does the Public District buy all of its water from Missouri American?

SPEAKER HUNTER: Yes, ma'am.

CHAIR LUMPE: You don't have any that

you --

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SPEAKER HUNTER: Right, it's been like that since -- for 20 or 30 years, however long it's been in existence.

CHAIR LUMPE: Okay, thank you.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Vice-Chair Drainer.

COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Yes.

SPEAKER HUNTER: Excuse me.

COMMISSIONER DRAINER: That's quite all right. Can you tell me, we've heard some comments here this evening about the quality of the water with the customers that you have in the Public District Number One.

SPEAKER HUNTER: Right.

COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Are there any concerns they have with the quality of the water?

SPEAKER: I have no -- I've had no

problems with the quality of the water.

COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Okay.

SPEAKER HUNTER: We had problems with pressure, but that's because we're a Public Water District and things you have to put up with. But, you know, frankly, my bill is not -- my bill is going to go from 14 to \$21, which I'm young enough I can absorb it. But we have a lot of retired people, people on fixed incomes, businesses. You know, if you water bill is \$200, just add another, what, \$100 can be \$300, you got to pass it on.

COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Thank you for coming and thank you for delivering the petition of the 1,200 signatures.

1	SPEAKER HUNTER: There were a lot of
2	people who worked hard to get it. Thank you.
3	JUDGE THOMPSON: If you could remain
4	just a moment, sir. Questions from counsel.
5	MS. COOK: None, Your Honor.
6	MR. SNODGRASS: None.
7	JUDGE THOMPSON: You are excused, sir.
8	Thank you very much.
9	ED GOEBEL
10	was called as a witness, was sworn, and
11	testifies as follows:
12	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please state your
13	name.
14	SPEAKER GOEBEL: My name is Ed Goebel,
15	last G- as in George, o-e-b-e-l.
16	JUDGE THOMPSON: are you presently a
17	customer of Missouri-American Water Company?
18	SPEAKER GOEBEL: Yes, I am
19	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please proceed, sir.
20	SPEAKER GOEBEL: First of all, I'd
21	like to tell you that I'm from Purcell, and I hold
22	rental property here in town. And in Purcell,
23	we've had trouble with our water, so we're building
24	our own well and doing our own thing. And Oronogo
25	just done their own thing. So I hope American

Water understands they are not necessary.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The thing is, I'd also like to clarify the fact that I've been a businessman since I was twelve years old, and I'm a businessman now. But I'm also employed by Economic Security, and we work for the poor. And we have very many people who we try our best to just save 10, \$15 a month, so they can at least have one decent meal, in a weatherization program for them. And that this would just literally put them in deep poverty.

Now, I'd like to address the American Water Company. First of all, in 1997 they asked for a rate increase. And I asked them specifically in the question and answer time, if they had asked for the rate increase before or after they proposed the deal in St. Joseph. They never did answer that, so I assume they asked for the rate increase first, and that they did not allow for this because they knew they were going to come back and nail us three years later. I also asked them what they had put back for future expansion, and they gave us a run And finally we got down to where somebody around. asked them what they used for financing on this. Forty percent of the money came from within their company. They sold stocks and et cetera to people

who wanted to make future gains, not a big jump in gains, but future gains, from this project. And so that what they were selling was a pig in the poke, and making him worth more and more money, so that these people would spend it. They also got the other 60 percent from private enterprise who wants their interest rate back the same as any other businessman. So I think that the most they should ask for is a 60 percent, because a 40 percent they already have of their own money, and we shouldn't be financing that at all.

The next thing I'd like to bring up is just this: that I wish this counsel would really seriously consider claiming eminent domain, taking this franchise and putting it on the open market for somebody else to buy and run the City of Joplin, and completely ignore the American Water Company, because they said they certainly don't have our interest in their best pocket, you know. That's all I've got to say.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, sir. Question from the bench. Question the bench, Chair Lumpe.

CHAIR LUMPE: Yes. Mr. Goebel, is Purcell -- what county is that in?

SPEAKER GOEBEL: That is in Jasper 1 2 County. 3 CHAIR LUMPE: Okay, it's in Jasper. And you do have the ability to dig wells there and 4 5 6 SPEAKER GOEBEL: Yes, we've had our 7 own well there always. You know, Missourians are 8 pretty independent. Both Oronogo and Purcell have 9 always, sir been independent, and they've always 10 had their own wells, and they've always supplied their own water. Oronogo was shut down for a week 11 and had to borrow water from other counties. 12 Wе 13 had to haul it in for them so they could get theirs 14 fixed, and et cetera and et cetera. And the people 15 in Missouri will work together when they really need help, but we don't like outsiders coming in 16 17 trying to milk us. 18 CHAIR LUMPE: Thank you. 19 COMMISSIONER DRAINER: I want to thank 20 you for coming this evening and giving us your 21 comments. I appreciate it. SPEAKER: It's my pleasure and my 22 23 duty. 24 JUDGE THOMPSON: Question from 25 counsel.

MS. COOK: None, Your Honor. 1 2 MR. SNODGRASS: No. 3 JUDGE THOMPSON: You are excused sir, John A. Williams. 4 thank you. JOHN A. WILLIAMS 5 was called as a witness, was sworn, and 6 7 testifies as follows: 8 JUDGE THOMPSON: Please state your 9 name. 10 SPEAKER WILLIAMS: John A. 11 Williams, W-i-l-l-i-a-m-s. 12 JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. Williams, are you 13 presently a customer the Missouri-American Water 14 Company? 15 SPEAKER WILLIAMS: Yes, sir. 16 JUDGE THOMPSON: Please proceed. 17 SPEAKER WILLIAMS: I am 75 years old. 18 I have been retired for 15 years. Oh, I got a raise in my pension this year, two percent raise. 19 But by the same token, why, my health insurance 20 21 went up. Like a majority of people here, I would 22 say over 90 percent, we are all retired. We live on a fixed income. And with a 58 percent increase 23 24 in the water rate, that will not only mean our 25 water bill will come up, but stop and think all the

1	other companies that buy the water, why, their
2	rates will go up. So it will be a lot more than
3	just 58 percent. I'm in favor of the water company
4	making a fair amount of money, but not on us. That
5	is all I have to say.
6	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, sir.
7	Questions from the bench, Chair Lumpe.
8	CHAIR LUMPE: No, thank you.
9	JUDGE THOMPSON: Vice-Chair Drainer.
10	CHAIR LUMPE: No, but thank you Mr.
11	Williams, for coming this evening. I appreciate
12	your comments.
13	JUDGE THOMPSON: Counsel.
14	MS. COOK: None, Your Honor.
15	MR. SNODGRASS: None from counsel.
16	JUDGE THOMPSON: You are excused, sir.
17	JOE ADAMS
18	was called as a witness, was sworn, and
19	testifies as follows:
20	JUDGE THOMPSON: State your name,
21	please.
22	SPEAKER ADAMS: Joseph Adams, Adams.
23	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. Adams, are you
24	presently a customer of Missouri-American Water
25	Company?

SPEAKER ADAMS: Yes, I am.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Please proceed, sir.

SPEAKER: Unlike many other people who are here tonight, I live on a fixed income. I was force retired retired at the age of 50 years old due a massive heart attack. My income went up 2.4 percent this year. That's my Social Security. My medical insurance also went up, and my deduction for my Medicare premiums also went up. Gasoline has gone up. Food has gone up, and food's going to go up some more, and so is gasoline if this increase goes through.

Now, I'm not opposed to an increase.

Increases have to be done to run a company, this I understand. But 54 percent? I don't buy that, not one bit. And I'd like to think the Commission for coming here tonight. I'm very upset about this.

There's a lot of people that this is really going to affect and put them between a rock and a hard place. And as one great Missourian said, "The buck stops here."

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, sir.

Question for the bench, Chair Lumpe.

CHAIR LUMPE: Thank you to Adams.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Vice-Chair Drainer.

1	CHAIR LUMPE: Thank you very much for
2	coming this evening, Mr. Adams.
3	JUDGE THOMPSON: Counsel.
4	MS. COOK: No, Your Honor.
5	MR. SNODGRASS: None.
6	JUDGE THOMPSON: You're excused, sir,
7	thank you for coming.
8	SPEAKER: Thank you.
9	JUDGE THOMPSON: If I could ask you
10	once again to please hold the applause until the
11	end of the hearing. N. J. McCarran O.D.
12	(No response.)
13	JUDGE THOMPSON: Darieus Adams.
14	MAYOR DARIEUS ADAMS
15	was called as a witness, was sworn, and
16	testifies as follows:
17	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please state your
18	name sir.
19	SPEAKER ADAMS: Darieus Adams. The
20	first name is D-a-r-i-e-u-s. Last name, A-d-a-m-s.
21	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. Adams, are you
22	presently a customer of Missouri-American Water
23	Company?
24	SPEAKER ADAMS: I am.
25	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please proceed, sir.

SPEAKER ADAMS: Good evening. My name is Darieus Adams and I'm the mayor of the City of Joplin. I'd like to thank you all for coming to Joplin and providing the customers of the Missouri-American Water Company an opportunity to be heard.

As a review the analysis of Missouri

American's rate increase request, we found the

jargon, as most all citizens of Joplin do,

difficult to follow and to understand. I'll

attempt to express our concerns how the proposed

rate request by Missouri-American will affect or

community.

We find it hard to comprehend how MissouriAmerican can request a rate increase varying from
50 to 66 percent for different classes of
customers. The public filings state that they have
invested \$96 million in capital improvements within
the State of Missouri, but only \$1 million of that
was invested in the Joplin district over the last
three years.

Missouri American's rate request is based upon a single tariff pricing concept. But this concept is not in the best contest of the customers of the Joplin district. The requested rate structure by Missouri-American would increase their revenues in

the Joplin district by \$4 million annually. \$2 million of that comes from the residential customers. This proposed rate structure would increase the residential right by \$9 a month.

Residential customer's cannot pass on the cost of water to someone else. Our residential customers can control their household expenses on many other items but cannot control the water rates where they are driven by the construction of facilities on the other end of the state.

Twenty-two percent of our population is senior citizens. This rate increase would have a severe impact on their fixed income budgets. Every dollar by which water bills increase eliminates a dollar to be spent on other necessities of life.

The Joplin metro area has been very successful in the economic development program in recruiting new businesses. Many of these new customers have high water demands, and the Joplin District of Missouri American has benefited from the substantial increase in revenues from these customers with a minimal investment. Now we must switch the modes from working on attracting new industries to retaining the new jobs that we have created.

Southwest Missouri is the fastest growing 1 2 region of the State of Missouri. The Joplin 3 district of Missouri-American will continue to see an increase in revenues from this growth with 4 minimal investment. We would commend the PSC staff 5 6 for their efforts in analyzing the cost of the 7 Joplin District. Their analysis shows the Joplin 8 District should receive an 11 percent decrease in 9 Based upon this analysis, the concept our rates. 10 of single tariff pricing, where the Joplin 11 customers would share in the capital costs in St. 12 Joseph, Warrensburg and Mexico does make Missouri-13 American's request a 61 percent increase when we consider the difference between Missouri-American 14 15 request and the staff analysis. We also find the recommendation of no decrease in the rates by the 16 17 office of the public Counsel unacceptable. 18 customers of the Joplin district are willing to pay 19 for the cost of services in the Joplin district, 20 but we strongly oppose any rate structure that 21 includes costs from other districts. Therefore, we 22 respectfully request the commission took continue 23 to use the district specific pricing rate structure 24 for the Joplin district.

Again, thank you figuring this opportunity to

MEGAHERTZ REPORTING SERVICE
P.O. BOX 587, NEVADA, MO 64772
(417) 667-6738

25

1	address you.
2	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you sir.
3	Questions from the bench, Chair Lumpe.
4	CHAIR LUMPE: Yes, mayor, what is the
5	population of Joplin?
6	SPEAKER ADAMS: Approximately 44,000.
7	CHAIR LUMPE: 44,000. And 22 percent,
8	I think you said, are senior citizens?
9	SPEAKER ADAMS: About 20.
10	CHAIR LUMPE: About 20?
11	SPEAKER ADAMS: Uh-huh.
12	CHAIR LUMPE: Thank you.
13	JUDGE THOMPSON: Vice-Chair Drainer.
14	CHAIR LUMPE: She asked my question.
15	I want to thank you and the City of Joplin for
16	hosting this public meeting here this evening for
17	us. We appreciate it, appreciate your hospitality.
18	SPEAKER: Thank you, and we thank you
19	for being here.
20	JUDGE THOMPSON: Questions from
21	counsel.
22	MS. COOK: No, Your Honor.
23	MR. SNODGRASS: No.
24	JUDGE THOMPSON: You're excused, sir.
25	Thank you very much.

JUDGE THOMPSON: I'M RECEIVING SOME 1 2 DOCUMENTS WHICH I'M GOING TO MARK AS EXHIBIT 5. 3 Stephen Lewis. STEVEN LEWIS 4 5 was called as a witness, was sworn, and testifies as follows: 6 JUDGE THOMPSON: Please state your 7 8 name. 9 SPEAKER: Steven Lewis, L-e-w-i-s. JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. Lewis, are you 10 presently a customer of Missouri-American Water 11 12 Company? 13 SPEAKER LEWIS: Yes, sir. 14 JUDGE THOMPSON: Please proceed. 15 SPEAKER LEWIS: Good evening, I'm Stephen Lewis, city manager for the City of Joplin, 16 Missouri. I would like to thank the commission for 17 18 giving us this opportunity to address the proposed 19 rate increase requested by the Missouri-American 20 Water Company. 21 The City of Joplin, as owner and operator of the community's waste water system, certainly 22 understands the challenges facing utilities. 23 24 know, people give little thought to their water and

MEGAHERTZ REPORTING SERVICE
P.O. BOX 587, NEVADA, MO 64772
(417) 667-6738

waste water systems accept on those rare occasions

25

when services are unavailable. This is an unspoken tribute to the many people operating water and sewer systems throughout the country who day after day deliver these services with so few disruptions. However, as an operator of utility, we to the so with a conflict between higher costs due to inflation, increase service demands, and state and federal mandates coupled with the reluctance of the general public to accept higher utility rates, taxes and fees.

