Exhibit No.: Issue: Fuel Adjustment Clause Witness: Lisa A. Starkebaum Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony Sponsoring Party: Evergy Missouri West Case No.: ER-2022-0005 Date Testimony Prepared: July 1, 2021

## **MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

# CASE NO.: ER-2022-0005

## **DIRECT TESTIMONY**

# OF

# LISA A. STARKEBAUM

# **ON BEHALF OF**

# EVERGY MISSOURI WEST, INC. d/b/a EVERGY MISSOURI WEST

Kansas City, Missouri July 2021

# **BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

)

In the Matter of the Application of Evergy Missouri West for Authority to Implement Rate Adjustments Required by 20 CSR 4240-20.090(8) and the Company's Approved Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery Mechanism

Case No. ER-2022-0005

# AFFIDAVIT OF LISA A. STARKEBAUM

#### **STATE OF MISSOURI** ) ) ss **COUNTY OF JACKSON** )

Lisa A. Starkebaum, being first duly sworn on her oath, states:

1. My name is Lisa A. Starkebaum. I work in Kansas City, Missouri, and I am employed by Evergy as Manager, Regulatory Affairs.

2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my Direct Testimony on behalf of Evergy consisting of thirteen (13) pages, having been prepared in written form for introduction into evidence in the above-captioned docket.

3. I have knowledge of the matters set forth therein. I hereby swear and affirm that my answers contained in the attached testimony to the questions therein propounded, including any attachments thereto, are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Lisa G. Starkebaum

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 1st day of July 2021.

Notary Public

My Commission expires:

4/24/2025

ANTHONY R. WESTENKIRCHNER STATE OF MISSOURI 26. 2025 COMMISSION #17279952

#### **DIRECT TESTIMONY**

### OF

### LISA A. STARKEBAUM

### Case No. ER-2022-0005

- Q: Please state your name and business address.
   A: My name is Lisa A. Starkebaum. My business address is 1200 Main, Kansas City, Missouri 64105.
   Q: By whom and in what capacity are you employed?
   A: I am employed by Evergy, Inc. as Manager, Regulatory Affairs.
- 6 Q: What are your responsibilities?
- A: My responsibilities include the coordination, preparation and review of financial
   information and schedules associated with the Evergy, Inc.'s compliance and rider
   mechanism filings including: Evergy Kansas Central, Evergy Kansas Metro,
   Evergy Missouri Metro and Evergy Missouri West.
- 11 Q: Please describe your education.
- 12 A: In 1994, I received a Bachelor of Science Degree in Finance from Northwest
  13 Missouri State University in Maryville, Missouri.
- 14 Q: Please provide your work experience.

A: In 1995, I joined Cerner Corporation as an Accountant in the Finance Department
assisting with month-end close and reporting responsibilities. In 1997, I joined
Aquila, Inc. ("Aquila") where I worked in the Financial and Regulatory Reporting
group as an Accountant, until joining Regulatory Accounting Services as a
Regulatory Analyst in 1999. I was employed by Aquila for a total of 11 years prior

1 to beginning my employment with KCP&L in July 2008 as a part of the acquisition 2 of Aquila, Inc., by Great Plains Energy Incorporated. Since that time, I have held 3 various positions with increasing responsibilities within Regulatory Accounting 4 Services and Regulatory Affairs. As a Lead Analyst in the Regulatory Affairs 5 department, my main areas of responsibility included the preparation of FERC and 6 jurisdictional reporting, and the preparation of rate cases and rate case support for 7 both KCP&L and GMO. In December 2015, I became a Supervisor, Regulatory 8 Affairs responsible for overseeing a team dedicated to compliance reporting and 9 was later promoted to Manager, Regulatory Affairs effective June 2018. In my 10 current position, I am responsible for overseeing various reporting requirements to 11 ensure Evergy is compliant with its jurisdictional rules and regulations, in addition 12 to the implementation of new reporting or commitments resulting from various rate 13 case orders and other regulatory filings. In addition, I oversee the coordination, 14 review and filing of the various rider mechanisms.

Q: Have you previously testified in a proceeding before the Missouri Public
Service Commission ("MPSC" or "Commission") or before any other utility
regulatory agency?

A: Yes, I have testified before the MPSC, the Kansas Corporation Commission
("KCC" or "Commission") and have provided written testimony before the Public
Utilities Commission of Colorado. I have sponsored testimony in Missouri related
to various tariff filings involving rider mechanisms. In addition, I have worked
closely with both MPSC and KCC Staff on numerous filings and rate case matters.

