1	STATE OF MISSOURI			
	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION			
2				
3	MEADING			
4	HEARING May 18, 2001			
	Jefferson City, Missouri			
5	Volume 1			
6				
7				
8	In the Matter of the Application ) of The Empire District Electric )			
9	Company and White River Valley )			
10	Electric Cooperative for Approval ) Case of a Written Territorial ) No. EO-2001-491 Agreement Designating the			
11	Boundaries of Each Electric ) Service Supplier Within the White )			
12	Oaks Subdivision of the City of ) Branson, Taney County, Missouri. )			
13	Branson, rancy country, Missouri.			
14				
15				
16	BEFORE:			
17	KEVIN A. THOMPSON, Presiding, DEPUTY CHIEF REGULATORY LAW JUDGE.			
18	DEPUTY CHIEF REGULATORY LAW GUDGE.			
19				
20				
21	REPORTED BY:			
22	KRISTAL R. MURPHY, CSR, RPR, CCR ASSOCIATED COURT REPORTERS, INC.			
23	714 West High Street  Post Office Box 1308			
24	JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102 (573) 636-7551			
25				

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	GARY W. DUFFY, Attorney at Law Brydon, Swearengen & England, P.C.
4	P.O. Box 456 312 East Capitol Avenue
5	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0456 573/635-7166
6	FOR: The Empire District Electric Company.
7	
8	RODRIC A. WIDGER, Attorney at Law Andereck, Evans, Milne, Peace & Johnson 1111 South Glenstone Avenue
9	Springfield, Missouri 65808-4929 417/864-6401
10	FOR: White River Valley Electric Cooperative.
11	RUTH O'NEIL, Legal Counsel
12 13	P.O. Box 7800 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 573/751-5559
14	FOR: Office of Public Counsel and the Public.
15	DAVID A. MEYER, Associate Counsel P.O. Box 360
16	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 573/751-6434
17	FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public Service
18	Commission.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(EXHIBIT NOS. 1 AND 2 WERE MARKED FOR
3	IDENTIFICATION.)
4	(Written Entries of Appearance filed.)
5	JUDGE THOMPSON: Good afternoon. We're her
6	for the hearing in the matter of the application of
7	The Empire District Electric Company and White River
8	Valley Electric Cooperative for approval of a written
9	territorial agreement designating the boundaries of
10	each electric service supplier within the White Oaks
11	Subdivision of the City of Branson, Taney County,
12	Missouri, Case No. EO-2001-491.
13	My name is Kevin Thompson, and I will be
14	presiding over this hearing in place of Judge Nancy
15	Dippell, who is out of state.
16	Let's take entries of appearance, please.
17	Let's start with you, Mr. Duffy.
18	MR. DUFFY: Gary W. Duffy, Brydon,
19	Swearengen & England, P.C., P.O. Box 456, Jefferson

- 22 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.
- Mr. Meyer.

Electric Company.

20

21

24 MR. MEYER: David Meyer, Associate General

City, Missouri, appearing for The Empire District

25 Counsel, Public Service Commission, P.O. Box 360,

3

- 1 Jefferson City, Missouri, 65102, representing the
- 2 Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Ms. O'Neil.
- 4 MS. O'NEIL: Ruth O'Neil, appearing for John
- 5 Coffman on behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel,
- 6 P.O. Box 7800, Jefferson City, Missouri, 65102.
- 7 JUDGE THOMPSON: Sir.
- 8 MR. WIDGER: My name is Rod Widger. I'm an
- 9 attorney with the firm of Andereck, Evans, Milne,
- 10 Peace & Johnson. Our address is 1111 South Glenstone,
- 11 P.O. Box 4929, Springfield, Missouri, 65808.
- 12 I'm here today representing White River
- 13 Valley Electric Cooperative.
- 14 JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. We will proceed in
- 15 the following fashion: The parties will be permitted
- 16 to make opening statements, essentially presentations,
- 17 informing the Commission about the agreement and why
- 18 it should be approved, questions from the Bench, and
- 19 then closing statements in lieu of briefs.
- 20 Questions? Comments?
- Do you anticipate any witnesses?
- MR. DUFFY: Yes. We have two people
- 23 available for witnesses because it was our impression
- 24 that we had to have a hearing and that that meant a
- 25 witness.

