### Before the Public Service Commission State of Missouri

In the Matter of the Application	)	
Of Mark Twain Communications	)	
Company for a nunc pro tunc	)	
Order Specifying the Service Area	)	
Of Mark Twain Communications,	)	
And redefining the Service Area of	)	
Spectra Communications for	)	Case No. TO-2006-0100
Purposes of Mark Twain's	)	
ETC Service Area and Federal	)	
Universal Service Support Pursuant	)	
To Section 254 of the Telecommuni	l <b>-</b> )	
cations Act of 1996.	)	

## STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

COME NOW Applicant Mark Twain Communications Company, the Staff of the Public Service Commission, and the Office of Public Counsel, and enter into the following stipulation and agreement for the disposition of this case:

- 1. Mark Twain is a competitive telecommunications local exchange carrier certified by the Commission to provide basic local telecommunications service in the state of Missouri in Case No. TA-98-305.
- 2. Mark Twain has been providing basic local telecommunications services in the Missouri exchanges of Ewing, LaBelle, and Lewistown since 1997.

# Nunc pro tunc correction of January 15, 2000 Order in TA-2000-591

3. On March 22, 2000 Mark Twain filed its verified Application for Eligible Telecommunications Carrier Designation (ETC), which this Commission docketed as Case No. TA-2000-591.

- 4. There were no interventions or requests for hearing in opposition to granting Mark Twain's ETC application in TA-2000-591, so an evidentiary hearing was not held. The Commission granted Mark Twain's application on the basis of the Application and a recommendation filed by the Staff.
- 5. Paragraph 1 of Mark Twain's Application specified that its service area consisted of three Northeast Missouri exchanges, Ewing, La Belle, and Lewistown.
- 6. In its Recommendation of May, 16, 2000 in TA-2000-591, paragraph 3, Staff recommended the Commission "grant Mark Twain designation as an eligible telecommunications carrier for the purposes of receiving federal universal service support in its service area consisting of the Ewin(g), LaBelle, and Lewisto(w)n exchanges."
- 7. The Commission entered an Order on June 15, 2000 in TA-2000-591 granting Mark Twain's Application for ETC designation indicating the Application and Staff Recommendation had been reviewed, and based on that review the Commission granted "the relief requested in Mark Twain's application."
- 8. The June 15, 2000 Order issued by the Commission in TA-2000-591 was Missouri's first competitive ETC designation order. This Order, while approving Mark Twain's application, did not explicitly describe the service area for which it designated Mark Twain as an ETC.
- 9. The failure of the June 15, 2000 Order to specify the service area for which Mark Twain was designated as an ETC apparently was an oversight or clerical error. The parties to this Stipulation and Agreement agree that under the unique circumstances of this case there will be no harm to the public, the public interest, or to

any carrier in correcting this oversight or error on a *nunc pro tunc* basis, pursuant to 4 CSR 240-2.160(4).

- 10. Mark Twain asserts, and no signatory party contests, that since

  June 15, 2000 Mark Twain has provided, and continues to provide, the following services supported by the federal universal service support mechanisms:
  - a. Voice grade access to public switched network, further defined by the FCC to mean the ability to make and receive phone calls within a bandwidth of approximately 2700 Hertz, within the 300 to 3000 Hertz frequency range.
  - b. Local usage (amount of minutes of use of exchange service provided free of charge to end users).
  - c. Dual tone multi-frequency signaling or functional equivalent.
  - d. Single party service or functional equivalent.
  - e. Access to emergency services (911 and E911) to PSAP with ANI and ALI.
  - f. Access to operator services.
  - g. Access to interexchange service.
  - h. Access to directory assistance.
  - i. Toll limitation for qualifying low-income individuals, further refined by the FCC as the provision of either toll blocking or toll control.
  - 11. Mark Twain asserts, and no signatory party contests, that since June 15, 2000 Mark Twain has advertised the availability of these services supported by the universal services fund, and the charges therefore, using media of general distribution, and has committed to continue to do so.

