STATE OF MISSOURI 1 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2 3 PREHEARING CONFERENCE 4 January 18, 2000 5 Jefferson City, Missouri Volume 2 6 7 8 GST Steel Company,) 9) Complainant,) 10 Case No. EC-99-553) v.) 11 Kansas City Power & Light) 12 Company,)) Respondent. 13) 14 15 16 BEFORE: KEVIN A. THOMPSON, Presiding, 17 DEPUTY CHIEF REGULATORY LAW JUDGE. 18 19 20 REPORTED BY: 21 KRISTAL R. MURPHY, CSR, RPR, CCR ASSOCIATED COURT REPORTERS, INC. 22 714 West High Street Post Office Box 1308 23 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102 (314) 636-7551 24 25

```
1
    APPEARANCES:
 2
 3
     JAMES M. FISCHER, Attorney at Law
     LARRY W. DORITY, Attorney at Law
 4
       Fischer & Dority, P.C.
       101 West McCarty Street, Suite 215
 5
       Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
 6
     -and-
 7
     GERALD A. REYNOLDS, Staff Attorney
       Kansas City Power & Light Company
 8
       1201 Walnut Street
       Kansas City, Missouri 64106
 9
     -and-
10
     TIMOTHY G. SWENSEN, Attorney at Law
       Blackwell, Sanders, Peper, Martin
11
       2300 Main Street, Suite 1000
12
       Kansas City, Missouri 64108
13
         FOR: Kansas City Power & Light Company.
14
     KURT U. SCHAEFER, Attorney at Law
       Lathrop & Gage
15
       326 East Capitol Avenue
       Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
16
     -and-
17
     JAMES W. BREW, Attorney at Law
       Brickfield, Burchette & Ritts, P.C.
18
       1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, N.W.
19
      Washington, D.C. 20007
20
         FOR: GST Steel Company.
21
     JOHN B. COFFMAN, Deputy Public Counsel
       P.O. Box 7800
22
       Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
23
           FOR: Office of Public Counsel and the Public.
24
25
```

```
1 APPEARANCES Continued:
 2
   LERA L. SHEMWELL, Assistant General Counsel
      P.O. Box 360
 3
       Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
 4
          FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public Service
                 Commission.
 5
 6
 7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

PROCEEDINGS 1 2 (Written Entries of Appearance filed.) 3 JUDGE THOMPSON: We're here for a prehearing 4 conference today in the matter of GST Steel Company, 5 Complainant, versus Kansas City Power & Light Company, 6 Respondent, Case No. EC-99-553. 7 My name is Kevin Thompson. I am the 8 Regulatory Law Judge presiding over this matter. 9 We'll go ahead and take oral entries of appearance at this time, starting with Mr. Coffman. 10 11 MR. COFFMAN: John B. Coffman, appearing on behalf the Office of the Public Counsel, P.O. 12 Box 7800, Jefferson City, Missouri, 65102. 13 14 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Sir? 15 MR. SCHAEFER: Kurt Schaefer, for GST Steel 16 Company, with the law firm of Lathrop & Gage, 326 East 17 18 Capitol Avenue, Jefferson City, Missouri, 65101. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. 19 20 MR. BREW: James Brew, for GST Steel 21 Company, with the law firm of Brickfield, Burchette, 22 B-u-r-c-h-e-t-t-e, and Ritts, 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, Northwest, Washington, D.C., 20007. 23 24 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, Mr. Brew. 25 MS. SHEMWELL: Lera Shemwell, representing

15

the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission, 1 Post Office Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri, 65102. 2 3 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. 4 Mr. Fischer? 5 MR. FISCHER: Let the record reflect the 6 appearance on behalf of Kansas City Power & Light 7 Company of James M. Fischer and Larry W. Dority of the 8 law firm of Fischer & Dority, P.C., 101 West McCarty 9 Street, Suite 215, Jefferson City, Missouri, 65101. 10 And, also, I have co-counsel, Mr. Tim 11 Swensen, from the law firm of Blackwell, Sanders, Peper & Martin, and in-house counsel for Kansas City 12 13 Power & Light, Gerald Reynolds. 14 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. 15 Anyone else? (No response.) 16 JUDGE THOMPSON: Very well. Are there any 17 preliminary matters to take up at this time with 18 respect to the show cause hearing this afternoon? 19 20 (No response.) JUDGE THOMPSON: I believe the nature of 21 22 presentation and order has been fixed in the procedural notice that went out. Okay. 23 24 MS. SHEMWELL: Judge, I might just ask, are you going to --25

16

