1	STATE OF MISSOURI
2	
3	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
4	
5	
6	
7	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
8	Prehearing Conference
O	May 24, 1999
9	Jefferson City, Missouri Volume 1
10	VOZAMO Z
11	
12	
13	In the Matter of St. Joseph Light & Power Company's Revised)
14	Industrial Steam Rate Schedules) Designed to Increase Rates for) Case No.
15	Steam Service in the Company's) HR-99-245 Missouri Service in the Company's)
	Missouri Service Territory.)
16	In the Matter of Revised Natural)
17	Gas Rate Schedules Designed to) Increase St. Joseph Light & Power)
18	Company's Annual Natural Gas) Case No. Revenues by Approximately \$499,104) GR-99-246
19	Exclusive of Applicable Fees and)
20	Taxes.)
21	In the Matter of St. Joseph) Light & Power Company's Revised)
	Electric Rate Schedules Designed) Case No.
22	To Increase Rates for Electrical) ER-99-247 Service in the Company's Missouri)
23	Service Territory.)
24	
25	

1	
2	
3	The Staff of the Missouri Public) Service Commission,)
4	Complainant,
5) Case No. vs.) EC-98-573
6)
7	St. Joseph Light & Power Company,)
8	Respondent.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	VICKY RUTH, Presiding,
14	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE. MORRIS L. WOODRUFF, Presiding,
15	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE. BILL HOPKINS, Presiding,
16	SENIOR REGULATOR LAW JUDGE
17	
18	
19	
20	REPORTED BY:
21	MELINDA ADOLPHSON CSR
22	ASSOCIATED COURT REPORTERS, INC.
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:
2	STUART W. CONRAD, Attorney at Law Finnegan, Conrad & Peterson, L.C.
3	1209 Penntower Office Center 3100 Broadway
4	Kansas City, Missouri 64111
5	FOR: AG Processing, Friskies, Wire Rope Corporation.
6	GARY W. DUFFY, Attorney at Law
7	JAMES C. SWEARENGEN, Attorney at Law Brydon, Swearengen & England, P.C.
8	312 East Capitol Avenue P.O. Box 456
9	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
10	FOR: St. Joseph Light & Power Company.
11	JOHN B. COFFMAN, Deputy Public Counsel DOUGLAS E. MICHEEL, Senior Public Counsel
12	SHANNON COOK, Assistant Public Counsel P.O. Box 7800
13	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
14	FOR: Office of Public Counsel and the Public.
15 16	WILLIAM K. HAAS, Senior General Counsel DENNIS L. FREY, Assistant General Counsel
17	P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
18	FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission.
19	202,000
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE WOODRUFF: This is a variety of
3	cases all dealing with the St. Joseph Light and
4	Power Company's rate case. Case No. ER-99-247 and
5	Case No. EC-98-573 are consolidated. We also have
6	Case No. GR-99-246 and HR-99-245.
7	I want to welcome you-all here on the
8	record. And to start things off, we have a
9	preliminary matter that Judge Ruth is going to
10	handle involving the gas case.
11	JUDGE RUTH: Thank you. On Friday, May 21
12	let's see Ag Processing, Friskies Pet Care
13	and Wire Rope Corporation filed an application to
14	participate without intervention. Obviously the
15	10-day time period for responses to be filed has
16	not elapsed. I wanted to see if any of the parties
17	anticipate filing a response, or if there's any
18	objections to my granting that on the record.
19	I'll ask Staff first. Do you plan to file
20	a response, or do you have an objection?
21	MR. HAAS: The Staff has no objection.
22	JUDGE RUTH: And St. Joseph?
23	MR. SWEARENGEN: Jim Swearengen, your
24	Honor. We have not seen that pleading yet. I want

to have an opportunity to review it first, and we

25

- will indicate at that time whether or not we'll get
- 2 a response.
- JUDGE RUTH: Okay. I didn't realize some
- 4 of the parties had not seen it yet, so we'll hold
- off right now. And I'll state that for the
- 6 prehearing conference, I will allow the three
- 7 applicants to go ahead and participate without
- 8 intervention. They will be subject to the same
- 9 requirements of confidentiality as they are in the
- 10 other sections. That will be for the prehearing
- 11 only. And if that raises any problems during the
- 12 midst of the conference, you're welcome to come and
- 13 get me, and we can address it at that time. And
- then I'll rule on it formally after the 10-day
- 15 period and see if I get any responses.
- MR. SWEARENGEN: Thank you.
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: Anything else?
- JUDGE RUTH: No.
- 19 JUDGE WOODRUFF: I just want to give you
- 20 an explanation of how the hearing is going to
- 21 proceed in this case, because we do have three --
- 22 actually four cases consolidated for purposes of
- 23 the hearing here. As you can see, we have three
- 24 judges in front of you today. For the hearing we
- are only going to have one presiding officer at a

- time, so you won't have three judges asking
- 2 questions of your witnesses.
- 3 We may take turns appearing on the bench.
- 4 We're not really sure how that's going to work out
- 5 yet, but you will only see one person on the bench
- 6 at a time as well as the Commissioners, of course.
- 7 I also wanted to address some changes in
- 8 the procedure that is going to be used for the
- 9 hearing memorandum. As some of you may be aware,
- 10 the Commission has started to institute new
- 11 procedures involving hearing memorandums.
- 12 Hopefully this will make the process a little bit
- 13 more streamlined.
- 14 What we're going to have you do is on
- June 17th, we're going to ask that the parties and
- 16 the Staff will reach an agreement based on the
- 17 conversations here today and whatever other
- 18 conversations you have about what the issues are in
- 19 the case. We're going to ask the Staff to file a
- 20 list of issues at that point. At the same time
- 21 we're going to ask that the list of -- excuse me --
- 22 list of issues at that point.
- 23 Also we're going to ask that a list of
- 24 witnesses be prepared so that we have some idea of
- 25 where witnesses are going to appear and which