- 12. Mark Twain asserts, and no signatory party contests, that since June 15, 2000 Mark Twain has advertised the availability of Lifeline and Link Up services in a manner reasonably designed to reach those likely to qualify for those services.
- 13. Mark Twain asserts, and no signatory party contests, that since June 15, 2000 Mark Twain has committed to, and in fact has, provided service throughout the Ewing, LaBelle and Lewistown exchanges.
- 14. Mark Twain asserts, and no signatory party contests, that since June 15,2000 Mark Twain has had the ability to remain functional in emergency situations.
- 15. Mark Twain asserts, and no signatory party contests, that since June 15, 2000 Mark Twain has satisfied all applicable consumer protection and service quality standards
- 16. Mark Twain asserts, and no signatory party contests, that since June 15, 2000 Mark Twain has provided local usage plans in Ewing, LaBelle, and Lewistown that are comparable to, and competitive with, those of the incumbent carrier.
- 17. Mark Twain asserts, and no signatory party contests, that since June 15, 2000 Mark Twain has provided equal access to interexchange carriers within the Ewing, LaBelle, and Lewistown exchanges. In the event Spectra Communications relinquishes its ETC designation, Mark Twain commits to providing equal access thereafter.
- 18. Mark Twain agrees to otherwise comply with any and all federal and state laws, rules and regulations pertaining and applicable to ETCs.

## Service Area Redefinition

19. 47 USC 214 and 47 CFR 54.201, et seq., set forth the requirements for designating a competitive ETC in a rural service area.

- 20. Spectra Communications Group, LLC (Spectra), is the incumbent rural telephone company serving the Ewing, La Belle, and Lewistown exchanges.
- 21. The Ewing, La Belle, and Lewistown exchanges are rural areas served by Spectra. These three exchanges, along with 104 other Spectra exchanges, constitute Spectra's study area for purposes of federal universal service support.
- 22. On May 10, 2002 Spectra self-certified its plan to disaggregate and target high cost universal support pursuant to 47 CFR 54.315 under Path 3. A copy of this certification is attached to this Stipulation.
- 23. Under Spectra's disaggregation plan, the exchanges of Ewing, La Belle, and Lewistown are classified as Zone 2 exchanges.
- 24. Mark Twain provides service in three of Spectra's lesser populated exchanges. The exchanges of Ewing, La Belle, and Lewistown are not higher-density exchanges within Spectra's study area. Mark Twain does not seek ETC designation for the higher-density exchanges of Spectra's study area.
- 25. Mark Twain's provision of service in the three exchanges of Ewing, La Belle, and Lewistown has created, and continues to provide, increased choice of competitive providers and competitive services to subscribers in those three exchanges. The continued availability of the offerings of Spectra and Mark Twain will give customers in these three exchanges the advantages of each provider's service offerings.
- 26. Mark Twain has captured a significant number of customers in the Ewing, LaBelle, and Lewistown exchanges.
  - 27. Mark Twain in an affidavit filed in this proceeding has provided, and the signatory parties have reviewed, certain population density and cost information with

respect to the Ewing, LaBelle and Lewistown exchanges, and have compared same with Spectra's overall population density and cost information for its entire rural ETC study area. In providing service in Ewing, LaBelle, and Lewistown, Mark Twain represents that it did not seek to serve the lower-cost, higher-revenue customers within Spectra's study area. Mark Twain serves the higher-cost customers within Spectra's study area. Based upon this information Mark Twain asserts, and no signatory party contests, that granting Mark Twain's request to redefine Spectra's existing ETC service area for purposes of Mark Twain's ETC service area does not involve prohibited creamskimming of universal service support.

- 28. The impact on the federal universal service fund if Mark Twain's service area is defined as the exchanges of Ewing, La Belle, and Lewistown will be minimal. If Mark Twain captured all access lines in these three exchanges, the maximum federal universal support Mark Twain would receive would be approximately \$12,640 per month. This theoretical maximum is less than one-fifteenth of the amount that the FCC found in its *Virginia Cellular*<sup>1</sup> decision would not overburden the fund.
- 29. Accordingly, the signatory parties request that the Commission grant Mark Twain's request for redefinition of Spectra's ETC service area for purposes of Mark Twain's ETC service area to be the three exchanges of Ewing, La Belle, and Lewistown in this proceeding.
- 30. Mark Twain's continued receipt of federal universal service support will not reduce the amount of universal service support Spectra receives. Spectra will continue to receive universal service support for lines it continues to serve, as well as for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In the Matter of the Federal State Joint Board on Universal Service, Virginia Cellular, LLC, Petition for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the Commonwealth of Virginia, December 31, 2003 Memorandum Report and Order, CC Docket No. 96-95.

lines captured by Mark Twain. Granting Mark Twain ETC status will not undermine the ability of Spectra to continue to provide universal service in its study area.