Well, tonight I'd like to speak as a major customer of the Joplin district of the Missouri-American Water Company. When we received the information about the rate request, we were shocked to see that they were requesting a 58 percent increase for our rate class.

I have requested arrested to analyze the cost of water services for the City of Joplin. We find a we have 35 service locations with an annual cost of \$75,000. The proposed 58 percent rate increase will increase or operating costs by \$44,000 annually. Approximately one half of these 35 service locations are for parks and recreation facilities. Approximately 22 percent of the increased costs will come out of our recreational

budget that provides services to youth and to seniors.

The additional costs will require us to consider the following options: 1) to either reduce programs, 2) to reduce other city services in order to maintain the level of recreational services already provided, or 3) to raise user fees.

From the PSC staff's own analysis, the Joplin district's operational cost of revenues, the Joplin customers are already overpaying 11 percent. This means that the City of Joplin has already overpaid some \$8000. We would request the commission accept the staff's recommendation to reduce the Joplin district's rates by the 11 percent also.

We would also like express disappointment to the Office of Public Counsel for their recommendation that the Commission use single tariff pricing concept with no decrease in rates for the Joplin district. As a customer of the Joplin district, we believe we should pay for the cost of services within Joplin district only. We see no advantages to the single tariff pricing being recommended by the Office of Public Counsel.

We do feel that the city, as a customer, should pay -- should have to pay for improvements

to other systems -- should have to pay for improvements to other systems in other districts. Missouri American has only invested one percent of their total capital costs in Joplin over the last three years. We do feel that we should share the burden of the costs of the improvements made in other communities. We feel that we should pay ourselves for prudent and needed investments to improve our quantity and quality of water.

In summary, I would say that in the City of
Joplin 1994, it was required by the Missouri
Department Natural Resources to upgrade our Turkey
Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant, at a cost of some
\$20 million. The citizens of Joplin did not
request St. Joseph, Warrensburg or Mexico to share
in the cost of this improvement, then why should
the customers of the Joplin district share in the
cost improving drinking water systems in those
committees?

There's a \$52,000 difference to the cost to the City of Joplin between Missouri-American's request and the staff's recommendation, therefore we support the district specific pricing and we'd urge the Commission to accept the recommendation of the staff for 11 percent decrease in Joplin

1	district's water rates. Thank you.
2	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, sir.
3	Question from the bench, Chair Lumpe?
4	CHAIR LUMPE: Yes, Mr. Lewis, the
5	wastewater plant, is that in the industrial class,
6	or what class?
7	SPEAKER LEWIS: All the city's
8	facilities that are charged, we're in the I
9	believe we're in the commercial class.
10	CHAIR LUMPE: Commercial class, thank
11	you.
12	JUDGE THOMPSON: Vice-Chair Drainer.
13	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Thank you for
14	your comment, very much.
15	JUDGE THOMPSON: Counsel.
16	MS. COOK: None, Your Honor.
17	MR. SNODGRASS: None from staff.
18	JUDGE THOMPSON: You are excused, sir,
19	THANK YOU. I'M RECEIVING A DOCUMENT WHICH I'M MARKING AS EXHIBIT
20	Number 6.
21	Shirley Mondt.
22	SHIRLEY MONDT
23	was called as a witness, was sworn, and
24	testifies as follows:
25	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please state your

name, ma'am.

SPEAKER MONDT: My name is Shirley Mondt, and it's spelled M-o-n-d, as in Dog, and -t, as in Tom. As you can tell, I spell it quite frequently.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, ma'am. are you presently a customer of Missouri-American Water Company?

SPEAKER MONDT: For about 46 years now.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Please proceed.

SPEAKER MONDT: I'm scared as a catfish out of water, as you could probably tell, and my face is as read as a beet to prove it. I've been looking out here to the public, and I'm up there in the older years now, and most of us here tonight are as well. There is not too many of us that doesn't have at least one child 18 and older in this bunch, and many of us have -- I've got one that's -- I'd better not tell her age; she wouldn't like it.

But here's what I want to say. I've got something here I want to bring up to you. And thank you very much for giving us this opportunity, from my heart. But we always have to look after

our children of tomorrow. And if we don't fight this now, what's going to happen to our kids. And I'm always after those kids. I love them. And we got to take care of our kids of tomorrow. And now is the time, tonight, to say what we want to say.

My husband is disabled and I work two jobs.

We had a pretty rough time. Our house burned, and I'm not going to go into jury. But I just -- we been quite a while trying to get back on our feet from the fire. And We pay our water bill. It runs from 20 to \$30. Now, that maybe sounds like not very much. But we really economize. We don't water the lawns and a few other things. We do take baths.

But, to me, tonight, this is not the American way. I've lived for quite a few years, and this is outrageous. It's unbelievable. In all my years, I have never attended a public meeting, and never felt it necessary because a raise increase is necessary. But I got up and I came out tonight, and as I said, I'm nervous as a catfish. But to me this is just not the American way that I have known it. And I been in Joplin, I was born and raised here. You can tell from my lingo. And from the shaking, too.

I received a bill. And it's here if you want to see it. We had a dog that went under the house. The pipes were down low, and he drug part of his body across the pipes -- we found out this later -and busted the pipe. We did -- were unaware of the problem. We did not know. There was no water that was outside of our house. The ground was dry. how are we to know? I had called two different times prior to this incident to the water company because while water meter area -- you know, where you left that thing, I can't do it. But we, you know, try to stay on top of things. But the water is filled to the top. You cannot read the meter. I have called the water company twice. not come out and do anything about it, on that water lake is on them, let me. Because our water bill is running 20 to \$30 at a time, so it has to be, can't be.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But this bill came out \$344.76. I nearly passed out. And I called immediately the very day I got this letter, and I told them our circumstances, that my husband was disabled and I'm working to jobs. I said, "And I don't expect you to go half on this, but I do -- would like to ask" -- and I was very, very nice, guys. There's some

of you in the audience that knows me and can verify I'm not real mean. But I thought that they would give me some type of a break on their profit that they would have made, and that's all I was asking, because I still wanted them to have profit, because they had given me a service, even though it was used and we were unaware. So in the fairness of things, I thought that was right.

I was told that is needed to come from the manager. I called the 1-800 numbers. I don't know where that went too. But anybody, I waited one week to the day. No manager ever called me. And this was abuse to me. I feel the least that he could have done was to have called me.

And so I called them back. The lady was very nice, and I was as well again. She said, "Just a minute and I'll go check with him." She came back and she said, "I'm sorry, but there will be no deductions." I pleaded with her once again. She said, "I'm sorry, that was the final answer."

So I do volunteer community service project
work in the City of Joplin. I get no pay for that.

I do it because I want to. And I was mentioning
this to one of the people involved, and out -Economic Security is part of it. She said,

"Shirley, I think we can help you." So she calls the company. They still would not budge one inch. But Economic Security did help us. Thank goodness for Economic Security. I'm sure several of you have had that help. But that's just what I want to say. It's just -- It's just beyond belief, just beyond belief.

And I know that all of us out here, the majority of us here tonight, are facing this. Now, this is the issue that I want to say. If this increase went on and it's -- as it's pass -- or if it passes, look what this bill would be. And nobody wants to help us by deducting any part of it, but we never even used any part of it. And that's over \$700. How many of us can afford that? I can't. It would take practically everything I make all month. So thank you again. I'm sorry.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, ma'am. Questions the bench, Chair Lumpe.

CHAIR LUMPE: Yes, Ms. Mondt, I'm going to ask our staff person, Mr. Johansen, to get with you and get the particulars. Thank you.

SPEAKER MONDT: Thank you.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Vice-Chair Drainer.

COMMISSIONER DRAINER: You did not

seem very nervous, you spoke very well. And you do not need to say you're sorry. We greatly appreciate your coming and giving us this information.

I am concerned about the bill. I am concerned about the two phone calls you may and wanting to have it read. I live out in the country. I have to pick up the big metal thing myself and read my own meter, and when it's filled up, it is very difficult to get --

SPEAKER MONDT: You can't see it.

COMMISSIONER DRAINER: No, you can't.

And so I would --

SPEAKER MONDT: I would like some results on that, I really would.

CHAIR LUMPE: And so I really not only want Mr. Johansen to respond, but I want the company to respond on what they're going to do to resolve the issues at your residence that this doesn't happen again, and that they check out the meter to make sure that it is -- that you are able to read it --

SPEAKER MONDT: Thank you.

CHAIR LUMPE: -- to find out why it's filling up. Unless you've had a lot of rain down

1	here. I think you've had more than we have, but
2	SPEAKER MONDT: Well, it may not be so
3	full now, but it can happen.
4	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Well, it's
5	still too difficult, so they should assist, and we
6	appreciate your time.
7	JUDGE THOMPSON: Questions from
8	counsel.
9	MS. COOK: One, Your Honor.
10	JUDGE THOMPSON: Ms. Cook.
11	MS. COOK: Ma'am, would you state your
12	address for me?
13	SPEAKER MONDT: 2010 Porter. I'm over
14	by St. Johns Hospital. 2010.
15	MS. COOK: Thank you, ma'am.
16	SPEAKER MONDT: Uh-huh.
17	JUDGE THOMPSON: Any other questions?
18	Hearing none, you're excused ma'am. Thank you.
19	Bill Joyce.
20	BILL JOYCE
21	was called as a witness, was sworn, and
22	testifies as follows:
23	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please state your
24	name, sir.
25	SPEAKER JOYCE: I'm Bill J-o-y-c-e is

1	the last name.
2	JUDGE THOMPSON: are you presently a
3	customer of Missouri-American Water Company?
4	SPEAKER JOYCE: I am. I'm with the
5	District Number One.
6	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please proceed, sir.
7	SPEAKER: Everything has been stated
8	that I was going to state. Chuck Surface pretty
9	well covered it. The one thing that I wanted to
10	point out is your electric utilities, your gas
11	utilities, they can help each other out. The water
12	utilities, as we all know, there's no way that they
13	can help us out if we run out of water. Thank you
14	very much. Thank you, sir. Questions the bench,
15	Vice-Chair Drainer.
16	MR. SNODGRASS: No, but thank you for
17	coming and thank you for your comment.
18	JUDGE THOMPSON: Questions from
19	counsel.
20	MS. COOK: None, Your Honor.
21	MR. SNODGRASS: None.
22	JUDGE THOMPSON: Hearing none, you're
23	excused, sir. Thank you.
24	SPEAKER JOYCE: Thank you.
25	JUDGE THOMPSON: Patrick Boyer.

1

## PATRICK BOYER

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

was called as a witness, was sworn, and testifies as follows:

JUDGE THOMPSON: Please state your

SPEAKER BOYER: Patrick Boyer, B-o-y-

e-r.

name.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. Boyer are you presently a customer Missouri-American Water Company?

> SPEAKER BOYER: Oh, yes.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Please proceed.

SPEAKER: I am not a part of all these gray-haired saints out here. I am a part of the middle class baby boomers. And like these older folks have done in the past, I work. And I work And I work all day. So when I come home, I hard. like to take a shower, I like to water my flowers, and make sure the lawn is watered and so forth. And but the grass is not of importance to me. can let it burn. The flowers are not of importance to me; they can burn too. I do need to drink water, I do need to bathe, and I don't think I should pay extra to do that.

I think that the citizens of St. Joseph and

that area should pay for their own water plant. I shouldn't have to pay for that. My brothers know that. They live there. I told them. They wouldn't pay for mine. They have told me.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

All the -- I just -- I was thinking about -- I was the one that brought up the question about who financed this mess. And I call it a mess because that's what it is, because no -- obviously, nobody put enough thought into it, because somebody said -- and I think it was you, sir; I can't remember your name, sorry -- said there was 40 percent that was already there of the cost. Forty percent would have pretty much paid for an addition to the old one instead of funding a new one. That, to me, was kind of stupid. Just because we can do a thing does not mean that we must do that thing. because we want a brand new plant doesn't mean we absolutely need a brand new plant added onto the old one. That would have been the first place that Joplin would have looked, is to add on to the old one first. They would not have considered even a new one to begin with.

I have told these folks before, I have told these folks here before that, if we needed a new water plant, St. Joseph would not pay for it.

However, I would have been at the front of the line giving my dollars to pay for an new plant for Joplin. A will not do it for St. Joe. I don't take it's fair and I don't think you should impose that on us.

I am not great with words. I'm not -- I'm not a judge. I'm not on some counsel. I am a furniture restorer. I'm not a hick, though, from the bottom of Missouri, and I am a normal citizen just like those in St. Joseph. And if Missouri Water thinks that they can push their price down on me, they've got another thing coming, because it's not fair and I'm putting my foot down. We've had too many rate hikes on other things.

