1	STATE OF MISSOURI								
2									
3	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION								
4									
5									
6									
7	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS								
8	Prehearing Conference								
	January 29, 2002								
9	Jefferson City, Missouri Volume 1								
10									
11									
12									
13	In the Matter of the Application								
14	In the Matter of the Application) of UtiliCorp United, Inc., a) Case No. Delaware Corporation, for) EM-2002-297								
15	Authority to Merge to Effect a $$ $)$								
16	Name Change)								
17									
18									
19									
20	BILL HOPKINS, Presiding, SENIOR REGULATOR LAW JUDGE.								
21	SENIOR REGULATOR LAW GUDGE.								
22									
23	DEPODER DIV								
24	REPORTED BY:								
25	MELINDA ADOLPHSON, CSR ASSOCIATED COURT REPORTERS								

1	APPEARANCES:
2	PAUL A. BOUDREAU, Attorney at Law Brydon, Swearengen & England, P.C.
3	312 East Capitol Avenue P.O. Box 456
4	Jefferson City, MO 65102 573-635-7166
5	FOR: UtiliCorp United, Inc.
6	Ton collinor children
7	DAVID MEYER, Associate Counsel STEVEN DOTTHEIM, Chief Deputy Counsel
8	Governor Office Building P.O. Box 360
9	Jefferson City, MO 65102 573-751-8706
10	FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public Service
11	Commission.
12	RUTH O'NEILL, Legal Counsel
13	Governor Office Building P.O. Box 7800
14	Jefferson City, MO 65102 573-751-3234
15	FOR: Office of the Public Counsel and the
16	Public.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	P	R	Ω	C	\mathbf{E}	\mathbf{E}	D	Т	Ν	G	S

- 2 JUDGE HOPKINS: This is the 29th of
- 3 January, about 10:28 a.m., and this is Case Number
- 4 EM-2002-297 In the Matter of the Application of
- 5 UtiliCorp, United to Merge in a change of name. My
- 6 name is Bill Hopkins. I'm the Law Judge that will
- 7 take care of this.
- 8 And could we have entries of appearance
- 9 starting with you, Mr. Meyer or Mr. Dottheim,
- 10 whoever wishes to do it?
- 11 MR. MEYER: David Meyer and Steven
- 12 Dottheim, for the Staff of the Public Service
- 13 Commission. Our address is P.O. Box 360,
- 14 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.
- MR. BOUDREAU: Thank you. Appearing on
- behalf of the Applicant, UtiliCorp United, Inc.,
- 17 let the record reflect the appearance of Paul A.
- 18 Boudreau, of the law firm of Brydon, Swearengen and
- 19 England, Post Office Box 456, Jefferson City,
- 20 Missouri.
- MS. O'NEILL: Yes. Good morning.
- 22 Ruth O'Neill, for the Office of the Public Counsel
- and the Public, P.O. Box 7800, Jefferson City,
- 24 Missouri 65102.
- JUDGE HOPKINS: Thank you. There is a

- 1 procedural motion that has not been ruled on, and
- 2 that would be UtiliCorp's motion for
- 3 reconsideration, and that's on agenda for Thursday
- 4 right now. I believe that's the only unruled
- 5 motion.
- 6 Some judges may have varied from the
- 7 purpose in the past, but we're going to have a
- 8 prehearing conference to determine whether there
- 9 are any actual issues in controversy, whether the
- 10 controversy can be resolved or settled. We issued
- 11 a prehearing order on January 16, 2002, the State
- 12 did. An early prehearing conference should be
- 13 scheduled to afford the parties the opportunity to
- 14 discuss, define and possibly resolve the issues
- 15 presented in this case or at least agree on a
- 16 procedural schedule.
- 17 Is everyone prepared to comply with the
- 18 Commissioners' orders?
- 19 MR. BOUDREAU: I don't know exactly how to
- 20 respond to that. I think you've answered one of
- 21 the questions that I had, which was whether or not
- 22 the motion that was filed was going to be
- 23 considered by the Commission. My understanding is
- 24 that that matter is up for discussion on Thursday's
- 25 agenda?