1 JUDGE THOMPSON: Yes.

2 MS. SHEMWELL: -- have opening statements and then presentations? Are you going to break it up 3 4 into two parts? 5 JUDGE THOMPSON: What a good question that 6 is. 7 We have about three and a half hours, so I 8 did anticipate that we would have brief opening 9 statements, and then GST would make their 10 presentation. Each of the other parties would have an opportunity. I believe it is OPC -- excuse me --11 Public Counsel, and then Staff, and then Kansas City 12 13 Power & Light, and then the last word would come again 14 from GST. Then we would adjourn. 15 The Commission will take the matter under advisement. The next Commission agenda meeting is not 16 this Thursday, but, rather, next Tuesday, so the 17 18 earliest that the Commissioners would have an opportunity to discuss the matter and reach a 19 20 conclusion would be next Tuesday. 21 I would anticipate a written order probably 22 issuing on Tuesday, but, of course, that's not up to 23 me. That's up to the Commissioners. 24 At that time, we will also advise you as to 25 the status of the procedural schedule.

17

1 MR. FISCHER: Judge?

2 JUDGE THOMPSON: Sir.

MR. FISCHER: As I understood the order, it 3 4 indicated it would take the format of an on-the-record 5 presentation --6 JUDGE THOMPSON: That is correct. 7 MR. FISCHER: -- similar to, I suppose, 8 whenever we present a stipulation and agreement to the 9 Commission. 10 Is it your anticipation that we'll follow 11 that format where the Commissioners will actively participate and ask questions? 12 13 JUDGE THOMPSON: Yes, sir. 14 MR. FISCHER: And I wasn't anticipating an 15 evidentiary presentation, but I wasn't certain. We certainly have people here that can answer questions. 16 17 JUDGE THOMPSON: You are absolutely right, Mr. Fischer. I would expect to hear from counsel, and 18 19 I would expect the Commissioners to be actively posing 20 questions to counsel. 21 I do not anticipate an evidentiary 22 presentation, but, on the other hand, if someone moves the Commission to receive evidence, I don't think that 23 24 we can foreclose that possibility. Consequently, the

25 show cause order and the procedural notice did not

18

1 foreclose that possibility. But at this time I do not 2 anticipate taking testimony.

3 MR. FISCHER: As I understood the order, it 4 would basically have GST's counsel making a 5 presentation, Staff and Public Counsel, followed by 6 Kansas City Power & Light, with an opportunity to 7 close by the GST counsel.

8 JUDGE THOMPSON: Yes, sir.

9 MR. FISCHER: In the meantime, I'm sure 10 we'll have questions from the Commissioners.

11 JUDGE THOMPSON: That's exactly what I
12 anticipate.

13 MR. FISCHER: Okay.

14 JUDGE THOMPSON: And I also anticipate no post-hearing briefing. The parties have filed 15 suggestions as of last Thursday, I believe, and so --16 17 now, if someone moves for an opportunity to submit 18 something after the hearing, obviously, we would consider that. But at this time I do not -- and 19 20 without -- in the absence of any such motion, there 21 would be no post-hearing briefing. 22 Any further questions? 23 (No response.) 24 JUDGE THOMPSON: Now, I know you are also 25 here to discuss the final list of issues, list of

19

witnesses for cross-examination, and those things for the evidentiary hearing. I will leave you to do those things. There being nothing further, the on-the-record portion of the prehearing conference shall be recessed. We will see you at 1:30. Thank you very much. WHEREUPON, the on-the-record portion of the prehearing conference was concluded.