Look what the gas rate has done. What has done? It raised the price of transportation, raised the price of products in our stores because the truckers have to charge more for getting it there. And the producers have to charge more. And who gets stuck with that one? We do.

If we raise the price of water, who will get stuck with that one many times over? We will. I feel sorry for the folks in St. Joe, of course, but my brothers and sisters here in Joplin, we don't want this to happen, and we will not stand for it.

1	If we have to go clear to Jefferson City to do it,
2	we will not stand for it. And that's all I have to
3	say.
4	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, sir.
5	Questions the bench, Chair Lumpe.
6	CHAIR LUMPE: No.
7	JUDGE THOMPSON: Vice-Chair Drainer.
8	CHAIR LUMPE: No, but thank you very
9	much for coming.
10	JUDGE THOMPSON: Questions from
11	counsel.
12	MS. COOK: No, Your Honor.
13	JUDGE THOMPSON: You're excused, sir.
14	Naomi Brown.
15	NAOMI BROWN
16	was called as a witness, was sworn, and
17	testifies as follows:
18	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please state your
19	name.
20	SPEAKER BROWN: Naomi Brown, N-a-o-m-i
21	B-r-o-w-n.
22	JUDGE THOMPSON: Ms. Brown, are you
23	presently a customer of Missouri-American Water
24	Company?
25	SPEAKER BROWN: Fifty-three years.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Please proceed.

SPEAKER BROWN: I'm here on behalf of myself and many of the seniors here. I am retired from DFS, Jasper County DFS, 1987, and I worked 7 1/2 years more managing a senior center, and I know how many people that are not able to pay their bills way back. I know how many times we've run out of money at DFS with our little allowances that we had to try to help people with their -- that owed their utilities. And water is one of the most essential of all of these that we have.

I have tremendous medical bills, and I'm not alone. There are many here that cannot afford -- And I kept my state insurance, and with that I can barely afford mine. People that have to be dehumanized because they cannot afford to purchase the medicines that they need for their own body.

When are we going to be concerned about humans? Corporations are going to make money, what money, money, and I'm not against that. But but we do have to learn to think about other people and their needs. I don't meet mean there wants, their needs. And there are just people that I know that they can't -- that cannot afford it. I've worked with too many of these kind of people to know this.

And I think that you need to take this into consideration.

We have lots of senior citizens, and it's going to be more as they have estimated. So why can't we let each area take care of itself, while we're trying to just exist down here? That's all I really have to say.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, ma'am. Questions from the bench, Chair Lumpe.

CHAIR LUMPE: No, Ms. Brown. I just want to thank you for your service to the people. Thank you.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Vice-Chair Drainer.

CHAIR LUMPE: Ms. Brown, when do you say you retired from DFS?

SPEAKER BROWN: 1987.

CHAIR LUMPE: 1987. Yes, well, thank you very much, as Chair Lumpe said, for all the service that you've given to all the citizens of the state. DFS really does a tremendous amount for the people, and we do appreciate it. And we have heard you, and we are very grateful to you for coming this evening.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Questions from counsel.

1	MS. COOK: No, Your Honor.
2	MR. SNODGRASS: None.
3	JUDGE THOMPSON: Randy Blaine.
4	(No response.)
5	JUDGE THOMPSON: Randy Blaine.
6	(No response.)
7	JUDGE THOMPSON: Melvin Barry.
8	(No response.)
9	JUDGE THOMPSON: Bob Brasch.
10	ROBERT BRASCH
11	was called as a witness, was sworn, and
12	testifies as follows:
13	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please state your
14	name.
15	SPEAKER BRASCH: My name is Robert
16	Brasch, B-r-a-s-c-h.
17	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. Brasch, are you
18	presently a customer of Missouri-American Water
19	Company?
20	SPEAKER BRASCH: Yes, I am.
21	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please proceed.
22	SPEAKER: When I signed the sheet back
23	there, I thought it was a sign in sheet, I didn't
24	know it was a comment sheet, but I'll make a
25	current anyway. I just kind of what to echo what

1	all the others have said opposition. I think
2	everybody in the room is in opposition to this.
3	One, I would like to make is what one of our
4	senators said after a session in Jefferson City
5	that it was his opinion that, no matter what came
6	out of this hearing, with counsel or commission, he
7	felt that the rate increase would probably stand a
8	good chance of getting approval, no matter what was
9	said here tonight. And what you'll have said here,
10	I've heard comments from you that kind of
11	contradict that. So that's all I have to say.
12	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, sir.
13	Questions the bench, Chair Lumpe.
14	CHAIR LUMPE: No but thank you for
15	your comments.
16	JUDGE THOMPSON: Vice-Chair Drainer.
17	CHAIR LUMPE: Thank you very much for
18	coming.
19	JUDGE THOMPSON: Questions for
20	counsel.
21	MS. COOK: None.
22	MR. SNODGRASS: None.
23	JUDGE THOMPSON: You're excused, sir.
24	Thank you. Paul Barr.

1

## PAUL BARR

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

was called as a witness, was sworn, and testifies as follows:

> JUDGE THOMPSON: State your name.

SPEAKER: Paul Barr, B-a-r-r.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. Barr, are you

presently a customer of Missouri-American Water Company?

SPEAKER BARR: Yes.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Please proceed.

SPEAKER BARR: I'm here this evening to represent the Joplin schools, and I'll read from a letter to the Commission from Joplin's Superintendent of Schools, Vernon Hudson, and I'll submit it to testimony after I conclude.

The cost of water for Joplin schools in the current year is projected to be \$48,000. proposed increase is approved, the increase cost the Joplin schools will be \$20,128, which is the equivalent to the cost of one classroom teacher for a class of 25 or more students.

We strive to utilize our revenues annually in areas with the most benefit for the children of Joplin schools. A significant increase and water rates would force us to route taxpayer dollars away

1	from the classroom rather than using them to
2	improve technology, provide instructional
3	materials, and insure safe transportation for over
4	7,000 Joplin students.
5	We appreciate your consideration of our
6	request for a increase that is much less than the
7	proposed amount. We know and appreciate the
8	importance of a good, reliable water source for our
9	community, however we believe there's a better way
10	to maintain service while keeping the public trust.
11	Thank you.
12	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, sir.
13	Questions from the bench, Chair Lumpe.
14	CHAIR LUMPE: No, I have no questions,
15	thank you, sir.
16	JUDGE THOMPSON: Vice-Chair Drainer.
17	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: I have no
18	questions. Thank you for coming. And you'll be
19	filing an exhibit with us?
20	SPEAKER BARR: Yes.
21	JUDGE THOMPSON: Can I have the
22	exhibit, sir?
23	SPEAKER BARR: Yes.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Any questions from

24

25

counsel?

1	MS. COOK: None, Your Honor.
2	MR. SNODGRASS: None.
3	JUDGE THOMPSON: I'VE RECEIVED A PACKAGE OF
4	documents which I'm going to mark as Exhibit Number 7.
5	Barbara Landreth.
6	BARBARA LANDRETH
7	was called as a witness, was sworn, and
8	testifies as follows:
9	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please proceed ma'am.
10	SPEAKER LANDRETH: I'm
11	JUDGE THOMPSON: State your name. I'm
12	sorry.
13	SPEAKER: Barbara Landreth, L-a-n-d-r-
14	e-t-h.
15	JUDGE THOMPSON: Ma'am, are you
16	presently a customer of Missouri-American Water
17	Company?
18	SPEAKER LANDRETH: For over 45 years.
19	JUDGE THOMPSON: Now you may proceed.
20	SPEAKER LANDRETH: All right. I'm
21	speaking not only as an individual but as a silver-
22	haired legislator from District 10, which
23	represents eight counties in southwest Missouri, to
24	voice mine and all seniors in Joplin's opposition
25	to this proposed exorbitant rate increase.

If all public utilities decided -- Empire, the gas Company -- decided that they're going to raise our rates by 53 percent, I don't know what people would do. Our cable bill just went up this month. I'd like to point out that the few years ago, not that many, in the places rated survey, Joplin ranked number one. And part of that a violation was based on our low cost of living in this area. Because of the trickle-down effect that has been mentioned by others, our cost of living would just price us out of business completely.

I have one personal comments, too, to the Joplin Water Company, that I had called on more than one occasion to tell them that my meter -- if that's -- with the cover on it -- and I've lived in this house for 35 years -- has slowly been sinking into the ground. It's deeper and deeper and deeper. No one has ever called me back, no one has ever come out and done anything about it. The leaves, the trash on top of the thing just gets worse. I would appreciate some attention to it. That's all I have to say. Thank you very much.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, ma'am.

Questions from the bench, Chair Lumpe.

CHAIR LUMPE: I'll just --

1	WATER CO. REPRESENTATIVE: You don't
2	have to.
3	CHAIR LUMPE: I don't have to say it
4	anymore, you'll just do it? Okay, thank you.
5	JUDGE THOMPSON: Vice-Chair Drainer.
6	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: You're going to
7	get some attention to it.
8	SPEAKER LANDRETH: Thank you.
9	JUDGE THOMPSON: Questions from
10	counsel.
11	MR. SNODGRASS: No.
12	JUDGE THOMPSON: Ms. Cook?
13	MS. COOK: Ma'am, would you state your
14	address for me.
15	SPEAKER LANDRETH: 2036 South Ozark
16	Street.
17	MS. COOK: Thank you.
18	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, ma'am,
19	you're excused.
20	Fred King.
21	FREDERICK W. KING
22	was called as a witness, was sworn, and
23	testifies as follows:
24	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please state your
25	name.

SPEAKER KING: My name is Frederick W. King, K-i-n-g.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. King, are you presently a customer the Missouri-American Water Company?

SPEAKER KING: That's correct.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Please proceed.

SPEAKER KING: My remarks will be quite brief. I've been very interested in what we've heard here tonight, and particularly liked Mr. Surface's original presentation as well as many I would, like someone from Purcell others. mentioned something, but I -- this -- I'm going to give you a little different slant on that. mentioned in 1997 increase, and this is -- would be a new one in the year 2000 -- I mean, yes, 2000. That is only three years. Now, when I first read the article in the Joplin Globe, I took the figures which they presented at the time of an average cost to the average consumer of water in Joplin. you take the figure that existed prior to the 1997 increase and go to the new increase, new figure, you will come not far over 50 percent, you're up almost just under 100 percent. Now, it bothers me that this has been mentioned many times, and that's

1	one of the reasons I came tonight. That's 50
2	percent increase. We haven't heard much about the
3	100 percent increase in a period of three years
4	time. That is unbelievable, gentleman and ladies.
5	That's all have to say, and thank you, very much.
6	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, sir.
7	Questions from the bench, Chair Lumpe.
8	CHAIR LUMPE: I have no questions,
9	thank you, sir.
10	JUDGE THOMPSON: Vice-Chair Drainer.
11	CHAIR LUMPE: No questions, but thank
12	you very much for coming, sir.
13	JUDGE THOMPSON: Questions from
14	counsel.
15	MS. COOK: None, Your Honor.
16	JUDGE THOMPSON: You're excused, sir.
17	John Orton.
18	(No response.)
19	JUDGE THOMPSON: John Orton
20	(No response.)
21	JUDGE THOMPSON: Jack Golden.
22	JACK GOLDEN
23	was called as a witness, was sworn, and
24	testifies as follows:
25	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please state your

name.

SPEAKER GOLDEN: My name is Jack Golden, G-o-l-d-e-n, citizen of Joplin and a user of the American Water Company here.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Please proceed, sir.

SPEAKER GOLDEN: I also represent the

Housing Authority of the City of Joplin. That's my
main purpose of being here this evening.

We own 275 dwelling units that we lease to folks who are low/moderate income persons. We think -- And our best estimate of the impact that it will have on us, in terms of the property we own, is somewhere between \$25,000 and \$30,000 per annum. We will be able to absorb that cost, if we were forced to, for a year or two, then we're going to be faced with cutting programs and services to our people. That's of great concern to us, of course.

The people what we serve, to give an example how low income the folks really are. Our average rent, we take a 30 percent of approximately 90 percent of most people's income is \$138.50 a month. So we're truly serving people that have a great deal of need. The money that we collect, we collect it for rent and utilities, so that increase

we will absorb as the housing authority very personally. That's one program that we operate.

We also operate another the program, we do not own the property, we help people pay the rent. And we have 450, approximately, of these units. And we feel that this will be an even greater impact. The guidelines that we have to operate this program require that 75 percent of all the new tenants of these 450 units have incomes of 30 percent or less of the median income, median income for the area. So here again we have a very low/moderate income group that we're dealing with.

In addition to that, we also can only rent properties that rent for a certain amount of money or less, and those, they call those fair market rents, and those rents are pretty tight. And if we have to absorb this amount of money that you're proposing, really we're going to do several things. And one of the things we're going to do is we're going to restrict the housing that's available -- it's already a very, very tight market for these people -- because we cannot -- we cannot exceed that fair market rent, which also includes both the rent and the utilities.

The other thing that concerns us, if we got

the fair market rent increase, we have to be very conscious of going into a neighborhood with 450 units, and so we're going go to have several in each one of the neighborhoods of the city of Joplin. We're going to drive the cost of rent up in those neighborhoods for the entire low income community. So we're very conscious of wanting to keep rent standards as low as we can. I have a very deep concern about that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The housing authority does something else. Wе also sponsor, at least in part, three neighborhood houses which are located in three census tracts of the city which are 51 percent low/moderate income. And those are the older sections of the city, as you would naturally suspect, and the housing is of We have approximately 5,400 housing older stock. units in those 3 census tracts, and about 2,500 of those are rental units. And we estimate that the majority of those rental units are being rented by low/moderate income folks. The story being that we have a lot of experience in dealing with the lower income people within the community of Joplin. we think we know a little bit about what we're talking about and a little about the severity of an impact an increase of utilities on the folks.

