1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2	STATE OF MISSOURI
3	
4	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
5	Prehearing Conference
6	April 5, 2011
7	Jefferson City, Missouri
8	Volume 1
9	
10	The Staff of the Missouri)
	Public Service Commission,)
11	Complainant,)
)
12	vs.)
)
13	Dennis Kallash, Individually)
	And As Agent For Bennington,) File No. WC-2011-0253
14	Inc, and Bennington Water Inc.) and SC-2011-0254
	Toni Kallash, Individually And)
15	As Agent For Bennington, Inc.,)
	and Bennington Water, Inc.;)
16	Bennington, Inc., and)
	Bennington Water, Inc.)
17	Respondents.)
18	
	KENNARD L. JONES, Presiding
19	SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE
20	
21	
22	
23	
	REPORTED BY:
24	NANCY L. SILVA, RPR, CCR
	TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC
Ω Γ	

1	APPEARANCES
2	JAMES D. BURLISON
	McIlroy and Millan
3	103A Community Bank Plaza
	Troy, Missouri 63379
4	636.462.4505
	FOR: Respondents
5	
	CHRISTINA BAKER
6	Office of the Public Counsel
	200 Madison Street
7	P.O. Box 2230
	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
8	573.751.5565
	FOR: Public Counsel and the Public
9	
10	SAMUEL D. RITCHIE
	RACHEL LEWIS
11	Missouri Public Service Commission
	P.O. Box 360
12	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
	573.751.4140
13	FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public Service
	Commission
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	JUDGE JONES: This is Case
2	No. WC-2011-0253 and Case No. SC-2011-0254. My
3	name is Kennard Jones. I'm the regulatory law
4	judge presiding over this matter. At this time
5	let's take entries of appearances, beginning
6	with Staff, and because you have all turned in
7	your information, just state your name and who
8	you represent. Start with Staff.
9	MR. RITCHIE: Good morning.
10	Representing Staff, Sam Ritchie and Rachael
11	Lewis.
12	JUDGE JONES: Respondents.
13	MR. BURLISON: James Burlison,
14	McIlroy and Millan, representing all
15	Respondents.
16	JUDGE JONES: And Office of Public
17	Counsel.
18	MS. BAKER: Thank you. Christina
19	Baker representing the Office of the Public
20	Counsel.
21	JUDGE JONES: Before we get started,
22	the first thing I want I don't know that this
23	will go to hearing or not, but does anyone
24	object to consolidating these cases? Is that a
25	problem?

1	MR. RITCHIE: That's fine with us.
2	MR. BURLISON: No objection to that.
3	JUDGE JONES: No one foresees any
4	problem with that? Okay. So I'll issue an
5	order consolidating when I leave this prehearing
6	conference.
7	And have you all had an opportunity
8	to talk before today?
9	MR. RITCHIe: Yes, we have.
10	JUDGE JONES: You have. Good.
11	It seems to me that factual issues
12	are at issue. I don't see any I don't see
13	any legal arguments, not based on just those
14	facts. Now, there's one concern I have, and I
15	know that one of the issues has to do with the
16	number of incorporators and whether or not this
17	is a not-for-profit corporation.
18	I went on the Sectretary of State's
19	website. I pulled up the creation filing, and
20	it lists only three incorporators, but it's a
21	certificate for a nonprofit organization granted
22	from the Secretary of State. That could be an
23	issue.
24	Something else you-all might want to
25	think about, I may be adding more issues that

1	you-all had already skirted over I don't know
2	but in this nonprofit I looked at the I'm
3	assuming that the water companies probably mirror
4	that language, but it says, Five or more persons may
5	organize a nonprofit sewer company pursuant to those
6	sections mentioned in 393.825.
7	Unless you-all can show different, the
8	word "may" is a problem in the statute, but then it
9	says "five or more," so it's I don't know what the
10	legislation intended by that. Could it be five or
11	more? Why did they cite five or more? Why did they
12	say "may?" Why didn't they say "shall be five or
13	more," but I don't know if you-all thought about that
14	and whether it's even an issue, but it may be at some
15	point. I might give you a heads-up on that.
16	MR. BURLISON: Your Honor, I might note
17	that one of the corporations had three
18	incorporators. The other one had just one
19	incorporator.
20	JUDGE JONES: Okay.
21	MR. BURLISON: They were done at different
22	times, and neither one of them, I think, follows the
23	statutes, at least, to the extent of five or more.

MR. BURLISON: But there were two

24

JUDGE JONES: Okay.

