9

Exhibit No.:

Witness: Imhoff, Sommerer, Thompson

Type of Exhibit:

Prepared Testimony

Company: Grand River Mutual

Telephone Corporation

Case No.: TR-85-242

RECEIVED

NOV 251985

ACCOUNTING DEPT.
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION UTILITY DIVISION

PREPARED TESTIMONY

OF

THOMAS M. IMHOFF

DAVID M. SOMMERER

RACHELLE L. THOMPSON

FILED

NOV 22 1985

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Jefferson City, Missouri November, 1985

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the matter of Grand River Mutual) Celephone Corporation for authority to) make permanent its existing interim) CASE NO. TR-85-242 cates for telephone service provided in) exchanges upgraded in Phase I of its) apgrade program.)
AFFIDAVIT OF THOMAS M. IMHOFF
TATE OF MISSOURI)) ss OUNTY OF COLE)
Thomas M. Imhoff, of lawful age, on his oath states: That he has articipated in the preparation of the attached written testimony and ppendices attached thereto in question and answer form, consisting of ages of testimony to be presented in the above case, that the answers in the attached written testimony were given by him; that he has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true to the best of his knowledge and belief.
Thomas M. Imhoff
ubscribed and sworn to before me this Zaid day of November, 1985.
NOTARY PUBLIC STAYE OF NOSSOURY Public COLE CO.
MY COMMISSION EXP. JULY 31,1989 ISSUED THRU MISSOURI MOTARY ASSOC.
Commission expires

PREPARED TESTIMONY

OF

THOMAS M. IMHOFF

GRAND RIVER MUTUAL TELEPHONE

CASE NO. TR-85-242

- Q. Please state your name and business address.
- A. Thomas M. Imhoff, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.
 - Q. What is your position and by whom are you employed?
- A. I am a Regulatory Auditor with the Missouri Public Service Commission.
 - Q. Please describe your educational background.
- A. I attended Southwest Missouri State University at Springfield, Missouri, from which I received a Bachelor of Science Degree in Business Administration, Accounting Major, in May, 1981.
 - Q. What has been the nature of your duties with the Commission?
- A. I have, under the direction of the Chief Accountant, Utility Division, assisted with audits and examinations of the books and records of public utilities in regard to proposed rate increases, along with other miscellaneous duties within the Accounting Department.
- Q. Have you previously filed prepared testimony in cases before the Commission?
 - A. Yes, I have, in regard to the following case numbers:
 - GR-82-104 Bowling Green Gas Company
 - TR-82-123 Atlas Mobilfone Inc.
 - GR-82-197 Missouri Edison Company
 - ER-82-198 Missouri Edison Company

- GR-82-235 Great River Gas Company
- SR-82-69 Terre-Du-Lac Utilities
- WR-82-70 Terre-Du-Lac Utilities
- ER-83-61 Citizens Electric Company
- TR-83-164 General Telephone Company of the Midwest
- TR-83-334 Missouri Telephone Company
- TR-83-350 Mobilpage Inc.
- ER-84-168 Union Electric Company
- WR-85-16 Missouri-American Water Company
- GR-85-136 Great River Gas Company
- Q. Have you made an examination of Grand River Mutual Telephone Company's (hereinafter Company) books in regard to Case No. TR-85-242?
 - A. Yes, I have, with the assistance of other Staff members.
 - Q. What test year is Staff using?
- A. Staff is using a test year for the twelve month period ending June 30, 1985.
 - Q. What is the purpose of this proceeding?
- A. The purpose of this proceeding is to establish permanent rates in place of the current interim rates for the ten exchanges that have completed the major upgrade of plant.
 - Q. Do these ten exchanges represent the total company?
- A. No. There are 44 exchanges representing the total company, 32 exchanges are in Missouri and 12 exchanges are in Iowa.

The company is going through a major upgrade of plant for their entire system which is being constructed over several years.

These ten exchanges represent those in the first phase of construction for the Missouri exchanges being upgraded.