To give you a quick story, one that occurred this week, visiting with a son who was very concerned about his mother. So we went through the amount of money that she had coming in, which was less than \$7,000 a year, and after we deducted her rent and other fixed costs, we were down to less than \$75 a week for her to sustain herself on. And that is not very much money in this day and age. So that's -- We don't guess. We know we have at least several thousand persons living under those circumstances here in the city of Joplin.

So the position of the authority is that we do disagree with Missouri-American Water Company's pricing policy, the single tariff pricing concept. We hope that you will not allow that to happen. He would also very much support having a decrease in the rates because we feel that this would help -- help us with our mission, and it would opt the low income community in general. Thank you very much. Thank you, sir. Questions from the bench, Chair Lumpe.

CHAIR LUMPE: Thank you, Mr. Golden.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Vice-Chair Drainer.

COMMISSIONER DRAINER: I want to thank
you for coming. I have just one question. I

1	wanted to ask, the additional 450 units, what is
2	the name of the is the name of that program fair
3	market rent?
4	SPEAKER: No, no, I'm sorry, the name
5	of the program it has a weird name that doesn't
6	make any sense to me, but they call it the Section
7	Eight Program. It's because it's it's Section
8	Eight of a certain federal act.
9	CHAIR LUMPE: Federal act, right,
10	okay.
11	SPEAKER GOLDEN: That's correct.
12	JUDGE THOMPSON: Questions from
13	counsel.
14	MS. COOK: None, Your Honor.
15	MR. SNODGRASS: No.
16	JUDGE THOMPSON: You're excuse, sir, thank
17	YOU. $I$ AM RECEIVING A DOCUMENT WHICH $I$ AM GOING TO MARK AS
18	Exhibit Number 8.
19	Rob O'Brian.
20	ROB O'BRIAN
21	was called as a witness, was sworn, and
22	testifies as follows:
23	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please state your
24	name.
25	SPEAKER O'BRIAN: My name is Rob

O'Brian. Last name is O-'-B-r-i-a-n.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. O'Brian, are you presently a customer Missouri-American Water Company?

SPEAKER: SPEAKER O'BRIAN: Yes, I am, both residential and commercial.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Please proceed, sir.

SPEAKER O'BRIAN: Chair Lumpe, ViceChair drainer, Judge, numbers of the PSC, and the
Office of Public Counsel, thank you very much for
coming to Joplin this evening and allowing us this
opportunity. I am here tonight in my role as
president of the Joplin Area Chamber of Commerce,
and on behalf of the Board of the Chamber, and our
nearly 1,000 business members, I appreciate the
opportunity to talk to you about the business
community and our economic development effort, and
the impact this increase will have on both.

First, I do want to say that any comments that I make should not be construed to be negative about the local staff in the Joplin District. In an economic development context, we have worked with them closely. They have been responsive to business and industry. They work closely with our economic development staff and, in fact, we

consider them part of that team. However, this proposal from the corporate level, if approved, will be detrimental, if not disastrous to this community, to our businesses, and to our residences, many of who are here this evening.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. O'Brian, if I could ask you to hold the microphone closer?

SPEAKER O'BRIAN: I will be happy to

do that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

On the economic development front, the Chamber is charged as a partner with the city in promoting growth in the economic development arena, particularly in the manufacturing arena, in this community. Joplin has had great success with food processing. Such companies such as Pillsbury, Gilster Mary Lee, whom you heard this evening, Jasper Products, Cliffstar, Glencourt, are all substantial food processors in this community. Wе have a number of high-tech firms, such as Eagle Pitcher and American National Cam. And we have some strong mainline manufactures, such as Owens Corning and Tamco. All of these companies are substantial water users, many of them have moved here within the last ten years because of the number of positives this committee has to offer,

including reasonable water rates.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

These employers that I've just named employ over 3,000 people in this community, many of them in higher paying jobs with substantial benefits. These firms universally operate on narrow profit margins. It is a very competitive market for them. An incremental increase is always anticipated and can be absorbed. But an increase of 65 percent in water rates can make the difference between profitability and growth for these companies in this community or losing money and declining. You should recognize that these companies not only compete against other companies, other firms, but they also compete under the umbrella other own company against other plants in other communities for available business. companies here have been very successful in that competition and and have grown. We would hate to see that end.

You heard earlier this evening from Brad Allen at Gilster Mary Lee, who talked to you about very specifically their situation, and his is highly typical. He is one of several plants, and he has to compete. Gilster Mary Lee is in the cereal business. It is a very narrow profit margin

business.

Recently in St. Joseph, Quaker Oats announced that they would be closing their plant there, with a loss of several hundred jobs. There were a number of factor that went into the closing of that plant, including competition from other plants and antiquated facility that Quaker Oats had in that committee. But, certainly, I think it is worth a question as to other a 65 percent increase in water rates for a company that is a heavy water user would have some bearing on a corporate decision of which plant they are going to close.

Our small firms, nearly 800 of them in the Chamber, and our commercial firms, also operate on narrow margins. This is a significant increase to them, and the only thing they can do is pass that on on their customers. For those customers that already Missouri-American customers, many of them people were here in this room, this is a double impact. They pay the increased water fee and they then pay an increase for goods and services. Those businesses also stand a chance of losing customers to surrounding communities, because this is also a very competitive retail and service market as well.

Our local government and our schools, as you

have heard, also have to bear on those increased costs, and at some point in time they will have to pass those along in the form of higher taxes, higher taxes both to our companies -- again that competitive impact -- and also to the residents.

Again, a double impact for them.

Single tariff pricing does make some sense for electric, gas, telephone utilities, where they have massive infrastructure, interconnected, serving large areas, with the ability to move that service from one area to another. But water has in this state typically been a local source issue. It comes from a local source, it's processed locally, it's distributed locally. There is no interconnection among the seven service districts of Missouri-American Water in this state. There is no opportunity to share the services, only, apparently, costs.

In the case of water service, particularly where the districts are not contiguous, and the product cannot be moved from one community to the next, single tariff pricing is unfounded and unfair.

To give you a little bit of background, the Joplin area population served by Missouri-American

Water Company has increased over 10 percent in the last past 9 years. But employment in this area has increased over 30 percent in the same period of time. The Joplin area is one of the fastest growing areas in the state, and Missouri-American has benefited from increased revenue without the need to make any significant infrastructure investments here.

To increase rates over 50 percent and for industry 65 percent is really killing the goose that lays the golden egg for Missouri-American Water. I encourage the Commission to endorse the findings of your staff in determining the rates for this area, and again appreciative the opportunity to be with you this evening. Thank you.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, sir. Question from the bench, Chair Lumpe.

CHAIR LUMPE: Mr. O'Brian, thank you for coming.

SPEAKER O'BRIAN: Thank you.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Vice-Chair Drainer.

COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Thank you very

much for coming.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Counsel.

MS. COOK: No, Your Honor.

MR. SNODGRASS: No questions from

2 staff.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

24

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, sir.

SPEAKER O'BRIAN: Thank you very much.

Ladies and gentlemen,

at this time, in view of the lateness of the hour,
I would urge you to please make your remarks brief.

points, more or less, that you wanted to make, please simply indicate your concurrence with those

If someone else has already said, stated the

points. We have perhaps as many as 50 more people who have signed up to speak, and we have already

JUDGE THOMPSON:

more than consumed the time which we set aside for

the hearing. So, please, out of consideration to

your fellow citizens, so that everyone will have a

chance to speak, keep your remarks brief. Several

community leaders have prepared remarks. If you

have prepared remarks, you may simply give them to

me as an exhibit without reading them. We will

20 make them part of the record. You will simply

21 testify that that's what you would say and that it

is true. The commissioners then can read it later

in Jefferson City. That will also help to speed

things along. At this time, I would like to call

25 Gary Frye.

1	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I would like to
2	decline my time, as it has already been covered.
3	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, ma'am.
4	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Charlotte
5	Starchman (phonetic).
6	JUDGE THOMPSON: Linda Garrison.
7	(No response.)
8	JUDGE THOMPSON: LInda Garrison.
9	(No response.)
10	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. or Mrs. Arts.
11	(No response.)
12	JUDGE THOMPSON: Again, if I have
13	mispronounced your name, please bear with me; I
14	have difficulty reading some of the names.
15	Fred Ferguson.
16	SPEAKER FERGUSON: I was about to give
17	up on you guys. My father was about to go to sleep
18	back there.
19	JUDGE THOMPSON: We apologize, Mr.
20	Ferguson. We are moving as quickly as we can.
21	SPEAKER FERGUSON: I understand.
22	FRED FERGUSON
23	was called as a witness, was sworn, and
24	testifies as follows:
25	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please state your

name.

2 SPEAKER FERGUSON: Fred Ferguson.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. Ferguson, are you presently a customer of the Missouri-American Water Company?

SPEAKER FERGUSON: Yes, I am.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Please proceed, sir.

SPEAKER FERGUSON: I'll try to make this as brief as possible. Back in November of 1990, I moved into 3512 Norman Drive here in Joplin. At the time we were paying water bills on a quarterly basis. I today sat down while I was home at noon and prepared a list of the quarterly payments that I made and totaled those into annual.

1991, I paid out \$289; in 1992 paid out \$250;
'93, \$246; '94, \$264; '95, \$245; '96, \$234; '97,
\$239. Those were all on quarterly modes of
payment. Averaging that out for the 84 months -- 7
years times 12 months -- that averaged out to
\$21.04 per month. That's not bad for a water bill,
in my opinion.

In December of '97, you all switched to a monthly mode, and a seven dollar wastewater fee was also included in the monthly payment for the City of Joplin. During 1998, paid out \$401, minus the

\$84 that year for the wastewater fee, left a balance of \$313. In '99, paid out \$388, minus the \$84, left \$304. Averaging those out, that was \$25.70 per month just for the water fee, excluding the wastewater. That looked like to me about a 20 - 25 percent increase in the rates at that time.

I don't remember a rate increase going into effect at the time, but maybe that's what happened. But maybe it was just that because we were paying monthly, you guys needed the money earlier, and you needed us to pay a little more to do that.

In the paper this morning, as I was reading there at noon, it gave some figures for 6,000 gallons, the average customer usage in Joplin, at \$17.67. Pardon me. That figure was to raise to \$26.70 on the average bill here in Missouri -- or in Joplin.

I believe I'm pretty well average there, what I have been paying. And if it increases by the \$9 or whatever, I can pay that. But there's a lot of people in this town that cannot. I'm an insurance agent. I work with a lot of senior citizens. I work with a lot of businesses, and it would hurt the businesses in this town. It would also hurt the senior citizens.

An example. My father gets about a \$700 a month Social Security check. Two percent increase last year, he got \$15 increase per month, and his Medicare supplement, I'm sorry to say that I sold to him, went up \$19. And then you take the other things going up, he has to cut back on something.

I looked at my current bill for April of last month, showed 4,500 gallons used with a water charge -- this is not the city tax, pardon me, or the wastewater -- \$19.57. I think up there before, didn't it say 6,000 gallons was only \$17.67? How come we're paying \$19 for 4,500 gallons?

I went back to July of '99, where I did find a bill for 600 -- or 6,000 gallons of water, and that charge, no taxes, it just says -- I got the bill right here in front of me -- current charges: water charge, and that was \$22.50. So if the paper reported correctly, the 6,000 gallons was supposed to be \$17.67, how come I'm paying \$22.50 for 6,000 gallons?

That is my statement today. I don't notice these things, like a lot of men do. We take our checks home, give them to our wives, and the secretary/treasurer of the house pays out the bill. It's not until something like this comes up that we

really pay attention and do a little research on
what is going on. I'm going to keep the remarks to
that right there.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, sir. I

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, sir. I would just clarify, if I might, that this rate increase is not ours, it is the company's.

SPEAKER FERGUSON: Understand.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.

SPEAKER FERGUSON: You control it; right? You say yes or no; is that correct?

JUDGE THOMPSON: Question the bench,

Chair Lumpe.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIR LUMPE: You are correct, we will make a decision at some point, sir. Thank you for coming.

SPEAKER FERGUSON: Yes, ma'am.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Vice-Chair Drainer.

COMMISSIONER DRAINER: I would thank you for coming, but I would like to have staff answer your questions afterwards about the costs, what your bill charges were. I would appreciate them clarifying that. And I thank you for taking the time today over your lunch hour to put all those numbers together for us. I appreciate it.

You're welcome.