- 31. Granting Mark Twain's request that Spectra's service area be redefined for purposes of establishing Mark Twain's ETC service area to be the three exchanges of Ewing, La Belle, and Lewistown is in the public interest.
- 32. Mark Twain requests, and no signatory party opposes, that if the Commission grants Mark Twain such service area redefinition, that Order should also grant Mark Twain the authority to file such Order redefining the study area with the FCC for the FCC's consideration in accordance with 47 U.S.C 214(e)(5) and 47 C.F.R. 54.207.

#### Miscellaneous

- 33. The Parties signatory to this Stipulation enter into this Stipulation solely due to the specific facts and circumstances of this proceeding. By entering into this Stipulation in this proceeding, no party is waiving its right to take any position, or make any factual or legal argument, in any other proceeding.
- 34. Mark Twain will be obligated to abide by federal statutes, and lawful federal and state rules and regulations that pertain to Eligible Telecommunications Carriers.
- 35. The Staff shall file suggestions or a memorandum in support of this Stipulation and Agreement and the other Parties shall have the right to file responsive suggestions.
- 36. The Staff shall also have the right to provide, at any agenda meeting at which this Stipulation and Agreement is noticed to be considered by the Commission, whatever oral explanation the Commission requests, provided

that Staff shall, to the extent reasonably practicable, provide the other Parties with advance notice of when the Staff shall respond to the Commission's request for such explanation once such explanation is requested from Staff. Staff's oral explanation shall be subject to public disclosure, except to the extent it refers to matters that are privileged or protected from disclosure pursuant to any protective order issued in this case.

Craig S. Johnson, Atty.

Mo Bar # 28179

1648-A East Elm St.

Jefferson City, MO 65101

Attorney for Mark Twain

Communications

Marc Poston

Senior Counsel

Missouri Public Service Commission

P. O. Box 360

Jefferson City, MO 65102

Attorney for the Staff of the

Public Service Commission

Michael F. Dandino, Mo. Bar No. 24590

Senior Public Counsel

Office of the Public Counsel

P.O. Box 7800

Jefferson City, MO 65102

#### Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed, hand-delivered, transmitted by facsimile or electronically mailed to all counsel of record this 5<sup>th</sup> day of December 2005.

Manto

R.O. 80x 4065 Monroe, LA 71211-4065 Tru 30x 36x 9000

May 10, 2002

Public Information Office, Governor Office Building 200 Madison Street

PO Box 360

Jefferson City, MO 65102-0360

Arm: Dale Hardy

FILED MX 22 2002

MAY 1 5 2002

UTILITY OPERATIONS DIVISION

Re

Certification of Spectra Communications Group, LLC, Study Area 421151, to Disaggregate and

Target High Cost Universal Support, pursuant to 47 C.F.R. 54.315 under Path 3

Dear Mr. Hardy,

On behalf of Spectra Communications Group, LLC, Study Area 421151, I write to certify that Spectra Communications Group, LLC elects to disaggregate and target high-cost universal service support under Path 3. This election is made for the four year period established in 47 C.F.R. 54.315(b) and will remain in effect unless revised in accordance with in 47 C.F.R. 54.315(b)(4). The following enclosures demonstrate that the Company's plan complies with the requirements established by the FCC for Path 3 election and for disaggregation fillings as set out in 47 C.F.R. 54.315.

- documentation supporting our methodology and rationale
- map(s) of the study area

I certify that I am authorized to make such certification on behalf of Spectra Communications Group, LLC.

In the event you have any questions regarding this certification, please contact Ken Matzdorff at 816-779-8250 or Kenneth matzdorff@centurytel.com. I can be reached at 318-388-9648 or Jeff.glover@centurytel.com.

Sincerely

Juffrey S. Glover

Vice President External Relations

For Spectra Communications Group, LLC

Enc.

cet

Universal Service Administration Company, 2120 L Street, NW, Suite 600, Washington, D.C.