- 1 witnesses will be called in what order. It's the
- 2 same sort of thing that's been in the hearing
- 3 memorandum in the past.
- 4 We'll also ask that in this case where we
- 5 do have three different -- the gas, the steam, heat
- 6 and the electric cases, if possible, if you can
- 7 identify which witnesses will be addressing which
- 8 cases, that will be helpful. I realize that many
- 9 of the witnesses will be -- their testimony will
- 10 apply to all three cases, so if you can give us as
- 11 much information as you can.
- 12 Then a week later on June 24, we're going
- 13 to ask that each of the parties file a statement of
- 14 their position on the issues. Each party will file
- 15 their own position. It's not something that anyone
- 16 has to agree on. We ask that this not become a
- 17 prehearing brief. This is to be simply a statement
- of your position, not an argument about why your
- 19 position is correct. And we will be following this
- 20 up with a formal order making this change in a few
- 21 days, but I wanted to give you some idea of what's
- 22 coming up in the process.
- We also want to encourage the parties to
- 24 file post findings of fact and conclusions of law
- 25 after the hearing. That's something that's always

- 1 been allowed by the Commission. It hasn't been
- done very often, but, again, it would be helpful to
- 3 us. If you feel it's appropriate for your class
- 4 position to do so, we would encourage you to do
- 5 that.
- 6 Judge Ruth, was there anything else that
- 7 you wanted to add?
- JUDGE RUTH: No, thank you.
- 9 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Judge Hopkins?
- 10 JUDGE HOPKINS: I'd like to just say some
- 11 more about those proposed findings of facts and
- 12 proposed conclusions of law. We'd like them in two
- 13 different documents, if possible. You can submit
- 14 them electronically either by E-mail or disk. We
- use Microsoft Word is our word processor here, but
- 16 I believe it opens up to just about anything but
- 17 Lotus.
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: Anything else?
- JUDGE HOPKINS: No.
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: Was there anything else
- 21 that any of the parties wanted to bring up on the
- 22 record?
- MR. CONRAD: Yes.
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: Go ahead.
- MR. CONRAD: And I'm not sure to which of

- 1 you or to all of you this should be addressed, but
- 2 clarify, please, for me with respect to the
- 3 hearing, you have indicated that you're going to be
- 4 moving in and out. Is it expected that if a
- 5 witness is involved in two of the cases, that they
- 6 would be put on two different times? How are
- 7 you -- how are you intending to handle that,
- 8 because I've talked to several people off-line and
- 9 there's not a unanimity of sense about whether
- 10 we're going to have a truly consolidated hearing,
- or whether we're going to have three, potentially
- 12 three separate hearings that are kind of going on
- 13 at the same time?
- 14 JUDGE WOODRUFF: I would anticipate that
- 15 we will have a truly consolidated hearing.
- 16 However, it appears during the prehearing
- 17 conference that it would be more efficient to
- 18 handle it the other way. We're certainly open to
- 19 that suggestion, and that's something that can be
- 20 decided or suggested by the parties in the -- in
- 21 your filing to us as to how you recommend that we
- 22 proceed on that matter.
- MR. COFFMAN: The June 17th filing?
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: Yes, the June 17th
- 25 filing.

1	MR.	CONRAD:	Is	it.	vour	indication	t.hat

- 2 various members of your panel will be presiding at
- 3 different times tie in with this consolidated
- 4 hearing idea, and if we're going to do that, is
- 5 there to be an order to that effect?
- 6 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Again, it depends upon
- 7 how we want to proceed on it, and we'll get your
- 8 recommendations. If it looks like we're going to
- 9 have three separate hearings within one, then we
- 10 would probably divide it between the judges.
- 11 Otherwise, I expect one of us will be the lead
- judge, so to speak, and the others would only come
- on the bench, if for some reason that person was
- 14 not able to preside that day. I don't anticipate
- judges rotating in and out between witnesses on a
- 16 frequent occasion.
- 17 MR. CONRAD: Well, let me tell you just --
- 18 since we're on the record here, let me just make a
- 19 brief record without a huge argument what my
- 20 concern is. Once in a great while issues appear to
- 21 be resolved on the basis of credibility. It is, in
- 22 my view, inappropriate for someone who had not
- 23 heard that witness, has not seen that presentation
- 24 to be resolving those issues. I'll just leave it
- 25 at that.

1	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. And I think that's
2	an appropriate concern, and it's something that
3	will have to be dealt with by the Commission and by
4	the appropriate law judges. Okay.
5	Any other matters that anyone wishes to
6	bring up?
7	MR. HAAS: Yes. I do have one question
8	now. Do you anticipate one filing or three filings
9	on June 17th?
10	JUDGE WOODRUFF: I think it would have to
11	be three filings. And I realize that they will
12	duplicate each other to a great extent.
13	Any other matters anyone wants to bring
14	up? All right. Hearing nothing then we'll go off
15	the record.
16	WHEREUPON, the on-the-record portion of
17	the prehearing conference was concluded.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	