- corporations established. 1 JUDGE JONES: All right. So the water had 3 three and the sewer had one? MR. BURLISON: I believe that's correct. 5 JUDGE JONES: Well, I have the order for the water, and it has three, so I'm assuming the 6 7 sewer has one. This is probably -- if there were five 8 9 incorporators, then there might also be an issue with the Commission statutes, which don't base whether a 10 11 company is under exclusion on whether or not they 12 have five or more incorporators, but rather they 13 operate for a gain, so the ministerial act of 14 incorporating, I don't think, is even considered in 15 our statute, but it would have to be written 16 consistent with 393, so that's something else you-all 17 may want to think about. 18 Do you-all believe this will have to go 19 to hearing? 20 MR. RITCHIE: I don't anticipate that it will. We're working with the Company here to resolve 21 this before it would come to hearing, and I think 22
- specifically on that, if you would like.

 MR. BURLISON: We're anticipating not

I'll let the Company comment a little more

23

1	having a hearing. We're exploring our options right
2	now. We had a meeting about a week and a half ago
3	with counsel and several employees of the Commission
4	about options, following through in coming into
5	compliance with the not-for-profit corporation
6	requirements or, in the alternative, becoming a
7	regulated utility
8	JUDGE JONES: Okay.
9	MR. BURLISON: for these activities.
10	We've had an opportunity to discuss that to some
11	degree in the last week and a half. I believe my
12	clients are interested in pursuing the regulated
13	industry option.
14	No final decisions have been made, and
15	we're moving to that end and, again, as Mr. Ritchie
16	indicated, I don't anticipate there will be a hearing
17	in this matter.
18	JUDGE JONES: It sounds like you-all may
19	be moving to a certificate case then, and well,
20	we'll deal with that when it happens.
21	MR. RITCHIE: I have prepared a proposed

MR. RITCHIE: I have prepared a proposed procedural schedule just so we can go along here, and this is just a draft, and I have discussed it with counsel for Respondents, and I think we might tweak the date that's set for the evidentiary hearing just

1	a little bit in the event that it does go, but just
2	for
3	JUDGE JONES: If you want me to see it,
4	just file it.
5	MR. RITCHIE: Okay.
6	JUDGE JONES: I will you know, I know
7	you're doing that just to move things forward, but if
8	you want to file the procedural schedule, that's
9	fine.
LO	And have you had an opportunity to review
L1	the Commission's rules, procedural rules, Chapter 2?
12	MR. BURLISON: I have.
13	JUDGE JONES: Okay. So when he files that
L 4	motion, by our rules you'll have ten days to
L5	respond. If you choose to if you don't respond,
L 6	then my inclination is we can grant anything that's
L7	asked for if someone doesn't respond to it.
18	MR. BURLISON: I understand.
L9	And also, as I understand, your previous
20	order indicated that we should attempt to provide a
21	joint request for an order, and I think that we
22	would. I've spoken with Mr. Richie in regard to
23	this. I don't have any problems with any of that, so
24	I would anticipate that it could be filed as a

joint --

1	JUDGE JONES: On behalf of all the
2	parties?
3	MR. BURLISON: I see no reason to do it
4	otherwise at this point.
5	JUDGE JONES: That's fine.
6	All right. I don't have anything
7	else. Is there anything else that you would
8	like to discuss on the record? Any other
9	concerns? Any questions?
10	MR. RITCHIE: I don't think from Staff
11	there is at this time.
12	JUDGE JONES: I'm seeing heads
13	shaking "no" for the record.
14	MR. BURLISON: I don't think so at
15	this point.
16	JUDGE JONES: Okay. Well, I'll leave
17	you-all to yourself, and good luck. Have a good
18	day.
19	And we're off the record.
20	(The hearing concluded.)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, Nancy L. Silva, CCR, within and for the State
of Missouri, do hereby certify that the witness
whose testimony appears in the foregoing
deposition was duly sworn by me; that the
testimony of said witness was taken by me to the
best of my ability and thereafter reduced to
typewriting under my direction; that I am neither
counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of
the parties to the action in which this deposition
was taken, and further, that I am not a relative
or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by
the parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise
interested in the outcome of the action.

