Exhibit No.: Issues: Revenue Requirement; Net Base Fuel Costs; Historical Return on Equity; SO₂ Tracker; Pension & OPEB Tracker; Vegetation Management and Infrastructure Rule Compliance Costs Tracker Witness: Gary S. Weiss Sponsoring Party: Union Electric Company Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony Case No.: ER-2008-____ Date Testimony Prepared: April 4, 2008

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NO. ER-2008-____

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

GARY S. WEISS

ON

BEHALF OF

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a AmerenUE

St. Louis, Missouri April, 2008

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION1
II.	PURPOSE AND SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY
III.	REVENUE REQUIREMENT7
IV.	DETERMINATION OF NET BASE FUEL COSTS
V.	HISTORIC RETURN ON EQUITY
VI.	SO ₂ TRACKER
VII.	TRACKER FOR PENSION AND OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS33
VII	I. VEGETATION MANAGEMENT/INFRASTRUCTURE TRACKER
IX.	CONCLUSIONS

1	DIRECT TESTIMONY				
2	OF				
3	GARY S. WEISS				
4	CASE NO. ER-2008				
5	I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>				
6	Q. Please state your name and business address.				
7	A. Gary S. Weiss, Ameren Services Company ("Ameren Services"), One				
8	Ameren Plaza, 1901 Chouteau Avenue, St. Louis, Missouri 63103.				
9	Q. What is your position with Ameren Services?				
10	A. I am the Manager of Regulatory Accounting in the Controller's Function.				
11	Q. Please describe your educational background and work experience.				
12	A. My educational background consists of a Bachelor of Science Degree in				
13	Business Management from Southwest Missouri State University I received in 1968 and a				
14	Masters in Business Administration from Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville I				
15	received in 1977.				
16	I was employed by Union Electric Company in June of 1968 and was				
17	employed continuously until January 1, 1998, except for a two-year tour of duty with the				
18	United States Army. My work experience started at Union Electric as an accountant in the				
19	Controller's function. I worked as an accountant in the Internal Audit Department, General				
20	Accounting Department, and Property Accounting Department from 1968 through 1973. In				
21	1974 I was promoted to a Senior Accountant in the Internal Audit Department. In 1976 I was				
22	promoted to Supervisor in the Rate Accounting Department. The Rate Accounting				

Q.

1 Department was combined with the Plant Accounting Department in 1990 to form the Plant

2 and Regulatory Accounting Department.

Effective with the 1998 merger of Union Electric Company and Central Illinois Public Service Company into Ameren Corporation ("Ameren") I was employed by Ameren Services. In December 1998 the Regulatory Accounting Section, where I was then employed, was moved to the Financial Communications Department. Starting in October 2001 I became a direct report to the Controller. On February 16, 2003, I was promoted to Director, Regulatory Accounting and Depreciation. I was promoted to Manager of Regulatory Accounting on October 1, 2004, my current position.

10

Please describe your qualifications.

A. I have thirty years experience in the regulatory area of the public utility industry. I have submitted testimony concerning cost of service before the Missouri Public Service Commission, the Illinois Commerce Commission, the Iowa State Commerce Commission, and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. I have also provided antitrust testimony before the United States District Court in the Eastern District of Missouri.

16

Q. What are your responsibilities in your current position?

A. My duties as Manager of Regulatory Accounting include preparing cost of service studies and developing accounting exhibits and testimony for use in applications for rate changes for AmerenUE and the three utilities owned by Ameren that operate in Illinois. I provide assistance to the Senior Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer of Ameren and the Vice President/Controllers of both AmerenUE and Ameren regarding: (1) rate case and regulatory accounting, (2) the need for and the timing of rate changes and (3) the effect on financial forecasts of proposed rate changes. I conduct studies to determine the effect on

Q.

operating income of various accounting policies and practices, analyze the results and suggest appropriate rate changes. I prepare reports and exhibits regularly required by various regulatory commissions. I provide data, answer inquiries, arrange meetings, and otherwise assist representatives of regulatory commissions in conducting their audits and reviews. In addition I oversee the service request operations of Ameren Services.

6

II. <u>PURPOSE AND SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY</u>

7

What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?

8 The purpose of my testimony and attached Schedules GSW-E1 through A. 9 GSW-E19 is to develop the cost of service (revenue requirement) for the Missouri electric 10 operations of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE ("AmerenUE" or "Company"). The 11 revenue requirement determines the level of electric revenues required to pay operating 12 expenses, to provide for depreciation and taxes, and to permit our investors an opportunity to 13 earn a fair and reasonable return on their investment. Company witness William M. 14 Warwick uses this jurisdictional data as the starting point for his class cost of service study. 15 In addition, I provide testimony on the calculation of net base fuel costs, historic earned 16 returns, the operation of the sulfur dioxide ("SO₂") tracker and the trackers for pension and 17 other post-employment benefits. I also provide testimony relating to the Company's request 18 to implement a tracker for costs being incurred by the Company to comply with the 19 Commission's newly-adopted vegetation management and infrastructure inspection rules.

20

21

Q. What test year is the Company proposing to use to establish the revenue requirement in this proceeding?

A. The Company is proposing a test year consisting of the twelve months ended
March 31, 2008, utilizing nine months of actual and three months of forecasted information.

1 The Company proposes to update the test year for known and measurable changes through 2 June 30, 2008, and to true-up certain items through September 30, 2008. The Company is 3 proposing to true-up plant in service, depreciation reserve, accumulated deferred income 4 taxes, customer growth for revenues, actual fuel prices, wage increases and new employee 5 levels and depreciation expense. The three months of forecasted information will be updated 6 with actual data as that data becomes available, including audited financial data which can be 7 utilized to update the test year through June 30, 2008, which will be provided to all parties on 8 or before July 31, 2008. 9 **Q**. Have you prepared or have there been prepared under your direction 10 and supervision a series of schedules for presentation to the Commission in this 11 proceeding? 12 A. Yes. I am sponsoring Schedules GSW-E1 through GSW-E19. 13 What is the subject matter of these schedules? **Q**. 14 Schedules GSW-E1 through GSW-E19 develop the various elements of the A. 15 revenue requirement to be considered in arriving at the proper level of rates for the 16 Company's electric service based on the test year of twelve months ended March 31, 2008, 17 with pro forma adjustments and updates for known and measurable changes. In addition, I 18 have prepared an Executive Summary of my testimony attached hereto as Attachment A. 19 Will you please briefly summarize the information provided on each of Q. 20 the schedules you are presenting? 21 Each schedule provides the following information: A.

1	•	Schedule GSW-E1 – Original Cost of Plant by functional classification at					
2		March 31, 2008 per book and pro forma with the allocation of pro forma total					
3		electric plant to the Missouri jurisdiction.					
4	•	Schedule GSW-E2 - Reserves for Depreciation and Amortization by functional					
5		ssification at March 31, 2008 per book and pro forma with the allocation of the					
6		pro forma total electric reserve for depreciation and amortization to the Missouri					
7		jurisdiction.					
8	•	Schedule GSW-E3 – Average Fuel Inventories and Average Materials and					
9		Supplies Inventories at March 31, 2008 per book and pro forma with the					
10		allocation of the pro forma electric inventories to the Missouri jurisdiction.					
11	•	Schedule GSW-E4 - Average Prepayments at March 31, 2008 per book and					
12		pro forma with the allocation of the pro forma electric prepayments to the					
13		Missouri jurisdiction.					
14	•	Schedule GSW-E5 – Missouri Jurisdictional Cash Requirement (Lead/Lag					
15		Study) for the twelve months ended March 31, 2008.					
16	•	Schedule GSW-E6 – Missouri Jurisdictional Interest Expense Cash Requirement,					
17		Federal Income Tax Cash Requirement, State Income Tax Cash Requirement and					
18		City Earnings Tax Cash Requirement for the twelve months ended March 31,					
19		2008.					
20	•	Schedule GSW-E7 - Customer Advances for Construction and Customer Deposits					
21		reductions to rate base at March 31, 2008 applicable to the Missouri jurisdiction.					
22	•	Schedule GSW-E8 – Accumulated Deferred Taxes on Income at March 31, 2008					
23		and allocation to the Missouri jurisdiction.					

1	•	Schedule GSW-E9 - Pension and Other Post-Employment Benefits Regulatory
2		Liabilities at March 31, 2008 and allocation to the Missouri jurisdiction.
3	•	Schedule GSW-E10 - Electric Operating Revenues for Total Electric and
4		Missouri Jurisdiction for the twelve months ended March 31, 2008 per book and
5		pro forma.
6	•	Schedule GSW-E11 – Electric Operations and Maintenance Expenses, by
7		functional classifications for the twelve months ended March 31, 2008 updated
8		for certain known items, per book and pro forma. A description of each of the pro
9		forma adjustments is included, as well as the allocation of the total electric pro
10		forma operating and maintenance expenses to the Missouri jurisdiction.
11	•	Schedule GSW-E12 - Depreciation and Amortization Expenses applicable to
12		Electric Operations, by functional classification for the twelve months ended
13		March 31, 2008, per book and pro forma. A description of the pro forma
14		adjustments and the allocation of the total electric pro forma depreciation and
15		amortization expenses to the Missouri jurisdiction is included.
16	•	Schedule GSW-E13 – Taxes Other Than Income Taxes, for the twelve months
17		ended March 31, 2008 per book and pro forma. A description of the pro forma
18		adjustments and the allocation of the total electric pro forma taxes other than
19		income to the Missouri jurisdiction are included.
20	•	Schedule GSW-E14 – Income Tax Calculation at the proposed rate of return and
21		statutory tax rates for total electric and the Missouri jurisdiction.
22	•	Schedule GSW-E15 - The development of the fixed (demand) allocation factor
23		for the Missouri jurisdiction.

1	• Schedule GSW-E16 - The development of the variable allocation factor for the					
2	Missouri jurisdiction.					
3	• Schedule GSW-E17 - The development of the labor allocation factor for the					
4	Missouri jurisdiction.					
5	• Schedule GSW-E18 - The Original Cost Rate Base at March 31, 2008 applicable					
6	to the Missouri jurisdiction and the Missouri jurisdictional Revenue Requirement					
7	for the pro forma twelve months ended March 31, 2008.					
8	• Schedule GSW-E19 - Increase Required to Produce an 8.311% Return on Net					
9	Original Cost Rate Base for the pro forma twelve months ended March 31, 2008.					
10	Q. Were these schedules prepared on the same basis as schedules which were					
11	presented in connection with previous applications to this Commission for authority to					
	increase electric rates?					
12	nicrease electric rates:					
12 13	A. Yes, except as otherwise noted, they were. Specifically, like the schedules					
13	A. Yes, except as otherwise noted, they were. Specifically, like the schedules					
13 14	A. Yes, except as otherwise noted, they were. Specifically, like the schedules used in the Company's last rate proceeding, Case No. ER-2007-0002, these schedules were					
13 14 15	A. Yes, except as otherwise noted, they were. Specifically, like the schedules used in the Company's last rate proceeding, Case No. ER-2007-0002, these schedules were prepared using a test year that includes three months of budgeted data with certain pro forma					
13 14 15 16	A. Yes, except as otherwise noted, they were. Specifically, like the schedules used in the Company's last rate proceeding, Case No. ER-2007-0002, these schedules were prepared using a test year that includes three months of budgeted data with certain pro forma adjustments through the update period ending June 30, 2008.					
13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes, except as otherwise noted, they were. Specifically, like the schedules used in the Company's last rate proceeding, Case No. ER-2007-0002, these schedules were prepared using a test year that includes three months of budgeted data with certain pro forma adjustments through the update period ending June 30, 2008. III. <u>REVENUE REQUIREMENT</u>					
 13 14 15 16 17 18 	 A. Yes, except as otherwise noted, they were. Specifically, like the schedules used in the Company's last rate proceeding, Case No. ER-2007-0002, these schedules were prepared using a test year that includes three months of budgeted data with certain pro forma adjustments through the update period ending June 30, 2008. III. <u>REVENUE REQUIREMENT</u> Q. What do you mean by "revenue requirement"? 					
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 	 A. Yes, except as otherwise noted, they were. Specifically, like the schedules used in the Company's last rate proceeding, Case No. ER-2007-0002, these schedules were prepared using a test year that includes three months of budgeted data with certain pro forma adjustments through the update period ending June 30, 2008. III. <u>REVENUE REQUIREMENT</u> Q. What do you mean by "revenue requirement"? A. The revenue requirement of a utility is the sum of operating and maintenance 					

Q.

1 as significant changes that are known or reasonably certain to occur, it is necessary to make 2 certain "pro forma" adjustments.

3 The revenue requirement represents the total funds (revenues) that must be 4 collected by the Company if it is to pay employees and suppliers, satisfy tax liabilities, and 5 provide a return to investors. To the extent that current revenues are less than the revenue 6 requirement, a rate increase is required. This is the purpose of this proceeding.

7

Why is it necessary to make pro forma adjustments to the test year?

8 It is an axiom in ratemaking that rates are set for the future. In order for A. 9 newly authorized rates to have the opportunity to produce the allowed rate of return during the 10 period they are in effect, it is sometimes necessary that the test year data be adjusted so that it 11 is representative of future operating conditions. This requires pro forma adjustments to reflect 12 known and measurable changes.

13

Q. Please explain Schedule GSW-E1.

14 Schedule GSW-E1 shows the recorded original cost of electric plant by A. 15 functional classification at March 31, 2008 along with the estimated plant additions through 16 June 30, 2008. This schedule also shows the allocation of the total pro forma electric plant to 17 the Missouri jurisdiction.

18

Q. Why is it necessary to allocate the total electric plant to the Missouri 19 jurisdiction on this schedule and the other schedules?

