

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**  
**OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

In the Matter of the Request of Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P., d/b/a SBC Missouri, for Competitive Classification Pursuant to Section 392.245.6, RSMo 2005 – 30-day Petition. )  
 ) **Case No. TO-2006-0093**  
 ) **Tariff File No. YI-2006-0144**  
 )

**NOTICE REGARDING SEPARATION OF**  
**REQUEST INTO TWO CASES**

Issue Date: September 2, 2005

On August 30, 2005, Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P., d/b/a SBC Missouri, filed its Petition for Competitive Classification. SBC Missouri notes that recent statutory changes to Section 392.245, RSMo (2005), provide for an expedited, two-track procedure when a price cap regulated incumbent local exchange company seeks competitive classification for its services within one or more exchanges. The two procedures are designed as a 30-day track and a 60-day track.

SBC Missouri's August 30<sup>th</sup> filing contains a request that the Commission, within 30 days, classify the business services in SBC Missouri's exchanges identified in Exhibit A-1 (designated as Highly Confidential), and the residential services in SBC Missouri's exchanges identified in Exhibit A-2 (HC), as competitive. The filing also requests that the Commission, within 60 days, classify the business services in SBC Missouri's exchanges identified in Exhibit B-1 (HC), and the residential services in SBC Missouri's exchanges identified in Exhibit B-2 (HC), as competitive. In addition, the petition requests that if, during the 30-day investigation (the 30-day track), the Commission rejects the

company's request for competitive classification for business or residential service in any of the SBC Missouri exchanges identified in Exhibit A-1 or Exhibit A-2, that the Commission include those services and exchanges in its 60-day investigation (the 60-day track), and grant competitive classification in that proceeding.

Upon review, the Commission finds that it would facilitate the review and resolution of the petition if the requests were addressed in two separate cases. Therefore, the Commission finds that the portion of the petition regarding the 30-day track (Tariff File No. YI-2006-0144) shall be addressed in Case No. TO-2006-0093, and the portion of the petition regarding the 60-day track (Tariff File No. YI-2006-0145) shall be addressed in a new case, Case No. TO-2006-0102.

**BY THE COMMISSION**



Colleen M. Dale  
Secretary

( S E A L )

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri,  
on this 2nd day of September, 2005.

Ruth, Senior Regulatory Law Judge