1	CHAIR LUMPE: Thank you very much.
2	JUDGE THOMPSON: Questions from
3	counsel.
4	MS. COOK: No, Your Honor.
5	JUDGE THOMPSON: You're excused, sir.
6	Thank you.
7	SPEAKER FERGUSON: Thank you.
8	JUDGE THOMPSON: Kevin Manard.
9	KEVIN MANARD
10	was called as a witness, was sworn, and
11	testifies as follows:
12	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please state your
13	name, sir.
14	SPEAKER MANARD: My name is Kevin
15	Manard. My last name is spelled M-a-n-a-r-d.
16	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. Manard, are you
17	presently a customer of Missouri-American Water
18	Company?
19	SPEAKER MANARD: Yes, I am.
20	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please proceed, sir.
21	I'm here tonight, I'm a director of
22	the Southwest Missouri Rental Housing Association.
23	We represent about 1,300 households here in Joplin.
24	It's an association of rental property owners.
25	I'll try to be brief because I think most of the

things have already been said tonight. Certainly we are opposed to the increase and agree with most of the speakers tonight.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One of the things I would like to bring up, with regard to the gentleman's recommendation for 11 percent decrease. In the 1997 increase that was awarded to Missouri-American, they were awarded an automatic \$20 turn on fee, or turn on fee for each meter that they turned on. At that time -- I got that -- at that time, Missouri-American had encouraged landlords and rental property owners to the engage in what was called an automatic turn on. That was if a resident terminated their service, it would automatically be turned on into into the landlord's name. That became an automatic \$20 to the company. The notice was buried in a long It was. It was notified to customers, notice. however, I feel like not well. At the time, we contacted Missouri-American and asked them to come speak to us, which they did. And we do appreciate that. In that same -- In that same increase, I would mention to Missouri-American that one of the reasons why there wasn't a whole lot opposition or that we didn't notice the \$20 fee was Missouri-American at that time agreed to collect the Joplin

sewer fee. And we did appreciate that, and do. However, when we pointed out the inequities in what had happened with the automatic turn on, and although they did come to speak to us, they assured us that they had no intention of making any adjustments or changing any policies with regard to And, in fact, when we felt like they could have saved money, we felt like the automatic turn on saved them money from sending a truck out to the meter a second time. And so those were one of of the -- that was one of the major points that we wanted to make tonight. Along with we'd like to go along with the gentleman that spoke earlier, that the -- along with the 1997 increase and this increase, it would be well over 100 percent. you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, Mr.

Maynard. Questions from the bench, Chair Lumpe.

CHAIR LUMPE: I have no questions.

Thank you, Mr. Maynard.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Vice-Chair Drainer.

COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Mr. Maynard, with with this turn on fee, is that something that the landlord has to use that policy? Or do you have a choice to not use the policy and have the

water turned off and then turned back on for a new customer?

SPEAKER: Certainly, there was a mess of landlords down at the water Company terminating the automatic turn on fee. However, they -- I think that the meeting that we had with the water company was very hostile. I think that most of the people felt like they had been set up somewhat. And, you know, it did leave a lot of hostility towards the water Company.

COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Mr. Maynard, before that \$20 fee was implemented in 1997, did you have that same service that could be put in your name without a charge, or was that a new service that was offered?

SPEAKER: No, there was no charge for switching the water from one name to another.

COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Before that?

SPEAKER MANARD: Prior to that, no.

COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Prior to that.

So it was a new charge and it wasn't well, maybe, notified to the landlords when that went into effect. Is that what I was hearing you say?

SPEAKER: Yes, that's correct. You know, I think that it could actually save the water

1	company a great deal of money by not having to make
2	a second trip out. Landlords use very little
3	water. You know, they may need to clean up, wash a
4	bathtub or a shower, and then almost immediately
5	turn the water back on. You know, this \$20 turn on
6	charge plus a minimum monthly fee, you know, for us
7	to use 10 to 20 gallons to clean up a rental unit
8	seems excessive. There is no way to pass that cost
9	on in our rents or otherwise. And we'd like to see
10	that, if the 11 percent reduction in our rate is
11	considered, that this be part of that reduction.
12	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: That the fee be
13	either eliminated or reduced, would that be a fair
14	
15	SPEAKER MANARD: Eliminated.
16	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Eliminated,
17	okay.
18	
10	SPEAKER MANARD: Yes.
19	SPEAKER MANARD: Yes. COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Thank you very
19	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Thank you very
19 20	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Thank you very much. I appreciate that clarification.
19 20 21	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Thank you very much. I appreciate that clarification.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Questions from

JUDGE THOMPSON: You're excused, sir.

25

1	Thank you.
2	Bill Harned (phonetic).
3	(No response.)
4	JUDGE THOMPSON: Gwen Delano.
5	SPEAKER DELANO: I appreciate the
6	opportunity to speak, but my questions have been
7	addressed, sir.
8	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, ma'am.
9	Marvin Gorham.
10	MARVIN GORHAM
11	was called as a witness, was sworn, and
12	testifies as follows:
13	
14	Please state your name.
15	SPEAKER GORHAM: Marvin Gorham, G-o-
16	r-h-a-m.
17	JUDGE THOMPSON: Would you pronounce
18	your name, sir?
19	SPEAKER GORHAM: Gorham.
20	JUDGE THOMPSON: Gorham. Mr. Gorham,
21	are you presently a customer of Missouri-American
22	Water Company?
23	SPEAKER GORHAM: Yes, I am.
24	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please proceed.
25	SPEAKER GORHAM: What I don't

understand about this, when businesses have to spend major money, \$75 million, there is determination to it. But there is -- I guess maybe this is the way utilities operate, in that when you have a tariff increase, it goes on for eons. I feel that, you know, there on the be some set to a tariff this big, and not keep paying the same tariff year, after year, at a year, after the 75 million is expended in St. Joe has been paid off. I don't understand this, but I guess that's the way utilities operate. Like the gentleman said before, when it's paid off, it's just part of the expense then, and you get no reduction in your tariff rate after the 75 million is paid off. And that's the only comment I have.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, sir. Questions from the bench, Chair Lumpe.

CHAIR LUMPE: If I understand, sir, what you're saying, is that perhaps something like a surcharge that when it's paid off, the surcharge ends? Would that be something you might be suggesting?

SPEAKER GORHAM: Yes. I was thinking about when the 75 million, which they keep talking about is the reason for this, the expansion St.

Joe, there's no and to the tariff that pays it off. 1 2 CHAIR LUMPE: So instead of going into 3 the permanent rates, it would be a surcharge on 4 top, and then when it's paid off, the surcharge 5 goes away; is that correct? 6 SPEAKER: Yes. And then we'd get a 7 rate decrease; right? 8 CHAIR LUMPE: If that goes away, it 9 should be a decrease. 10 SPEAKER GORHAM: Yeah. Well, that's 11 what, you know, don't understand, when you have all 12 these tariff increases for 75 million job -- dollar 13 job in St. Joe, when it's paid off -- and we don't 14 know when it's going to be paid off. I haven't 15 read anywhere where it says it will be paid off in 16 10 years, 15 years. The tariff just rides on and 17 on and on after it's paid off. But I don't

CHAIR LUMPE: Thank you for your comments.

on it for a number of years.

understand all about surcharges. I just think

there ought to be an end to it after you have paid

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SPEAKER GORHAM: Thank you.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Vice-Chair Drainer.

COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Yes, thank you

1	very much your comments. I appreciate them.
2	JUDGE THOMPSON: Questions from
3	counsel.
4	MS. COOK: Just briefly.
5	JUDGE THOMPSON: Ms. Cook.
6	MS. COOK: Thank you, Your Honor.
7	Just to clarify, I was wondering if you're aware
8	that there is a mechanism whereby the Office of
9	Public Counsel or the Commission staff or the City
10	of Joplin could file a complaint in the future if
11	they felt like a rates were too high, so there is -
12	- I wonder if you're aware that there was a
13	mechanism.
14	SPEAKER GORHAM: No, I wasn't aware.
15	You know, it's possible they could, but who knows
16	whether they will or not.
17	MS. COOK: Thank you.
18	JUDGE THOMPSON: Further questions?
19	You're excused, sir. Thank you.
20	Frank Gardner.
21	(No response.)
22	JUDGE THOMPSON: Kenneth Nash.
23	(No response.)
24	JUDGE THOMPSON: George Sterling.
25	(No response.)

1	JUDGE THOMPSON: Jay Rosenberg.
2	(No response.)
3	JUDGE THOMPSON: Bill Marsh.
4	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: How is that
5	pronounced?
6	JUDGE THOMPSON: Looks like Marsh to
7	me.
8	CHAIR LUMPE: Well, what is the
9	address.
10	JUDGE THOMPSON: 2004 South Ozark,
11	Joplin. I have one, then, a Kenneth Reed. Looks
12	like the address is 422 East Fountain Road.
13	Anybody have that address and would like to speak?
14	(No response.)
15	JUDGE THOMPSON: Ronald Parker.
16	(No response.)
17	JUDGE THOMPSON: Margaret Miller.
18	(No response.)
19	JUDGE THOMPSON: Larry Skelton.
20	(No response.)
21	JUDGE THOMPSON: Jeff Jiles.
22	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: How about
23	Gibson?
24	CHAIR LUMPE: If you want it to be.
25	JUDGE THOMPSON: Newman Road.

1	CHAIR LUMPE: Newman Road? Is that
2	you?
3	SPEAKER GIBSON: My apologies for the
4	handwriting.
5	JUDGE THOMPSON: It's quite all right,
6	Mr. Gibson. I'm glad we found someone who's still
7	here.
8	JEFF GIBSON
9	was called as a witness, was sworn, and
10	testifies as follows:
11	JUDGE THOMPSON: State your name,
12	please.
13	SPEAKER GIBSON: My name is Jeff
14	Gibson, G-i-b-s-o-n.
15	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. Gibson, are you
16	presently a customer of Missouri-American Water
17	Company?
18	SPEAKER GIBSON: Both personally and
19	my employer.
20	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please proceed.
21	SPEAKER: For the sake of brevity, I'm
22	just going to cut right to the chase here. I
23	represent Missouri Southern State College, and
24	having done an analysis of our water rates
25	summaries for the year ending 12-31-99, we had

spent \$54,536 for water. That excludes any taxes or any wastewater fees attached to that. If you apply a 50 percent rate increase to that, our charges for water would increase to \$86,166, which would be an annual increase of 31,630, assuming that the level of consumption stays the same. And that will not be the case as we grow.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Missouri Southern prides itself and holding its -- in providing an excellent education value to the community and holding his operating costs in Eighty-four percent of our revenue is line. derived from Missouri state appropriations and from student fees, those two sources combined. If the rate increase as proposed as implemented, the taxpayers and our students and their families will eventually bear the burden of that increase in operating expenses. So we would ask the PSC to implement a water rate structure that appropriately reflects the investment and expenditure in the Joplin region and allow those communities that have benefited from a recent investment to bear the costs for that investment. Thank you.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, Mr.

Gibson. Questions from the bench, Chair Lumpe.

CHAIR LUMPE: No questions, Mr.

1	Gibson. We thank you for the hospitality of the
2	college.
3	JUDGE THOMPSON: Vice-Chair Drainer.
4	CHAIR LUMPE: Thank you very much.
5	JUDGE THOMPSON: Questions from
6	counsel.
7	MS. COOK: No, Your Honor.
8	MR. SNODGRASS: No.
9	JUDGE THOMPSON: You're excuse, sir.
10	Thank you.
11	SPEAKER GIBSON: Thank you.
12	JUDGE THOMPSON: Cheryl Nance.
13	(No response.)
14	JUDGE THOMPSON: Gerald Nance.
15	(No response.)
16	JUDGE THOMPSON: Isabel Mendoza.
17	(No response.)
18	JUDGE THOMPSON: Maxine Patton
19	(No response.)
20	JUDGE THOMPSON: Pat Toby.
21	(No response.)
22	JUDGE THOMPSON: Lavon McCurdy.
23	(No response.)
24	JUDGE THOMPSON: Jim McCurdy.
25	(No response.)

1	JUDGE THOMPSON: Patricia R. Shank.
2	(No response.)
3	JUDGE THOMPSON: Diana Swenson.
4	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Everything has
5	already been said that I want to say. I agree with
6	Mr. Surface.
7	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please into podium
8	and be sworn, and you can say that, and it will be
9	part of the record, ma'am.
10	DIANA SWENSON
11	was called as a witness, was sworn, and
12	testifies as follows:
13	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please state your
14	name.
15	SPEAKER SWENSON: Diana Swenson, S-
16	w-e-n-s-o-n.
17	JUDGE THOMPSON: Miss Swenson, are you
18	presently a customer of Missouri-American Water
19	Company?
20	SPEAKER SWENSON: Yes.
21	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please proceed.
22	SPEAKER: SPEAKER SWENSON: I agree
23	or I oppose the rate increase and I agree with what
24	Mr. Surface said this evening, and with what our
25	city manager, Mr. Lewis, said this evening.

1	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, ma'am.
2	Questions from the bench, Chair Lumpe.
3	CHAIR LUMPE: No questions, thank you.
4	JUDGE THOMPSON: Vice-Chair Drainer.
5	CHAIR LUMPE: No, but thank you for
6	coming, for staying and for sharing your thoughts.
7	We appreciate it.
8	JUDGE THOMPSON: Questions from
9	counsel. You're excused, ma'am. Thank you.
10	Mary Ann Hill.
11	(No response.)
12	JUDGE THOMPSON: Wayne Hill.
13	(No response.)
14	JUDGE THOMPSON: Randall Presley.
15	RANDALL PRESLEY
16	was called as a witness, was sworn, and
17	testifies as follows:
18	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please state your
19	name.
20	SPEAKER PRESLEY: Randall Presley,
21	P-r-e-s-l-e-y.
22	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. Presley, are you
23	presently a customer of Missouri-American Water
24	Company?
25	SPEAKER PRESLEY: Yes, sir.