- Q. Why are permanent rates being set for only ten exchanges instead of all Missouri?
- A. Case No. TR-83-273 established interim rates to be effective as the construction on the company's upgrade program was completed. The construction project was assigned and will be completed in three phases as the Commission ordered in TR-83-273. The company is to file for permanent rates within 6 months of each phase's completion.
 - Q. How did Staff allocate expenses down to the ten exchanges?
- A. Staff allocated the expenses based on access lines. The company proposed the use of the average number of access lines during the test year. Staff also allocated expenses based upon the number of access lines, however, staff determined the number of access lines based on actual data as of September 30, 1985.
- Q. Why and what allocation factors did staff utilize for the Phase I, ten exchanges?
- A. Staff derived two allocation factors: 14.58609% based on total company access lines and 21.12879% based on total Missouri access lines. Appendix TMI-1 illustrates how staff's computation of revenues and expenses were derived for the ten exchanges in Phase I.

For the operating revenues and uncollectible revenues one hundred percent (100%) are applicable to the ten exchanges, therefore, the entire amount is reflected in Accounting Schedule 2 instead of applying an allocation factor.

All operating expenses, including Depreciation expense, were allocated down to the ten exchanges by applying the total company allocation factor of 14.58609%, because these amounts (operating expenses) were booked in the general ledger, and reflect total company amounts.

The Taxes Other than Income Taxes were allocated down to the ten exchanges by applying the total Missouri allocation factor of 21.12879%, because staff could specifically identify these balances as total Missouri.

The Income Taxes was booked for total company, therefore, Staff used the total company allocation factor of 14.58609%.

- Q. What Accounting Schedules are you sponsoring?
- A. I am sponsoring the following Accounting Schedules.

Accounting Schedule 1 Gross Revenue Requirement

Accounting Schedule 2 Income Statement

- Q. Would you please explain these Accounting Schedules?
- A. Yes. Accounting Schedule 1 represents the Gross Revenue Requirement. The Revenue Requirement is developed from information supplied by the following accounting schedules. Rate Base, Income Statement and a Rate of Return calculated by the Staff's Financial Analysis Department. In this case Staff's Financial Analysis Department believes Company's proposed rate of return of 4.75% is fair and reasonable provided Company's net operating income and rate base figures were calculated accurately.

Accounting Schedule 2 represents the staff's as adjusted Income Statement which reflects the allocated levels of the ten exchanges per books and the net staff adjustments for each account.

- Q. What Staff Adjustments are you sponsoring?
- A. I am sponsoring the following Staff Adjustments: T-1.1, T-1.2, T-2.1, T-2.2, T-4.1, T-5.5, T-7.2, T-8.2, T-8.5, T-9.2 and T-9.3.
 - Q. Mr. Imhoff, please explain these Staff adjustments.

A. Staff Adjustment T-1.1 and T-2.1 reclassifies to Toll Service Revenues, revenues that were incorrectly booked to Local Service Revenues.

Staff Adjustment T-2.2 adjusts Local Service Revenues to the current level to reflect the customer annualization through June of 1985.

Staff Adjustment T-2.2 annualizes Toll Service Revenues to a current level. To calculate the Toll Service Revenue, staff takes income statement expenses and allocates them into Toll Service Revenues by utilizing the Company's Toll Cost Study factors. The adjusted income statement expenses in the toll service calculation are Maintenance Expense, Depreciation Expense, Traffic Expense, Commercial Expense, General Office Salaries and expense, Other Operating Expense, Payroll Taxes, Ad Valorem Taxes, Other Taxes, and Revenue Accounting Expense (This line item expense is separated from General Office Salaries and expense for this calculation, but not for purposes on the income statement).

The earnings base for the toll settlement consists of Telephone Plant-in-Service, Telephone Plant Under Construction, Materials and Supplies, Depreciation Reserve, Rural Telephone Bank (RTB) Stock.

