Exhibit No.: ___

Issues: True-Up

In-Service

Witness: R.L. Amman, Jr. Exhibit Type: True-Up Direct

Sponsoring Party: MAWC Case No.: WR-2000-281

SR-2000-282

Date: June 15, 2000

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NO. WR-2000-281 CASE NO. SR-2000-282

FILED²

DIRECT TESTIMONY

JUN 1 5 2000

REGARDING TRUE-UP

Missouri Public Service Commission

 \mathbf{OF}

ROBERT L. AMMAN, JR.

ON BEHALF OF

MISSOURI-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY

JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Missouri-American Water Company's tariff sheets designed to implement general rate increases for water and sewer service provided to customers in the Missouri service area of the Company.) Case No. WR-2000-281)))
County of <u>CDLE</u>)) ss State of <u>MISSDUR</u>)	
AFFIDAVIT OF ROB	ERT L. AMMAN, JR.
Robert L. Amman, Jr., being first duly sy sponsors the accompanying testimony entitled "I L. Amman, Jr."; that said testimony was prepare supervision; that if inquiries were made as to the respond as therein set forth; and that the aforesai the best of his knowledge, information, and belief	d by him and/or under his direction and facts in said testimony and schedules, he would d testimony and schedules are true and correct to
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 14th d	outindenly Guffel Notary Public
My Connoission GENTEY GRIFFITH A Notary Public of Miller County, Missouri My Commission Expires 12/28/2001	

DIRECT TESTIMONY REGARDING TRUE-UP ROBERT L. AMMAN, JR. MISSOURI-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY CASE NO. WR-2000-281 CASE NO. SR-2000-282

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAG	E
I.	WITNESS INTRODUCTION	1
II.	PURPOSE	1
III.	ADDITIONS SINCE THE LAST RATE CASE	1
IV.	IN-SERVICE	2

WITNESS INTRODUCTION

1	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS AND EMPLOYER.
2	A.	My name is Robert L. Amman, Jr., my business address is 1003 E. St. Maartens
3		Drive, St. Joseph, Missouri 64506 and I am employed by Missouri-American Water
4		Company ("MAWC") as Manager of its St. Joseph, Warrensburg, Platte County and
5		Brunswick Districts.
6	Q.	ARE YOU THE SAME ROBERT L. AMMAN, JR. THAT PREVIOUSLY
7		FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY IN THIS CASE?
8	A.	Yes.
9		<u>PURPOSE</u>
10	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY REGARDING
11		TRUE-UP?
12	A.	My testimony will address the status of the various construction projects which have
13		been placed in-service since the filing of this case.
14		ADDITIONS SINCE THE LAST RATE CASE
15	Q.	ON PAGE 6 OF YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY, YOU PROJECTED THE
16		GROSS PLANT ADDITIONS FOR EACH OF THE COMPANY'S SEVEN
17		DISTRICTS FROM JULY 31, 1997 THROUGH APRIL 30, 2000. ARE THE
18		ACTUAL AMOUNTS OF THE INVESTMENTS FROM JULY 31, 1997 (THE
19		TEST PERIOD UTILIZED IN MAWC'S LAST RATE CASE) THROUGH
20		APRIL 30, 2000 (THE TRUE-UP DATE IN THIS CASE) NOW KNOWN?

1	A.	Yes. The actual amount of additions during that period is known. These additions
2		are contained in the Direct Testimony Regarding True-Up of James E. Salser.
3		
4		<u>IN-SERVICE</u>
5	Q.	ON PAGES 7-8 OF YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY YOU IDENTIFIED
6		SEVERAL "LARGE CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS" THAT WERE TO BE
7		PLACED IN-SERVICE BY APRIL 30, 2000. WERE THESE PROJECTS
8		COMPLETED AND IN-SERVICE BY APRIL 30, 2000?
9	A.	Yes.
10	Q.	IN WHAT DISTRICTS WAS CONSTRUCTION BEING COMPLETED?
11	A.	The St. Joseph District, the Warrensburg District, the Platte County District, the
12		Mexico District, the Joplin District and the St. Charles District.
13	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE PROJECT IN THE ST. JOSEPH DISTRICT AND
14		THE STATUS OF THAT PROJECT.
15	A.	MAWC has completed and put in-service a new 30 MGD treatment plant, well field
16		and pipelines in its St. Joseph District. This project has replaced the old plant, part
17		of which was originally constructed in 1897. The new treatment plant began
18		providing service to the St. Joseph District on April 3, 2000. The old plant ceased
19		to provide water to the St. Joseph District on the same date.
20	Q.	STAFF WITNESS JAMES A. MERCIEL, JR., ON PAGES 6 THROUGH 8 OF
21		HIS DIRECT TESTIMONY, IDENTIFIED THE "IN-SERVICE CRITERIA"
22		THE STAFF WILL USE TO DETERMINE WHETHER THE ST. JOSEPH
23		TREATMENT PLANT IS USED AND USEFUL. HAVE YOU HAD THE

OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW THESE CRITERIA?

2	Α.	Yes

A.

- Q. BASED UPON THESE CRITERIA, DO YOU BELIEVE THE ST. JOSEPH
 TREATMENT PLANT AND RELATED FACILITIES ARE IN-SERVICE
 AND USED AND USEFUL?
- A. Yes. As Mr. Merciel testified in his rebuttal testimony filed in this case, Staff members of the PSC along with representatives from Missouri-American Water Company toured the facility on April 19 and 20, 2000. He further testified that as of that time the plant was "in service and supplying water to the company's customers." All of the various processes, wells and pumping equipment, were observed and found to be operational.
- Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE PROJECT IN THE WARRENSBURG DISTRICT
 AND THE STATUS OF THAT PROJECT.
 - MAWC has completed and put in-service facilities at its Warrensburg District to eliminate undesirable taste and odor in the water supply due to the presence of hydrogen sulfide in the ground water source. Another well was also constructed in the Warrensburg District in order to meet system demands which have increased due to customer growth. The new well and associated required pipeline provide an additional supply of approximately 1000gpm necessary to ensure reliable service. Improvements have also been made to the existing four wells to eliminate the possibility of lubricants from the wells shafts getting into the water supply. This was accomplished by replacing the well shafts with water lubricated shafts as opposed to the food grade oil-based lubricants which had been used. These projects were

1		completed and placed in-service on April 26, 2000.
2	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE PROJECT IN THE PLATTE COUNTY DISTRICT
3		AND THE STATUS OF THAT PROJECT.
4	A.	The Platte County District has completed and placed in-service a 1.0 MG distribution
5		storage tank, pipeline and booster station to improve fire protection and meet
6		increasing demand on its high service pressure zone. These items were completed
7		and placed in-service on April 26, 2000.
8	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE PROJECT IN THE MEXICO DISTRICT AND
9		THE STATUS OF THAT PROJECT.
10	A.	The Mexico District has completed an extensive renovation and expansion of its
11		existing treatment plant to bring the plant into compliance with recommendations of
12		the Missouri Department of Natural Resources. Another well has also been
13		constructed to provide needed additional supply to maintain reliability. These
14		projects were placed in-service on April 28, 2000.
15	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE PROJECT IN THE JOPLIN DISTRICT AND THE
16		STATUS OF THAT PROJECT.
17	A.	The Joplin District has installed a new well and pipeline to increase capacity of the
18		system and maintain reliability. In addition, extensive renovations and structural
19		improvements have been made at the Company's Grand Falls Dam. An additional
20		well with an anticipated capacity of 3.0 mgd has been constructed along with the

associated piping, pumps, structures and telemetry. Filter piping and the control

system at the Blendville Plant in Joplin have been replaced to enable the plant to

properly monitor and control the treatment process and maintain the high degree of

21

22

23

1		water quality required. These projects were placed in-service on December 14, 1999,
2		April 26, 2000 and December 14, 1999 respectively.
3	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE PROJECT IN THE ST. CHARLES DISTRICT
4		AND THE STATUS OF THAT PROJECT.
5	A.	The St. Charles District has, as a result of residential and commercial development
6		in the area, experienced numerous highway relocation and reconstruction projects
7		which have resulted in the required relocation of many of its underground facilities
8		at Company expense. MAWC has completed six of these projects which required
9		facility relocation. The last of these projects was completed and placed in-service in
10		May, 1999.
11	Q.	DOES THIS COMPLETE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY REGARDING
12		TRUE-UP?
13	A.	Yes, it does.