# BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Application of Farber Telephone Company	)	
for Approval of a Traffic Termination	)	Cose No. TO 2006 0202
Agreement under the Telecommunications	)	Case No. TO-2006-0292
Act of 1996	)	

## **STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

**COMES NOW** the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission (Staff) and for its recommendation respectfully states:

- 1. In the attached Memorandum, labeled Appendix A, the Staff recommends that the Missouri Public Service Commission grant approval of the Agreement characterized as a "Traffic Termination Agreement" between Farber Telephone Company and United States Cellular Corporation (the "Agreement"), filed by Farber Telephone Company under the provisions of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996.
- 2. The terms of the Agreement do not discriminate against telecommunications carriers not a party to the Agreement and are not against the public interest, convenience or necessity. Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §252(e), the Commission is to approve a negotiated interconnection agreement unless the terms of the agreement discriminate against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement, or implementation of the agreement or any portion thereof is inconsistent with the public interest, convenience, or necessity.
- 3. Staff further states that Farber Telephone Company submitted this negotiated Agreement pursuant to Section 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, and characterized the Agreement as a "Traffic Termination Agreement." Staff can find no reference in Section 252 to "Traffic Termination Agreement." Consequently, Staff recommends the Commission issue an

Order approving a wireless "interconnection agreement" and not an Order approving "Traffic Termination Agreement." The Commission has addressed this topic in a series of proceedings, consolidated for argument with the lead case of *Application of Kingdom Rural Telephone Company for Approval of a Traffic Termination Agreement under the Telecommunications Act of 1996*, Case No. IO-2003-0201, and found the classification of "traffic termination agreement" to be nonexistent. *See, e.g.*, Order Denying Motion for Correction, *In the Matter of the Application of Craw-Kan Telephone Cooperative for Approval of a Traffic Termination Agreement Under the Telecommunications Act of 1996*, Case No. IK-2003-0245 (Sept. 25, 2003).

WHEREFORE, because the terms of the Agreement satisfy the standard set forth in 47 U.S.C. §252(e), Staff recommends the Commission approve the Agreement as a Wireless Interconnection Agreement and direct the parties to submit any future modifications or amendments to the Agreement to the Commission for approval.

Respectfully submitted,

### /s/ David A. Meyer

David A. Meyer Senior Counsel Missouri Bar No. 46620

Attorney for the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission P. O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102
(573) 751-8706 (Telephone)
(573) 751-9285 (Fax)
david.meyer@psc.mo.gov

## **Certificate of Service**

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed, hand-delivered, transmitted by facsimile or electronically mailed to all counsel of record this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of February 2006.

#### /s/ David A. Mever

To:	Missouri Public Service Commission Official Case File				
	Case No.: <b>TO-2006-0292</b>				
From:	Arthur P. Kuss Telecommunications Department	Date: February 03, 2006			
	William L Voight 02/03/06	David A. Meyer 2/3/06			
	Utility Operations Division/Date	General Counsel's Office/Date			
	o many operations 2 1, 101011 2 and	Sentral Country Sinos But			
Subject:	Staff Recommendation for Approval of Interconnection Agreement				
Date Filed:	January 09, 2006	Staff Deadline: February 09, 2006			
Party:	Farber Telephone Company (Farber)				
Type of Certification:  None  Basic Local  Local (Restricted to Private Line)  Local (No Restrictions)  Interexchange					
Party:	<b>United States Cellular C</b>	Corporation (US Cellular)			
Type of Certification:  None Basic Local Local (Restricted to Private Line) Local (No Restrictions) Interexchange					
The Telecommunications Department Staff (Staff) recommends the Parties be granted approval of the submitted (may check more than one):					
	Resale Agreement				
	Facilities-based Interconnection Agr	reement			
$\boxtimes$	Wireless Interconnection Agreement				

The parties submitted the proposed Agreement to the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission) pursuant to the terms of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Act). Staff has reviewed the proposed Agreement and believes it meets the limited requirements of the Act. Specifically, the Agreement:

- 1) Does not discriminate against telecommunications carriers not party to the Agreement and
- 2) Is not against the public interest, convenience or necessity.

Staff recommends the Commission direct the Parties to submit any modifications or amendments to the Commission for approval.

## **Interconnection Agreement Review Items**

$\boxtimes$	No applications to intervene have been filed.	Intervention Deadline:	January 30, 2006		
$\boxtimes$	The Agreement has been signed by both Parties.				
	Staff does not have a serially numbered copy of the Agreement and recommends the Commission direct the Parties to submit a serially numbered copy of the Agreement.				
$\boxtimes$	Staff has a serially numbered copy of the Agreement.				
Annual Report & Assessment Information					
	The Parties are not delinquent in filing annual reports or payi	ing the PSC ass	essments.		
The Company is delinquent. Staff recommends the Commission grant the requested relief/action on the condition the applicant corrects the delinquency. The applicant should be instructed to make the appropriate filing in this case after it has corrected the delinquency.					
	No annual report. Unpaid PSC assessment.	Amount owed:			
	There is an attachment to this Appendix indicating additional considerations. See below.	l recommendati	ons or special		

### Attachment:

- 1. The Parties have submitted this negotiated Agreement pursuant to Section 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, and characterized the Agreement as a "Traffic Termination Agreement." Staff can find no reference in Section 252 to "Traffic Termination Agreement." Consequently, Staff recommends the Commission issue an Order approving a wireless "Interconnection Agreement" and not an Order approving a "Traffic Termination Agreement."
- 2. The Interconnection Agreement (IA) includes rate schedules addressing compensation for traffic transited by means of networks of third party telecommunications providers.
- 3. The Parties represent that a confidential concurrent agreement resolves all past claims related to traffic exchanged between the Parties (Section 5.4).

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Application of Farber Telephone Company for Approval of a Traffic Termination Agreement under the Telecommunications Act of 1996	) Case No. TO-2006-0292 )					
AFFIDAVIT OF	Arthur P. Kuss					
STATE OF MISSOURI ) ) ss:						
COUNTY OF COLE )						
Arthur P. Kuss, employee of the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission, being of lawful age and after being duly sworn, states that he has participated in the preparation of the accompanying Memorandum, and that the facts therein are true and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief.  Arthur P. Kuss						
Subscribed and affirmed before me this	3 <sup>rd</sup> day of February, 2006.					
I am commissioned as a Notary Public within the County of Cole, State of Missouri						
and my commission expires on _June 7,	2008					
	gels ). (Shuilers) NOTARY PUBLIC					

CARLA K. SCHNIEDERS
Notary Public - Notary Seal
State of Missouri
County of Cole
My Commission Exp. 06/07/2008