20 A. AmerenUE provides service to retail Missouri jurisdictional customers as well 21 as sales for resale customers which are regulated by the Federal Energy Regulatory 22 Commission ("FERC"). Therefore it is necessary to allocate certain plant, rate base items,

- revenues and operating expenses between the Missouri retail jurisdictional customers and the
 sales for resale customers.
- Q. Are the Company's plant accounts recorded on the basis of original cost
 as defined by the Uniform System of Accounts prescribed by this Commission?
- 5 A. Yes, they are.
- Q. Please explain the elimination of the plant balances related to Financial
 Accounting Standard ("FAS") 143 Accumulated Retirement Obligation shown as the
 first adjustment on Schedule GSW-E1-1.
- 9 A. FAS 143 is basically a financial reporting requirement to reflect the fact that 10 the Company has a legal obligation to remove certain facilities in the future. Since 11 AmerenUE is regulated and collects removal costs through its rates, this adjustment to plant 12 of \$68,918,000 is eliminated for ratemaking purposes.
- 13

Q. Why is the Company including plant additions through June 30, 2008?

14 The Company is spending tens of millions of dollars on infrastructure A. 15 replacements and improvements, at levels substantially in excess of levels typically observed 16 in recent periods. In order to provide the Company an opportunity to earn a fair and 17 reasonable return on its total investment, it is necessary for the cost of service to reflect as 18 closely as possible the level of the Company's investment at the time the new rates will 19 become effective. Adjustment 2 adds the plant in service additions from April through June 20 2008 of \$212,647,000. The Company anticipates additional plant in service additions of 21 approximately \$88,900,000 through the end of the proposed true-up period, September 30, 22 2008.

1

Q. Please explain Adjustments 3 and 4 to plant in service.

2 Adjustment 3 adds to plant in service the expenditures for the Callaway 2 A. 3 Construction and Operating License Application that will be filed in June 2008. As of 4 June 30, 2008 the Company will have spent \$46,955,000 on the preparation and filing of this 5 Application, and expects to spend an additional approximately \$4,400,000 through the 6 proposed true-up period. In addition, the Company is preparing a filing for the Callaway 1 7 License Extension. Adjustment 4 for \$369,000 reflects the expenditures through June 30, 8 2008 for the Callaway 1 License Extension filing. The Company expects to spend an 9 additional \$190,000 on this extension filing through the proposed true-up period.

10 Q. Please explain the elimination of items of General Plant applicable to gas 11 operations.

A. General Plant facilities such as general office buildings and equipment, the central warehouse, the central garage, and computers and office equipment are used in both the electric and gas operations. For convenience, such facilities are accounted for as electric plant. Adjustment 5 eliminates the portion of the multi-use general plant applicable to the Company's gas operations of \$6,634,000.

Q. After reflecting the above pro forma adjustments, what amount of electric plant in service is the Company proposing to include in rate base?

A. As shown on Schedule GSW-E1 the total electric plant in service is
\$12,260,203,000 with \$12,144,653,000 allocable to the Missouri jurisdiction.

1

Q. Please explain Schedule GSW-E2.

A. Schedule GSW-E2 shows the reserve for depreciation and amortization at
March 31, 2008, by functional group. It also indicates the pro forma adjustments. Finally,
Schedule GSW-E2 allocates the total electric pro forma balances to the Missouri jurisdiction.

5

Q. What pro forma adjustments were made to the reserve for depreciation?

6 A. The following adjustments were made to the reserve for depreciation on7 Schedule GSW-E2.

8 Adjustment 1 eliminates \$83,193,000 from the depreciation reserve related to 9 FAS 143 Accumulated Retirement Obligation. The plant related to FAS 143 was removed 10 from rate base in Adjustment 1 to plant in service.

11 Adjustment 2 increases the depreciation reserve by \$162,180,000 to reflect the 12 deprecation reserve increase on the March plant in service for the update through June 30, 13 2008.

Adjustment 3 adjusts the depreciation reserve by \$5,001,000 for the pro forma plant additions to plant in service for April through June, 2008, the update period. Reflecting the plant additions through the proposed true-up period would increase the depreciation reserve by approximately \$2,600,000.

Adjustment 4 increases the depreciation reserve by \$3,635,000 to eliminate
the impact of the Taum Sauk removal costs recorded through March 31, 2008.

Finally, Adjustment 5 eliminates the accumulated amortization and depreciation reserve of \$3,272,000 for the multi-use general plant applicable to gas operations and corresponds to Adjustment 5 made to the plant accounts in Schedule GSW-E1.

Q.

1 The pro forma accumulated provision for depreciation and amortization as 2 shown on Schedule GSW-E2 applicable to total electric plant in service is \$5,393,199,000 3 and the Missouri jurisdictional amount is \$5,342,894,000.

4

Please explain Schedule GSW-E3.

5 Schedule GSW-E3 shows the average investment in fuel inventories and A. 6 materials and supplies at March 31, 2008. Fuel consists of nuclear fuel, coal and minor 7 amounts of oil and stored natural gas used for electric generation. General materials and 8 supplies include such items as poles, cross arms, wire, cable, line hardware and general 9 supplies. A thirteen-month average is used for all of these items except nuclear fuel and coal 10 inventories. An eighteen-month average is used for the nuclear fuel since the Callaway 11 Nuclear Plant is refueled every eighteen months. The coal inventory has been adjusted by 12 \$33,888,000 to reflect 65 days of maximum burn priced at the current cost. With the 13 interruptions encountered in receiving deliveries of low sulfur coal from the Powder River 14 Basin in Wyoming, the Company has made the decision to increase its coal inventory to this 15 level. See the direct testimony of Company witness Robert K. Neff for additional testimony 16 on the coal inventory.

Pro forma adjustments 2 and 3 shown on Schedule GSW-E3 remove the average propane inventory (\$175,000) and the portion of the average general materials and supplies inventory (\$1,624,000) applicable to the Company's Missouri gas operations.

20

21

Q. What is the amount of the pro forma materials and supplies applicable to electric operations?

Q.

A. The pro forma materials and supplies applicable to total electric operations, as shown on Schedule GSW-E3, is \$324,284,000, with the amount applicable to the Missouri jurisdiction being \$319,859,000.

4

Q. Please explain the average prepayments shown on Schedule GSW-E4.

A. Certain rents, insurance, assessments of state regulatory commissions, freight charges for coal, service agreements, medical and dental voluntary employee beneficiary association (veba) and coal car leases are paid in advance. The thirteen-month average balances of total electric prepayments at March 31, 2008, after eliminating the portion applicable to gas operations, are \$16,009,000. The prepayments allocated to the Missouri jurisdiction are \$15,815,000 as shown on Schedule GSW-E4.

11

Please explain Schedule GSW-E5.

A. Schedule GSW-E5 shows the calculation of the Missouri jurisdictional cash working capital requirement based on a lead/lag study for the pro forma twelve months ended March 31, 2008 of \$8,559,000. The development of the various revenue and expense leads and lags is explained in the direct testimony of Company witness Michael J. Adams from Concentric Energy Advisors.

17

Q. What appears on Schedule GSW-E6?

A. The Missouri jurisdictional interest expense cash requirement, the federal income tax cash requirement, the state income tax cash requirement and the city earnings tax cash requirement are shown on Schedule GSW-E6. The payment lead times for these items are developed in the testimony of Mr. Adams. However, the payment lead time for the interest expense was calculated by Mr. Adams based on the Company's methodology.

1

Q. How was the lead time on the interest expense calculated?

A. The lead time on the interest expense was calculated as the mid-point of six months (i.e., 365/2/2 or 91.25 days) plus a half day to account for the mid-point of the day on which the interest payment was made.

5

6

Q. Did the Company direct Mr. Adams to employ this approach when calculating the interest expense lead time?

A. Yes, I directed Mr. Adams to follow this approach. This approach is consistent with that used by the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission in previous cases. For purposes of this proceeding, the Company believes that the approach described above most accurately reflects the timing of cash flows related to the payment of the Company's interest expense.

12

13

Q. What is the cash requirement for the interest expense, the federal income taxes, the state income taxes and city earnings tax?

A. The expense leads for the interest expense, the federal income taxes, the state income taxes and the city earnings tax are greater than the revenue lags. This results in a negative cash requirement for the Missouri jurisdiction of (\$23,246,000) for the interest expense, (\$468,000) for federal income taxes, (\$74,000) for state income taxes and (\$228,000) for city earnings tax.

19

Q. What items are shown on Schedule GSW-E7?

A. The thirteen-month average balances at March 31, 2008 for the Missouri jurisdictional customer advances for construction and customer deposits are shown on Schedule GSW-E7. These items represent cash provided by customers that can be used by

the Company until they are refunded. Therefore, the average balances for the customer
 advances for construction and customer deposits are reductions to the Company's rate base.

Customer advances for construction are cash advances made by customers that are subject to refund to the customer in whole or in part. These advances provide the Company cash that offsets the cost of the construction until they are refunded. The Missouri jurisdictional thirteen-month average balance of electric customer advances for construction at March 31, 2008 is (\$3,257,000).

8 Customer deposits are cash deposits made by customers which are subject to 9 refund to the customer if the customer develops a good payment record. The Company pays 10 interest on the deposits, which is shown as a customer account expense on Schedule 11 GSW-E11. The Missouri jurisdictional thirteen-month average balance of electric customer 12 deposits at March 31, 2008 is (\$14,204,000).

13

Q. Please explain Schedule GSW-E8.

A. Schedule GSW-E8 lists the accumulated deferred income taxes applicable to total electric operations and Missouri jurisdictional electric operations at March 31, 2008. Accumulated deferred income taxes are the net result of normalizing the tax benefits resulting from timing differences between the periods in which transactions affect taxable income and the periods in which such transactions affect the determination of pre-tax income.

Currently the Company has deferred income taxes in Accounts 190, 282 and 283. As shown on Schedule GSW-E8 the total electric accumulated deferred income tax balance at March 31, 2008 is a net balance of (\$1,205,510,000) and the Missouri

jurisdictional amount is (\$1,191,761,000). The net deferred income taxes are a deduction
 from the rate base.

3

Q. What is shown on Schedule GSW-E9?

A. Schedule GSW-E9 shows the pension and other post-employment benefits regulatory liability balances at March 31, 2008 due to the trackers for these items approved in Case No. ER-2007-0002. Section VII of this testimony further details these trackers and their operation. The total balances of these regulatory liabilities is (\$13,316,000) with (\$13,173,000) applicable to the Missouri jurisdiction. As these items are regulatory liabilities, they reduce the rate base.

- Q. What is the Company's Missouri jurisdictional pro forma net original
 cost electric rate base at March 31, 2008?
- A. The Missouri jurisdictional electric rate base as shown on Schedule GSW-E18
 is \$5,899,581,000 consisting of:

14		In Thousands of \$
15	Original Cost of Property & Plant	\$12,144,653
16	Less Reserve for Depreciation & Amortization	5,342,894
17	Net Original Cost of Property & Plant	6,801,759
18	Average Materials & Supplies	319,859
19	Average Prepayments	15,815
20	Cash Requirement (Lead/Lag)	8,559
21	Interest Expense Cash Requirement	(23,246)
22	Federal Income Tax Cash Requirement	(468)
23	State Income Tax Cash Requirement	(74)

1	City Earnings Tax	(228)
2	Average Customer Advances for Construction	(3,257)
3	Average Customer Deposits	(14,204)
4	Accumulated Deferred Taxes on Income	(1,191,761)
5	Pension and Other Post-Employment Benefits Reg. Liab.	(13,173)
6	Total Missouri Jurisdictional Electric Rate Base	<u>\$ 5,899,581</u>

7

Q. Please explain Schedule GSW-E10.

A. Schedule GSW-E10 shows total electric and Missouri jurisdictional operating revenues per book and pro forma for the twelve months ended March 31, 2008. The actual revenues for April 2007 through December 2007 along with the forecasted revenues for January through March 2008 were used to develop the twelve months ended March 31, 2008 revenues.

Q. Please explain the pro forma adjustments to the Missouri jurisdictional operating revenues shown on Schedule GSW-E10.

15 A. The following pro forma adjustments are shown on Schedule GSW-E10: Adjustment 1 eliminates the gross receipts taxes of \$102,610,000 from revenues as they are 16 17 add-on taxes that are passed through by the Company. Adjustment 2 eliminates the unbilled 18 revenues of \$3,662,000 to reflect the book revenues on a bill cycle basis. Since the unbilled revenues were negative, this results in an increase to the revenues. Since new retail rates 19 20 (resulting from Case No. ER-2007-0002) were effective in June 2007, Adjustment 3 21 increases revenues \$1,389,000 to annualize the effect of the new rates. The revenues were 22 reduced in Adjustment 4 by \$80,416,000 to reflect normal weather. The sales and revenues 23 for the twelve months ended March 31, 2008 were higher than normal. See the direct

testimony of Company witness Steven M. Wills for the weather normalization methodology 1 2 utilized by the Company. Adjustment 5 increases revenues by \$8,542,000 to reflect customer 3 growth through March 31, 2008. Additional customer growth through June 30, 2008 of 4 \$5,365,000 is reflected in Adjustment 6. Since February 2008 has 29 days, revenues are 5 reduced by \$5,291,000 to reflect a normal 365 day test year in Adjustment 7. Adjustment 8 6 reduces revenues \$4,485,000 to synchronize the book revenues with the revenues developed 7 by Company witness James R. Pozzo in his billing unit rate analysis and discussed in 8 Mr. Pozzo's direct testimony. The transmission revenues included in "other revenues" on 9 Schedule GSW-E9 were reduced by \$7,940,000 in Adjustment 9 to reflect the elimination of 10 certain transmission revenue items during the test year. I would also note that I would expect 11 an additional revenue adjustment for customer growth (of approximately \$7,635,000) to be 12 necessary in connection with the proposed September 30, 2008 true-up.