23 Q: What is the purpose of your testimony?

1	A:	The purpose of my testimony is to support the Fuel Adjustment Clause ("FAC")
2		that has been filed by Evergy Missouri West ("Company"). This FAC tariff filing
3		consists of actual fuel and purchased power costs, net of off-system sales revenues
4		incurred by the Company, less an adjustment for "extraordinary costs" incurred as
5		a result of the mid-February 2021 cold weather event known as Winter Storm Uri.
6		My testimony supports the rate schedule filed to adjust rates for the adjusted FAC
7		includable costs experienced during the six-month period December 2020 through
8		May 2021. This six-month period represents the 28th accumulation period under
9		Evergy Missouri West's FAC, which was originally approved by the Commission
10		in Case No. ER-2007-0004 ("2007 Case") and modified in Case Nos. ER-2009-
11		0090, ER-2010-0356 ("2010 Case"), ER-2012-0175 ("2012 Case"), ER-2016-0156
12		("2016 Case"), and ER-2018-0146 ("2018 Case"). The proposed FAC charge for
13		residential customers is \$0.00219 per kWh. Based on usage of 1,000 kWh per
14		month, the customer will see a monthly charge of \$2.19. This represents an increase
15		of \$1.38 to an Evergy Missouri West residential customer's monthly bill compared
16		to the prior FAC.

# 17 Q: Please explain why Evergy Missouri West filed the FAC adjustment rate 18 schedules at this time.

A: The Commission's rule governing fuel and purchased power cost recovery
mechanisms for electric utilities – specifically 20 CSR 4240-20.090(8)(A) –
requires Evergy Missouri West to make periodic filings to allow the Commission
to review the actual net FAC includable costs the Company has incurred and to
allow rates to be adjusted, either up or down, to reflect those actual costs. The

Commission's rule requires at least one such review and adjustment each year.
Evergy Missouri West's approved FAC calls for two annual filings – one filing
covering the six-month accumulation period running from June through November
and another filing covering the accumulation period running from December
through May. Any increases or decreases in rates in these filings are then included
in the customers' bills over a subsequent 12-month recovery period.

For the 28th accumulation period covering December 2020 through May
2021, Evergy Missouri West's "adjusted" actual FAC includable costs exceeded
the base energy costs included in base rates by approximately \$9.6 million. In
accordance with the Commission's rule and the Company's approved FAC, Evergy
Missouri West is filing the FAC tariff that provides for a change in rates to recover
95% of those cost changes, or approximately \$9 million before interest and ordered
adjustments.

14 In addition, a true-up filing is being made concurrent with this filing 15 covering the 25th accumulation period of June through November 2019 and its 16 corresponding recovery period of March 2020 through February 2021. The 17 proposed 25th accumulation period true-up amount is an under-collection of 18 \$570,233. Also included in this filing is an Ordered Adjustment ("OA") amounting to a credit of \$984,898, plus interest. These amounts combined result in a total 28<sup>th</sup> 19 20 accumulation period Fuel and Purchased Power Adjustment ("FPA") of 21 approximately \$8.7 million.

# 22 Q: Please explain why Evergy Missouri West is adjusting actual costs in this23 filing.

1	A:	Since the inception of the Company's FAC, the actual cost of fuel and purchased
2		power has varied from the FAC base fuel amount included in base rates. During
3		this 28 <sup>th</sup> accumulation period covering December 2020 through May 2021, Actual
4		Net Energy Costs ("ANEC") incurred amounted to \$304.7 million over base rates,
5		or \$303.6 million Missouri jurisdictional. Under normal circumstances, Evergy
6		Missouri West would include 95% of these cost differences, or \$288.4 million
7		(before true-up, interest and ordered adjustments), for recovery in its semi-annual
8		Fuel Adjustment Rate ("FAR") filing, subject to the provisions of Missouri law
9		provided in Section 393.1655.5 relating to PISA rate cap limitations. In this
10		instance, approximately \$78.4 million of the \$288.9 million in costs (after true-up
11		an adjustments) identified by the Company related to Winter Storm Uri, could be
12		included in this FAR filing and still be within the rate caps imposed by Section
13		393.1655.5. Under PISA legislation, the remaining \$210.5 million would be
14		recorded to a deferred PISA regulatory asset account arising under Section
15		393.1400 and included for consideration and recovery through an amortization in
16		base rates in the Company's next general rate case. If Evergy Missouri West were
17		to include \$78.4 million for recovery in this FAR filing, that would result in a
18		significant increase of \$11.08 per month to an average residential customers' bill.
19	Q:	Is Evergy Missouri West's FAC mechanism and the provisions of the Fuel and
20		Purchased Power Rate Adjustment Mechanism ("FAC Rule") found in 20
21		CSR 4240-2.090 the appropriate method to address the extraordinary costs
22		and revenues resulting from Winter Storm Uri?