- 1 JUDGE THOMPSON: We'll go ahead and after we
- 2 do opening statements, you can put your witnesses on.
- 3 Okay?
- 4 Why don't we go ahead and get started.
- 5 Empire District Electric Company.
- 6 MR. DUFFY: Your Honor, as the joint
- 7 application filed in March indicates, this is a --
- 8 this case involves a territorial agreement between The
- 9 Empire District Electric Company and White River
- 10 Electric Cooperative. It applies to one subdivision
- 11 in Taney County, Missouri, which is also within the
- 12 city limits of Branson.
- 13 The agreement provides that White River is
- 14 allowed to serve any electrical loads within that
- 15 subdivision exclusively. Empire will not serve anyone
- 16 in there. This agreement does not require anyone to
- 17 change their current suppliers.
- 18 And I would note that all of the parties
- 19 submitted a Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement on
- 20 May 1st in which all of the parties agree that the
- 21 Commission should approve this agreement.
- I have with me today Mike Palmer on behalf
- 23 of The Empire District Electric Company, who I would
- 24 intend to put on the stand as a witness who can also
- 25 support the agreement or perhaps answer any questions

- 1 that the Bench might have. Thank you.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, Mr. Duffy.
- White River.
- 4 MR. WIDGER: Yes, sir.
- 5 This agreement is -- at least, is the third
- 6 between the same parties. The scope of this
- 7 particular agreement is not particularly large, but it
- 8 is still very important because it allows both
- 9 suppliers to do things in compliance with the law.
- 10 I concur with what Mr. Duffy has represented
- 11 about the agreement. I would add by saying that the
- 12 reasons for the necessity of this relate to lawful
- 13 compliance with our statutes.
- 14 The agreement deals with one subdivision.
- 15 That subdivision has been pretty well developed with
- 16 service by my client; however, now it is annexed by
- 17 the City of Branson, so under -- under the statutory
- 18 limitations the Cooperative cannot serve new loads in
- 19 that subdivision absent the action of the Commission
- 20 here today.
- 21 I would say that it is still important,
- 22 though, because it deals not only with whatever loss
- 23 may be still left in that subdivision, but also it
- 24 would apply to structures that might be built on an
- 25 existing lot. There is -- there is an ambiguity in

- 1 the law, maybe an unambiguity, but there may be a
- 2 limitation in that if, for example, a house was burnt
- 3 in that subdivision and we presently serve it, a
- 4 question could be raised about whether we could serve
- 5 a house built on that old foundation because we have
- 6 to deal with the language which restricts us to the
- 7 structures. There is some structure language in the
- 8 statute.
- 9 So even though this is a small scale
- 10 agreement, it is a very important agreement in that it
- 11 shows our company is acting within the law.
- 12 Our witness today will be John Bruns from
- 13 White River who is the manager of operation and
- 14 technology for that company.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, Mr. Widger.
- Mr. Meyer.
- MR. MEYER: We have no opening statement.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, Mr. Meyer.
- 19 Ms. O'Neil.
- MS. O'NEIL: Yes, your Honor.
- 21 The Office of Public Counsel, in reviewing
- 22 the application and participating in the discussion
- 23 which resulted in the Unanimous Stipulation, believes
- 24 the Commission should adopt the Stipulation and
- 25 proceed accordingly. There are no customers at this

- 1 point who will be affected which this change. We
- 2 believe it is in the public interest.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Very well. Thank you.
- 4 Mr. Duffy, call your first witness.
- 5 MR. DUFFY: I would call Mike Palmer to the
- 6 stand.
- 7 JUDGE THOMPSON: If you would raise your
- 8 right hand, sir.
- 9 (Witness sworn.)
- 10 JUDGE THOMPSON: Please take your seat and
- 11 spell your name for the reporter, if you would.
- 12 THE WITNESS: Michael, M-i-c-h-a-e-l,
- 13 Palmer, P-a-l-m-e-r.
- 14 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, Mr. Palmer.
- Mr. Duffy.
- MR. DUFFY: Thank you.
- 17 MICHAEL PALMER testified as follows:
- 18 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. DUFFY:
- 19 Q. Would you state your name for the record,
- 20 please.
- 21 A. Michael Palmer.
- 22 Q. By whom are you employed?
- 23 A. The Empire District Electric Company.
- Q. In what capacity?
- 25 A. Vice-president of Commercial Operations.

