1	STATE OF MISSOURI	
2		
3	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	
4		
5		
	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	
6	Prehearing Conference	
7	August 9, 2001	
8	Jefferson City, Missouri	
9	Volume 1	
10		
11		
12	In the Matter of the Application) of Union Electric Company) (d/b/a AmerenUE) for a Certificate)	
13	of Public Convenience and Necessity) Authorizing it to Construct,	
14	Install, Own, Operate, Control,)	Case No.
15	Manage and Maintain Natural Gas) GA-2003 Pipeline Facilities and a Gas) Distribution System for the Public)	GA-2001-657
16	in the City of Flint Hill, Missouri,) and its Vicinity, as an Expansion of)	
17	its Presently Certificated Area.	
18		
19	WENTEN A THOMPSON Develo	
20	KEVIN A. THOMPSON, Presid DEPUTY CHIEF REGUL	_
21		
22		
23	REPORTED BY:	
24	MELINDA ADOLPHSON, CSR ASSOCIATED COURT REPORTERS, INC.	
25	incommendation in the second s	

1	APPEARANCES:
2	RONALD K. EVANS, Managing Associate General Counsel Ameren Services
3	1901 Chouteau Avenue P.O. Box 66149, MC1310
4	St. Louis, MO 63166-6149 314-554-2156
5	FOR: AmerenUE.
6	FOR. AMELEHOL.
7	MICHAEL PENDERGAST, Attorney at Law 720 Olive Street
8	St. Louis, MO 63101 314-342-0532
9	
10	FOR: Laclede Gas Company.
11	LERA L. SHEMWELL, Associate General Counsel
12	Governors Office Building 200 Madison Street
13	P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102
14	573-751-3234
15	FOR: Staff of the Missour Public Service Commission.
16	
17	DOUGLAS E. MICHEEL, Senior Public Counsel Governors Office Building
18	200 Madison Street P.O. Box 7800
19	Jefferson City, MO 65102 573-751-3234
20	FOR: Office of the Public Counsel and the
21	Public.
22	
23	
24	
25	

- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- 2 JUDGE THOMPSON: Good morning. My name is
- 3 Kevin Thompson. I'm the Regulatory Judge presiding
- 4 over this matter for Judge Mills. This is styled
- 5 In the Matter of the Application of Union Electric
- doing business as AmerenUE for Certificate of
- 7 Public Convenience and Necessity Authorizing it to
- 8 Construct, Install, Own, Operate, Control, Manage
- 9 and Maintain Natural Gas Pipeline Facilities and a
- 10 Gas Distribution System for the Public in the City
- of Flint Hill, Missouri, and its Vicinity, as an
- 12 Expansion of its presently Certificated Area, Case
- 13 No. GA-2001-657.
- 14 Let's take oral entries of appearance,
- 15 please, beginning with the Company.
- 16 MR. EVANS: Ronald K. Evans, appearing on
- 17 behalf of Union Electric Company doing business as
- 18 AmerenUE, P.O. Box 66149, St. Louis, Missouri
- 19 63166.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.
- 21 Staff?
- MS. SHEMWELL: Thank you and good
- 23 morning. Lera Shemwell, representing the Staff of
- 24 the Missouri Public Service Commission, Post Office
- Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.

- JUDGE THOMPSON: Public Counsel?
- 2 MR. MICHEEL: Douglas E. Micheel,
- 3 appearing on behalf of the Office of the Public
- 4 Counsel and the Public, P.O. Box 7800,
- 5 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-7800.
- 6 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.
- 7 Intervenors?
- 8 MR. PENDERGAST: Michael C. Pendergast,
- 9 appearing on behalf of Laclede Gas Company. My
- 10 business address is 720 Olive Street, St. Louis,
- 11 Missouri 63101.
- 12 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.
- Do the parties have anything to bring to
- my attention at this time?
- MR. EVANS: From the Company's standpoint,
- 16 I think we're optimistic that we should be able to
- 17 settle this case. Laclede and AmerenUE reached an
- 18 agreement on somewhat related matters yesterday
- 19 that I think will eliminate Laclede's concerns in
- 20 this. And I'll let Laclede's counsel address that,
- 21 but I think that will erase their concerns in this
- 22 particular case. And hopefully the Staff and OPC
- have no difficulties with our proposals here, and
- 24 we will be able to move forward without an
- 25 evidentiary hearing, we hope.

- 1 JUDGE THOMPSON: Very good.
- 2 MR. EVANS: I would mention that we do
- 3 have a customer that is near -- that is requesting
- 4 service in Flint Hill that is near completion of
- 5 their church, and so we're hoping to get that done
- 6 before winter hits.
- 7 JUDGE THOMPSON: Very well.
- 8 Mr. Pendergast?
- 9 MR. PENDERGAST: Yes. I would just echo
- 10 what Mr. Evans said. We have worked together to
- 11 try and come up with an arrangement that resolves
- our concerns and is acceptable to AmerenUE, and
- we're hopeful that it will be acceptable to Staff
- 14 and Office of Public Counsel as well.
- We would anticipate at least hopefully
- 16 being able to file a stipulation and agreement that
- 17 we recommend that the Commission approve the
- authorization that AmerenUE has requested in this
- 19 case. And we would also reference this arrangement
- that we've agreed upon, and at least from the two
- 21 companies' perspective, indicate that we believe
- that provides a blueprint for how utilities ought
- 23 to be providing service in the future in that neck
- of St. Charles County, and recommend to the
- 25 Commission that we would file something to initiate

- 1 a separate docket to obtain approval for that
- 2 territorial division that we would recommend. And
- 3 with that, I think that's all I have. Thank you.
- 4 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, sir.
- 5 Mr. Micheel? Nothing?
- 6 MR. MICHEEL: Sounds good to me, your
- 7 Honor.
- 8 JUDGE THOMPSON: Good.
- 9 Ms. Shemwell?
- 10 MS. SHEMWELL: Thank you, Judge. Staff
- 11 would like to take a look at this. I think that we
- would be interested in seeing an agreement between
- 13 these companies somewhat beyond filings every year
- 14 for small bits and pieces of this county. In terms
- of the particular case, we're still looking at it,
- 16 but do not anticipate that a hearing will probably
- 17 be necessary. And would suggest that perhaps a
- 18 filing of a procedural schedule could be delayed a
- 19 couple of weeks giving the parties the opportunity
- 20 to finalize their agreements and file something
- 21 with the Commission.
- 22 JUDGE THOMPSON: There's not any operation
- of law date in this case, is there?
- MR. EVANS: No, there isn't.
- MS. SHEMWELL: No.

- 1 JUDGE THOMPSON: Well, at the risk of
- 2 irritating Judge Mills, I'm going to go ahead and
- 3 set the filing date for the procedural schedule
- 4 back to September 15. I don't know if that's a
- 5 business day or a weekend or what, but let's just
- 6 pick that date out of the air. That will give the
- 7 parties time to continue their discussions without
- 8 the need to create a procedural schedule that's not
- 9 likely to ever be needed.
- 10 You have this room for the remainder of
- 11 the day, and hopefully you will be able to continue
- 12 your discussions now in a fruitful manner, reach
- 13 settlement on every conceivable issue. If you can
- 14 think of some other cases that you're not here on
- that you can settle, too, that would be a good,
- 16 good thing. Thank you-all for coming down today,
- and we will be adjourned.
- 18 WHEREUPON, the on-the-record portion of
- 19 the prehearing conference was concluded.

20

21

22

23

24

25