## OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Patricia A. Noonan,	)
Complainant,	)
v.	) <u>Case No. EC-2008-0335</u>
Kansas City Power & Light Co.,	)
Respondent.	)

## ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO EXTEND FILING DEADLINE

Issue Date: May 14, 2008 Effective Date: May 14, 2008

Patricia A. Noonan filed a formal complaint against Kansas City Power & Light Company ("KCPL") on April 14, 2008. On April 16, 2008, the Commission notified KCPL of the complaint and allowed it thirty days in which to answer as provided by 4 CSR 240-2.070(7). On the same day, the Commission ordered Staff to investigate the complaint and to file "a report concerning the results of its investigation no later than one week after KCPL files its answer, which is due no later than May 16, 2008."

On May 13, 2008, KCPL filed its Motion for Extension of Time to Answer to Allow for Settlement Discussions. In this motion, KCPL requested that the Commission extend the due date for KCPL's answer from May 16, 2008 until the earlier of (i) June 13, 2008 or (ii) 15 days from the date on which either KCPL or Ms. Noonan notifies the Commission in writing that settlement is not possible. KCPL averred that if the Commission were to grant such an extension, it would be better able to negotiate a mutually beneficial settlement with

Ms. Noonan resolving all matters at issue in this proceeding without the expense and inconvenience to both parties of litigation. Moreover, stated KCPL, Ms. Noonan will not be prejudiced by the extension inasmuch as KCPL agrees to "waive any late payment or other related fees potentially due until [her] complaint is either settled or resolved by the Commission."

Although neither Staff nor OPC has had an opportunity to express any opposition to KCPL's motion within the time allowed by the Commission's rules, <sup>1</sup> they did not object to a nearly identical motion recently filed by KCPL in another consumer complaint case<sup>2</sup> and the Commission has no reason to believe that they would even if they were given the full tenday response period referred to in Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-2.080(15), inasmuch as KCPL's motion is authorized under Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-2.050(3)(A)<sup>3</sup> and "the law favors settlements and compromises based upon valid considerations." Accordingly, the Commission finds the request to be reasonable under the circumstances and shall grant it.

## IT IS ORDERED THAT:

1. Kansas City Power & Light Company's Motion for Extension of Time to Answer to Allow for Settlement Discussions is granted. The deadline for the company to file its answer to Ms. Noonan's complaint shall be the earlier of (i) June 13, 2008 or (ii) 15 days from the date on which either KCPL or Ms. Noonan notifies the Commission in writing

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-2.080(15) provides that unless otherwise ordered by the Commission, "[p]arties shall be allowed not more than ten (10) days from the date of filing in which to respond to any pleading."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See, e.g., Order Granting Motion to Extend Filing Deadline, *Morales v. Kansas City Power & Light Co.*, Case No. EC-2008-0121 (Nov. 30, 2007).

In relevant part, this rule provides that "[w]hen an act is required . . . to be done by order or rule of the commission, the commission, at its discretion," may "[o]rder the period enlarged before the expiration of the period originally prescribed or as extended by a previous order." Therefore, the issue before the Commission is whether, under the circumstances present here, the Commission should exercise its discretion to extend the due date for KCPL's answer.

that settlement is not possible.

2. This order shall become effective on May 14, 2008.

BY THE COMMISSION

Colleen M. Dale Secretary

(SEAL)

Benjamin H. Lane, Regulatory Law Judge, by delegation of authority under Section 386.240, RSMo 2000.

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri, on this 14th day of May, 2008.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Miners' & Farmers' Bank of Aurora v. American Bonding Co., 186 S.W. 1139, 1140 (Mo. App. S.D. 1916). See also Sanger v. Yellow Cab Co., 486 S.W.2d 477, 481 (Mo. banc 1972) (internal quotation marks omitted) ("The law favors settlements fairly made.")