- 1 Q. Mr. Palmer, are you familiar with a document
- 2 entitled, "Third Territorial Agreement," that was
- 3 filed with the Commission on or about March 16th of
- 4 this year?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Did you participate in the negotiations
- 7 involved in that agreement?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Are you also familiar with a Unanimous
- 10 Stipulation and Agreement that was filed in this case
- 11 on or about May 1 of this year involving that
- 12 Territorial Agreement?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And what is the position of The Empire
- 15 District Electric Company with regard to whether the
- 16 Commission should adopt and approve this Third
- 17 Territorial Agreement?
- 18 A. Our position is that the Commission should
- 19 adopt and approve this Third Territorial Agreement.
- Q. Do you believe it is in the public interest
- 21 for the Commission to do so?
- 22 A. Yes.
- MR. DUFFY: That's all of the questions I
- 24 have.
- I tender the witness for cross-examination.

- 1 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, Mr. Duffy.
- 2 Cross-examination. Mr. Widger?
- 3 MR. WIDGER: No questions.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. Meyer?
- 5 MR. MEYER: No questions.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Ms. O'Neil?
- 7 MS. O'NEIL: No questions.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, sir.
- 9 You may step down.
- 10 (Witness excused.)
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. Duffy, do you have
- 12 another witness?
- MR. DUFFY: No, sir.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Very well.
- White River.
- MR. WIDGER: Yes. We will call John Bruns.
- 17 While he is taking the stand, I would ask
- 18 that the --
- 19 JUDGE THOMPSON: Please raise your right
- 20 hand, sir.
- 21 (Witness sworn.)
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.
- 23 Please take your seat and spell your name
- 24 for the reporter, if you would.
- 25 THE WITNESS: John, J-o-h-n, Bruns,

- 1 B-r-u-n-s.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.
- 3 Mr. Widger, you were saying?
- 4 MR. WIDGER: On behalf of all of the
- 5 parties, I would ask that the Stipulation that has
- 6 been marked as Exhibit 1 be entered into the record.
- 7 JUDGE THOMPSON: Do I hear any objection to
- 8 the receipt of Exhibit No. 1?
- 9 MS. O'NEIL: No objection.
- 10 MR. MEYER: No objection.
- 11 JUDGE THOMPSON: Hearing no objections, the
- 12 exhibit is received and made a part of the record of
- 13 these proceedings.
- 14 (EXHIBIT NO. 1 WAS RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE.)
- 15 JOHN BRUNS testified as follows:
- 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WIDGER:
- 17 Q. Would you state your full name, please?
- 18 A. John Bruns.
- 19 Q. And by whom are you employed?
- 20 A. White River Valley Electric Coop.
- Q. What is your job with White River?
- 22 A. Manager of Engineering and Technologies.
- Q. I'm going to hand you what's been marked as
- 24 Exhibit 2 in this proceeding.
- Do you recognize that document?

- 1 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Okay. And would you -- what is it?
- 3 A. It's an -- a Territorial Agreement with
- 4 Empire Electric.
- 5 Q. And are you here today in support of that
- 6 agreement?
- 7 A. Yes, I am.
- 8 Q. Would you describe for the Commission the
- 9 area that is under consideration in this agreement?
- 10 A. It's a small cul-de-sac, a pie-shaped piece
- 11 of ground that they are needing service for sewer
- 12 treatment.
- 13 Q. Actually, it relates to a subdivision?
- 14 A. Subdivision, right.
- 15 Q. Where is that subdivision located?
- 16 A. It's located just off of Fall Creek Road
- 17 which is now in the city limits of Branson.
- 18 Q. Okay. And, historically, who has -- who has
- 19 established electric services in that subdivision?
- 20 A. White River Valley Electric Coop has.
- Q. And how many lots are left yet to be
- 22 developed?
- 23 A. It's an unknown amount, but not very many.
- Q. How will this territorial agreement serve
- 25 the public interest?

- 1 A. It would keep both parties from duplicating
- 2 their electric lines.
- 3 Q. Are you -- are you asking on behalf of White
- 4 River that this agreement be approved?
- 5 A. Yes, I am.
- 6 MR. WIDGER: Okay. I have no further
- 7 questions.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, Mr. Widger.
- 9 Before you sit down, would you give me a
- 10 description of Exhibit 1 for the record?
- MR. WIDGER: Exhibit 1 is the Unanimous
- 12 Stipulation and Agreement signed by the parties and
- 13 filed in this proceeding.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, Mr. Widger.
- MR. WIDGER: And with this witness, as I
- 16 tender him, I would also offer Exhibit 2 to be entered
- 17 into the record, which is the actual territorial
- 18 agreement.
- 19 JUDGE THOMPSON: Do I hear any objection to
- 20 the receipt of Exhibit No. 2?
- MS. O'NEIL: No objection.
- MR. MEYER: No objection.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Hearing no objections,
- 24 Exhibit No. 2 is received and made a part of the
- 25 record of these proceedings.