Т	JUDGE HOPKINS: Inat is correct.
2	MR. BOUDREAU: And the reason I hesitate
3	is that the purpose of that motion was to ask for
4	determination about whether or not a hearing was,
5	in fact, necessary. The procedural order pursuant
6	to which we're here today for the prehearing
7	conference, gives the parties instructions to work
8	out a procedural schedule and for hearing. So it
9	seems to presuppose there's going to be a hearing.
10	And I guess my question is, is discussing those
11	items today premature given that the Commission is
12	going to discuss the motion on Thursday?
13	JUDGE HOPKINS: I don't believe your
14	waiving any rights, Mr. Boudreau. We wouldn't
15	assume that you were. Okay?
16	MR. BOUDREAU: I suppose that's part of my
17	concern, is a discussion about a procedural
18	schedule today going to be interpreted as some sort
19	of waiver of the motion that we've made in the
20	argument that we've made that a hearing is not
21	appropriate. With that understanding, I don't mind
22	discussing those items, but I have some concerns.
23	JUDGE HOPKINS: I would think this would
24	be something that could be settled between the

parties. In light of the fact, we understand you

25

- 1 are not waiving any rights. And is very well
- 2 prepared at this time to go by the Commission's
- 3 order as of today rather what you should do today,
- 4 discuss, define and possibly revolve the issues.
- 5 And if you can't do that, at least agree on a
- 6 procedural schedule.
- 7 Keeping in mind Mr. Boudreau's concerns,
- 8 is everyone prepared to do that?
- 9 Mr. Dottheim, you have some concerns?
- 10 MR. DOTTHEIM: Yes. And excuse me,
- 11 Judge. I don't know if this in part might address
- 12 some of what Mr. Boudreau has raised. When the
- 13 Staff filed its pleading on January 7th, I believe,
- 14 and there was a data request attached to it to
- which the Company has responded, and the Staff
- 16 submitted a second data request, and the Company
- 17 has responded to that. Copies have been provided
- 18 to the Office of Public Counsel, which requested
- 19 copies of data requests.
- 20 I don't know whether those responses have
- 21 addressed in any manner the concerns that the
- 22 Office of Public Counsel had and prompted the
- 23 Office of Public Counsel to ask for a hearing. And
- 24 also, too, I don't know if the Office of Public
- 25 Counsel might indicate whether it is intending to

1	respond	in	anv	manner	t.o	the	motion	for
_	T C B P O I I G	TII	arry	manner		CIIC	IIIOCIOII	TOT

- 2 reconsideration, and that it was the Office of
- 3 Public Counsel that asked for the hearing.
- 4 So the Staff was hoping that those items
- 5 could be addressed this morning. And I don't know
- 6 if it's best to address those on or off the record,
- 7 but that in part might go to Mr. Boudreau's
- 8 concerns stated on the record just awhile ago.
- 9 MS. O'NEILL: Your Honor, Public Counsel
- 10 has some concerns, and whether or not we can
- 11 address those concerns will be -- we'll indicate
- 12 whether or not we're going to file a response to
- 13 the motion today. Obviously, we would file it
- 14 today since it's on the agenda for tomorrow. But
- 15 we do have some other questions and, perhaps, those
- 16 can be answered in our discussions today.
- JUDGE HOPKINS: All right. I think right
- 18 now the date for the procedural schedule to be
- 19 filed is February 24th -- excuse me -- February
- 20 21st. If you-all cannot settle this and need to
- 21 have some type of procedural schedule, but move
- 22 some of those dates up, we will certainly be happy
- 23 to try to accommodate everyone. And I will be here
- 24 all day if you need something.
- 25 And is there anything else before we go

1	off the record?
2	MS. O'NEILL: I don't believe so, Judge.
3	JUDGE HOPKINS: Hearing nothing from any
4	of the parties, I'll go off the record. I will be
5	here today all day if you need anything. Thank you
6	very much.
7	WHEREUPON, the on-the-record portion of
8	the prehearing conference was concluded.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

25