A: No. The Company does not believe that it is in customers best interests to utilize
 the FAC mechanism currently in place for the recovery of these extraordinary costs.

3 Q: Does the FAC Rule mention "extraordinary" costs?

4 A: Yes, the Commission's FAC Rule provides guidance in Subsection (8)(A)2.A(XI) 5 of 20 CSR 4240-20.090. Section (8)(A)2.A(I-X) provides specific guidance on the 6 historical costs to be used to propose the fuel adjustment rates and goes on to state 7 in (8)(A)2.A(XI) that "Extraordinary costs not be passed through, if any, due to 8 such costs being an insured loss, or subject to reduction due to litigation or for any 9 other reason". This requires a utility to identify extraordinary costs not to be passed 10 through the FPA which appears to indicate deferral treatment. Deferral treatment 11 has been afforded utilities in past instances where there have been extraordinary 12 costs incurred due to various acts of nature such as ice storms and tornadoes. The 13 extreme cold temperatures experienced in mid-February that lasted for days is yet 14 another example of a severe weather event outside of human control.

15 Q: Does the Company have a winter weather regulatory asset?

A: No, not at this time. On June 30, 2021, the Company filed its application for an
Accounting Authority Order ("AAO") in Case No. EU-2021-0283, seeking
authorization to track and defer for future recovery in a regulatory asset
extraordinary costs related to the 2021 winter weather event. Company witnesses
Darrin R. Ives and Ronald A. Klote are sponsoring testimony in this case explaining
in more detail the extraordinary impact of Winter Storm Uri and Evergy's proposal
for recovery of these costs.

# Q: Please explain the adjustment to February 2021 actual costs incurred as a result of Winter Storm Uri.

3 In order to identify the extraordinary costs associated with Winter Storm Uri, A: 4 Evergy Missouri West established a baseline to approximate the normal conditions 5 for the month of February 2021. In order to approximate more historic normal 6 conditions in the month of February, the Company calculated a three-year average 7 baseline using actual February costs for the years 2018, 2019 and 2020 for fuel, 8 purchased power costs, emissions, transmission expense and off-system sales 9 revenues and compared the actual costs and revenues that were incurred for 10 February 2021 to that three-year average. When compared to the three-year historic 11 average for the month of February, Evergy Missouri West incurred approximately 12 \$297.3 million of extraordinary costs in excess of the three-year average. This 13 amount has been excluded from the FAR calculation and is the amount that Evergy 14 will request to be deferred through the AAO. The three-year historic average 15 baseline replaces the February 2021 actual costs in this six-month accumulation 16 period of December 2020 through May 2021 for purposes of this FAR filing and is 17 more reflective of the amount of fuel and purchased power costs that would have 18 been expected absent Winter Storm Uri. These adjustments are detailed in the 19 workpaper support that accompanies this filing.

20

# Q: Please explain the Ordered Adjustment included in this filing.

A: In Evergy Missouri Wests ninth FAC prudence review, Case No. EO-2020-0262,
 the Company agreed to remove Sibley retirement costs included in accumulation
 period 23 (File No. ER-2019-0198) from its FAC calculation through an Ordered

1		Adjustment of \$1,039,646, or \$984,898 Missouri jurisdictional and 95% sharing		
2		applied. Evergy Missouri West agreed to remove the \$984,898, with interest, from		
3		the FAC in its first fuel adjustment filing following a Commission order approving		
4		the agreement. The Commissions' Order Approving Partial Stipulation and		
5		Agreement was issued on January 20, 2021 with an effective date of January 30,		
6		2021. Therefore, this amount, with interest, has been included in this FAR filing.		
7		The additional interest calculation of \$53,550 is provided in the workpaper support.		
8	Q:	Is there anything else worth noting for this semi-annual FAC filing that should		
9		be mentioned?		
10	A:	Yes. There are a couple of items to note.		
11		First, the supporting documentation provided reflects the actual costs		
12		incurred by the Company and have not been adjusted for Winter Storm Uri (tabs		
13		8(A)2.A (I-V)). Additional workpaper support has been provided in a separate tab		
14		to show the calculation of the February three-year average baseline included in the		
15		FPA. This detail ties back to tab 8(A)2.A(XI) - Extraordinary costs not to be passed		
16		through.		
17		Second, after removing the extraordinary costs associated with Winter		
18		Storm Uri, the Company performed the plant in service accounting ("PISA")		
19		calculations to determine the impact, if any, of this adjusted semi-annual FAR filing		
20		on the Average Overall Rate and Class Average Overall Rate for the Large Power		
21		customer class as set forth in the rule under the provisions of section 393.1655		
22		RSMo, rate cap limitations. The compound annual growth rate ("CAGR") cap		
23		provisions of section 393.1655 RSMo. applied to this FAR filing are 8.4356% for		