1	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please proceed.
2	SPEAKER PRESLEY: I'd like to concur
3	with everybody that's spoken tonight. I don't
4	think anybody's had any intentions on wanting an
5	increase. I would like to you to take our voice
6	back to Jefferson City and do what the taxpayers of
7	this area would like to have done, and that's to
8	not have an increase.
9	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, sir
10	SPEAKER PRESLEY: Thank you for your
11	time.
12	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Questions
13	from the bench, Chair Lumpe.
14	CHAIR LUMPE: Thank you for coming,
15	Mr. Presley.
16	JUDGE THOMPSON: Vice-Chair Drainer.
17	CHAIR LUMPE: Thank you, thank you
18	very much for your time.
19	JUDGE THOMPSON: Questions from
20	counsel.
21	MS. COOK: None, thank you.
22	MR. SNODGRASS: None, thank you.
23	JUDGE THOMPSON: You're excused, sir.
24	Thank you. Ken Shear.
25	(No response.)

JUDGE THOMPSON: Bill, I can't read 1 2 your last name, living at 1902 Joplin Street. 3 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: He left. JUDGE THOMPSON: Bill left, okay. 4 5 Thank you, sir. 6 Brian Greenwood. 7 (No RESPONSE.) 8 CHAIR LUMPE: Nadine Black, 2404 East 9 something or other. I apologize. 10 (No RESPONSES.) 11 JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Bill Mouk or Nousk, 3220 Finley. 12 13 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I didn't question you a while ago, but I had a shop teacher 14 15 in school, and there was a Daws and a Kanast, and 16 they called me everything but Kanast. So you can 17 call Daws, Naust and everything else. That's why I 18 said what I did. I thought maybe you missed me. 19 JUDGE THOMPSON: I apologize for 20 joining the long line of people who have garbled 21 your name, sir. It's nothing personal, believe me. WILLIAM H. KNAUST 22 was called as a witness, was sworn, and 23 24 testifies as follows: JUDGE THOMPSON: Please state your 25

1	name sir?
2	SPEAKER KNAUST: William H. Knaust.
3	JUDGE THOMPSON: Would you spell it
4	for us?
5	SPEAKER KNAUST: K-n-a-u-s-t.
6	JUDGE THOMPSON: Knaust?
7	JUDGE THOMPSON: Knaust.
8	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. Knaust, are you
9	presently a customer of Missouri-American Water
10	Company?
11	SPEAKER KNAUST: Yes, I am.
12	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please proceed, sir.
13	SPEAKER KNAUST: I am also opposed to
14	the water increase. Many of the things have been
15	brought up but I just went through some deal like
16	Mr. Ferguson did, and quickly will state, when the
17	rate increase went in in December the 10th, 1997,
18	my usage jumped to 6,000 gallons.
19	Now, the previous In 1996 through February
20	1997 November 8th, 1996 to February 10th, 1997,
21	for the three-month period, I used 9,750 gallons.
22	Then I went to the current billing, which is
23	almost the same period, November the 12th, 1999 to
24	December 13th, 1999, and through February, which
25	would be a three-month period, this other one was a

ninety-four day. This is a 91 day usage. And my total usage has jumped to 15,750.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I would like to know why, because I have --The reason I took this period is in the winter I grew a garden here and had a lot of flowers. I have since done away with the garden here. I moved it out the country where I have cheap water. I can pump water out there for 10 hours for 34 cents, and that's on Numac (phonetic), which is very expensive, it's more so than Empire. But I can pump 3,000 gallons of water out there for 34 cents, which here in town would cost me about \$8.83. So I have moved my garden out the country. And for the life of me, I can't understand -because we --

Since that point in time, I have taken out my stools, which were -- I built the house 41 years ago. I took out the stools, which is a three-and-a-half to five gallon flush, put in a 1.6, both stools. We have the same occupancy, my wife and I, but my usage jumped -- when they went to monthly billing -- jumped from 9,750 gallons to 15,750 gallons. And I'd like to know why. I mean, it doesn't make any sense to me.

So anyway, I no longer garden here in town

because it's too expensive. I moved my operation out to the country where I have cheap water. And I am strictly opposed to the rate increase because 54 percent, I think, that they're attempting to assess us as household, I think that's drastic. I'm not opposed to a slight increase.

I retired in 1990 from the Postal Service. My pension then was \$41 more than it is today because they keep taking out more for medical expenses.

I'd like to see the water company operate on less money today than they did in 1990. That's what we're having to operate on. And I don't feel like that kind of an increase is justified in any way.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, sir.

Questions from the bench, Chair Lumpe.

CHAIR LUMPE: Just very quickly, who supplies the water in the country? Is that a private well there?

 $\label{eq:speaker} {\tt SPEAKER:} \quad {\tt Well, I'm \ on \ a \ well \ out}$  there.

CHAIR LUMPE: Oh, you have your own well.

SPEAKER KNAUST: But I can pump the water out there for 34 cents, and that's on Numac, which is much more expensive than Empire. I could

do it for less. I can pump 3,000 -- The reason I know this, I grow black walnuts, and I irrigate my black walnut trees, and I pump sometimes for 10 hours a day consistently. And I read the meter when the house wasn't rented, and I'd use from three-and-a-half to four kilowatts to pump 3,000 gallons of water. And that's being generous, because I can get it for eight-and-a-half cents. I figured nine cents just to round it off. And so I can pump water out there for that, and I can't afford it here in town.

CHAIR LUMPE: The increase that you talked about, did you -- was there any checking with the company to see if there was a leak or anything?

SPEAKER KNAUST: I don't know why there'd be a leak, because, ma'am, when the gas service company moved the meters from the street out to the house, which they did a number of years ago, I had them put in new line while they had the yard tore up. I had them put in new line from the water meter to the house so that we wouldn't have that problem again, after tearing up my lawn for the second time. So there's no leak under the house.

We're using the same amount of water,
basically. My wife and I, I mean, it's just that's
all there is of us. And that's 200 gallons a day.
And for the life of me, I can't understand where we
could use 200 gallons of water a day, because we
don't even have a dishwasher. I mean, my wife
won't appreciate that, but she don't want to give
up cabinet space for it because she wants her
cabinet space. So we still wash dishes by hand, we
take our baths, and we drink about a gallon of
water a day. And the reason I know that, because I
purify my water, and we drink purified water, and I
use about a gallon a day for that.

And I don't -- When the Y2K came in -- thing came in, I put four fifty-five gallon drums in the -- in the garage. I drained those out here recently and I flooded my whole front of my neighbors over there draining that water out of the garage out of these barrels. And 200 gallons of water is a lot of water, and there's no way on this earth that in the dead of winter, where you don't water anything outside, that I can see where we're using that much water. And before they went to monthly billing, it was 6,000 gallons less.

CHAIR LUMPE: Mr. Johansen, would you

1	please check with this man? Thank you.
2	JUDGE THOMPSON: Vice-Chair Drainer.
3	CHAIR LUMPE: I just look forward to
4	knowing what the answer is. Thank you very much
5	for your time.
6	JUDGE THOMPSON: Questions and
7	counsel.
8	SPEAKER KNAUST: Thank you for staying
9	late.
10	MS. COOK: Just one. Would you
11	provide me with your address, sir?
12	SPEAKER: 3220 Finley, F-i-n-l-e-y,
13	Street.
14	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Thank you very
15	much. We are looking into that also.
16	JUDGE THOMPSON: Further questions?
17	MS. COOK: No, thank you.
18	SPEAKER KNAUST: I have the bills here
19	if you guys would like them. I mean
20	JUDGE THOMPSON: Are those copies of
21	the regionals?
22	SPEAKER KNAUST: These are the
22	
23	originals.
	originals.  JUDGE THOMPSON: You probably want to

1	SPEAKER KNAUST: I would be happy to
2	mail the copies of them if you people would like
3	them, if you'll give me an address.
4	JUDGE THOMPSON: Why don't you work
5	that out with Mr. Johansen. We'd be happy to have
6	them.
7	SPEAKER KNAUST: Thank you.
8	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, Mr.
9	Knaust, you're excused.
10	JUDGE THOMPSON: Deborah Vaughn.
11	DEBORAH VAUGHN
12	was called as a witness, was sworn, and
13	testifies as follows:
14	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please hold the
15	microphone close to your mouth.
16	SPEAKER VAUGHN: I understand that.
17	My voice doesn't carry very well.
18	JUDGE THOMPSON: That's quite all
19	right. Please state your name.
20	SPEAKER VAUGHN: Deborah, D-e-b-o-r-a-
21	h, Vaughn, V-a-u-g-h-n.
22	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mrs. Vaughn, are you
23	presently a customer of Missouri-American Water
24	Company?
25	SPEAKER VAUGHN: For 11 years.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Please proceed.

SPEAKER VAUGHN: Thank you. I understand that there's a lot of the senior citizens here tonight, and I appreciate that. I work for Oxford and I work with the senior citizens everyday. But the homes I see that's mostly absent here is the single-parent family. And maybe it's because they have the children in the bathtubs washing them with the water and praying that somebody like me may get up here and represent them tonight.

I am a single parent, and I have been for 11 years, and I have not heard anybody say anything about having a handicapped child in their house. And I do that too. And she's being very patient and very quiet back there, and I appreciate that.

I have three children and my income is about one-third below poverty level. I would be glad to get up to poverty level someday in my life. I earned a 15 cent raise after two years for working with my company. Because of that, my SSI goes down that my daughter gets, and my child care goes up.

Because of government cutbacks, I get no child support, I get no food stamps. Personally, I have no Medicaid. I thank God that my daughter will

always be covered with some sort of Medicaid or insurance for her medicines and her doctors appointments. I get no AFDC. I have no housing assistance.

Everything that -- Almost everything that we own or have owned in the past is secondhand. I have a hard time keeping shoes on my children's feet and milk in the refrigerator.

Personally, I have had two fund raisers to get my daughter to a specialist in Indianapolis and in Dallas. The budget's tight. Single parents have a hard time, too.

Nobody has given a solution, or nobody has given a suggestion for a solution. Everybody is asking questions of why, and the only thing with gathering all the information tonight -- I don't know if this is even feasible -- but one person came up here and said that MO-AM Company is one of the largest ones in the State of Missouri. And I was wondering, if the increase has to go through, why couldn't all the customers from MO-AM give to this cause so that all the customers of MO-AM could have better quality water. And that's all I have to say. Thank you.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, ma'am.

1	Question the bench, Chair Lumpe.
2	CHAIR LUMPE: I just want to thank
3	you, Ms. Vaughn for another point of view. Thank
4	you.
5	SPEAKER VAUGHN: Thank you.
6	JUDGE THOMPSON: Vice-Chair Drainer.
7	CHAIR LUMPE: Yes, thank you for
8	coming. Thank you for staying late. And we do
9	appreciate it.
10	SPEAKER: Okay.
11	JUDGE THOMPSON: Questions from
12	counsel? Hearing none, you're excused, ma'am.
13	Charles Ames.
14	CHARLES AMES
15	was called as a witness, was sworn, and
16	testifies as follows:
17	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please state your
18	name.
19	SPEAKER AMES: Charles Ames.
20	That's A-m-e-s.
21	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. Ames, are you
22	presently a customer of Missouri-American Water
23	Company?
24	SPEAKER VAUGHN: Yes, I am.
25	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please proceed.

SPEAKER VAUGHN: I'd like a direct my comments very quickly to the people here from the Public -- whatever you are

CHAIR LUMPE: Service Commission.

SPEAKER VAUGHN: -- Service

Commission. I'm not very familiar with all these because we don't spend much time looking into a lot of these things. And that if in the near future you should approve this increase for the Water Company, then I think also you should in the future, as a gentleman just before said, that when the debt is paid off in St. Joseph, that the rates be reduced back to the level they are now.

Secondly, I also believe that if in the future certain improvements should be made to the Joplin water system, that it should be required that the people of St. Joseph pay for that also, so that everybody has a chance to be equal if we're going to have to be equal. I do not agree with this rate hike. I suggest that you disapprove that, and I hope that that will be your final judgment. Thank you.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, sir. Questions from the bench, Chair Lumpe.

CHAIR LUMPE: No, thank you, sir.

1	JUDGE THOMPSON: Vice-Chair Drainer.
2	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Thank you very
3	much for your comments. We do appreciate you
4	staying.
5	JUDGE THOMPSON: Counsel?
6	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: None, Your
7	Honor.
8	MR. SNODGRASS: None, Judge, thank
9	you.
10	JUDGE THOMPSON: You are excused, sir.
11	At this time the commission will take a five-minute
12	recess.
13	(THE COURT RECESSED.)
14	JUDGE THOMPSON: At this time we will
15	go back on the record and we will call William
16	Ross.
17	WILLIAM ROSS
18	was called as a witness, was sworn, and
19	testifies as follows:
20	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please state your
21	name.
22	SPEAKER ROSS: William M. Ross, R-
23	0-s-s.
24	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. Ross, are you
25	presently a customer of Missouri-American Water

Company?

SPEAKER ROSS: Yes, sir.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Please proceed.

SPEAKER ROSS: Okay, I came here tonight to testify about the economic principles involved in the proposed water rate increase, but those issues have already been well addressed by other people.

I am a Ph.D. economist, and I am retired after having taught economics and business for 40 years. And during that 40 years, I spent 30 years in Pennsylvania and served 12 years on the Pennsylvania Council Of Economic Education, and also 12 years on the Pennsylvania Environmental Counsel as a member. And during that time I heard a lot of testimony regarding water issues, because Pennsylvania has a lot of polluted water and most of the issues revolved around that.