13

Q. What is the system revenues included on Schedule GSW-E10?

14 A. System revenues include rents received from the rental of Company buildings 15 and agricultural land, off-system facilities charges plus the revenues from the Meramec Coal 16 Terminal. Since these revenues are generated by Company assets which are accounted for 17 "above the line" and paid for by all customers, these revenues are removed from the 18 jurisdiction where received and then the total is allocated to jurisdictions based on a fixed 19 allocation factor. The total system revenues of \$25,950,000 are removed from the Missouri 20 jurisdiction revenues with \$25,538,000 being allocated back to the Missouri jurisdiction 21 revenues.

1

Q. Are the revenues from off-system sales included on Schedule GSW-E10?

2 Yes, Adjustment 10 on Schedule GSW-E10 reduces the actual off-system A. 3 sales revenues from energy by \$8,803,000 to reflect a normal level of off-system sales and 4 revenues calculated using a normal market price. In addition, Adjustment 11 increases the 5 off-system revenues from the sales of capacity by \$8,244,000 to reflect a normal level of 6 capacity sales, additional capacity sales with the Taum Sauk Plant in service and the value of 7 capacity revenues in ancillary service contracts. The direct testimony of Company witness 8 Shawn E. Schukar develops the normal market prices for the off-system sales of energy and 9 the value of the capacity sales. The production cost model (PROSYM) explained in the 10 direct testimony of Company witness Timothy D. Finnell develops the normal off-system 11 sales volumes and revenues. If customer growth is increased to reflect the proposed true-up 12 period, the off-system sales revenues would decrease.

13

Q. What are the Missouri jurisdiction pro forma electric operating revenues for the twelve months ended March 31, 2008?

A. The Missouri jurisdiction pro forma electric operating revenues for the twelve months ended March 31, 2008 are \$2,620,659,000 including the allocation of the system revenues and the off-system sales revenues.

18

14

Q. Please describe what is shown on Schedule GSW-E11.

A. The total electric operating and maintenance expenses for the twelve months ended March 31, 2008, are shown per books by functional classification; a listing of the pro forma adjustments is provided; and finally, the allocation of the total electric pro forma operating and maintenance expenses to the Missouri jurisdiction is shown on Schedule GSW-E11. The actual operating and maintenance expenses for the period from April 2007

1 through December 2007 along with the forecasted operating and maintenance expenses for 2 the period from January through March 2008 were used to develop the twelve months ended 3 March 31, 2008 operating and maintenance expenses.

4

Q. Will you please explain the pro forma adjustments to electric operating 5 expenses for the year ending March 31, 2008?

6

A. A summary of the pro forma adjustments to operating expenses appear on 7 Schedule GSW-E11.

8 Adjustment 1 reflects the increased labor expense from annualizing the 9 average 3.47% wage increase for management employees effective January 1 and April 1, 10 2008 and the 3.00% wage increase for the Company's union employees effective July 1, 2008 11 per the labor contracts. The annualized increase in the total electric operating labor resulting 12 from the above increases is \$9,175,000. Incentive compensation was subtracted out of the 13 calculation of the wage increase as the wage increases only apply to base wages.

14 The test year incentive compensation is reduced by \$1,927,000 in 15 Adjustment 2 to eliminate the incentive compensation of the Ameren Services officers 16 allocated to AmerenUE and the AmerenUE officers.

17 Adjustment 3 is an increase in labor expense of \$5,333,000 to reflect new 18 employees being hired at AmerenUE. These new employees include additional linemen, new 19 apprentice linemen, additional underground service workers and employees for the energy 20 efficiency operations.

21 22

Adjustments 4 and 5 reflect increases in fuel expense of \$72,584,000 and \$513,000 respectively to reflect normalized billed kilowatt-hour ("kWh") sales and output

with customer growth through March 31, 2008 and additional customer growth through
 June 30, 2008.

Adjustment 6 is a decrease in purchased power expense of \$68,647,000 to reflect the normalized billed kWh sales and output with customer growth through March 31, Adjustment 7 is an increase in purchased power expenses of \$527,000 to reflect customer growth through June 30, 2008.

7 The increases and the decreases in the fuel cost and the purchased power 8 expense contained in Adjustments 4 through 7 were calculated by Mr. Finnell using the 9 PROSYM production cost model. His direct testimony details the inputs and assumptions 10 used in the PROSYM Model. The purchased power expenses also include the MISO power 11 market charges.

Adjustment 8 is required to reflect a normal level of fuel additive expenses that were previously included in the fuel expense but are now reflected as other operating expenses. This adjustment for \$1,571,000 reflects the fuel additives expenses not included in the test year fuel expense.

16 Adjustment 9 is an increase in the production expenses of 6,946,000 to 17 reflect the expenses in the SO₂ tracker that was ordered in Case No. ER-2007-0002. Later in 18 my testimony I will explain the operation of the SO₂ tracker and the development of this 19 amount.

Adjustment 10 is a reduction to the production expense to remove one-third of the Spring 2007 Callaway Nuclear Plant refueling expenses other than replacement power. This adjustment is required because the test year included the full cost of a Callaway refueling outage which only occurs every eighteen months. Therefore, in order to reflect

only twelve months of operating and maintenance expenses, it is necessary to only include two-thirds of the Callaway Plant refueling expense. The production expenses are reduced by \$8,625,000 for outside contractors' maintenance expenses and \$2,634,000 for incremental overtime expense. This is a total reduction of \$11,259,000. The impact on replacement power and purchased power is part of the fuel and purchased power adjustment in Adjustments 4 through 7. The inputs for the PROSYM Model included two-thirds of a Callaway outage.

Adjustment 11 increases operating expenses at the Osage Plant (Bagnall Dam) by \$4,332,000 to reflect the removal of these expenses related to the additional fees paid to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission for Head Water Benefits. In Case No. ER-2007-0002, these expenses were removed from operating expenses and are being amortized over twenty-five years. This adjustment was recorded on the Company's books in June 2007; thus in order to reflect a full year's operating expenses in the test year this adjustment must be eliminated.

Adjustment 12 reduces operating expenses to remove the expenses related to the Taum Sauk reservoir failure and clean-up activities that were recorded in the test year operating expenses. This adjustment reduces operating expenses by \$1,599,000.

Adjustment 13 increases distribution expenses by \$4,000,000 for storm cost removed from operating expenses in Case No. ER-2007-0002. These storm costs are being amortized over five years. This adjustment to the distribution expenses was recorded on the Company's books in June 2007 and must be added back to reflect a full year's distribution expenses in the test year.

1 Adjustment 14 is an increase of \$2,536,000 for additional tree trimming 2 expenses. In Case No. ER-2007-0002, an annual tree trimming expense of \$45,000,000 was 3 approved. During the test year the tree trimming expenses were \$47,464,000. The Company 4 is proposing \$50,000,000 to be the normal level of tree trimming which reflects an increase 5 of the test year costs and includes costs associated with the Company's compliance with the 6 vegetation management rules that the Commission recently approved. See the direct 7 testimony of Company witness Richard J. Mark for additional details of the Company's tree 8 trimming program.

Adjustments 15 through 19 include the annualized amounts for the PowerOn operating expenses of \$6,860,000, distribution system inspections of \$1,385,000, reliability programs of \$3,842,000, underground inspections and maintenance of \$3,730,000 and streetlight inspections and maintenance of \$1,100,000. These program and expenses in Adjustments 16 through 19 are necessary to reflect the cost of meeting the mandated infrastructure rule standards. See the direct testimony of Richard J. Mark for additional details of these programs.

Adjustment 20 is an increase in customer accounting expenses to reflect interest expense at 8.50% on the average customer deposit balance. The average customer deposit balance at March 31, 2008 is deducted from the rate base. The interest expense added to the customer accounting expenses is \$1,207,000.

Administrative and general expenses are reduced by \$15,424,000 in Adjustment 21 for the cost of the replacement power insurance purchased from the captive insurance company. Likewise, no insurance recoveries from this replacement power insurance have been reflected.

1 Adjustment 22 increases administrative and general expenses by \$4,520,000 2 to reflect the increases in the major medical and other employee benefit expenses to 3 annualize the calendar year 2008 employee benefits expenses. No adjustments are required 4 for pensions and other post-employment benefits ("OPEB") as they are being handled with 5 trackers approved in Case No. ER-2007-0002. Increasing the employee benefit costs to the 6 2008 annual level matches the pro forma labor adjustment in Adjustment 1.

7 Finally, administrative and general expenses are increased to reflect the 8 expenses that have been and will be incurred to prepare and litigate this rate increase filing 9 (rate case expense) in Adjustment 23. The Company's estimated additional expenses 10 applicable to this electric rate case are \$3,600,000. In addition, after the Report and Order 11 was issued in Case No. ER-2007-0002, an entry was made on the Company's books in June 12 2007 recording \$1,100,000 of rate case expenses. These rate case expenses applicable to the 13 last rate case must be removed from the current test year expenses. Adjustment 23 reflects 14 the net rate case expense of \$2,500,000.

15

Q. What is the impact on total electric operating and maintenance expenses 16 from the above pro forma adjustments?

17 A. As shown on Schedule GSW-E11, the total electric operating and 18 maintenance expenses are increased from \$1,725,350,000 to \$1,759,157,000 or a total net 19 increase of \$33,807,000 by the above pro forma adjustments.

20

21

Q. What amount of the total electric pro forma operating and maintenance expenses is applicable to the Missouri jurisdiction?

22 A. As shown on Schedule GSW-E11-4, \$1,737,809,000 of the total pro forma 23 electric operating and maintenance expenses is applicable to the Missouri jurisdiction.

1

Q. What is shown on Schedule GSW-E12?

2 Schedule GSW-E12 shows the depreciation and amortization expenses by A. 3 functional classifications for the twelve months ended March 31, 2008, per book and pro 4 forma, and the allocation of the total electric pro forma depreciation and amortization 5 expenses to the Missouri jurisdiction.

6

Q. What pro forma adjustments apply to the depreciation and amortization 7 expenses?

- 8 Schedule GSW-E12-2 details the following pro forma adjustments to the A. 9 depreciation and amortization expenses.
- 10 Adjustment 1 eliminates the portion of the depreciation and amortization 11 expenses for multi-use general facilities applicable to gas operations of \$186,000. The 12 related plant is removed from the electric general plant on Schedule GSW-E1.
- 13 Adjustment 2 increases depreciation expense by \$4,083,000 to reflect the 14 book depreciation annualized for the plant in service depreciable balances at March 31, 2008.
- 15 Depreciation expense is increased by \$4,901,000 in Adjustment 3 to reflect a 16 full year's depreciation expense at the book depreciation rates on the additions to plant in 17 service from April through June 2008. The depreciation expense would increase by 18 approximately \$2,600,000 if the proposed true-up for plant additions through September 30, 19 2008 is accepted.
- 20 Amortization expense is decreased by \$898,000 in Adjustment 4 to reflect the 21 revised amortization period for Missouri merger costs and Year 2000 ("Y2K") costs per the 22 Report and Order in Case No. ER-2007-0002.

1	Adjustment 5 increases the amortization expense by \$200,000 to reflect the				
2	annualized amortization of storm costs per the Report and Order in Case No. ER-2007-0002.				
3	Adjustment 6 is an increase of \$4,942,000 in the amortization expense to				
4	reflect the first year's amortization of the January 2007 ice storm per the Application for an				
5	Accounting Authority Order filed by the Company November 5, 2007. The Company				
6	proposes that the five year amortization of these costs should start with the effective date of				
7	the new rates approved for this rate filing.				
8	The amortization expense is decreased by \$2,663,000 in Adjustment 7 to				
9	reflect the five year amortization of the pension and OPEB regulatory liability balance at				
10	March 31, 2008 per the trackers approved in Case No. ER-2007-0002. I will provide				
11	additional testimony on these trackers later in my testimony.				
12	Finally, amortization expense is increased by \$1,300,000 in Adjustment 8 to				
13	reflect the ten year amortization of the Energy Efficiency regulatory asset established in Case				
14	No. ER-2007-0002.				
15	Q. What are the total electric pro forma depreciation and amortization				
16	expenses and what is the amount applicable to the Missouri jurisdiction?				
17	A. As reported on Schedule GSW-E12 the total electric pro forma depreciation				
18	and amortization expenses are \$331,375,000 with \$328,502,000 allocated to the Missouri				
19	jurisdiction.				
20	Q. Please explain Schedule GSW-E13.				
21	A. Schedule GSW-E13 shows the taxes other than income taxes for the twelve				
22	months ended March 31, 2008, per book and pro forma, and the allocation of the total				
23	electric pro forma taxes other than income to the Missouri jurisdiction.				

1	Q.	Please list the pro forma adjustments required to arrive at the total				
2	electric pro forma taxes other than income taxes as detailed on Schedule GSW-E13.					
3	А.	The following pro forma adjustments detailed on Schedule GSW-E13 are				
4	required to ar	rive at the total electric pro forma taxes other than income taxes.				
5		Adjustment 1 increases F.I.C.A. taxes by \$1,036,000 to reflect the pro forma				
6	wage increases and the additional AmerenUE employees.					
7		Adjustment 2 eliminates property taxes of \$133,000 applicable to plant held				
8	for future use	e, as this investment is not included in rate base.				
9		Adjustment 3 adjusts taxes other than income taxes to remove the Missouri				
10	gross receipts taxes of \$103,612,000, as they are an add-on taxes that are passed through to					
11	customers.	The pro forma book revenues also reflect the removal of the add-on revenue				
12	taxes.					
13	Q.	How much are the pro forma taxes other than income taxes for the twelve				
14	months ende	ed March 31, 2008 for total electric and Missouri jurisdictional?				
15	А.	As reflected on Schedule GSW-E13, the pro forma total electric taxes other				
16	than income	e taxes and the Missouri jurisdictional amount are \$120,040,000 and				
17	\$118,729,000) respectively.				
18	Q.	What is shown on Schedule GSW-E14?				
19	А.	Schedule GSW-E14 shows the derivation of the income tax calculation at an				
20	8.311% rate	of return for total electric operations and Missouri jurisdictional operations				
21	reflecting the	statutory tax rates.				
22	Q.	As shown on Schedule GSW-E14, what are the income taxes at the				
23	requested ra	te of return for total electric and Missouri jurisdictional operations?				