The company's toll settlement process pertains to three toll pools: Interstate, Intrastate Interlata and Intrastate Intralata. Staff used the most current rates of return on the earnings base for all three toll pools. The rates of return utilized by Staff are:

Intrastate Interlata 11.0710%

Intrastate Intralata 6.4058%

Interstate 12.7500%

Q. Mr. Imhoff, please explain your other Staff adjustments.

A. Staff adjustment T-4.1 annualizes uncollectible revenues to a level consistent with the proposed level of annualized revenues. Staff used the same ratio of uncollectible writeoffs to the related revenues as that used in the test year.

Staff adjustments T-5.5 and T-8.5 increase expense for the increase in costs associated with the maintenance agreements on computer equipment with Honeywell and Digital Corporations.

Staff adjustment T-7.2 disallows various advertising expenses that are of a goodwill and institutional nature. It also disallows donations and contributions to various organizations, e.g., the Princeton Swim Team, Bethany Chamber of Commerce, and Missourians for Right to Work.

Staff adjustment T-8.2 disallows a subscription to the National Automobile Dealers as being nonbeneficial to the ratepayer.

Staff adjustment T-9.2 disallows expenses for the company's payment of free telephone service to its employees. The free telephone service is for an access line and two instruments plus special features.

Staff adjustment T-9.3 disallows membership dues to the Missour Chamber of Commerce, the National Right to Work Committee, and Missourians for Right to Work.

- Q. How did staff treat income taxes?
- A. Staff is not proposing any adjustment on income taxes because the company is a non-taxable entity and pays income taxes only on Directory Advertising Sales. Staff accepts the test year level of income taxes and Directory Advertising Sales in calculating the revenue requirement in this case.
 - Q. Does this conclude your prepared testimony?
 - A. Yes, it does.

GRAID RIVER MUTUAL TELEPHUNE COMPANY CASE NO. TR-85-242 INCOME STATEMENT FOR STAFF'S TEST YEAR APPENDIX TMI-1 PAGE 1 of 2 SOURCE: MASTER GENERAL LEDGER