But I'd have to confess that I didn't -during the dozens and dozens of hearings that I sat
in on, both as a member of the Commission and as an
expert witness, I never heard anything quite like
the water rate increase that have been proposed
here. We're being asked to pay for improvements
made in a water district that's far removed from

the local area. Most of the testimony that we heard revolved around shared responsibility where there was interconnection in the water systems. Where there's no connection in the water system, I don't see any justification for the kind of water rate tariffs that are being proposed here. So I certainly am opposed to that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But having retired from my teaching positions, I moved to Missouri partly because I was born and raised in Missouri and my whole family are still I bought a place south of Joplin which does here. not have any public water system. I have a private well which are 180 foot deep. And when we began to go about to improve the place, we found out that the water's aquifer was contaminated, and they were recommending that we drill down to 400 feet from 180 feet to receive adequate water, which turned out to be a very expensive addition to the development costs that we're going to have to incur.

So my wife and I wound up buying a place north of town which has a rural water system. It's six miles north of town, Carl Junction Water District, but the water is supplied through the Water District of Joplin, okay. So this water rate would

directly impact our family and our property.

And one of the things I hoped to do in retirement was to build an orchard, and so I sat about last year doing that. Last year was a very dry year, as you all know in Missouri, and as a result with young trees I had to do a lot of watering. The water bill went up considerably, which was understandable because I was using a lot of water, so I tried supplementing it from the pond which is on our place. But because of the dry weather, the pond would get very low, and was in danger of killing all the fish and everything that was in it and ruining it as it as a recreational facility.

So I thought about drilling a well there, and I ran into the problem that a lot of people are beginning to incur now where this is requiring that if you drill into the second aquifer, 400 feet down, it may cost you up to \$20,000 to complete that according to state regulations. So I'm left with what my grandmother calls between the devil and the deep blue sea, because I either have to let my trees die or pay a very high increase in water rates to keep them alive, or spend a lot of money drilling wells. So I'm not sure -- I'm not going

to win in any of these situations.

So recently a rise Joplin resident, I find that this rate increase is sort of souring my opinion of what is going on here in Joplin. That's what I have to say. So I am very much opposed to both professionally and as a private citizen to this kind of rate increase.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, sir. Questions from the bench, Chair Lumpe.

CHAIR LUMPE: No, thank you for coming, sir.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Vice-Chair Drainer.

COMMISSIONER DRAINER: What type of orchard?

SPEAKER: It's a fruit orchard. A variety of trees. I have planted so far over 100 trees and I also planted a lot of blueberries and raspberries and gooseberries. I got a little over ambitious, I think, for a retired person.

COMMISSIONER DRAINER: I hope we have some rain.

SPEAKER: Well, I sure do too, because if we don't, I'm going to be faced with the same kind of problem I had this last year. And, you know, I've been speaking to half of my neighbors,

1	who are all farmers, and some of them whose names
2	were called and have gone back home. I have a
3	neighbor who raises cattle, and last year he had to
4	spend a lot of time watering his cattle. And if
5	this rate increase goes, he said he said he might
6	have to get out of the cattle business. So, you
7	know, this kind of thing affects a lot of people.
8	And I know most of the people who have testified
9	are from the city, but those of us who are in the
10	country who use the same water source find this to
11	be very disturbing.
12	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: I appreciate
13	your comments. I appreciate you coming, really, on

your comments. I appreciate you coming, really, on behalf of another sector, the customers. Thank you.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Further questions, questions from counsel.

MS. COOK: No.

MR. SNODGRASS: No, Judge.

JUDGE THOMPSON: You're excused, sir,

thank you.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SPEAKER: Thank you.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Ron Sampson.

## RON SAMPSON

was called as a witness, was sworn, and

testifies as follows:

JUDGE THOMPSON: Please state your

3 name.State your name, please?

SPEAKER SAMPSON: Ron Sampson, S-a-m-

5 p-s-o-n.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. Sampson, are you presently a customer of Missouri-American Water Company?

SPEAKER SAMPSON: Commercial.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Please proceed.

SPEAKER SAMPSON: My name is Ron
Sampson. I'm executive director of the Joplin
Workshops in Joplin, Missouri. We employ 250
disabled citizens in this Joplin metro area. We
have a health care linen laundry that we decided to
go into business in in 1992. We're processing in
excess of eight million pounds of laundry a year.
Our water bill was running approximately \$45,000 to
\$50,000 a year now, which would be a significant
increase to us if this 54 percent goes in, and I
think now they're saying like 61 percent for
commercial users.

Missouri Water has been a very strong supporter of the workshop. We have no complaints about the water. We use softeners and all that

type of chemicals.

I would think that putting in jeopardy 13 area hospitals, which encompasses a three-state area within a 60 mile radius of Joplin, and affects about 250,000 people, that's another cost increase that's going to be put onto the hospitals, which goes onto the patients. And we don't want to be a part of that.

One of the things that should be charged to every corporation is a way to reduce costs. Joplin Workshops are proud that in the seven years that they've been in the laundry business, we have not increased the cost of doing and processing linen more than three percent because it would just strictly put us out of the marketplace. And when we have a quarter of a million people that we're servicing, and 13 area hospitals, and 100 clinics and doctors offices.

And come by the workshop and see those two hundred forty some-odd people that are making more money than they ever made in their life. It's very valuable to them. And I think that Missouri Water, being the corporate citizen locally that they are - I cannot speak for the corporate people because we don't deal with those folks. We know when we

have a problem that -- with the billing, we don't like to have to have to call Kansas City because we don't feel like we get good response. We pay our bills every month, we don't squabble about them.

And we just feel like that it's time that the corporate people, maybe if they would have taken an engineering standpoint -- We don't have all types of people here in Joplin to do consulting work for us. We're a little old business, and if we don't spend our money efficiently, if we don't buy good equipment, efficient equipment so we don't have to pass costs on, we wouldn't be in business today. And I think that the corporate people should have looked at and talked to the local people down here about how we do business, and let them come visit us and see how efficient we are in southwest Missouri. Thank you.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, sir.

Questions from the bench, Chair Lumpe.

CHAIR LUMPE: No, thank you.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Vice-Chair Drainer.

COMMISSIONER DRAINER: No, thank you.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Counsel.

MS. COOK: No.

MR. SNODGRASS: No, Judge.

(417)667-6738

MEGAHERTZ REPORTING SERVICE
P.O. BOX 587, NEVADA, MO 64772

1	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. James
2	Bruce.
3	JAMES BRUCE
4	was called as a witness, was sworn, and
5	testifies as follows:
6	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please state your
7	name.
8	SPEAKER BRUCE: James Bruce, B-r-u-
9	c-e.
10	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. Bruce, could you
11	hold the microphone up by your mouth?
12	SPEAKER BRUCE: (Does as requested.)
13	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. Bruce, are you
14	currently a customer
15	Yes, I am.
16	JUDGE THOMPSON: of Missouri-
17	American Water Company?
18	SPEAKER BRUCE: Yes, I am.
19	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please proceed, sir.
20	SPEAKER BRUCE: Tonight the question
21	was asked what the profits were in 1999 from
22	Missouri-American Water Company, and that
23	information was not forthcoming. The accountant
24	did say that approximately two percent of the
25	revenue, I think he said, was profit margin. But

on a meeting of this magnitude with a fifty-threepoint-something increase being asked of the
consumer, I think it's incumbent upon the Water
Company to have all this type of information
available to us. I don't think it's right ask that
much of an increase and then not put all the fact
on the table. That's my comment.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, sir. Questions from the bench, Chair Lumpe.

CHAIR LUMPE: No. Thank you, Mr. Bruce.

SPEAKER BRUCE: You're welcome.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Vice-Chair Drainer.

much for your comments, and I can assure you that the staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission, the Office of the Public Counsel, and the other intervenors in the case will be trying to get all of the facts put on the table so we will be given those facts to make the decision.

SPEAKER: Well, if that's true, then,
I might favor somewhat of an increase. You know, I
don't know, but I think those statistics need to be
available in order to determine how much of an
increase should be there, if any. But that is

1	vital information, in my opinion.
2	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Yes. Thank you
3	very much.
4	SPEAKER BRUCE: You're welcome.
5	JUDGE THOMPSON: Counsel.
6	MR. SNODGRASS: No questions, Judge,
7	thanks.
8	JUDGE THOMPSON: You're excused, sir.
9	Thank you.
10	Joseph Clayton.
11	JOSEPH CLAYTON
12	was called as a witness, was sworn, and
13	testifies as follows:
14	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please state your
15	name.
16	SPEAKER CLAYTON: Joseph Clayton.
17	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. Clayton, are you
18	presently a customer of the Missouri-American Water
19	Company?
20	SPEAKER CLAYTON: Yes, I am.
21	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please proceed.
22	SPEAKER CLAYTON: I'm opposed to any
23	kind of an increase in our current water billing.
24	I believe that most of the people in this area are
25	very conservative, if not by opinion, at least in

fact. Ever since I've lived in Joplin since I was a small child, I have often heard Joplin wanting to be more like Springfield. Springfield has a full-service community. They own their own utilities. I think this is something that we should look at in this community.

I believe that Missouri American Water has ownership of possibly the largest waterfall in a creek this side of the Mississippi, this side of the United States. They used to provide electric source for this entire community, which also benefited the community and made a mass profit. At present, it's not in operation whatsoever. A natural resource, I believe, is being wasted.

If we're going to have a 51 percent increase, I think it should be put to the voters that are utilizing the water system in this area, to have a 51 percent increase to actually take over the water service, and have Joplin as a full-service community for the first time in my lifetime. And that's all I have to say.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, sir. questions from the bench, Chair Lumpe.

CHAIR LUMPE: No, thank you, sir. Thank you for coming.

1	JUDGE THOMPSON: Vice-Chair Drainer.
2	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Thank you very
3	much.
4	JUDGE THOMPSON: Counsel.
5	MS. COOK: No, thank you.
6	MR. SNODGRASS: None, Judge, thank
7	you.
8	JUDGE THOMPSON: You're excused.
9	Debbie Markman.
10	DEBBIE MARKMAN
11	was called as a witness, was sworn, and
12	testifies as follows:
13	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please state your
14	name.
15	SPEAKER MARKMAN: Debbie Markman,
16	M-a-r-k-m-a-n.
17	JUDGE THOMPSON: Ms. Markman, are you
18	presently a customer Missouri-American Water
19	Company?
20	SPEAKER MARKMAN: Residential and
21	commercial.
22	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please proceed.
23	I'm with Economics Security
24	Corporation of the Southwest Area. We're the
25	community action agency that serves Barton, Jasper,

Newton, McDonald Counties here. Of course we're concerned about the rate hike because we serve primarily economically disadvantaged. We serve approximately 1,600 families during any one year, and our programs that we operate are housing weatherization, Headstart, family planning, homeless programs and a variety of emergency services.

Probably the main thing that I want to talk about, which Miss Vaughn did very well, 52 percent of our customers are working families and about 23 percent of those are single female head of household. So you can not multiply her comments by that number, and that's the number of people that should have, or could have, maybe, been here tonight to voice their concern about that.

One thing that we haven't touched on are the types of assistance programs that are available to help low income folks. There are a lot of programs for electrical, propane and natural gas, but there are not very many for water customers, so this type of increase is going to have a big effect on the senior citizens who have spoken tonight, people with disabilities who have spoken, and the working group has spoken very highly.

1	But we don't have much programs. The programs
2	we do have, the company does have a program. It's
3	customer-driven. They do not add any of their own
4	money at all to that. And it equates to \$563
5	annually. That is not very much for a
6	contribution. Whereas both our other utility
7	companies in the area do add contributions on their
8	own. And that's all I have.
9	I do have an exhibit since we've covered those
10	other things. Thank you very much.
11	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, ma'am.
12	Questions from the Bench, Chair Lumpe.
13	CHAIR LUMPE: No, thank you. I
14	appreciate your comments, and I'm glad to find out
15	what economic security was. I was curious about
16	that. Thank you.
17	JUDGE THOMPSON: Vice-Chair Drainer.
18	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: No, thank you
19	very much.
20	JUDGE THOMPSON: Counsel.
21	MS. COOK: None, Your honor, thank
22	you.
23	MR. SNODGRASS: None, Judge, thanks.
24	JUDGE THOMPSON: I'M RECEIVING A FOLDER

WHICH I AM MARKETING AS EXHIBIT NUMBER 9.

25

Sonjia Corum.

## SONJIA CORUM

was called as a witness, was sworn, and
testifies as follows:

JUDGE THOMPSON: Please state your

name.

SPEAKER CORUM: My name is Sonjia, S-o-n-j-i-a, Corum C-o-r-u-m.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Ms. Corum, are you presently a customer Missouri-American Water Company?

SPEAKER CORUM: Yes, I am.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Please proceed.

SPEAKER CORUM: Okay, I represent myself and a bunch of other working stiffs, okay. The economically disadvantaged that Ms. Markman spoke about, that could be me shortly. You know, I put together some figures to put in real dollars for some of us regular folk, okay. My increase for one year will be \$180, okay. That's real dollars. That is over one week's take-home pay, okay. I don't want to work a full week for water, okay. Your estimate that was presented would be \$96.