A. The total current federal, state and city earnings income taxes using the statutory tax rates at the requested rate of return as shown on Schedule GSW-E14 are \$210,295,000 for total electric operations and \$208,571,000 for Missouri jurisdictional operations. The deferred income taxes are also shown on Schedule GSW-E14 and are (\$12,580,000) for total electric operations and (\$12,460,000) for Missouri jurisdictional. The net current and deferred income taxes for Missouri jurisdictional operations are \$196,111,000.

8

Q. What is calculated on Schedule GSW-E15?

A. Schedule GSW-E15 shows the calculation of the fixed or demand allocation factor. The fixed factor is used to allocate the Company's investment in production facilities and other related rate base items along with certain related operating expenses. The fixed factor is based on the average of the Missouri jurisdictional twelve monthly coincident peaks in relation to the total AmerenUE system's average twelve monthly peaks (the 12CP method).

15 Q. Using the 12CP method, what is the Missouri jurisdictional fixed 16 allocation factor for the twelve months ended March 31, 2008?

A. The Missouri jurisdictional fixed allocation factor based on the 12CP method
for the twelve months ended March 31, 2008 is 98.41%.

19

Q.

Please explain Schedule GSW-E16.

A. Schedule GSW-E16 calculates the variable allocation factor for the twelve months ended March 31, 2008. The variable factor is based on pro forma kWh sales adjusted for losses to equal pro forma kWh output for the test year. For the twelve months ended March 31, 2008, the per book kWh sales and kWh output are adjusted to reflect billed sales

Q.

1 normalized for weather along with customer growth through June 30, 2008. The Missouri 2 pro forma kWh output in proportion to the total AmerenUE pro forma kWh output is the 3 calculation of the variable factor. The variable factor is used to allocate the fuel inventories 4 and the production materials and supplies along with related taxes. Also the majority of the 5 production expenses including fuel are allocated using the variable factor.

6

Q. What is the Missouri jurisdictional variable allocation factor for the pro 7 forma twelve months ended March 31, 2008?

8 The Missouri jurisdictional variable allocation factor for the pro forma twelve A. 9 months ended March 31, 2008 is 98.47%.

10

What is shown on Schedule GSW-E17?

11 Schedule GSW-E17 shows the calculation of the labor allocation factor for the A. 12 twelve months ended March 31, 2008. The Missouri jurisdictional labor excluding the 13 administrative and general labor in proportion to the total electric labor excluding the 14 administrative and general labor is the labor allocation factor. The labor allocation factor is 15 used to allocate general plant (system general) and the related general plant depreciation 16 expense and taxes other than income taxes, and administrative and general expenses except 17 for account 930 001 and the Electric Power Research Institute ("EPRI") assessment.

18

For the twelve months ended March 31, 2008 what is the labor allocation **Q**. 19 factor for the Missouri jurisdiction?

20

21

A. The Missouri jurisdictional allocation factor for the twelve months ended March 31, 2008 is 98.93%.

1

Q. Please explain Schedule GSW-E18.

A. Schedule GSW-E18 shows Missouri jurisdictional rate base for the test year of \$5,899,581,000 and the Missouri jurisdictional revenue requirement of \$2,871,465,000 at the requested return of 8.311%. See the direct testimony of Company witness Michael G. O'Bryan for the development of the 8.311% rate of return.

6

Q. What does Schedule GSW-E19 reflect?

A. Schedule GSW-E19 compares the Missouri jurisdictional revenue requirement of \$2,871,465,000 with the Missouri jurisdictional pro forma operating revenues under the present rates of \$2,620,659,000, including system revenues and off-system sales revenues. It shows that the revenue requirement for the test year is \$250,806,000 more than the pro forma operating revenues at present rates. This is the amount of additional revenues AmerenUE needs to collect each year to recover its cost of service.

13

IV. <u>DETERMINATION OF NET BASE FUEL COSTS</u>

Q. Did you determine the "net base fuel costs" utilized in the Company's fuel
adjustment clause ("FAC"), as addressed in the direct testimony of AmerenUE witness
Martin J. Lyons, Jr.?

A. Yes. I calculated the net base fuel cost of 0.837 cents per kilowatt-hour. The net base fuel cost was determined by taking the sum of (a) the fuel and purchased power costs determined from the production cost modeling performed by Mr. Finnell, as discussed in Mr. Finnell's direct testimony (\$722.5 million) plus (b) the following additional fuel and purchased power cost components: fixed gas supply costs, credits from Westinghouse relating to the settlement of a prior lawsuit involving nuclear fuel, and MISO Day 2 expenses (\$88.0 million). That sum (\$810.5 million) was then reduced by off-system sales revenues

1	calculated by Mr. Finnell's production cost modeling using inputs provided by Mr. Schukar					
2	(\$436.2 million) plus adjustments to include MISO Day 2 revenues and capacity sales (\$30					
3	million). That difference (\$344.3 million) was then divided by the normalized AmerenUE					
4	load at the generator of 41,151,238,000 kWhs to arrive at the net base fuel costs on a per					
5	kWh basis of 0.837 cents. This is an increase in the Missouri retail net base fuel costs of					
6	\$27.5 million over the Missouri retail net base fuel costs in the Company's prior rate case.					
7	Q. Did you calculate what the net base fuel costs would have been without					
8	the reduction for off-system sales revenue?					
9	A. Yes. The net base fuel costs without the reduction for off-system sales					
10	revenues would have been 1.970 cents per kWh (\$810.5 million divided by 41,151,238,00					
11						
	kWhs).					
12	V. <u>HISTORIC RETURN ON EQUITY</u>					
12	V. <u>HISTORIC RETURN ON EQUITY</u>					
12 13	V.HISTORIC RETURN ON EQUITYQ.Has AmerenUE been able to earn the return on equity authorized by the					
12 13 14	V. <u>HISTORIC RETURN ON EQUITY</u> Q. Has AmerenUE been able to earn the return on equity authorized by th Commission in its last rate case since that case concluded in May 2007?					
12 13 14 15	 V. <u>HISTORIC RETURN ON EQUITY</u> Q. Has AmerenUE been able to earn the return on equity authorized by the Commission in its last rate case since that case concluded in May 2007? A. No. The Commission authorized a return on equity of 10.2% in the 					
12 13 14 15 16	 V. <u>HISTORIC RETURN ON EQUITY</u> Q. Has AmerenUE been able to earn the return on equity authorized by the Commission in its last rate case since that case concluded in May 2007? A. No. The Commission authorized a return on equity of 10.2% in the Company's last rate case. For the nine months of June 2007 through February 2008, the 					
12 13 14 15 16 17	 V. <u>HISTORIC RETURN ON EQUITY</u> Q. Has AmerenUE been able to earn the return on equity authorized by the Commission in its last rate case since that case concluded in May 2007? A. No. The Commission authorized a return on equity of 10.2% in the Company's last rate case. For the nine months of June 2007 through February 2008, the Company's earned return on equity has consistently been below its authorized return or equity of the company's earned return on equity has consistently been below its authorized return or equity of the company's earned return on equity has consistently been below its authorized return or equity of the company's earned return on equity has consistently been below its authorized return or equity of the company's earned return on equity has consistently been below its authorized return or equity of the company's earned return on equity has consistently been below its authorized return or equity of the company's earned return on equity has consistently been below its authorized return or equity of the company's earned return on equity has consistently been below its authorized return or equity of the company's earned return on equity has consistently been below its authorized return or equity has consistently been below its authorized return or equity has consistently been below its authorized return or equity has consistently been below its authorized return or equity has consistently been below its authorized return or equity has consistently been below its authorized return or equity has consistently been below its authorized return or equity has consistently been below its authorized return or equity has consistently been below its authorized return or equity has consistently been below its authorized return or equity has consistently been below its authorized return or equity has consistently been below its authorized return or equity has consistently been below its authorized return or equity has consistently been below its authorized return or equity has con					
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 V. <u>HISTORIC RETURN ON EQUITY</u> Q. Has AmerenUE been able to earn the return on equity authorized by the Commission in its last rate case since that case concluded in May 2007? A. No. The Commission authorized a return on equity of 10.2% in the Company's last rate case. For the nine months of June 2007 through February 2008, the Company's earned return on equity has consistently been below its authorized return or equity, as shown in the table below. During that period, the Company's average earned 					

1

Month	Mo. Electric Rate Base	Mo. Electric Operating Income	Return on Rate Base	Return on Equity
June	\$5,894,787,447	\$ 409,836,625	6.95%	8.24%
July	5,857,606,784	413,787,801	7.06%	8.46%
August	5,852,708,753	434,074,853	7.42%	9.15%
September	5,832,533,516	454,226,385	7.79%	9.88%
October	5,843,612,754	438,158,731	7.50%	9.31%
November	5,850,240,664	429,010,087	7.33%	8.99%
December	5,815,927,377	433,537,872	7.45%	9.22%
January	5,814,605,545	440,938,071	7.58%	9.48%
February	5,856,834,745	433,006,825	7.39%	9.10%
Average				9.09%

2 3

4

VI. <u>SO₂ TRACKER</u>

Q. What is the SO₂ Tracker?

5 A. In the Report and Order in Case No. ER-2007-0002, the Commission 6 established an accounting mechanism to track AmerenUE's future SO₂ net revenues or costs. 7 The Company was ordered beginning on January 1, 2007, to account for all SO₂ premiums, 8 net of SO₂ discounts, in FERC USOA Account 254, a regulatory liability account. All gains 9 associated with SO₂ allowance sales, beginning on January 1, 2007, were also to be recorded 10 in the same regulatory liability account. The Order Denying Application For Rehearing, 11 Granting Clarification, And Correcting Order NUNC PRO TUNC clarified the Report and 12 Order on the SO₂ tracker to provide that AmerenUE would pay interest to ratepayers at its 13 short-term borrowing rate for annual accrued SO₂ sales above a base level of \$5 million and 14 collect carrying costs from ratepayers at the same rate if sales fall below the base level. 15 Finally the Report and Order also stated that the net balance of the SO₂ premium expenses 16 (or discounts) and corresponding gains associated with SO₂ allowance sales would be 17 addressed as part of the fuel expense calculation in AmerenUE's next rate proceeding.

1 Q. What activity was reflected in the SO₂ tracker for the calendar year 2 2007?

3 A. During the Year 2007 the Company recorded \$4,808,000 of SO₂ premiums. 4 Also during the Year 2007 the Company had gains on SO₂ allowance sales of only 5 \$2,972,000, which are shown as revenues on Schedule GSW-E9. Therefore, the Company 6 added the difference between the \$5,000,000 base gains on SO₂ allowance sales and the 7 actual gains on sales of SO_2 allowance sales or \$2,028,000 to the tracker. In addition, the 8 Company added the interest of \$110,000 on the \$2,028,000 to the tracker. Reflecting all of 9 the above activities, the SO_2 tracker balance is not a regulatory liability but a regulatory asset 10 of \$6,946,000. This \$6,946,000 SO₂ regulatory asset balance is included as a pro forma 11 adjustment to the operating and maintenance expenses on Schedule GSW-E11.

12 VII. TRACKER FOR PENSION AND OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS

Q. Please explain the operation of the tracker for pension and other postemployment benefits.

15 Attachment C to the Stipulation and Agreement As To Certain Issues/Items in A. 16 Case No. ER-2007-0002 (approved by the Commission) is a tracker for pension and other 17 post-employment benefits. Item 6 of Attachment C states "Regulatory assets or liabilities 18 shall be established on AmerenUE's books to track the difference between the level of FAS 87 and FAS 106 costs AmerenUE incurs during the period between general electric rate 19 20 cases and the level of FAS 87 and FAS 106 costs built into rates for that period. If the 21 FAS 87, or FAS 106, cost during the period is more than the FAS 87, or FAS 106, cost built 22 into rates for the period, AmerenUE shall establish a regulatory asset which has been reduced 23 by any existing regulatory liability for pensions, or OPEBs, maintained pursuant to the

1 following paragraph. If the FAS 87, or FAS 106, cost during the period, adjusted for any 2 amount of such expense used to reduce a regulatory liability maintained pursuant to the 3 following paragraph, is less than the cost built into rates for the period, AmerenUE shall 4 establish a regulatory liability. Since this is a cash item, the regulatory asset or liability will 5 be included in rate base for purposes of setting new rates in the next rate case, and amortized 6 over 5 years beginning with the effective date of the new rates."

7

8

Q. What activity has occurred since June 2007 and the end of the test year, March 31, 2008?

9 A. During the period of June 2007 through March 2008, the actual expenses 10 incurred by the Company for FAS 87 and FAS 106 were less than the costs included in rates. 11 Thus the Company has a regulatory liability of \$3,878,000 for FAS 87 and a regulatory 12 liability of \$9,437,000 for FAS 106. These regulatory liability balances have been reflected 13 as a reduction to the rate base on Schedule GSW-E9. In addition on Schedule GSW-E12 the 14 amortization expense has been reduced by \$776,000 for the 5 year amortization of the 15 FAS 87 regulatory liability and \$1,887,000 for the 5 year amortization of the FAS 106 16 regulatory liability.

17

VIII. **VEGETATION MANAGEMENT/INFRASTRUCTURE TRACKER**

18

Q. What costs has AmerenUE incurred to comply with the Commission's 19 recently approved vegetation management and infrastructure rules?

20 A. Beginning January 1, 2008, the Company began incurring vegetation 21 management and infrastructure expenses necessary to comply with the Commission's new 22 rules. This means that the Company will increase its tree trimming expenses above the 23 \$45 million reflected in current rates, and it will substantially increase its infrastructure

Direct Testimony of Gary S. Weiss

inspection and related maintenance expenses to meet the new standards set by the
 Commission.