ACCOUNT NUMBER	ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION	1984 JULY	1984 AUGUST	1984 September	1984 OCTOBER	1984 Novenber	1984 December	1985 January	1985 FEBRUARY	1985 March	1985 APRIL	1985 NAY	1985 JUN€	TOTAL TEST YEAR	10 EXCHG. FACTORS	10 EXCHG. TOTAL
	REVENUE															
500 501	SUBSCRIBERS STATION PUBLIC TELEPHONE	\$32,495 \$68	\$32,549 (\$16)	\$32,217 \$42	\$31,699 \$19	\$31,556 \$19	\$31,837 \$24	\$31,274 (\$2)	\$31,282 \$37	\$30,670 (\$1)	\$38,848 \$18	\$31,391 \$32	\$33,690 \$17	\$381,429 \$257	%00.000.001 %00000.001	\$257
1	TOTAL LOCAL SERVI CE REVENUE	\$32,474	\$32,544	\$32,260	\$31,718	\$31,575	\$31,860	\$31,272	\$31,319	\$30,670	\$30,866	\$31,422	\$33,707	\$381,686		\$381,686
510	NESSAGE TOLLS	\$73,828	\$65,063	\$65,765	\$75,820	\$54,567	\$72,141	\$85,496	\$64,472	\$82,084	\$69,417	\$67,516	\$70,396	\$846,565	X00000.001	\$846,565
1	TOTAL TOLL SERVICE REVENUE	\$73,828	\$65,063	\$65,765	\$75,820	\$54,567	\$72,141	\$85,496	\$64,472	\$82,084	\$69,417	\$67,516	\$70,396	\$846,565		1846,565
521,523-	526 OTHER OFERATING REVENUE	\$584	1754	\$1,021	\$1,071	11,064	\$1,097	\$1,051	\$844	\$879	\$928	1930	\$943	\$11,167	180.00000%	
530	UNCOLLECTIBLE OPERATING REVDR	\$189	\$1,369	\$545	1888	\$1,196	1740	1562	\$406	\$1,061	\$1,316	\$472	\$817	\$9,467	108.60000%	\$9,467
	TOTAL OPERATING REVENUES	\$106,777	496,992	498,501	\$107,730	\$86,016	\$104,358	\$117,258	\$96,229	\$112,571	199,895	\$99,397		\$1,229,951		\$1,229,951
	OPERATING EXPENSES															
602.1	REPAIRS OF POLE LINES	\$135	\$1,174	\$285	\$267	\$316	\$254	\$42	\$189	\$251	1993	\$614	\$640		14.58609%	
602.2	REPAIRS OF AERIAL CABLE	\$2,935	\$2,219		12,448	\$1,814	\$9,814	\$1,945	\$2,179	\$3,084	\$6,110	\$3,718	\$3,325		14.58609%	
602.4 602.5	REPAIRS OF SURIED CABLE REPAIRS OF SURNARINE CABLE	\$22,172 \$3,784	\$26,658 \$5,986		123,356	\$15,619	\$22,552	\$15,523 \$2,477	\$12,343	\$13,591	\$23,658 \$955	\$28,293 \$76	\$24,348 \$466		14.58689%	
603	TEST DECK WORK	\$6,431	15,623	\$6,814 \$5,410	\$4,251 \$6,031	\$2,834 \$5,214	\$4,113 \$6,943	\$7,047	\$3,827 \$5,624	\$4,249 \$7,356	15,282	12,742	\$4,553		14.58609%	
