OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Petition for Arbitration)	
of Unresolved Issues in a Section 251(b)(5))	Case No. TO-2006-0149
Agreement with NEXTEL Wireless.)	

ORDER DIRECTING FILING

Issue Date: November 7, 2005 Effective Date: November 7, 2005

On November 2, 2005, counsel for petitioners, a number of small rural carriers¹, submitted, by electronic mail, the following proposed procedural schedule:

Simultaneous Direct Testimony	January 4, 2006
Statement of Issues and Positions	January 18, 2006
Simultaneous Rebuttal Testimony	January 20, 2006
Hearing	January 25-30, 2006
Briefs	February 8, 2006
Preliminary Arbitrator's Report	February 16, 2006
Comments	February 24, 2006
Final Arbitrator's Report	March 3, 2006
Oral Argument	March 6, 2006
Final Commission Decision	March 24, 2006

¹ The small rural carriers include: BPS Telephone Company; Cass County Telephone Company; Ellington Telephone Company; Farber Telephone Company; Fidelity Communications Services II, Inc; Granby Telephone Company; Green Hills Telephone Corporation; Iamo Telephone Company; Kingdom Telephone Company; Lathrop Telephone Company; New Florence Telephone Company; Steelville Telephone

Exchange.

If NEXTEL Wireless objects to this procedural schedule, the Arbitrator will require NEXTEL to file its objection. If, however, NEXTEL does not file an objection to the proposed procedural schedule, the schedule will be adopted as proposed.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

- 1. That if NEXTEL Wireless objects to the procedural schedule proposed by Petitioners, NEXTEL shall file its objection no later than November 11, 2005.
 - 2. That this order shall become effective on November 7, 2005.

BY THE COMMISSION

Colleen M. Dale Secretary

(SEAL)

Kennard L. Jones, Regulatory Law Judge, by delegation of authority pursuant to Section 386.240, RSMo 2000.

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri, on this 7th day of November, 2005.