Q. How have these additional costs been reflected in the Company's
proposed revenue requirement?

5

6

A. I have adjusted the Company's proposed revenue requirement to include an annualized level of these costs for 2008.

Q. Will these adjustments permit the Company to recover all of the additional vegetation management and infrastructure costs it must incur in order to comply with the Commission's new rules?

10 A. No. The costs that the Company incurs between January 1, 2008 and the date 11 that the rates set in this proceeding take effect are not reflected in the Company's cost of 12 service. In addition, any incremental costs that the Company may incur in future years, due 13 for example to inflation, are not reflected in the Company's proposed revenue requirement.

14

15

Q. How do you propose that the Company should account for and collect these expenses in excess of the costs that are included in its rates?

A. I request that the Commission grant the Company accounting authorization to defer recognition and possible recovery of these excess expenses until the effective date of rates resulting from the Company's next general rate case. Such a request is specifically contemplated by the rules. In accordance with the rules, the Company will use a tracking mechanism to record the difference between the actually incurred expenses as a result of the rules and the amount included in the Company's rates. Recovery of these expenses can be addressed in the Company's next rate case. 1

2

IX. CONCLUSIONS

Q. Please summarize your testimony and conclusions.

A. My testimony and attached schedules have developed the Company's Missouri jurisdictional rate base and revenue requirement. As summarized on Schedule GSW-E19, the Company's Missouri jurisdictional revenue requirement, including an 8.311% return on rate base, exceeds the pro forma operating revenues at present rates by \$250,806,000. The Company should be allowed to increase its rates to permit it to recover this \$250,806,000 in additional revenue requirement.

- 9 Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?
- 10 A. Yes, it does.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

)

)

)

)

In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in the Company's Missouri Service Area.

Case No. ER-2008-____

AFFIDAVIT OF GARY S. WEISS

STATE OF MISSOURI)
) ss
CITY OF ST. LOUIS)

Gary S. Weiss, being first duly sworn on his oath, states:

My name is Gary S. Weiss. I work in the City of St. Louis, Missouri, and I 1.

am employed by Ameren Services Company as Manager of Regulatory Accounting.

Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my Direct 2.

Testimony on behalf of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE consisting of <u>36</u> pages,

Attachment A and Schedules GSW-E1 through GSW-E19, all of which have been prepared

in written form for introduction into evidence in the above-referenced docket.

I hereby swear and affirm that my answers contained in the attached testimony 3.

to the questions therein propounded are true and correct.

Han D. Weiss Gary S. Weiss

Subscribed and sworn to before me this $\underline{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{L}}$ day of April, 2008. Carnelle K.Y.

My commission expires:

Danielle R. Moskop Notary Public - Notary Seal STATE OF MISSOURI St. Louis County My Commission Expires: July 21, 2009 Commission # 05745027

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Gary S. Weiss

Manager of Regulatory Accounting for Ameren Services Company

* * * * * * * * * *

The purpose of my testimony is to present the Company's revenue requirement recommendation for its Missouri jurisdictional electric operations. Based on the Company's revenue requirement, a \$250,806,000 rate increase under traditional ratemaking is justified.

The Company's revenue requirement is based on a test year consisting of the twelve months ended March 31, 2008, utilizing nine months of actual and three months of forecasted information. The Company has proposed certain adjustments to update the test year for known and measurable changes through June 30, 2008. The Company is also proposing to true-up plant in service, depreciation reserve, accumulated deferred income taxes, customer growth in revenues, actual fuel prices, wage increase and new employee levels, and depreciation expense through September 30, 2008. The three months of forecasted information will be updated with actual data as the data becomes available, including audited financial data which can be utilized to update the test year through June 30, 2008. This data will be provided to all parties on or before July 31, 2008. The Company's rate base has been updated through June 30, 2008 to reflect all anticipated additions to plant in service. The billed revenues and kWh sales have been adjusted to reflect normal weather and customer growth through June 30, 2008. The off-system sales revenues have been adjusted to reflect a normal level of off-system sales priced at normal market prices. The production expenses reflect the current known and measurable coal and transportation contract prices along with normalized plant generation and load requirements (see the direct testimony of Company

witnesses Shawn E. Schukar, Robert K. Neff and Timothy D. Finnell). The remaining operating expenses have been adjusted to reflect: (a) 2008 wage and salary increases, (b) elimination of the incentive compensation applicable to the Ameren Services and AmerenUE officers, (c) annualized year 2008 major medical and other employee benefits, (d) the amortization of the regulatory liabilities due to the pension and other post-employment benefits trackers, (e) a reduction to reflect only two-thirds of the Callaway refueling expenses other than replacement power, (f) elimination of all expenses related to the Taum Sauk reservoir failure and clean-up, (g) increases in tree trimming expense to include costs associated with the Company's compliance with the vegetation management rules, (h) an annualized amount for various reliability and inspection programs necessary to reflect the cost of meeting the mandated infrastructure rule standards, (i) the current level of charges by the Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc. ("MISO"), (j) various adjustments required to reflect the Report and Order in Case No. ER-2007-0002, and (k) the expenses required to prepare and litigate this rate increase filing.

The Company is not proposing any new depreciation rates in this case. The current approved depreciation rates have been applied to the depreciable plant balances at March 31, 2008 as well as to the additions to plant through June 30, 2008. The amortization expense has been increased to reflect amortization of the January 2007 ice storm expenses over 60 months beginning on the effective date of the new rates approved for this rate filing, per the Application for an Accounting Authority Order filed by the Company. Taxes other than income taxes have been adjusted to reflect the increase in F.I.C.A. tax related to the wage and salary increases, and real estate taxes have been reduced to exclude the taxes applicable to plant held for future use. Finally, the Company's revenue requirement is based on a 10.90%

return on common equity (see the direct testimony of Company witness Dr. Roger A. Morin). Reflecting the above items, the Company's Missouri jurisdictional revenue requirement is \$2,871,465,000. This revenue requirement is \$250,806,000 greater than the Company's current operating revenues.

Net base fuel costs are determining by calculating the sum of (a) the fuel and purchased power costs determined from the production cost modeling performed by Mr. Finnell, as discussed in Mr. Finnell's direct testimony plus (b) certain additional fuel and purchased power cost components, and then reducing that sum by off-system sales revenues calculated by Mr. Finnell's production cost modeling plus adjustments to include MISO Day 2 revenues and capacity sales. That difference was then divided by the normalized AmerenUE load to arrive at the net base fuel costs on a per kWh basis of 0.837 cents.

The Company has been unable to earn the return on equity authorized by the Commission since its last rate case. For the nine months of June 2007 through February 2008, the Company's earned return on equity has consistently been below its authorized return on equity of 10.2 percent. During that period, the Company's average earned return on equity was just 9.09 percent, or 111 basis points below that authorized by the Commission. In fact, in only one of those seven months was the Company's return on equity within even 50 basis points of its authorized return on equity.

In the Report and Order in Case No. ER-2007-0002, the Commission established an accounting mechanism to track AmerenUE's future sulfur dioxide ("SO₂") net revenue (SO₂ premiums, net of discounts, and SO₂ allowance sales). Additionally, Attachment C to the Stipulation and Agreement As To Certain Issues/Items in Case No. ER-2007-0002 established a tracker for pension and other post-employment benefits expenses. My

testimony explains the operation of these trackers and their impact on the revenue requirement in this case.

The proposed revenue requirement in this case includes an annualized level of costs related to the Commission's new vegetation and infrastructure rules. However, the costs that the Company incurs between January 1, 2008 and the date that the rates set in this proceeding take effect are not reflected in the Company's revenue requirement. In addition, any incremental costs that the Company may incur in future years, due for example to inflation, are not reflected in the Company's proposed revenue requirement. I am requesting that the Commission grant the Company accounting authorization to defer recognition and possible recovery of these excess expenses until the effective date of rates resulting from the Company's next general rate case. In accordance with the vegetation management and infrastructure rules, the Company will use a tracking mechanism to record the difference between the expenses actually incurred as a result of the rules and the amount included in the Company's rates. Recovery of these expenses can be addressed in the Company's next rate case.

AmerenUE ORIGINAL COST OF ELECTRIC PLANT BY FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION AT MARCH 31, 2008 UPDATED THROUGH JUNE 30, 2008 (\$000)

<u>LINE</u>	FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION (A) INTANGIBLE PLANT		TOTALS PER <u>BOOKS</u> (B)		O FORMA <u>USTMENTS</u> (C)		PRO FORMA ELECTRIC <u>TOTALS</u> (D)
1	FRANCHISES - PRODUCTION	\$	19,122	¢	_	\$	19,122
2	FRANCHISES - DISTRIBUTION	Ψ	20	Ψ	-	φ	20
3	OTHER INTANGIBLE PLANT-PRODUCTION		16,499		47,324		63,823
4	OTHER INTANGIBLE PLANT-DISTRIBUTION		498		-		498
5	TOTAL INTANGIBLE PLANT		36,139		47,324		83,463
	PRODUCTION PLANT						
6	NUCLEAR		3,175,373		(38,958)		3,136,415
7	CALLAWAY POST OPERATIONAL		116,731		-		116,731
8	CALLAWAY DISALLOWANCES		(357,588)		-		(357,588)
9	STEAM		2,867,462		47,465		2,914,927
10	HYDRAULIC		240,869		11,769		252,638
11	OTHER		1,185,390		247		1,185,637
12	TOTAL PRODUCTION PLANT		7,228,237		20,523		7,248,760
13	TRANSMISSION PLANT		567,244		40,706		607,950
14	DISTRIBUTION PLANT		3,745,433		75,561		3,820,994
15	GENERAL PLANT		498,731		305		499,036
16	TOTAL PLANT IN SERVICE	\$	12,075,784	\$	184,419	\$	12,260,203

PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENTS

40	TOTAL PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENTS			\$ 184,419
36 37 38 39	(5) Eliminate portions of plant in service for multi use general facilities which are operations. For convenience, such facilities are recorded as electric plant b both electric and gas. These items are allocated on the basis of labor. GENERAL			 (6,634)
34 35	(4) Callaway license extension INTANGIBLE			369
32 33	(3) Callaway application for construction and operating license for Unit 2 INTANGIBLE			46,955
31	TOTAL			212,647
30	GENERAL		7,260	
29	DISTRIBUTION		75,899	
27 28	OTHER TRANSMISSION		40,706	
26	HYDRAULIC		11,769 247	
25	STEAM		74,074	
24	NUCLEAR		2,692	
23	(2) Plant Additions for the update period April through June 2008			
22	TOTAL			\$ (68,918)
21	GENERAL		(321)	
20	DISTRIBUTION		(338)	
19	STEAM	Ψ	(26,609)	
17 18	 Eliminate Plant balances related to FAS 143 Asset Retirement Obligatior NUCLEAR 	\$	(41,650)	
47				

AmerenUE ORIGINAL COST OF ELECTRIC PLANT BY FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION ALLOCATED TO MISSOURI JURISDICTIONAL AT MARCH 31, 2008 UPDATED THROUGH JUNE 30, 2008

(\$000)

			PRO FORMA		_	
			ELECTRIC		-	MISSOURI
LINE	FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION		TOTALS	ALLOCATION	JUR	ISDICTIONAL
	(A) INTANGIBLE PLANT		(B)	(C)		(D)
4	FRANCHISES - PRODUCTION	¢	10 100	FIXED	¢	10.040
1	FRANCHISES - PRODUCTION FRANCHISES - DISTRIBUTION	\$	19,122 20	DIRECT	\$	18,818
2				-		20
3	OTHER INTANGIBLE PLANT-PRODUCTION		63,823	FIXED		62,808
4	OTHER INTANGIBLE PLANT-DISTRIBUTION		498	DIRECT		497
5	TOTAL INTANGIBLE PLANT		83,463			82,144
	PRODUCTION PLANT					
6	NUCLEAR		3,136,415	FIXED		3,086,546
7	CALLAWAY POST OPERATIONAL		116.731	FIXED		114,875
8	CALLAWAY DISALLOWANCES		(357,588)	DIRECT		(339,289)
9	STEAM		2,914,927	FIXED		2,868,579
10	HYDRAULIC		252,638	FIXED		248,621
11	OTHER		1,185,637	FIXED		1,166,785
12	TOTAL PRODUCTION PLANT		7,248,760			7,146,117
13	TRANSMISSION PLANT		607,950	DIRECT		607,950
14	DISTRIBUTION PLANT		3,820,994	DIRECT		3,814,746
15	GENERAL PLANT		499,036	LABOR		493,696
16	TOTAL PLANT IN SERVICE	<u>\$</u>	12,260,203		<u>\$</u>	12,144,653

AmerenUE RESERVES FOR DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION BY FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION AT MARCH 31, 2008 UPDATED THROUGH JUNE 30, 2008 (\$000)