604	REPAIRS OF COE	\$49,968	\$57,724	\$53,385	\$43,507	\$29,665	\$51,384	\$35,291	\$38,863	150,490	667,465	\$53,852	841,799		14.58609%	
605	REPAIRS OF STATION EQUIP.	\$35,026	\$37,622		\$39,764	132,298	\$227,922	\$31,764	134,647	\$48,547	\$39,125	139,866	\$32,667		14.58609%	
606	REPAIRS OF BUILDING & GROUNDS	#3,921	\$15,471	\$5,737	\$4,337	62,491	(\$2,374)		\$10,450	14,635	\$2,661	\$10,542	86,431		14.58689%	
607	REPAIR OF PAYSTATIONS	12,085	\$1,212		1799	\$1,293	\$1,089	\$826	\$1,376	41,009	1962	\$1,225	\$947		14.58609%	
610	MAINTAINING TRANSMISSION PUMER	19,851	\$13,364	\$12,248	49,811	\$8,314	\$8,752	\$9,589	\$10,819	\$9,853	\$9,212	\$12,064	\$11,734		14.58609%	\$18,323
	TOTAL HAINTENANCE EXPENSES	\$136,309	\$167,052	1150,977	\$134,570	\$99,858	\$330,459	\$107,737	\$119,517	\$143,063	\$156,423	\$152,992	1126,910	\$1,825,867		\$266,323
806	DEPRECIATION EXP.	\$169,137	\$168,724	\$169,501	\$169,482	\$169,953	\$148,055	\$169,311	\$169,047	\$168,742	\$168,473	\$167,310	\$168,898	\$2,826,633	14.586092	\$295,606
633 634	OTHER TRAFFIC EXP. JOINT TRAFFIC EXP.	\$2,190 \$42,466	\$1,261 \$12,805			\$1,205 \$23,703	\$3,494 \$40,220	\$1,395 \$13,995	\$1,395 \$23,003	\$1,007 \$15,797	\$1,409 \$16,468	\$1,379 \$14,640	\$194 \$18, 0 34		14.58689% 14.58689%	
	TOTAL TRAFFIC EXPENSES	\$44,856	\$14,066	\$1,965	\$29,673	\$24,908	\$43,624	\$15,390	\$24,398	\$14,884	\$17,897	\$16,019	\$18,228	\$267,829		. \$39,066
640	GENERAL CONNERCIAL ADM.	\$1,958	\$2,441	\$7,625	\$3,197	\$2,627	\$1,476	13,033	\$2,805	\$2,445	\$2,657	\$2,049	\$2,120	\$34,452	14.586997	\$5,025
642	ADVERTISING	\$744	\$1,148	•		12,733	\$921	\$1,166	\$1,282	\$1,828	\$347	\$1,844	. \$485		14.586097	
645	LOCAL CONNERCIAL OPER.	\$28,613	\$31,514		\$33,430	\$27,853		\$33,843	\$30,409	\$30,390	431,411	431,320	\$29,636	,	14.586097	
649	DIRECTORY EXPENSES	\$7,054	\$6,971			\$7,286		\$7,174	\$6,969	\$6,900	\$6,946	\$6,914	\$6,873		14.586097	
657	OTHER COMMERCIAL EXP.	\$0	,	\$0		. \$6		\$2,351	\$2,192	\$2,185	\$2,720	\$2,370	\$2,624		14.586097	
	TOTAL COMERCIAL EXPENSES	\$38,369	\$42,074	155,703	344,894	\$40,499		\$47,588	\$43,658	\$43,748	144,082	844,517	\$41,737	\$561,012		\$81,830