20037

John Van Eschen. Director Telecommunications Division, Missouri Public Service Commission, Public Information Office, Governor Office Building, 200 Madison Street, PO Box 360, Jefferson City, MO 65102-0360

Office of Public Counsel, Governor Office Building, Suite 650, 200 Madison Street, P.O. Box 7800, Jefferson City, MO 65102-7800

Trip England - via cmail - trip@brydonlaw.com

# Spectra Communications Group, LLC Study Area Code No. 421151

### Introduction

1. This filing is made on behalf of Spectra Communications Group to propose the disaggregation of explicit federal high-cost support in its Spectra Communications Group study area in the state of Missouri. This study area has been assigned the Study Area Code number 421151. This filing is made pursuant to the Order of the Federal Communications Commission in CC Docket Nos. 96-45 and 00-256 that was issued on May 23, 2001, that became effective on June 19, 2001. This filing is made pursuant to, and is consistent with, Section 54.315 of the rules of the Federal Communications Commission which specifies the requirements for disaggregation filings.

## **Total Study Area Support**

2. The total amount of support available to this study area without disaggregation is summarized in the following chart:

#### Support Summary

		Monthly	Per Line
High Cost Loop	HCL	S693,057	\$5.30
Interstate Common Line Support	ICLS	\$718,090	\$5.50
Long Term Support	LTS	\$()	\$0.00
Local Switching Support	LSS	\$0	\$0.00
	Total	\$1,411,147	S 10.80

# Description of Disaggregation Plan

- 3. This study area contains 107 wire centers.
- 4. This plan establishes two support zones for the entire study area. It therefore meets the criteria established in 54.315 that support be disaggregated "into no more than two cost zones per wire center". This disaggregation is done differently for loop -related (i.e., HCL, ICLS, and LTS) and switch-related (i.e., LSS) support mechanisms. The precise means by which this disaggregation is performed are described in the following sections.

5. The disaggregation plan provides support as follows for the High Cost Loop (HCL), Interstate Common Line Support (ICLS), Long Term Support (LTS), and Local Switching Support (LSS) explicit federal support mechanisms:

H	<u>L</u>	<u>IC</u>	<u>LS</u>	L	<u> </u>	Ŀ	<u> </u>
Zone I	Zone 2	Zone I	Zone 2	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zonc 1	Zone 2
\$1.76	\$7.47	\$1.83	\$7.74	80	SO	\$0	\$0

- 6. Loop related support is composed of High Cost Loop (HCL) support (Part 36, Subsection F), Interstate Common Line Support (ICLS), and Long Term Support (LTS) (Section 54.503). All of these mechanisms provide support to carriers with high loop costs. While the exact methods by which these mechanisms calculate support are not identical, each mechanism provides support in a manner in which the higher the loop cost of the carrier, the more support the carrier receives. In disaggregating the loop-related mechanisms this methodology seeks to define a lower-cost zone (Zone 1) where relatively less loop related support is appropriate. The remaining support assigned to this study area is then distributed to the remaining lines in the study area (Zone 2) on a uniform basis.
- 7. The identification of the lower-cost zone was accomplished using a publicly available proxy model, the Benchmark Cost Proxy Model Version 3.0 with FCC Common Inputs that was placed on the public record in CC Docket 96-45 by the model sponsors Bell South, Sprint and U S WEST on December 11, 1997. Copies of this model may be obtained from the FCC's document vendor International Transcription Services. It is important to note that this model data is used solely for purposes of distributing the fixed amount of total study area support. Furthermore, even though the cost data was submitted in late 1997, it utilizes a forward-looking cost methodology and a network architecture that is currently used today, and that is similar to that used in the FCC's Hybrid Cost Proxy Model (HCPM). The results of the BCPM3 with FCC Common Inputs correlate very well with the results of the HCPM. The computed results of the HCPM for the non-rural study areas are not publicly available, whereas the results from the BCPM3 with FCC Common Inputs are. For this reason this data forms a publicly available and reliable basis for assessing the relationship of cost and density.
- 8. The 107 wire centers in this study area were ranked based on their corresponding monthly loop cost as identified by the BCPM3 (See Column B, Exhibit 1). The BCPM3 loop support per wire center is identified in Column E of Exhibit 1. It was determined by comparing the wire center cost per line (Column C) to 115% of the nation wide average cost per loop (\$31.07). This difference was then multiplied by the access line count to determine the total monthly BCPM3 loop support for the wire center.
- We then developed a factor to reconcile the BCPM3 loop support to the total actual study area loop support. The reconciliation factor is the total actual monthly loop support for the

study area divided by the sum of the BCPM3 loop support for all wire centers. The actual loop support per wire center is indicated in Column F and consists of the BCPM3 loop support multiplied by the reconciliation factor.