<u>LINE</u>	FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION (A)	TOTALS PER <u>BOOKS</u> (B)	PRO FORMA <u>ADJUSTMENTS</u> (C)	PRO FORMA ELECTRIC <u>TOTALS</u> (D)
	INTANGIBLE PLANT			
1	FRANCHISES - PRODUCTION	•	•	\$ 766
2	FRANCHISES - DISTRIBUTION	1	0	1
3	MISC INTANGIBLE PLANT - PROD	8,361	1,650	10,011
4	MISC INTANGIBLE PLANT - DIST	41	50	91
5	TOTAL INTANGIBLE PLANT	8,897	1,972	10,869
	PRODUCTION PLANT			
6	NUCLEAR	1,277,860	(46,841)	1,231,019
7	CALLAWAY POST OPERATIONAL	54,659	-	54,659
8	STEAM	1,313,860	24,384	1,338,244
9	HYDRAULIC	68,970	5,424	74,394
10	OTHER	444,740	15,506	460,246
11	TOTAL PRODUCTION PLANT	3,160,088	(1,527)	3,158,561
12	TRANSMISSION PLANT	209,317	7,089	216,405
13	DISTRIBUTION PLANT	1,695,112	66,131	1,761,243
14	GENERAL PLANT	235,434	10,686	246,121
15	TOTAL DEPRC. & AMORT RESERVE	<u>\$ </u>	<u>\$ 84,351</u>	<u>\$ </u>
	PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENTS			
16	(1) Eliminate Reserve balances related to FAS 143 Asse	et Retirement Obligation	• (000)	
17	NUCLEAR		\$ (77,228)	
18 19	STEAM DISTRIBUTION		(5,576) (246)	
20	GENERAL		(246)	
			(142)	¢ (00.400)
21	TOTAL			\$ (83,193)
22	(2) Reserve Balance at March 31, 2008 adjusted to refle	ct Reserve Balance at		
23	June 30, 2008.			
24	FRANCHISES - PRODUCTION		272	
25	FRANCHISES - DISTRIBUTION		0	
26	MISC INTANGIBLE PLANT - PROD		1,650	
27 28	MISC INTANGIBLE PLANT - DIST		50 30,334	
20 29	NUCLEAR STEAM		28,522	
30	HYDRAULIC		1,679	
31	OTHER		15,499	
32	TRANSMISSION		6,263	
33	DISTRIBUTION		64,229	
34	GENERAL		13,682	
35	TOTAL		· · · ·	162,180
				,
36 37	(3) Adjustment to deprectiation reserve for the additions the update period of April through June 30, 2008.	to plant in service for		
38	NUCLEAR		53	
39	STEAM		1,439	
40	HYDRAULIC		111	
41	OTHER		6	
42	TRANSMISSION		825	
43	DISTRIBUTION		2,148	
44	GENERAL		419	
45	TOTAL			5,001
46 47	(4) Reserve Balance adjustment to eliminate Taum Saul HYDRAULIC	< Removal Cost.		3,635
48 49 50	(5) Eliminate portions of plant in service for multi use get applicable to gas operations. For convenience, such as electric plant but are commonly used for both elec- itame are elimeted as the basis of labor.	facilities are recorded		
51 52	items are allocated on the basis of labor. GENERAL			(3,272)
53	TOTAL PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENTS			<u>\$ 84,351</u>

AmerenUE

RESERVES FOR DEPRECIATION & AMORTIZATION OF ELECTRIC UTILITY PROPERTY BY FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION ALLOCATED TO MISSOURI JURISDICTIONAL AT MARCH 31, 2008 UPDATED THROUGH JUNE 30, 2008

(\$000)

LINE	FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION (A)	=	RO FORMA ELECTRIC <u>TOTALS</u> (B)	ALLOCATION (C)		SSOURI <u>DICTIONAL</u> (D)
		^			•	
1	FRANCHISES - PRODUCTION	\$	766	FIXED	\$	753
2	FRANCHISES - DISTRIBUTION		1	DIRECT		1
3	MISC INTANGIBLE PLANT - PROD		10,011	FIXED		9,851
4	MISC INTANGIBLE PLANT - DIST		91	DIRECT		91
5	TOTAL INTANGIBLE PLANT		10,869			10,696
	PRODUCTION PLANT					
6	NUCLEAR		1,231,019	NUCLEAR		1,216,985
7	CALLAWAY POST OPERATIONAL		54,659	FIXED		53,790
8	STEAM		1,338,244	FIXED		1,316,966
9	HYDRAULIC		74,394	FIXED		73,211
10	OTHER		460,246	FIXED		452,928
11	TOTAL PRODUCTION PLANT		3,158,561			3,113,880
12	TRANSMISSION PLANT		216,405	DIRECT		216,405
13	DISTRIBUTION PLANT		1,761,243	DIRECT		1,758,425
14	GENERAL PLANT		246,121	LABOR		243,487
15	TOTAL DEPRC. & AMORT RESERVE	\$	5,393,199		\$	5,342,894

AmerenUE AVERAGE FUEL AND MATERIALS & SUPPLIES INVENTORIES AT MARCH 31, 2008 UPDATED THROUGH JUNE 30, 2008 (\$000)

<u>LINE</u>	DESCRIPTION (A)		TOTALS PER <u>BOOKS</u> (B)	PRO FORMA <u>ADJUSTMENTS</u> (C)	-	RO FORMA ELECTRIC <u>TOTALS</u> (D)
1	AVERAGE NUCLEAR FUEL	\$	51,443	\$-	\$	51,443
	AVERAGE FOSSIL FUEL:					
2	COAL		98,060	33,888		131,949
3	OIL		5,634			5,634
4	GAS STORAGE FOR CTG'S		4,881			4,881
5	PROPANE		175	(175)		-
6	TOTAL FOSSIL FUEL		108,750	33,713		142,463
7	GENERAL MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES		132,002	(1,624)		130,378
8	TOTAL	<u>\$</u>	292,195	<u>\$ 32,089</u>	\$	324,284
	PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENT					
9	(1) Adjust Coal Supply to reflect 65 days of maximum burn	priced at the cur	rent cost.		\$	33,888
10	(2) Eliminate propane which is applicable to gas operations					(175)
11	(3) Eliminate portions of average fuel and general materials	and supplies wh	nich are applicabl	e to gas operations.		(1,624)
12	TOTAL PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENTS				\$	32,089

AmerenUE AVERAGE FUEL AND MATERIALS & SUPPLIES ALLOCATED TO MISSOURI JURISDICTIONAL AT MARCH 31, 2008 UPDATED THROUGH JUNE 30, 2008 (\$000)

<u>LINE</u>	FUEL TYPE/MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES (1) (A)	E	O FORMA LECTRIC T <u>OTALS</u> (B)	ALLOCATION (C)	 <u>SSOURI</u> DICTIONAL (D)
1	AVERAGE NUCLEAR FUEL: (1)	\$	51,443	VARIABLE	\$ 50,656
	AVERAGE FOSSIL FUEL				
2	COAL (2)		131,949	VARIABLE	129,930
3	OIL		5,634	VARIABLE	5,548
4	GAS STORAGE FOR CTG'S		4,881	VARIABLE	4,806
5	PROPANE		-	VARIABLE	 -
6	TOTAL FOSSIL FUEL		142,463		140,284
	AVERAGE GENERAL M & S				
7	PRODUCTION		91,015	VARIABLE	89,623
8	TRANSMISSION		4,039	DIRECT	4,039
9	DIRECT DISTRIBUTION		35,324	DIRECT	35,257
10	TOTAL GENERAL MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES		130,378		 128,919
11	TOTAL	\$	324,284		\$ 319,859

(1) Reflects 18 month average of Unburned Nuclear Fuel in Reactor while all other items reflect a 13 month average balance.
(2) The coal inventory is adjusted to reflect 65 days of maximum burn.

AmerenUE **AVERAGE PREPAYMENTS** MARCH 31, 2008 (\$000)

LINE	DESCRIPTION	TOTALS PER <u>BOOKS(1)</u>	4	PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENTS	PRO FORMA ELECTRIC <u>TOTALS</u>
	(A)	(B)		(C)	(D)
1	RENTS	\$ 61	\$	(2)	\$ 58
2	INSURANCE - DIRECT (2)	12,163		(1,132)	11,030
3	INSURANCE - ALLOCATED (3)	398		(15)	383
4	REG. COMMISSION ASSESSMENTS	106		(4)	102
5	FREIGHT ON COAL (2)	657		-	657
6	M/A COMM RADIO SYS SRVC AGREEMENT	289		(11)	278
7	MEDICAL AND DENTAL VEBA	3,374		(125)	3,249
8	COAL CAR LEASE (2)	 251			 251
9	TOTAL AVERAGE PREPAYMENTS	\$ 17,298	\$	(1,289)	\$ 16,009

10 (1) Reflects 13 month average

11 (2) Allocation excludes freight on coal, coal car lease, which are 100% electric; and insurance which is maintained by utility and 12 directly assigned.

13 (3) Includes terrorism and all property insurance allocated to gas on operating expenses

PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENT

(1,289) 14 (1) Eliminate portions of prepayments which are applicable to gas operations. Allocated between electric \$_____

15 and gas operations based on operating expenses excluding purchased power, off-system sales and 16 purchased gas.

AmerenUE AVERAGE ELECTRIC PREPAYMENTS ALLOCATED TO MISSOURI JURISDICTION MARCH 31, 2008 (\$000)

<u>LINE</u>	DESCRIPTION (A)	PRO FORMA ELECTRIC <u>TOTALS</u> (B)	MISSOURI EDICTIONAL (1) (C)
1	RENTS	\$ 58	\$ 58
2	INSURANCE - DIRECT	11,030	10,897
3	INSURANCE - ALLOCATED	383	379
4	REG. COMMISSION ASSESSMENTS	102	100
5	FREIGHT ON COAL	657	649
6	M/A COMM RADIO SYS SRVC AGREEMT	278	275
7	MEDICAL AND DENTAL VEBA	3,249	3,209
8	COAL CAR LEASE	 251	 248
9	TOTAL AVERAGE PREPAYMENTS	\$ 16,009	\$ 15,815

10 (1) Allocated to Missouri Jurisdictional based on operating expenses allocated to Missouri as a percent of

11 the total electric operating expenses.

AmerenUE MISSOURI ELECTRIC CASH WORKING CAPITAL TWELVE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2008 UPDATED THROUGH JUNE 30, 2008 (\$000)

LINE	DESCRIPTION (A)	REVENUE <u>LAG(1)</u> (B)	EXPENSE LEAD (1) (C)	NET <u>LEAD/LAG</u> (D)	FACTOR (E)	TEST YEAR <u>EXPENSE</u> (F)	CASH WORKING CAPITAL <u>REQUIREMENT</u> (G)
1	PENSIONS AND BENEFITS	36.930	(45.970)	(9.040)	(0.024767)	\$ 110,810	\$ (2,744)
2	PURCHASED POWER	36.930	(30.760)	6.170	0.016904	155,768	2,633
3	PAYROLL & WITHHOLDINGS	36.930	(11.620)	25.310	0.069342	313,045	21,707
4	FUEL		,			,	,
5	NUCLEAR	36.930	(15.210)	21.720	0.059507	45,566	2,711
6	COAL	36.930	(18.090)	18.840	0.051616	572,262	29,538
7	OIL	36.930	(14.510)	22.420	0.061425	2,268	139
8	NATURAL GAS	36.930	(38.480)	(1.550)	(0.004247)	56,486	(240)
9	UNCOLLECTIBLE ACCOUNTS	36.930	(36.930)	0.00Ó	- ^	12,124	-
10	OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES	36.930	(38.940)	(2.010)	(0.005507)	469,479	(2,585)
11	TOTAL O&M EXPENSES					1,737,809	
12	TOTAL CASH WORKING CAPITAL REQ	UIREMENT					51,159
13	FICA - EMPLOYER'S PORTION	36.930	(13.270)	23.660	0.064822	19,420	1,259
14	FEDERAL UNEMPLOYMENT TAXES	36.930	(76.380)	(39.450)	(0.108082)	(4)	_
15	STATE UNEMPLOYMENT TAXES	36.930	(76.380)	(39.450)	(0.108082)	(2)	-
16	CORPORATE FRANCHISE TAXES	36.930	77.500	114.430	0.313507	1,060	332
17	PROPERTY TAXES	36.930	(182.500)	(145.570)	(0.398822)	99,217	(39,570)
18	SALES TAXES	36.930	(35.210)	1.720	0.004712	47,892	226
19	USE TAXES	36.930	(76.380)	(39.450)	(0.108082)	2,723	(294)
20	GROSS RECEIPTS TAXES	36.930	(52.960)	(16.030)	(0.043918)	103,612	(4,550)
21	ST. LOUIS PAYROLL EXPENSE TAXES	36.930	(76.380)	(39.450)	(0.108082)	25	(3)
22	TOTAL TAXES					273,944	
23	TOTAL CUSTOMER SUPPLIED FUNDS						(42,600)
24	NET CASH WORKING CAPITAL REQUIRE	MENT					<u>\$ 8,559</u>

25 (1) Revenue Lag and Expense Lead per direct testimony of Company witness Michael J. Adams.

AmerenUE INTEREST EXPENSE CASH REQUIREMENT AND FEDERAL AND STATE INCOME TAX AND CITY EARNINGS TAX CASH REQUIREMENTS FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2008 UPDATED THROUGH JUNE 30, 2008 (\$000)

LINE	DESCRIPTION (A)		ISSOURI B <u>DICTIONAL</u> (B)
1	INTEREST EXPENSE CASH REQUIREMENT MISSOURI JURISDICTIONAL INTEREST ON LONG-TERM DEBT	\$	156,221
2	FACTOR PER DIRECT TESTIMONY OF MICHAEL J. ADAMS		-14.88%
3	INTEREST EXPENSE CASH REQUIREMENT	\$	(23,246)
	FEDERAL INCOME TAX CASH REQUIREMENT		
4 5	MISSOURI JURISDICTIONAL CURRENT FEDERAL INCOME TAXES FACTOR PER DIRECT TESTIMONY OF MICHAEL J. ADAMS	\$	179,924 -0.26%
6	FEDERAL INCOME TAX CASH REQUIREMENT	\$	(468)
-		<u>^</u>	00.007
7 8	MISSOURI JURISDICTIONAL STATE INCOME TAXES FACTOR PER DIRECT TESTIMONY OF MICHAEL J. ADAMS	\$	28,297 -0.26%
9	STATE INCOME TAX CASH REQUIREMENT	\$	(74)
10	CITY EARNINGS TAX CASH REQUIREMENT		
11 12	MISSOURI JURISDICTIONAL CITY EARNINGS TAX FACTOR PER DIRECT TESTIMONY OF MICHAEL J. ADAMS	\$	350 -65.09%
13	CITY EARNINGS TAX CASH REQUIREMENT	\$	(228)

AmerenUE AVERAGE CUSTOMER ADVANCES FOR CONSTRUCTION AND AVERAGE CUSTOMER DEPOSITS MARCH 31, 2008 (\$000)

<u>LINE</u>	DESCRIPTION (A)	MISSOURI JURISDICTIONAL (B)
1	AVERAGE CUSTOMER ADVANCES FOR CONSTRUCTION	<u>\$ (3,257)</u>
2	AVERAGE CUSTOMER DEPOSITS	<u>\$ (14,204</u>)

AmerenUE ALLOCATION OF ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAXES MARCH 31, 2008 (\$000)

<u>LINE</u>	DESCRIPTION(A)		TOTAL <u>COMPANY</u> (B)	MISSOURI <u>JURISDICTIONAL</u> (C)
1	ACCOUNT 190	\$	19,980	\$ 19,691
2	ACCOUNT 282		(1,213,921)	(1,200,053)
3	ACCOUNT 283		(11,568)	(11,399)
4	TOTAL ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAXES	<u>\$</u>	(1,205,510)	<u>\$ (1,191,761)</u>

ALLOCATION TO MISSOURI JURISDICTIONAL

5 6	ACCOUNT 190	Items are allocated to Missouri Jurisdictional based on what the various items are related to. The net plant, variable, labor and fixed allocations are used to allocate the various items.
7	ACCOUNT 282	Items are functionalized and allocated to Missouri jurisdiction on the same basis as plant.
8 9	ACCOUNT 283	Items are allocated to Missouri Jurisdictional based on what the various items are related to. The net plant and fixed allocations are used to allocate the various items.