1		the average overall rate cap and 5.5735% for the class average overall rate cap for
2		Large Power customers. The change in the FAC charge proposed in this filing does
3		not exceed the average overall rate by more than 8.4356% and, as such, the
4		provisions of section 393.1655.5 do not affect this FAR filing. In addition, the
5		Company is using projected Large Power sales to calculate a Large Power FAC
6		rate. In accordance with section 393.1655.6 RSMo., the proposed FAC charge
7		applicable to Large Power customers does not exceed 5.5735% of the class average
8		overall rate for this rate class. Therefore, there are no PISA adjustments in this FAR
9		filing.
10	Q:	How did you develop the various values used to derive the proposed FARs that
11		are shown on Schedule LAS-1?
12	A:	The proposed tariff rates are shown in Schedule LAS-1. The filing made in
13		conjunction with this testimony contains all the information as set in 20 CSR 4240-
14		20.090(8)(2)(A) which supports these proposed rates. In addition, I am submitting
15		a copy of the workpapers that support the determination of the current FAR.
16	Q:	Please describe the impact of the change in costs and how it will affect a typical
17		customer.
18	A:	The proposed current period FARs for Evergy Missouri West Large Power and
19		Non-Large Power customers by voltage level is shown below:
20		
21		
22		
23		

Proposed Current Period FARs		
Voltage	(\$ per kWh)	
Secondary	\$0.00102	
Primary	\$0.00101	
Substation	\$0.00099	
Transmission	\$0.00099	

This is the difference between base FAC includable costs and the proposed costs
incurred by the Company including interest during the 28th accumulation period of
December 2020 through May 2021 and will be billed over the recovery period
running from September 2021 through August 2022.

6 The proposed FAR was calculated in the manner specified in the 7 Company's FAC tariff. Attached to my testimony, as Schedule LAS-1, is a copy 8 of the tariff sheet with the current FAR, the prior period FAR and the total FAR 9 that will be billed to customers over the recovery period. The FAR calculated for 10 the 26th accumulation period has been removed as its recovery period will cease in 11 August 2021. The FAR for the 27th accumulation period is added to the FAR for 12 the current 28th accumulation period to provide the annual FAR. Thus, given the 13 proposed current FAR calculations, the annual FAR for Evergy Missouri West 14 Large Power and Non-Large Power customers is shown in the table below:

Proposed Current Annual FARs		
Voltage	(\$ per kWh)	
Casardami	¢0.00040	
Secondary	\$0.00219	
Primary	\$0.00216	
Substation	\$0.00212	
Transmission	\$0.00212	

As stated earlier, based on usage of 1,000 kWh per month, this will result in a
 monthly FAC charge of \$0.00219, an increase to an Evergy Missouri West
 residential customer's bill of \$1.38 per month compared to the prior FAC.

Q: If the rate schedules filed by Evergy Missouri West are approved or allowed
to go into effect, what safeguards exist to ensure that the revenues the
Company bills to its customers do not exceed the fuel and purchased power
costs that Evergy Missouri West actually incurred during the Accumulation
Period?

9 A: Evergy Missouri West's FAC and the Commission's rules provide two mechanisms 10 to ensure that amounts billed to customers do not exceed the Company's actual, 11 prudently incurred fuel and purchased power costs. First, at the end of each 12 recovery period the Company is required to true up the amounts billed to customers 13 through the FAR with the excess fuel and purchased power costs that were actually 14 incurred during the accumulation period to which the FAR applies. Second, the 15 Company's fuel and purchased power costs are subject to periodic prudence 16 reviews to ensure that only prudently incurred fuel and purchased power costs are 17 billed to customers through Evergy Missouri West's FAC. These two mechanisms 18 serve as checks to ensure that the Company's customers pay only the prudently 19 incurred, actual costs of fuel and purchased power used to provide electric service. 20 **O**: Have each of these mechanisms been in effect throughout the FAC process 21 since its inception in the 2007 Case?