Grand River Hutual Telephone Company Case No. Tr-85-242 Income Statement for Staff's Test Year APPENDIX TMI-1 PAGE 2 of 2 SDURCE: MASTER GENERAL LEDGER

account Number	ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION	1984 July	1984 AUGUST	1984 September	1984 OCTOBER	1984 November	1984 December	1985 January	1985 February	1985 MARCH	1985 APRIL	1985 MAY	1985 June	TOTAL TEST YEAR	10 EXCHG. FACTORS	10 EXCHG. TOTAL
661	EXECUTIVE BEPT. SALARIES & EXP.	\$16,479	\$19,266	\$19,541	\$17,383	\$16,764	\$9,666	\$25,859	\$16,048	\$16,219	\$17,539	\$16,369	(\$5,714)	\$185,418	14.58609%	\$27,045
662	ACCT. SALARIES & EXPENSES	641,652	\$37,749	\$45,029	\$48,996	\$51,573	\$73,607	\$39,946	\$46,269	\$41,973	\$41,275	\$44,567	168,875	\$581,512	14.58609%	484,820
664	LAM DEPT. SALARIES & EXP.	1996	\$410	\$1,005	\$5,322	\$486	13,084	\$152	1449	\$987	\$423	\$815	\$1,839	\$15,069	14.58609%	\$2,198
665	OTHER GENERAL DEFICE SALARIES & EXP.	15,441	18,649	17,360	\$5,688	45,897	\$4,757	\$6,604	\$6,958	18,743	\$7,952	\$4,794	15,346	178,122	14.58609%	\$11,395
	TOTAL GENERAL OFFICE SALARIES & EXPENSES	\$64,568	166,075	\$72,875	\$77,398	\$74,721	191,113	\$72,561	\$69,716	\$67,823	\$67,189	\$46,545	\$69,546	1860,121	_	\$125,458
883	INSURANCE EXP.	\$1,822	\$1,822	\$1,822	\$2,157	\$1,998	\$1,822	12,089	12,089	\$2,089	\$2,089	\$2,089	42,119	\$24,806	14.58689%	\$3,502
669	ACCIDENTS & DANAGES	\$205	(\$359)		\$7	(\$1,185)	(\$2,313)		\$0	\$8	(\$139)	50	\$389	(\$3,889)		(\$567)
671	OPERATING RENTS	\$716	\$565	1565	\$565	\$590	\$506	1899	\$565	\$565	\$376	\$351	\$351	\$6,616	14.58609%	1945
672 675	RELIEF & PENSIONS	\$53,305	\$50,819	150,005	150,609	846,132	\$42,691	\$48,327	129,835	\$40,567	452,155	\$56,560	\$53,960	\$574,965	14.58609%	\$83,865
9/3	OTHER EXPENSES	\$15,459	\$20,325	\$16,462	\$15,897	48,729	125,119	\$5,405	\$8,766	\$19,363	143,522	\$6,391	\$10,775	\$191,414	14.58609%	\$27,920
	TOTAL OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES	\$71,508	\$73,371	168,672	\$64,436	\$56,264	\$67,825	\$56,406	\$41,255	\$62,584	\$98,004	\$65,392	\$67,594	\$793,112		\$115,684
3051	STATE UNEMPLOYMENT TAXES	\$4	14	\$4	\$4	\$4	\$4	1970	\$781	\$701	1569	\$289	\$6	13,338	21.12879%	\$705
	HISSOURI PROPERTY TAXES	\$29,800	\$29,000	\$29,080	\$29,008	\$29,800	84,491	\$29,000	\$29,000	\$38,034	\$29,000	\$29,000	\$29,000	\$324,525	21.12879%	\$68,568
	MISSOURI FRANCHISE TAXES	4874	\$874	\$874	\$874	4874	\$874	\$874	\$874	\$0	\$1,034	\$1,034	\$1,034	\$10,091	21.12879%	\$2,132
	OTHER TAXES	18	\$0	10	18	*0	\$0	10	\$0	\$35	\$10	\$6	10	\$45	21.12879%	\$10
	TOTAL ACCOUNT 305.1	\$29,877	129,877	\$29,877	\$29,877	\$29,877	\$5,368	130,843	\$30,655	130,770	\$30,613	\$30,323	430,841	\$337,999		171,415
305.2	FEDERAL UNEMPLOYMENT TAXES	12	12	12	12	12	12	\$1,492	\$1,184	\$1,024	\$590	\$185	\$3	\$4,488	21.12879%	\$948
	FICA TAXES	\$10,245	\$12,491	\$9,863	19,928	\$12,269	19,764	\$13,148	\$11,287	\$10,500	\$10,691	\$13,260	\$10,512	\$133,958		\$28,384
	TOTAL ACCOUNT 305.2	\$10,247	\$12,493	\$9,865	19,930	\$12,271	\$9,766	114,640	\$12,471	\$11,524	\$11,281	\$13,445	\$10,515	\$138,446		\$29,252
			******			**********										
	TOTAL TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME TAXES	\$40,124	\$42,370	\$39,742	\$39,808	\$42,148	\$15,134	\$45,483	\$43,126	\$42,294	\$41,894	\$43,768	\$40,555			\$100,667
	*		er l'adributé après de	0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Haven-and RE	ATTOCK STORY		THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF	Robert American	***************************************	thursday t		************	Participation of the Participa	3	and a rain with some le
395.3	FEDERAL INCOME TAXES	\$750	\$750	\$750	\$750	\$750	\$1,750	\$750	\$750	\$750	\$758	\$750	1750	\$10,000	14.58609%	\$1,459

NET OPERATING INCOME

1499,466

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the matter of Grand River Mutual) Telephone Corporation for authority to) make permanent its existing interim) CASE NO. TR-85-242 rates for telephone service provided in) exchanges upgraded in Phase I of its) upgrade program.)
AFFIDAVIT OF DAVID M. SOMMERER
STATE OF MISSOURI) COUNTY OF COLE)
David M. Sommerer, of lawful age, on his oath states: That he has participated in the preparation of the attached written testimony and appendices attached thereto in question and answer form, consisting of pages of testimony to be presented in the above case, that the answers in the attached written testimony were given by him; that he has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true to the best of his knowledge and belief.
David M. Sommerer
· — 0
Subscribed and sworn to before me this Zang day of November, 1985.
Juder Fritisch
HOTARY PUBLIC STAIR OF HISSOURI COLE CO.
MY COMMISSION EXP. JULY 31,1989 ### ###############################