AmerenUE ALLOCATION OF PENSION AND OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS REGULATORY LIABILITIES MARCH 31, 2008 (\$000)

<u>LINE</u>	DESCRIPTION (A)	OTAL MPANY (B)	MISSOURI <u>JURISDICTIONAL (1)</u> (C)		
1	PENSIONS	\$ (3,878)	\$ (3,837)		
2	OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	 (9,437)	 (9,336)		
3	TOTAL REGULATORY LIABILITY	\$ (13,316)	\$ (13,173)		

(1) Allocated to Missouri Jurisdictional based on labor allocation.

AmerenUE TOTAL ELECTRIC AND MISSOURI JURISDICTIONAL PER BOOK AND PRO FORMA OPERATING REVENUES FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2008 UPDATED THROUGH JUNE 30, 2008 (\$000)

LINE	DESCRIPTION (A)	 TOTAL <u>ELECTRIC</u> (B)	PRO FORMA <u>ADJUSTMENTS</u> (C)	ADJUSTED TOTAL <u>ELECTRIC</u> (D)	
	OPERATING REVENUES				
1	MISSOURI JURISDICTIONAL	\$ 2,248,412	\$ (173,844)	2,074,568	
2	SALES FOR RESALE	21,948	(1,141)	20,807	
3	OTHER ELECTRIC REVENUES	 86,894	(7,940)	78,954	
4	TOTAL REVENUES	2,357,254	(182,925)	2,174,329	
	ADJUSTMENT FOR SYSTEM REVENUES:				
5	RENTAL PAYMENTS - AEC, AMC, AME, AMS	(24,308)	-	(24,308)	
6	LEASED LAND RENTAL REVENUE	(1,383)	-	(1,383)	
7	AGRIC. LAND RENTAL REVENUE	(5)	-	(5)	
8	OFF-SYSTEM SALES RENTAL REVENUE	(259)	-	(259)	
9	MERAMEC TERMINAL REVENUE	 4		4	
10	TOTAL SYSTEM REVENUES	(25,950)	-	(25,950)	
11	ALLOCATION OF SYSTEM REVENUES	25,950	-	25,950	
12	DISPOSITION OF ALLOWANCES	2,972	-	2,972	
13	OFF-SYSTEM SALES - ENERGY	471,376	(8,803)	462,573	
14	OFF-SYSTEM SALES-CAPACITY REVENUE	 2,866	8,244	11,110	
15	TOTAL REVENUES PER BOOKS	\$ 2,834,467	\$ <u>(183,483)</u>	2,650,985	
	PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENTS:				
16 17	 REMOVE ADD ON REVENUE TAX ADJUSTMENT FOR UNBILLED REVENUE 	\$ (102,610)			
18	MISSOURI JURISDICTIONAL	3,662			
19	SALES FOR RESALE	278			

31		TOTAL PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENTS	<u>\$ (183,483)</u>
30	(11)	ADJUST OFF-STSTEM SALES - CAPACITY REVENUE	0,244
30	· · /	ADJUST OFF-SYSTEM SALES - CAPACITY REVENUE	8,244
29	(-)	ADJUST OFF-SYSTEM SALES - ENERGY	(8,803)
28	(9)	ADJUSTMENT FOR MISO DAY 1 REVENUES	(7,940)
27	(8)	ADJUSTMENT FOR BILLING UNITS	(4,485)
26	(7)	ADJUSTMENT FOR ADDITONAL FEBRUARY DAY	(5,291)
25	(6)	ADJUSTMENT FOR GROWTH THROUGH JUNE	5,365
24	(5)	ADJUSTMENT FOR GROWTH THROUGH MARCH	8,542
23		SALES FOR RESALE	(1,419)
22		MISSOURI JURISDICTIONAL	(80,416)
21	(4)	ADJUSTMENT FOR WEATHER IMPACT	
20	(3)	ADJUSTMENT FOR 2007 RATE CHANGE	1,389
19		SALES FOR RESALE	278
18		MISSOURI JURISDICTIONAL	3,662

AmerenUE TOTAL ELECTRIC AND MISSOURI JURISDICTIONAL PER BOOK AND PRO FORMA OPERATING REVENUES FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2008 UPDATED THROUGH JUNE 30, 2008 (\$000)

<u>LINE</u>	DESCRIPTION (A)	 ADJUSTED TOTAL <u>ELECTRIC</u> (B)	ALLOCATION (C)	 IISSOURI <u>SDICTIONAL</u> (D)
1 2 3	OPERATING REVENUES MISSOURI JURISDICTIONAL SALES FOR RESALE OTHER ELECTRIC REVENUES	\$ 2,074,568 20,807 78,954	DIRECT DIRECT DIRECT	\$ 2,074,568 - 77,380
4	TOTAL REVENUES	2,174,329		2,151,947
5 6 7 8 9	ADJUSTMENT FOR SYSTEM REVENUES: RENTAL PAYMENTS - AEC,AMC,AME,AMS LEASED LAND RENTAL REVENUE AGRIC. LAND RENTAL REVENUE OFF-SYSTEM SALES RENTAL REVENUE MERAMEC TERMINAL REVENUE	 (24,308) (1,383) (5) (259) 4	DIRECT DIRECT DIRECT DIRECT DIRECT	 (24,308) (1,383) (5) (259) 4
10	TOTAL SYSTEM REVENUES	(25,950)		(25,950)
11	ALLOCATION OF SYSTEM REVENUES	25,950	FIXED	25,538
12	DISPOSITION OF ALLOWANCES	2,972	DIRECT	2,972
13	OFF-SYSTEM SALES - ENERGY	462,573	FIXED	455,219
14	OFF-SYSTEM SALES-CAPACITY REVENUE	 11,110	FIXED	 10,933
15	TOTAL PRO FORMA REVENUES PER BOOKS	\$ 2,650,985		\$ 2,620,659

AmerenUE ELECTRIC OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSES PER BOOK AND PRO FORMA FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2008 UPDATED THROUGH JUNE 30, 2008 (\$000)

			#1	#2	#3	#4	#5	#6	#7	#8	#9	#10	#11	#12
		TOTAL PER	LABOR	INCENTIVE COMPENSATION	ADDITIONAL EMPLOYEES	INCREASE FUEL EXPENSE FOR	INCREASE FUEL EXPENSE FOR	PURCHASED POWER FOR	PURCHASED POWER FOR	FUEL ADDITIVES MOVED TO	SO2 TRACKER	CALLAWAY	ELIMINATE OSAGE HEADWATER	TAUM SAUK EXPENSE
LINE	FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION (A)	BOOKS (B)	ADJUSTMENT (C)	ADJUSTMENT (D)	ADJUSTMENT (E)	NORM. SALES (F)	JUNE GROWTH (G)	NORM. SALES (H)	JUNE GROWTH (I)	OTHER OPER EXP (J)	ADJUST. (K)	EXPENSES (L)	SET-UP (M)	ADJUST. (N)
	PRODUCTION:	(5)	(0)		(ב)	(1)	(0)	(1)	()	(0)	(14)	(1)	(11)	(1)
	INCREMENTAL COSTS:			• • • •										
1	LABOR FUEL (EXCL. W/H CR.)	\$ 6,334 \$ 613,998	5 190 -	\$ (40) \$	-	\$ - 72,584	\$- 513	\$-	\$ -	\$ - \$		\$-	\$-\$	
3	WESTINGHOUSE CREDITS	(1,816)	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-		-	-
4	PURCHASED POWER	204,034	-	-			-	(68,640)	527	-	-		-	-
5	OTHER (FUEL HANDLING)	2,002			-								· .	
6	TOTAL INCREMENTAL COSTS	824,552	190	(40)	-	72,584	513	(68,640)	527	-	-	-	-	-
_	OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES:													
7	LABOR OTHER	97,256 61,550	2,920	(614)		-	-			1,571	- 6,946		4,332	- (1)
0	OTHER	01,000								1,011	0,040		4,002	()
9	TOTAL OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES	158,806	2,920	(614)	-	-	-	-	-	1,571	6,946	-	4,332	(1)
10	MAINTENANCE EXPENSES: LABOR	70,351	2,112	(444)								(2,633)		
10 11	OTHER	70,351 103,520	2,112	(444)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(2,633) (8,625)	-	- (31)
									·					(#.)
12	TOTAL MAINTENANCE EXPENSES	173,871	2,112	(444)	-		-	-	-			(11,259)	-	(31)
13	CAPACITY COSTS	22,281		<u> </u>		<u>·</u>	<u> </u>	(7)						
14	TOTAL PRODUCTION EXPENSES	1,179,509	5,223	(1,097)	-	72,584	513	(68,647)	527	1,571	6,946	(11,259)	4,332	(32)
15	TRANSMISSION EXPENSES	34,170	164	(34)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	REGIONAL MARKET EXPENSES	8,795	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
17	DISTRIBUTION EXPENSES: MISSOURI	167,410	2,027	(427)	4,559									
18	TOTAL DISTRIBUTION EXPENSES	167,410	2,027	(427)	4,559	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	CUSTOMER ACCOUNTING EXPENSES:													
19	MISSOURI	56,454	474	(100)	-		-	-	-		-	-	-	-
20	TOTAL CUSTOMER ACCOUNTING EXPENSES	56,454	474	(100)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	CUSTOMER SERV. & INFO. EXPENSES:													
21	MISSOURI	7,639	54	(11)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
													·	
22	TOTAL CUSTOMER SERV. & INFO. EXP.	7,639	54	(11)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	SALES EXPENSES:													
23	MISSOURI	1,087	21	(4)	-			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	-	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
24	TOTAL SALES EXPENSES	1,087	21	(4)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	ADMINISTRATIVE & GENERAL EXPENSES:													
25	E.P.R.I. ASSESSMENT - MO.	2,753		-	-		-			-				
26	ACCOUNT 930-1 - MO.	647	2	(0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	A&G DIRECT - MISSOURI					<u>.</u>		<u> </u>					<u> </u>	<u> </u>
28	TOTAL DIRECT A. & G. EXPENSE	3,400	2	(0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	ALLOCATED ON LABOR RATIO	266,885	1,210	(253)	774		<u> </u>	<u> </u>				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	(1,567)
30	TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE & GENERAL EXPENSES	270,286	1,212	(254)	774			<u> </u>				<u> </u>		(1,567)
31	TOTAL OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE EXPENSES	<u>\$ </u>	9,175	<u>\$ (1,927)</u> <u>\$</u>	5,333	\$ 72,584	<u>\$513</u>	\$ (68,647)	<u>\$ 527</u>	<u>\$ 1,571</u>	6,946	<u>\$ (11,259)</u>	\$ 4,332	<u>(1,599</u>)

32 NOTE: See SCHEDULE GSW-E11-3 for explanation of the pro forma adjustments.

AmerenUE ELECTRIC OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSES PER BOOK AND PRO FORMA FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2008 UPDATED THROUGH JUNE 30, 2008 (\$000)