A lot of people have talked about the ripple

MEGAHERTZ REPORTING SERVICE
P.O. BOX 587, NEVADA, MO 64772
(417) 667-6738

That's still too darned high, okay.

effect. There's a lot of things that haven't been covered: car washes, things like that, maintenance to our vehicles. You know, all this affects every facet of our lives. It just makes everything harder.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One gentleman was really upset and used some terms that were pretty strong: fascism, socialism, et cetera, things like that. I may not go so far, but I think we should take care of our own communities. Federally, we fund a lot of programs. Statewide, we fund a lot of programs. My tax dollars go a lot of places: to food, they go to to schools. I don't have any children, but I don't have a problem with that, okay. I don't have a problem with helping someone who is economically disadvantaged. I currently have a multiple household -- multiple family household now because of friends that are disadvantaged that can't qualify for these state programs, okay. But this gets harder on all of us. There's a lot of businesses that are out there that are saying look, help us, okay. If a regular business -business, said, "Hey, we're just going to bump everything up 50 percent," you know, we all know what would happen. We'd go under, wouldn't we?

It's shortsighted on the side of Missouri-American Water to not have prepared. If their facility was not adequate, then they knew this in advance. They should have slowly instituted a program on a local basis in the St. Joseph area in order to have slowly built those funds so that this would not have been a major impact like this. Their shortsightedness should not be my household problem, okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It's been touched on that Missouri-American Water is a monopoly. Okay, well maybe it's not. Maybe I'm supposed to drill a \$20,000 well. I can't do it. There's some folks who spoke to me from the audience that they live in one of the surrounding communities called Bell Center. years ago their wells were shut down because of some contamination, so now they must use Missouri-American Water. You know we have a federal regulation that tells us what kind of water that we have to use. Then it sees fit to possibly allow them to stick a gun in our face and force us to pay extra for the water they say we must use, okay. Not very well said, but point's there, probably.

Someone touched on the fact that when the billing was split from a quarterly basis to a

monthly basis, I also saw a 25 percent increase.

And I don't care if that's clerical or whatever.

It's out of my pocket. They have increased -
They have increased their own costs through

personnel, mailing -- They have to bill three -
They have to process three times as many bills,

documents, however you want to put it, you know, as

they did before. Maybe they think that the

interest they're creating, you know, because they

get the money faster pays for it. I don't know

what that is, but they can save themselves some

money there.

I had a couple other things but I lost my place. Give me a moment. I believe that has covered it.

oh, one other thing. A lot of folks have spoken on the idea of the gray haired saints as they were called earlier. I have a grandmother on a fixed income. I have a mother on a fixed income. Neither one of them gets more than \$550 a month. Okay, how -- someone please tell me how they can pay an extra \$96 a year. We already put a huge stone in the toilet so that the toilet flushes better, just so we don't use as much water, because we can't afford to put new toilets in. You know,

these are issues for real people. 1 2 I don't know what the facility was like in St. 3 Joseph, pretty much don't care. You know. I live in Joplin. This is the community that I live in, 4 5 and this is the community that I think should be 6 served by the dollars I spend. And that's all I 7 have to say. 8 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, Ms. Corum. 9 Questions from the Bench, Chair Lumpe. 10 CHAIR LUMPE: Just briefly, did you 11 prefer the quarterly billing to the monthly billing 12 or --13 SPEAKER CORUM: Absolutely. 14 CHAIR LUMPE: Thank you, that's all I 15 want to know. Thank you for coming. Vice-Chair Drainer. 16 JUDGE THOMPSON: 17 CHAIR LUMPE: Thank you for staying. 18 Thank you for all your comments. They were well 19 organized, and we really appreciate having you. 20 SPEAKER: Thank you. I would like to 21 have organized better, but I found out about this 22 at 3:00 in the morning last night. Uninformed

MEGAHERTZ REPORTING SERVICE
P.O. BOX 587, NEVADA, MO 64772
(417) 667-6738

COMMISSIONER DRAINER: You did fine.

23

24

25

here, sorry.

Thank you.

1	JUDGE THOMPSON: Questions from
2	counsel.
3	MS. COOK: No, thank you.
4	JUDGE THOMPSON: You are excused,
5	ma'am. Thank you very much.
6	Jerry Smith.
7	JERRY SMITH
8	was called as a witness, was sworn, and
9	testifies as follows:
10	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please state your
11	name.
12	SPEAKER SMITH: Jerry L. Smith, S-
13	m-i-t-h.
14	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. Smith, are you
15	presently a customer Missouri-American Water
16	Company?
17	SPEAKER SMITH: Commercial and
18	resident.
19	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please proceed, sir.
20	SPEAKER SMITH: Well it's funny that
21	you mention a car wash because that's what I have.
22	If the I'll make this brief also. With the
23	rates that the oil companies has given us, we have
24	already received a 23 percent increase on the oil.
25	And if this goes through, that will basically make

1	an 85 percent increase in water and oil, and I'm
2	not too sure whether anybody can stay in business
3	with those prices. And I certainly oppose the rate
4	increase. Thank you.
5	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, sir.
6	Questions from the bench, Chair Lumpe.
7	CHAIR LUMPE: No thanks. Thank you,
8	sir.
9	JUDGE THOMPSON: Vice-Chair Drainer
10	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: No, but thank
11	you very much.
12	JUDGE THOMPSON: Counsel.
13	MS. COOK: No.
14	MS. COOK: No, Judge.
15	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you very much.
16	Earl Carr.
17	EARL CARR
18	was called as a witness, was sworn, and
19	testifies as follows:
20	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please state your
21	name.
22	SPEAKER CARR: My name is Earl, middle
23	initial S as in Stanley, Carr, C-a-r-r, 2603
24	Monroe, Joplin, 64804.
25	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. Carr, are you

presently a customer of the Missouri-American Water Company?

SPEAKER CARR: Yes, sir I am.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Please proceed.

SPEAKER CARR: As a former mayor of the City of Joplin, I'd like to support the City's position and the City's opposition to the rate increase. I'd also like to also thank you for coming to Joplin. It's really good that you come down her here. It's very difficult for the people. The trip to Jefferson City, as you know, coming here, it's a long trip. And we thank you for coming and we thank you for staying overtime tonight.

I'd like this just to point out a few things that happened back in 1997. And maybe it's some of the reasons for some of the hostility. But we were — the last evidentiary hearing in 1997 said that there was going to be a 16 percent increase discussed, and so a raise increase in water rates in the 1997 was 16 percent or less. But when you look at your water bill, and you actually calculate what you pay on your water bill for the same amount of water, it's 23.7 percent. And then you heard from Mr. Maynard about a \$20 turn on fee. Well,

you have to consider, that turn on fee is imposed It's imposed on a landlord if the water is left on, and then it's imposed on the new tenant. There are -- The number of rental dwellings in the city of Joplin is about 45 percent of the total housing. Renters move very frequently. average time in residence is something approximately a year to a year-and-a-half. tenant is being subjected to a \$20 turn on and the landlord at the same time. This gives you another 10 or 20 percent increase in your water rate. this is little bit of a shell game. We were told a raise of about 10 or 16 percent raise in our water rates, but, in fact, we saw a rate increase approaching 50 percent. And that happened in late 19 -- 1997. So all of a sudden we see this 50percent again, but this time it says 54 percent or 58 percent. And then we really wonder, well, just what is it really going to be when we get our water So that's the information I'd like to share bills. with you. Thank you very much for coming.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, sir.

Questions from the bench, Chair Lumpe.

CHAIR LUMPE: Just very briefly, did you say 45 percent of the housing is rental or did

I get it wrong?

SPEAKER CARR: No that's correct, 45 percent of the property in Joplin is rental.

CHAIR LUMPE: Is rental property. And are you a landlord?

SPEAKER CARR: I am.

CHAIR LUMPE: Okay. Thank you.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Vice-Chair Drainer.

COMMISSIONER DRAINER: She always asks

my question. Has the rental housing gone up in Joplin? Has that been pretty consistent?

SPEAKER CARR: What do you mean gone up? You mean, the amount percentage?

COMMISSIONER DRAINER: The amount percentage.

SPEAKER CARR: That's been -- That's been for quite a few years. We do have a high number of renters in the City of Joplin. Joplin, as you may have read in the paper, has been classed as the lowest cost of living city in the United States. And one of the reasons for that is we have a lot of people who are not making as much as you or I, and so a water rate increase like we've been seeing, like we saw in '97, and like we're going to see here if this were approved, is very hard on a

1	very significant part of our population.
2	COMMISSIONER DRAINER: Well, we thank
3	you very much for coming and for staying.
4	SPEAKER CARR: Oh it's been been very
5	interesting. I've enjoyed the evening.
6	JUDGE THOMPSON: Questions from
7	counsel.
8	MS. COOK: No, Your honor, thank you.
9	JUDGE THOMPSON: You're excused, sir.
10	Thank you.
11	SPEAKER CARR: Thank you very much.
12	JUDGE THOMPSON: Are there any other
13	any other persons who have signed up but have not
14	been called?
15	(No responses.)
16	JUDGE THOMPSON: Are there any other
17	persons who have not signed up and would like to
18	testify?
19	(No responses.)
20	JUDGE THOMPSON: Hearing none, at this
21	time I will
22	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Here's one.
23	JUDGE THOMPSON: Come forward, sir.
24	Excuse me.
25	(Unidentified person approaches.)

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'm sure, last and least.

## LEROY GETCHELL

was sworn, and testifies as follows:

JUDGE THOMPSON: Please state your

name.

SPEAKER GETCHELL: My name is Leroy Getchell, G-e-t-c-h-e-l-l. I reside at 3501 Ten Pin Lane. That's two words -- Ten Pin Lane -- in Joplin 64801. And, yes, I am a customer of Missouri-American Water Company.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Please proceed, sir.

SPEAKER GETCHELL: I moved to the Joplin area approximately 20 years ago, and I'm going to touch upon something no one else has touched upon, and that is the on esthetics of the community and the impact of water consumption costs.

My water bill this last year, I try to take care of my home. I have a lot that's about 120 deep, 100 feet wide, and my water bill last summer was \$60 a month, average. In fact, \$58.42 if you want to get down to the penny. That increase that I would -- assuming I use the same amount of water -- is about \$30 a month.

One of the reasons I moved to the Southwest
Missouri area two years ago, I lived in the arid
West. I lived in the state of Nevada. We got six
inches of rain a year. We had to water a lot.
What I'm hearing people say today is that they're
not going to be watering their lawns. I recognize
that the consumption -- and I've been -- presented
testimony to the Federal Power Commission. I've
been a finance director of the city -- not only for
the City of Joplin that I am now but the city and
the state of Nevada, so I understand we set water
rates.

My concern is the impact upon people who choose now not to water the lawn, the impact of the dust that could then be generated -- because I'm familiar with it. That's one of the reasons that I left the area that I did -- and how that then can impact the people's health. If the costs of production of the water was truly borne only by the citizens of Joplin, I would have less problem with that, but when we are transferring cost to the City of Joplin for the production of water in Jefferson City, that certainly concerns me.

And I did want to mention the aesthetic side, because I do think it will have a dramatic negative

impact upon the City of Joplin, and I think that's one of the reasons -- I know the main reasons -- that I came here, and I know that other people who live in this area, because they love the green. So thank you for the opportunity I've been given to speak tonight.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, sir. Ouestions from the bench, Chair Lumpe.

CHAIR LUMPE: Thank you, Mr. Getchell, for another foreign opinion.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Vice-Chair Drainer.

COMMISSIONER DRAINER: I want to thank you. I know the hour is late, but I have to tell you, when you say they love the green, back in the very very very early '70s, my husband and I were stationed for four years in Southern California, in Albuquerque. And when we were driving back to Illinois, what was our home, we entered this one area that I thought was the most gorgeous place in the whole world. I said to my husband it's the most gorgeous place in the whole world, it's so green and so beautiful. And It was the southwest part of Missouri. And so I agree with you. I do love your green. It's just beautiful. Thank you.

SPEAKER: I just wanted that point to

be known because that's why I'm here, and I chose 1 2 to move here, not that I grew up and lived here 3 forever. So thank you. JUDGE THOMPSON: Questions from 4 5 counsel. 6 MS. COOK: None, Your Honor, thank 7 you. 8 MR. SNODGRASS: None, Judge, thank 9 you. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You're 10 excused, sir. 11 12 At this time we will adjourn this local public 13 hearing. 14 MS. COOK: Before you adjourn, I was 15 offered three additional supplemental sheets to Mr. Hunter's Exhibit Number 4, and I was asked to add 16 17 these to the record, if that's possible. 18 JUDGE THOMPSON: That's fine. 19 MS. COOK: So it's on the record. It's 20 approximately 100 more signatures. 21 JUDGE THOMPSON: WE WILL RECEIVE. I WILL 22 MARK THESE AS EXHIBIT 4A, AND WE WILL ADD THEM TO THE RECORD OF 23 THIS PROCEEDING. 24 Does anyone else have anything else to take up 25 at this time?

1 (No RESPONSES.)

2 JUDGE THOMPSON: Hearing nothing, at

3 this time, I will adjourn this local public

4 hearing. Thank you all very much.

5 (THE HEARING ADJOURNED AT 10:03 P.M., 18 MAY, 2000.)

6

7

## REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, MICHAEL H. ZAJDEL, Certified Court Reporter No. 0465, do hereby certify that pursuant to Notice of Hearing, at Missouri Southern State College, Joplin, County of Jasper, and State of Missouri, came before me a Public Service Commission Hearing regarding the Matter of Missouri-American Water Company. The proceedings were taken down by use of Stenomask closed microphone by me, and afterwards transcribed, and said Public Service Commission hearing was thereafter returned to counsel for review.

I further certify that I am not counsel, attorney, or relative of either party, or clerk or stenographer of either party, or of the attorney of either party, or otherwise interested in the event in this suit.

Michael H. Zajdel, CCR, CVR Certified Reporter No. 0465