- 10. The thirteen lowest cost wire centers shaded on Exhibit 1 have been designated as Zone 1 (Column G). The remaining higher cost wire centers have been designated as Zone 2. Exhibit 2 is a map showing Zone 1 and Zone 2 wire centers.
- 11. The monthly loop support for Zone 1 is established at \$3.59 per line, this represents the total Zone 1 support divided by the total Zone 1 access lines on Exhibit 1. The monthly loop support for Zone 2 is established at \$15.22 per line, this represents the total Zone 2 support divided by the total Zone 2 access lines on Exhibit 2.
- Switch-related support is composed of Local Switching Support (LSS) (Section 54.301).
   Since CenturyTel serves over 50,000 lines it is not eligible for switch-related support.

## **Total Disaggregated Support**

13. The support provided by this disaggregation plan does not change the total support received by the study area. The total monthly loop support for Zone 1 (\$177.927) and Zone 2 (\$1,233,220) will produce this same level of total support indicated in Paragraph 2 above and the following chart:

<u>Li</u>	<u>nes</u>	HCL S	Support	ICLS:	Support	<u>LTS S</u>	upport	<u>LSS S</u>	upport	
Zane I	7.onc 2	Zanc I	Zone 2	Zone I	Zone 2	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone I	Zone 2	Total
					8627,548		\$0	\$0		\$1,411,147

14. Based upon the foregoing, CenturyTel certifies that it meets the requirements of Part 54.315 of the FCC rules for this disaggregation plan.

### CENTURYTEL OF MISSOURI, INC.

A

Sludy Area 421151 Company 7005

Monthly Par Lina 8893,057 High Cost Loop \$5.30 \$5.50 \$0.00 \$10.90 \$718,090 ICLS <u>50</u> \$1,411,147 Long Term Support Pool \$0.00 LSS 50

National Average Cost \$27.02 Cascade Benchmark Funding

G

115.0% \$31.07

C В

Access Lines

130.651

всрмз Results

MAX((C-CenturyTel Data 31.07)/D,0)

Ε

D

E \* (SPapi /SUM(E))

