## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Application	of	Steelville	Te	lephone	)	
Exchange, Inc	. for	Approval	of a	Traffic	)	Case No. TO-2005-0337
Termination	Agre	eement	under	the	)	Case No. 10-2003-0337
Telecommunic	ations	Act of 199	6		)	

## **STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

**COMES NOW** the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission (Staff) and for its recommendation respectfully states:

- 1. In the attached Memorandum, labeled Appendix A, the Staff recommends that the Missouri Public Service Commission grant approval of the Agreement characterized as a "Traffic Termination Agreement" between Steelville Telephone Exchange, Inc. and Sprint Spectrum L.P., as agent for and general partner of Wireless Co., L.P. d/b/a Sprint PCS (the "Agreement"), filed by Steelville Telephone Exchange, Inc. under the provisions of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996.
- 2. The terms of the Agreement do not discriminate against telecommunications carriers not a party to the Agreement and are not against the public interest, convenience or necessity. Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §252(e), the Commission is to approve a negotiated interconnection agreement unless the terms of the agreement discriminate against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement, or implementation of the agreement or any portion thereof is inconsistent with the public interest, convenience, or necessity.
- 3. Staff further states that Steelville Telephone Exchange, Inc. submitted this negotiated Agreement pursuant to Section 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, and characterized the Agreement as a "Traffic Termination Agreement." Staff can find no reference in Section 252

to "Traffic Termination Agreement." Consequently, Staff recommends the Commission issue an Order approving a wireless "interconnection agreement" and not an Order approving "Traffic Termination Agreement." The Commission has addressed this topic in a series of proceedings, consolidated for argument with the lead case of *Application of Kingdom Telephone Company for Approval of a Traffic Termination Agreement under the Telecommunications Act of 1996*, Case No. IO-2003-0201, and found the classification of "traffic termination agreement" to be nonexistent. *See, e.g.*, Order Denying Motion for Correction, *In the Matter of the Application of Craw-Kan Telephone Cooperative for Approval of a Traffic Termination Agreement Under the Telecommunications Act of 1996*, Case No. IK-2003-0245 (Sept. 25, 2003).

WHEREFORE, because the terms of the Agreement satisfy the standard set forth in 47 U.S.C. §252(e), Staff recommends the Commission approve the Agreement as a Wireless Interconnection Agreement and direct the parties to submit any future modifications or amendments to the Agreement to the Commission for approval.

Respectfully submitted,

DANA K. JOYCE General Counsel

## /s/ David A. Meyer

David A. Meyer Senior Counsel Missouri Bar No. 46620

Attorney for the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission P. O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102
(573) 751-8706 (Telephone)
(573) 751-9285 (Fax)
david.meyer@psc.mo.gov

## **Certificate of Service**

I hereby	certify	that cop	ies of the	foregoing	have be	en mailed,	hand-delivered,	transmitted by	Ţ
facsimile	or elec	tronically	y mailed to	o all couns	el of reco	ord this 27 <sup>th</sup>	day of April 20	05.	

/s/	<b>David</b>	A.	Meyer	
	_ 00 1 - 00			