- 1 (EXHIBIT NO. 2 WAS RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE.)
- 2 MR. MEYER: Cross-examination. Mr. Duffy?
- 3 MR. DUFFY: No questions, your Honor.
- 4 JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. Meyer?
- 5 MR. MEYER: No questions.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Ms. O'Neil?
- 7 MS. O'NEIL: No questions.
- 8 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.
- 9 You may step down, sir.
- 10 Do you have another witness, Mr. Widger?
- 11 MR. WIDGER: No, sir.
- 12 JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you.
- Mr. Meyer?
- 14 MR. MEYER: Your Honor, Staff has present
- one witness, Jim Ketter, who is available for
- 16 questions from the Bench or any other parties. If
- 17 there are no questions, then he won't take the stand.
- 18 JUDGE THOMPSON: I have no questions for
- 19 Mr. Ketter. Thank you.
- MR. MEYER: Okay.
- 21 JUDGE THOMPSON: Do any of the parties have
- 22 questions for Mr. Ketter?
- MR. DUFFY: No.
- MS. O'NEIL: No, your Honor.
- 25 JUDGE THOMPSON: Evidently not.

- 1 MR. MEYER: Thank you, your Honor.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, Mr. Meyer.
- 3 Ms. O'Neil.
- 4 MS. O'NEIL: Public Counsel has no witnesses
- 5 to present today.
- 6 JUDGE THOMPSON: Very well. Do the parties
- 7 rest at this time?
- 8 MR. DUFFY: Empire does, your Honor.
- 9 MR. WIDGER: White River does.
- 10 MR. MEYER: Staff will.
- 11 MS. O'NEIL: Public Counsel rests.
- 12 JUDGE THOMPSON: Very well. The parties
- 13 have rested.
- 14 At this time we will have closing statements
- 15 in lieu of briefs, unless, of course, someone would
- 16 like to write a brief.
- I see no takers.
- Mr. Duffy.
- 19 MR. DUFFY: Our closing statement would be,
- 20 we believe that the Commission should act in
- 21 accordance with the Stipulation and Agreement that was
- 22 filed, and approve the Third Territorial Agreement.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, Mr. Duffy.
- Mr. Widger.
- MR. WIDGER: I can be more brief.

- 1 We concur with The Empire District's closing
- 2 statement.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, Mr. Widger.
- 4 Mr. Meyer.
- 5 MR. MEYER: Staff would just ask that the
- 6 Commission note that Staff was a party to the
- 7 Unanimous Stipulation and that Staff's concerns have
- 8 been addressed as part of that stipulation.
- 9 JUDGE THOMPSON: I assume that Staff will
- 10 not be writing suggestions in support of the
- 11 stipulation; is that correct?
- MR. MEYER: That is correct, unless so
- ordered by the Commission.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.
- Ms. O'Neil.
- MS. O'NEIL: Public Counsel also would ask
- 17 that the stipulation be approved and adopted by the
- 18 Commission.
- 19 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you very much.
- 20 Do the parties have anything else to bring
- 21 to the attention of the Commission at this time?
- 22 (No response.)
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. I have Exhibits
- 24 No. 1 and 2 offered and received.
- We will be adjourned. Thank you very much.

Т	WHEREUPON, THE HEARING OF THIS CASE WAS
2	CONCLUDED, SUBJECT TO COMMISSION ACCEPTANCE OF THE
3	UNANIMOUS STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT.
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	INDEX		
2			
3	EMPIRE'S EVIDENCE: MICHAEL PALMER:		
4	Direct Examination by Mr. Duffy		8
5	WHITE RIVER'S EVIDENCE: JOHN BRUNS:		
6	Direct Examination by Mr. Widger		11
7			
8			
9			
10			
11	EXHIBITSIND	EX	
12			
13		Manlead	Received
13		Marked	Received
14	Ershibit No. 1		
	Exhibit No. 1 Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement	3	11
14	Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement Exhibit No. 2	3	
14 15	Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement	3	11
14 15 16	Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement Exhibit No. 2	3	11
14 15 16 17	Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement Exhibit No. 2	3	11
14 15 16 17	Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement Exhibit No. 2	3	11
14 15 16 17 18	Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement Exhibit No. 2	3	11
14 15 16 17 18 19	Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement Exhibit No. 2	3	11
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement Exhibit No. 2	3	11
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement Exhibit No. 2	3	11