F

		Monthly	Access	Support	Loop Actual	
CLLI	Wire Center	Cost	Lines	Required	Support	Zone
					20.121	
MACNIMOXA	Macon	\$32,10	5,063		\$2,101	1
URRMOXA	Autora	\$33,48	5,673	\$13,656	\$5,073	
VNHMOXA	Savannah	\$33,59	3,788	\$9,534	\$9,542	* 1
MRNMOXA	Cameron	\$37.28	5,352	534,461	\$12,803	100.1
NTVFMOXA	Mount Vernon	\$40.21	4,452	840,678	\$15,113	
NCRMOXA	Concordia	\$42.30	2,2:7	924,890	\$9,247	1001
MTGVMOXA	Mountain Grove	\$43,54	4,681	\$58,358	\$21,682	
ONTNMOXA	Canton	\$44.49	1,998	\$26,807	59,960	1
AXONYMIC	Palmyra	\$44.62	8,218	S43,594	\$16,196	1 1
JINCYMOXA:	Monroe City	\$45,53	2,634	538,000	514,148	1
POTSMOXA	Potosi	\$48.54	7,252	\$125,671	547,061	1.3
AXOMAWRE	Brunswick	\$49.03	947	517,005	56,818	1
KAHKMOXA	Kahoka	\$49.87	2,125	539,519	514,602	1.0
-STNMOXA	Housten	\$50.05	3,574	555,832	\$25,944	2
GRIMOXA	Lagrange	\$50.16	971	\$18,558	56,893	2
PLBGMOXA	Plattsburg	\$50.39	2.219	542,864	515,925	2
LWSNMOXA	Lawson	\$50.70	2,573	\$50,500	\$18,762	2
AXOMONA	Greenfield	\$50.62	1,774	\$35,031	\$13,015	5
SLBNMOXA	Shelbina	\$51.59	1,693	\$36,839	\$14,430	2
HMINMOXA	Hamilton	\$51.73	1,624	the second secon	\$12,464	2
RTNMOXA	imnton	\$52.22	3,990	<u> </u>	\$31,348	2
STICKMOXA	Sarcoxie	\$52.36	1,906	and the second	\$15,090	2
	Eldorado Springs	\$52.40	4,786	<u></u>	\$37,922	2
EDSPMOXA	Gower	\$54.86	: 278	<u> </u>	\$11,277	2
GOWRMOXA	Lewistown	\$55.73	267		\$2,446	2
LWTWMOXA	L	\$55.77	448		\$4,111	2
AVCYMOXA	Avenue City Naco	\$56.11	452		\$4,204	2
NEBOMOXA		\$59.31	529		\$5,550	7
LDDNMGXA	Laddonia		646		\$7,022	2
IFIDLMOXA	Irondale	\$60,33 861,20	2.737		\$30,835	1 2
LCNGMOXA	Licking		813	<u></u>	\$9,529	1 2
BRYMMOXA	Braymer	\$62.02	390		\$4,678	1 2
TIMEMOXA	Trimble	560,06		<del>de la composition de la composition della compo</del>	and the second s	<u> </u>
HMVLMOXA	Humansville	888,47	1,386		\$16,682	1 2
BCTRMCXA	Birdh Tree	353,82	1,185	e 🛊 en	\$14,417	7
PARSMOXA	Paris	963.06	1,842		\$22,438	
SWVLMOXA	Stewartsville	584.50	624	<u></u>	\$7,749	4
AXOMEBAV	Van Buren	354.62	1,718	the state of the s	\$21,412	2
AXOMONIW	Winona	985.70	1,123	arian and a surface and a surf	\$14,447	2
LSVLMOXA	Lesterville	S85.88	418	<u></u>	\$5,405	2
LPLTMOXA	La Plata	599.13	1,490		\$19,407	
WEBLMOXA	Weableau	583.89	530		\$7.050	alamentari de la companya de la comp
WYLUMOXA	Wayland	857.13	9/24	<u> </u>	\$12,375	
BLCKMOXA	Bolckow	987.37	220	Access to the second se	\$3,048	
RMVLMOXA	Flaymondville	589,48	520		\$7,227	
ESTNMOXA	Easton	569.92		er francisco consecutações de consecutar de	<u> </u>	
CLNCMOXA	Clarence	571.37	\$	***************************************		
PERYMOXA	Perny	571.57			<del></del>	
OSCLMOXA	Osceda	\$71.85	1,920	\$77,908		<del></del>
RODLMOXA	Rosandale	\$72.29	346			
HLNAMUXA	Felena	572.48	290	\$12,539	\$4,564	2
MYVLMOXA	Maysville	\$73.28	<u> </u>		<u></u>	
GDCYMOXA	Golden City	\$73.31	**************************************	~ <del>{~~~~</del>		**
GECTWOAK GSDLMQXA	Clarksdale	\$73.42				