A: Yes, Evergy Missouri West has been through eight prudence reviews to
date. In the Company's current ninth prudence review, Case No. EO-2020-0262,

an Ordered Adjustment was stipulated by parties amounting to \$1,039,646, or
\$984,898 Missouri jurisdictional and 95% sharing applied. A Commission order
was received effective January 30, 2021. As such, this adjustment has been
included in this FAR filing to comply with the agreement reached. This adjustment
is discussed earlier in my testimony on page 7 beginning at line 20.

In all previous prudence reviews, the MPSC Staff indicated in each of their
reports that there were no areas of imprudence identified within the audits with the
exception of Staff's recommendation in the Company's third prudence review
which was taken before the Commission. However, following the Commission's
review, the Commission issued its order stating no indication of imprudence by the
Company.

In addition, the Company has made 24 true-up filings, all of which were approved by the MPSC. The 25th true-up filing is being made concurrent with this filing covering the 25th accumulation period of June through November 2019 and its corresponding recovery period of March 2020 through February 2021. The Company's calculation of the proposed true-up resulting in an under-recovery for Evergy Missouri West has been included in the calculation of the current proposed tariff change.

- Q: What action is Evergy Missouri West requesting from the Commission with
  respect to the rate schedules that the Company has filed?
- A: The Company requests the Commission approve the rate schedule to be effectiveas of September 1, 2021.
- 23 Q: Does this conclude your testimony?

1 A: Yes, it does.

#### EVERGY MISSOURI WEST, INC. d/b/a EVERGY MISSOURI WEST P.S.C. MO. No. <u>1</u> 5th Revised Sheet No. <u>127.23</u> Canceling P.S.C. MO. No. \_\_\_\_1 \_\_\_4th \_\_\_\_ Revised Sheet No.\_\_ 127.23 For Missouri Retail Service Area FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE - Rider FAC FUEL AND PURCHASED POWER ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE (Applicable to Service Provided December 6, 2018 and Thereafter, Effective for the Billing Months of September 2021 through February 2022) Accumulation Period Ending: May 2021 Actual Net Energy Cost (ANEC) = (FC+E+PP+TC-OSSR-R) \$102,054,285 1 Net Base Energy Cost (B) 2 \$92,478,783 2.1 Base Factor (BF) \$0.02240 2.2 Accumulation Period NSI (SAP) 4,128,517,084 (ANEC-B) \$9,575,502 3 Jurisdictional Factor (J) х 99.580833% 4 5 (ANEC-B)\*J \$9,535,365 Customer Responsibility 6 х 95% 7 95% \*((ANEC-B)\*J) \$9,058,597 True-Up Amount (T) + \$570,233 8 9 Interest (I) + \$21,160 Prudence Adjustment Amount (P) 10 + (\$984,898) Fuel and Purchased Power Adjustment (FPA) = \$8,665,092 11 11.1 PISA Deferral (Sec. 393.1400) \$0 11.2 FPA Subject to Recover in True-Up \$8,665,092 8,845,063,903 12 Estimated Recovery Period Retail NSI (S<sub>RP</sub>) ÷ Current Period Fuel Adjustment Rate (FAR) = 13 \$0.00098 Current Period FAR<sub>Sec</sub> = FAR x VAF<sub>Sec</sub> \$0.00102 14 Prior Period FAR<sub>Sec</sub> 15 + \$0.00117 16 Current Annual FARsec Ξ \$0.00219 Current Period FAR<sub>Prim</sub> = FAR x VAF<sub>Prim</sub> 17 \$0.00101 Prior Period FARPrim \$0.00115 18 + Current Annual FAR<sub>Prim</sub> 19 = \$0.00216 20 Current Period FAR<sub>sub</sub> = FAR x VAF<sub>sub</sub> \$0.00099 21 Prior Period FARsub + \$0.00113 \$0.00212 Current Annual FARsub = 22 Current Period FAR<sub>Trans</sub> = FAR x VAF<sub>Trans</sub> \$0.00099 23 24 Prior Period FAR<sub>Trans</sub> + \$0.00113 Current Annual FAR<sub>Trans</sub> 25 = \$0.00212 26 VAF<sub>Sec</sub> = 1.0426

 27
 VAF<sub>Prim</sub>
 =
 1.0268

 28
 VAF<sub>sub</sub>
 =
 1.0133

 29
 VAF<sub>Trans</sub>
 =
 1.0100