Nancy L. Silva, CCR

<u> </u>				
A	6:25 7:9 8:12	3:24 4:5	facts 4:14	6:14
ability 10:8	8:18 9:3,14	corporation	factual 4:11	incorporator
act 6:13		4:17 7:5	file 1:13 8:4,8	5:19
	<u>C</u> .	corporations	filed 8:24	incorporators
action 10:11,16 activities 7:9	C 2:1	5:17 6:1	files 8:13	4:16,20 5:18
	case 3:1,2 7:19	correct 6:4	filing 4:19	6:9,12
adding 4:25	cases 3:24	counsel 2:6,8	final 7:14	indicated 7:16
Agent 1:13,15	CCR 1:24 10:3	3:17,20 7:3,24	financially	8:20
ago 7:2	10:20	10:10,14	10:15	Individually
alternative 7:6	certificate 4:21	Court 1:24 10:3	fine 4:1 8:9 9:5	1:13,14
anticipate 6:20	7:19 10:1	creation 4:19	first 3:22	industry 7:13
7:16 8:24	Certified 10:2	l	five 5:4,9,10,11	information 3:7
anticipating	certify 10:5	D		<u>, </u>
6:25	Chapter 8:11	D 2:2,10	5:12,23 6:8,12 following 7:4	intended 5:10 interested 7:12
appearances 3:5	choose 8:15	date 7:25	following 7:4	10:15
appears 10:5	Christina 2:5	day 9:18		issue 4:4,12,23
April 1:6	3:18	days 8:14	foregoing 10:4,6 foresees 4:3	5:14 6:9
arguments 4:13	cite 5:11	deal 7:20		
asked 8:17	City 1:7 2:7,12	decisions 7:14	forward 8:7	issues 4:11,15,25
assuming 5:3	clients 7:12	decisions 7.14	further 10:12	J
6:6	come 6:22	Dennis 1:13	G —	James 2:2 3:13
attempt 8:20	coming 7:4	different 5:7,21	gain 6:13	Jefferson 1:7
attorney 10:13	comment 6:23	direction 10:9	give 5:15	2:7,12
В	Commission 1:1	discuss 7:10 9:8	go 3:23 6:18	joint 8:21,25
	1:10 2:11,13	discussed 7:23	7:22 8:1	Jones 1:18 3:1,3
Baker 2:5 3:18	6:10 7:3	doing 8:7	good 3:9 4:10	3:12,16,21 4:3
3:19	Commission's	draft 7:23	9:17,17	4:10 5:20,24
Bank 2:3	8:11	duly 10:6	grant 8:16	6:2,5 7:8,18
base 6:10	Community 2:3		granted 4:21	8:3,6,13 9:1,5
based 4:13	companies 5:3	E	granted 1.21	9:12,16
becoming 7:6	company 5:5	E 2:1,1	<u>H</u>	judge 1:19 3:1,4
beginning 3:5	6:11,21,23	employed 10:11	half 7:2,11	3:12,16,21 4:3
behalf 9:1	Complainant	10:14	happens 7:20	4:10 5:20,24
believe 6:4,18	1:11	employee 10:13	heads 9:12	6:2,5 7:8,18
7:11	compliance 7:5	employees 7:3	heads-up 5:15	8:3,6,13 9:1,5
Bennington	concern 4:14	entries 3:5	hearing 3:23	9:12,16
1:13,14,15,15	concerns 9:9	established 6:1	6:19,22 7:1,16	
1:16,16	concluded 9:20	event 8:1	7:25 9:20 10:4	<u>K</u>
best 10:8	conference 1:5	evidentiary 7:25	10:6,12	Kallash 1:13,14
bit 8:1	4:6	exclusion 6:11	Honor 5:16	Kennard 1:18
Box 2:7,11	considered 6:14	exploring 7:1		3:3
Burlison 2:2	considered 0.14	extent 5:23	I	know 3:22 4:15
3:13,13 4:2	consolidating		inclination 8:16	5:1,9,13 8:6,6
5:16,21,25 6:4	CONSOUGACINE	F	incorporating	, , , , , , , , ,