				Loop			
***************************************		Monthly	Access	Support	Actual		
CLLI	Wire Center	Cost	Lines	Required	Support	Zone	
SBRMOXA	Gsporn	\$73.83	423	\$18,086	\$6,720	2	
NNPMOXA	Annapolls	\$74.30	927	\$40,071	\$14,888	2	
VONOMOXA	West Quincy	\$74.37	275	\$11,907	\$4,424	2	
IL GEMOXA	Belgrade	\$75.11	516	\$22,723	\$8,442	2	
MZNMOXA	Amazonia	\$75.19	413	\$18,220	\$6,769	2	
CTVLMOXA	Keylesville	\$75.26	626	\$27,749	\$10,310	2	
LSNMOXA	Flainore	\$75.61	1,135	\$50,549	\$18,780	2	
LVWMOXA	3ellavua	\$76.68	439	\$20,021	\$7,439	2 .	
HVLMOXA	Shelbyville	\$76.73	612	\$27,942	\$10,381	2	
AXOMAGE	Caledonia	\$76.78	612	\$27,960	\$10,338	2	
TVLMOXA	-latylle	\$78.92	1,802	\$76,651	526,478	2	
MNNMCXA	Eminence	\$80.14	1,210	\$59,371	\$22,058	2	
HLNMOXA	Sheldon	\$80.74	764	\$37,946	\$14,098	2	
RGPMOXA	Jericho Springs	881.40	535	\$26,925	\$10,003	2	
IRWDMOXA	Narwood	\$81.72	1,086	\$55,003	\$20,435	2	
.WCYMOXA	Lowry City	\$83.20	1,403	\$73,176	\$27,187		
BLIMOXA	Labelle	\$83.39	271	\$14,178	\$5,297	2	
SBYMOXA	Cosby	\$89.50	150	\$7,864	\$2,922	2	
WNGMOXA	Ewing	\$84.33	293	\$15,604	\$5,797	2	
LMEMOXA	Fillmore	\$85.67	2 <i>5</i> .5 265	\$14,468	\$5,375	~	
		\$86.06	175	\$9,823	\$3,575	2	
AXOMYNE	Turney	\$80.00 \$87.10				2	
VINMOXA	Everton		614	\$34,401	\$12,781		
CDRMOXA	Kidder	30.685	438	\$25,407	\$9,439	2	
ROBYMOXA	Ropy	\$92,94	1,165	\$73,312	\$27,237	2	
MILOMOXA	Milo	894.01	579	\$36,441	\$13,539	2	
GCRNMOXA	Gorin	\$94.65	172	\$10,935	\$4,083	2	
LNSMOXA	Collins	\$95,42	607	\$39,059	\$14,511	2	
GSPMOXA	Edgar Springs	899.10	1,056	\$71,837	\$26,686	2	
(GTNMOXA	Kingston	\$99.99	535		\$13,698	2	
MLKRMOXA	Walkor	\$102.95	369		\$9,854	2	
SHCYMOXA	Schell City	\$108,58	584	\$42,344	\$15,732	2	
REVRMOXA	Havere	\$103.63	214	\$15,527	\$5,769	2	
aVSPMOXA	Grovespring	\$104.03	667	\$48,662	\$18,079	2	
-MERMOXA	□mer	\$104.52	194	\$14,249	\$5,294	2	
VLLMOXA	Avilla	\$105.55	678	\$50,495	\$18,760	2	
DDVUMOXA	Dadoville	\$106.55	474	\$35,776	\$13,292	2	
SNGHMOXA	Bronaugh	\$107.26	459	\$34,970	\$12,992	2	
3NKHMOXA	Bunker	\$115.20	748	\$62,759	\$23,317	2	
BOSSMOXA	Boss	\$120.21	370	\$32,981	\$12,258	2	
INESMOXA	Manes	\$123,13	667	\$61,402	\$22,812	2	
VHVLMOXA	Whitesville	\$123.28	194	\$17,888	\$6,646	2	
ONVLMOXA	Centerville	\$127.59	301	\$29,052	\$10,793	2	
NVLMOXA	Bockville	\$128.86	451	\$44,102	\$16,385	2	
OLINMOXA	Daiton	\$129.18	57	\$5,592	\$2,078	2	
HNWLMOXA	Hunnavall	\$134.65	252		\$9,716	2	
ARCLMOXA	Arcola	\$138,40			\$10,527	2	
/NZNMOXA	Vanzarit	\$138.60	553		\$22,092	2	
OATSMOXA	Cates	\$140.89	***************************************	<del></del>	\$17,993	2	
JATSWOAA JINTIMOXA	Monticello	\$142.46	273	4	\$11,298	2	
			***************************************		***************************************	<del>(***************</del>	
TIMTMOXA	Fremont	\$140.88	192		\$8,190 \$13:00	2	
MNTKMOXA	Monfaux	\$158.34	279	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O	\$13,192	2	
SNFEMOXA	Santa Fo	\$172.50	162		\$9,563	2	
TMBRMOXA	Timbar	\$205.89	***************************************		\$12,470	2	
STVLMOXA	Stautsville	\$261.67	161	\$37,126	\$13,793	2	

Total \$60.14 130,851 \$3,798,242 \$1,411,147

 Zone 1
 \$40.73
 49,600
 476,908
 177,927
 \$3.59
 \$0.00

 Zone 2
 \$72.03
 81,051
 3,319,334
 1,233,220
 \$15.22
 \$0.00

Spectra Communications Study Area #: 421151