				<u> </u>
L	note 5:16	problems 8:23	respond 8:15,15	spoken 8:22
L 1:18,24 10:2	not-for-profit	procedural 7:22	8:17	Staff 1:10 2:13
10:20	4:17 7:5	8:8,11	Respondents	3:6,8,10 9:10
language 5:4	number 4:16	PROCEEDIN	1:17 2:4 3:12	Start 3:8
law 1:19 3:3		1:4	3:15 7:24	started 3:21
leave 4:5 9:16	O	proposed 7:21	review 8:10	state 1:2 3:7
legal 4:13	object 3:24	provide 8:20	Richie 8:22	4:22
legislation 5:10	objection 4:2	Public 1:1,10	right 6:2 7:1 9:6	State's 4:18
let's 3:5	Office 2:6 3:16	2:6,8,8,11,13	Ritchie 2:10 3:9	statute 5:8 6:15
Lewis 2:10 3:11	3:19	3:16,19	3:10 4:1,9 6:20	statutes 5:23
lists 4:20	officer 10:3	pulled 4:19	7:15,21 8:5	6:10
little 6:23 8:1	Okay 4:4 5:20	pursuant 5:5	9:10	Street 2:6
LLC 1:24	5:24 7:8 8:5,13	pursuing 7:12	RPR 1:24 10:2	sworn 10:6
looked 5:2	9:16	P.O 2:7,11	10:20	
luck 9:17	operate 6:13	··	rules 8:11,11,14	T_
	opportunity 4:7	Q		take 3:5
<u>M</u>	7:10 8:10	questions 9:9	s	taken 10:4,7,12
Madison 2:6	option 7:13		S 2:1	talk 4:8
matter 3:4 7:17	options 7:1,4	<u>R</u>	Sam 3:10	ten 8:14
McIlroy 2:2	order 4:5 6:5	R 2:1	SAMUEL 2:10	testimony 10:5,7
3:14	8:20,21	Rachael 3:10	says 5:4,9	Thank 3:18
meeting 7:2	organization	RACHEL 2:10	schedule 7:22	thereto 10:14
mentioned 5:6	4:21	reason 9:3	8:8	thing 3:22
Millan 2:2 3:14	organize 5:5	record 9:8,13,19	SC-2011-0254	things 8:7
ministerial 6:13	outcome 10:16	reduced 10:9	1:14 3:2	think 4:25 5:22
mirror 5:3	P	regard 8:22	Secretary 4:22	6:14,17,22
Missouri 1:2,7		regulated 7:7,12	sections 5:6	7:24 8:21 9:10
1:10 2:3,7,11	P 2:1,1	regulatory 1:19 3:3	Sectretary 4:18	9:14
2:12,13	parties 9:2	i	see 4:12,12 8:3	thought 5:13
morning 3:9	10:11,14	related 10:10	9:3	three 4:20 5:17
motion 8:14	persons 5:4	relative 10:13	seeing 9:12	6:3,6
move 8:7	Plaza 2:3	REPORTED	SENIOR 1:19	TIGER 1:24
moving 7:15,19	point 5:15 9:4	1:23	Service 1:1,10	time 3:4 9:11
	9:15	Reporter 10:3	2:11,13	times 5:22
N	prehearing 1:5	REPORTING	set 7:25	today 4:8
N 2:1	4:5	1:24	sewer 5:5 6:3,7	Toni 1:14
name 3:3,7	prepared 7:21	represent 3:8	shaking 9:13	TRANSCRIPT
Nancy 1:24 10:2	presiding 1:18	representing	show 5:7	1:4
10:20	3:4	3:10,14,19	Silva 1:24 10:2	Troy 2:3
neither 5:22	previous 8:19	request 8:21	10:20	turned 3:6
10:10	probably 5:3 6:8	requirements	skirted 5:1	tweak 7:24
nonprofit 4:21	problem 3:25	7:6	sounds 7:18	two 5:25
5:2,5	4:4 5:8	resolve 6:21	specifically 6:24	typewriting 10:9
TANAMAN IN THE STATE OF THE STA	er en en en 1970 anna este en communicación de la communicación de la communicación de la communicación de la c		The second program of	

	l]	
<u>U</u>	360 2:11			
understand 8:18	393 6:16			
8:19	393.825 5:6			
utility 7:7	_			
	. 5			
<u>V</u>	5 1:6			
Volume 1:8	573.751.4140			
vs 1:12	2:12			
	573.751.5565			
W	2:8			
want 3:22 4:24	· <u>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · </u>			
6:17 8:3,8	6			
water 1:14,15,16	63379 2:3			
5:3 6:2,6	636.462.4505			
WC-2011-0253	2:4			
1:13 3:2	65102 2:7,12			
website 4:19				
week 7:2,11	8			
went 4:18	890 10:3			
we'll 7:20	1			
we're 6:21,25	•			
7:1,15 9:19				
We've 7:10				
witness 10:5,7				
word 5:8				
working 6:21				
written 6:15				
WILLIAM 0.13				
Y				
you-all 4:24 5:1				
5:7,13 6:16,18				
7:18 9:17				
1				
11:8				
103A 2:3				
2				
2 8:11				
200 2:6				
2011 1:6				
2230 2:7				
3				
	<u> </u>	<u></u>		<u> </u>
	4 4 14 18 11 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	A COLUMN TO THE OWNER OF THE PARTY OF THE PA	# 62 AND 1 AND 1 THE PARTY OF T	the state of the same of the s