LINE	FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION	#13 ELIMINATE STORM REG ASSET <u>SET-UP</u>	#14 TREE TRIMMING <u>ADJUSTMENT</u>	#15 PROJECT POWERON <u>ADJUST.</u>	#16 INSPECTIONS <u>ADJUST.</u>	#17 RELIABILITY <u>ADJUST.</u>	#18 URD INSPECTIONS AND O&M REPAIRS <u>ADJUST.</u>	AND O&M REPAIRS ADJUST.		#21 REMOVE REPLACEMENT POWER <u>INSURANCE</u>	#22 PRO FORMA MEDICAL & BENEFIT <u>ADJUST.</u>	#23 ESTIMATED RATE CASE <u>EXPENSES</u>	TOTAL PRO FORMA <u>ADJUSTMENT</u>	PRO FORMA ELECTRIC <u>TOTALS</u>
	(A) PRODUCTION: INCREMENTAL COSTS:	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(1)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)
1 2 3 4 5		\$ - - -	\$ - - -	\$ - - - -	\$ - - -	\$ - - -	\$ - - -	\$ - - -	\$	\$ - - -	\$ - - -	\$ - - -	\$ 150 73,097 	\$ 6,484 687,095 (1,816) 135,921 2,002
6										i			5,134	829,686
	OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES:													
7 8	LABOR OTHER												2,307 12,848	99,563 74,398
9	TOTAL OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES	-	-				-	-	-	-	-	-	15,155	173,961
10 11	MAINTENANCE EXPENSES: LABOR OTHER	-				-	-	-	-			-	(965) (8,656)	69,386 94,864
12	TOTAL MAINTENANCE EXPENSES	-	-				-	-	-			-	(9,621)	164,250
13	CAPACITY COSTS				<u> </u>									22,274
14	TOTAL PRODUCTION EXPENSES	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10,661	1,190,171
15	TRANSMISSION EXPENSES	-	-					-	-	-		-	130	34,299
16	REGIONAL MARKET EXPENSES	-	-			-	-		-	-	-	-	-	8,795
17	DISTRIBUTION EXPENSES: MISSOURI	4,000	2,536	6,860	1,385	3,842	3,730	1,100					29,613	197,023
18	TOTAL DISTRIBUTION EXPENSES	4,000	2,536	6,860	1,385	3,842	3,730	1,100	-	-	-	-	29,613	197,023
19	CUSTOMER ACCOUNTING EXPENSES: MISSOURI	<u> </u>							1,207	<u> </u>			1,581	58,035
20	TOTAL CUSTOMER ACCOUNTING EXPENSES	-	-		-	-	-		1,207	-	-	-	1,581	58,035
21	CUSTOMER SERV. & INFO. EXPENSES: MISSOURI												42	7,681
22	TOTAL CUSTOMER SERV. & INFO. EXP.	-		-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	42	7,681
23	SALES EXPENSES: MISSOURI												16	1,104
24	TOTAL SALES EXPENSES	-	-				-		-	-	-	-	16	1,104
25 26	ADMINISTRATIVE & GENERAL EXPENSES: E.P.R.I. ASSESSMENT - MO. ACCOUNT 930-1 - MO.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 2	2,753 649
27	A&G DIRECT - MISSOURI			<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>			2,500		2,500
28 29	TOTAL DIRECT A. & G. EXPENSE ALLOCATED ON LABOR RATIO	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	- (15,424)	- 4,520	2,500	2,502 (10,739)	5,902 256,146
	TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE & GENERAL EXPENSES		·		. <u> </u>	·					4,520			256,146
										(15,424)				
31	TOTAL OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE EXPENSES	\$ 4,000	\$ 2,536	\$ 6,860	<u>\$ 1,385</u>	\$ 3,842	<u>\$ 3,730</u>	<u>\$ 1,100</u>	<u>\$ 1,207</u>	<u>\$ (15,424)</u>	\$ 4,520	<u>\$ 2,500</u>	\$ 33,807	\$ 1,759,157

32 NOTE: See SCHEDULE GSW-E11-3 for explanation of the pro forma adjustments.

AmerenUE ELECTRIC OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSE PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENTS TWELVE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2008 UPDATED THROUGH JUNE 30, 2008 (\$000)

(\$000)								
LINE	PRO FORMA LINE ITEM NO. DESCRIPTION			TOTAL MOUNT				
	(A)	(B)	<u>~</u>	(C)				
1 2 3 4	(1)	Increased labor expense from annualizing the average 3.47% wage increase for management employees effective January 1 and April 1, 2008 and the 3.00% wage increase for the Company's union employees effective July 1, 2008 per the labor contracts.	\$	9,175				
5 6	(2)	Decrease the incentive compensation expense for the incentive compensation applicable to AMS and AmerenUE officers.	\$	(1,927)				
7	(3)	Increase in labor expense to reflect new employees being hired at AmerenUE.	\$	5,333				
8 9	(4)	Increase in fuel expense to reflect the normalized billed kWh sales and output with customer growth for the pro forma twelve months ended March 31, 2008.	\$	72,584				
10 11	(5)	Increase in fuel expense to reflect the increase in customer growth through June 30, 2008.	\$	513				
12 13 14	(6)	Decrease in purchased power expense to reflect the normalized billed kWh sales and output with customer growth for the pro forma twelve months ended March 31, 2008.	\$	(68,647)				
15 16	(7)	Increase in purchased power expense to reflect the increase in customer growth through June 30, 2008.	\$	527				
17 18	(8)	Adjustment to reflect a normal level of fuel additive expenses that were previously included in the fuel expense but are now reflected as other operating expenses.	\$	1,571				
19	(9)	Increase in the production expenses to reflect the expenses in the SO2 tracker.	\$	6,946				
20 21	(10)	Reduction to the production expense to remove one-third of the Spring 2007 Callaway Nuclear Plant refueling expenses other than replacement power.	\$	(11,259)				
22 23 24	(11)	Increase to operating expenses at the Osage Plant to reflect the removal of expenses related to the additional fees paid to the Federal Regulatory Commission for Head Water Benefits	\$	4,332				
25 26 27	(12)	Reduces operating expenses to remove the expenses related to the Taum Sauk reservoir failure and clean-up activities that were recorded in the test year operating expenses.	\$	(1,599)				
28	(13)	Increases ditribution expenses for storm cost removed from operating expenses.	\$	4,000				
29	(14)	Increase distribution expenses for additional tree trimming expenses.	\$	2,536				
30	(15)	Annualized amount for the PowerOn operating expenses.	\$	6,860				
31	(16)	Annualized amount for the distribution system inspections.	\$	1,385				
32	(17)	Annualized amount for the reliability programs.	\$	3,842				
33	(18)	Annualized amount for the underground inspections and maintenance.	\$	3,730				
34	(19)	Annualized amount for the streetlight inspections and maintenance.	\$	1,100				
35 36	(20)	Increase in customer accounting expenses to reflect interest expense at 8.50% on the average customer deposit balance.	\$	1,207				
37 38	(21)	Decrease administrative and general expenses for insurance premiums associated with replacement power insurance.	\$	(15,424)				
37 38	(22)	Increase administrative and general expenses to reflect increases in the major medical and other employee benefit expenses.	\$	4,520				
39 40	(23)	Increase administrative and general expenses to reflect the expenses that have been and will be incurred to prepare and litigate this rate increase filing.	\$	2,500				
41	Total Pro	Forma Adjustments to Electric Operating and Maintenance Expenses	\$	33,807				

AmerenUE

PRO FORMA ELECTRIC OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSES FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2008 UPDATED THROUGH JUNE 30, 2008

	(40	00) PRO FORMA		
		ELECTRIC		MISSOURI
LINE	DESCRIPTION	TOTALS	ALLOCATION	JURISDICTIONAL
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
	OPERATING & MAINTENANCE EXPENSES PRODUCTION:			
1	INCREMENTAL COSTS: LABOR	\$ 6,484	VARIABLE	\$ 6,385
2	FUEL (EXCL. W/H CR.)	¢ 0,404 687,095	VARIABLE	¢ 0,303 676,582
3	WESTINGHOUSE CREDITS	(1,816)	DIRECT	(1,787)
4	PURCHASED POWER	135,921	VARIABLE	133,841
5	OTHER (FUEL HANDLING)	2,002	VARIABLE	1,971
6	TOTAL INCREMENTAL COSTS	829,686		816,993
	OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES:			
7	LABOR	99,563	FIXED	97,980
8	OTHER	74,398	VARIABLE	73,260
9	TOTAL OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES:	173,961		171,240
	MAINTENANCE EXPENSES:			
10	LABOR	69,386	VARIABLE	68,324
11	OTHER	94,864	VARIABLE	93,413
12	TOTAL MAINTENANCE EXPENSES	164,250		161,737
13	CAPACITY COSTS	22,274	FIXED	21,920
14	TOTAL PRODUCTION EXPENSES	1,190,171		1,171,890
15	TRANSMISSION EXPENSES	34,299	DIRECT	34,299
16	REGIONAL MARKET EXPENSES	8,795	DIRECT	8,795
17	DISTRIBUTION EXPENSES MISSOURI	197,023	DIST. PLANT	196,707
18	TOTAL DISTRIBUTION EXPENSES	197,023		196,707
	CUSTOMER ACCOUNTING EXPENSES			
19	MISSOURI	58,035	DIRECT	58,025
20	TOTAL CUSTOMER ACCOUNTING EXPENSES	58,035		58,025
21	CUSTOMER SERV. & INFO. EXPENSES MISSOURI	7,681	DIRECT	7,681
22	TOTAL CUSTOMER SERV. & INFO. EXPENSES	7,681		7,681
22	SALES EXPENSES	7,001		7,001
23	MISSOURI	1,104	DIRECT	1,104
24	TOTAL SALES EXPENSES	1,104		1,104
25	ADMINISTRATIVE & GENERAL EXPENSES EPRI ASSESSMENT	2,753	DIRECT	2,753
25	ACCOUNT 930-1	649	DIRECT	649
27	A&G DIRECT - MISSOURI	2,500	DIRECT	2,500
28	TOTAL DIRECT A & G EXPENSES	5,902		5,902
29	ALLOCATED LABOR RATIO	256,146	LABOR	253,405
30	TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE AND GENERAL EXPENSES	262,048		259,308
31	TOTAL OPERATING & MAINTENANCE EXPENSES	\$ 1,759,157		\$ 1,737,809

AmerenUE DEPRECIATION & AMORTIZATION EXPENSE FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2008 UPDATED THROUGH JUNE 30, 2008 (\$000)

<u>LINE</u>	DESCRIPTION (A)	TOTALS PER <u>BOOKS</u> (B)	PRO FORMA <u>ADJUSTMENTS(1)</u> (C)	PRO FORMA ELECTRIC <u>TOTALS</u> (D)
1	INTANGIBLE PLANT - PRODUCTION	\$ 3,712	+ -	\$ 3,938
2	INTANGIBLE PLANT - DISTRIBUTION	 5	-	 5
3	TOTAL INTANGIBLE PLANT	3,717	226	3,943
	PRODUCTION PLANT:			
4	NUCLEAR	62,632	(1,911)	60,720
5	CALLAWAY POST OPERATIONAL	3,687	-	3,687
6	CALLAWAY DECOMMISSIONING	6,759	-	6,759
7	STEAM	56,887	(3,308)	53,579
8	HYDRAULIC	3,410	58	3,469
9	OTHER	 27,400	3,605	 31,005
10	TOTAL PRODUCTION PLANT	160,775	(1,556)	 159,219
11	TRANSMISSION PLANT	12,430	922	13,352
12	DISTRIBUTION PLANT	123,153	7,453	130,606
13	GENERAL PLANT	 17,550	1,753	 19,303
14	TOTAL DEPRC. & AMORT PLANT	317,625	8,798	326,423
15	AMORT OF MO. MERGER COSTS	1,144	(728)	416
16	AMORT OF Y2K COSTS	326	(170)	157
17	AMORT. OF 2006 STORM COSTS	600	200	800
18	AMORT. OF AAO STORM COSTS	-	4,942	4,942
19	AMORT. OF PENSION & OPEB TRACKER	-	(2,663)	(2,663)
20	AMORT. OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY REG ASSET	 -	1,300	 1,300
21	TOTAL DEPR & AMORTIZATION EXPENSE	\$ 319,696	<u>\$ 11,679</u>	\$ 331,375

22 (1) See SCHEDULE GSW-E12-2 for explanation of the pro forma adjustments.

AmerenUE

ELECTRIC DEPRECIATION & AMORTIZATION EXPENSE PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENTS FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2008 UPDATED THROUGH JUNE 30, 2008 (\$000)

<u>LINE</u>	ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION		FORMA STMENTS
	(A)	(B)		(C)
1 2	(1)	Eliminate portions of depreciation and amortization expense for multi-use general facilities which are applicable to gas operations	<u>\$</u>	(186)
3 4	(2)	To reflect the book depreciation annualized for the plant in service depreciable balances at March 31, 2008.		
5		Change in Deprc. Exp Intangible Plant	\$	226
6		Change in Deprc. Exp Nuclear		(1,964)
7		Change in Deprc. Exp Steam		(4,747)
8		Change in Deprc. Exp Hydro		(52)
9		Change in Deprc. Exp Other Prod.		3,599
10		Change in Deprc. Exp Transmission		96
11		Change in Deprc. Exp Distribution		5,306
12		Change in Deprc. Exp General Plant		1,620
13		Total Increase in Depreciation Expense	\$	4,083
14	(3)	To reflect a full year's depreciation expense at book depreciation rates on the additions to		
15	(-)	plant in service from April through June 2008.		
16		Increase in Deprc. Exp Intangible Plant	\$	-
17		Increase in Deprc. Exp Nuclear	Ψ	53
18		Increase in Deprc. Exp Steam		1,439
19		Increase in Deprc. Exp Hydro		111
20		Increase in Deprc. Exp Other Prod.		6
21		Increase in Deprc. Exp Transmission		825
22		Increase in Deprc. Exp Distribution		2,148
23		Increase in Deprc. Exp General Plant		319
24		Total Increase in Depreciation Expense	<u>\$</u>	4,901
25	(4)	To reflect the revised amortization period for Missouri merger costs and Y2K costs.		
26		Decrease for Annualized Amort. MO Merger Costs	\$	(728)
27		Decrease for Annualized Amort. Y2K Costs		(170)
28		Total increase in Depreciation Expense	\$	(898)
	(-)		•	
29	(5)	To reflect the annualized amortization of 2006 storm costs.	\$	200
30	(6)	To reflect the first year's amortization of the January 2007 ice storm per AAO.	<u>\$</u>	4,942
31	(7)	To reflect the five year amortization of the pension and OPEB regulatory liability balance at		
32	. ,	March 31, 2008.		
33		Pension Tracker Amortization	\$	(776)
34		OPEB Tracker Amortization		(1,887)
35		Total increase in Depreciation Expense	\$	(2,663)
26	(0)	To reflect the ten year amortization of the Energy Efficiency regulatory assot	¢	1 200
36	(8)	To reflect the ten year amortization of the Energy Efficiency regulatory asset.	<u>\$</u>	1,300
37		TOTAL PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENTS: DEPRECIATION & AMORTIZATION	\$	11,679

AmerenUE ELECTRIC DEPRECIATION & AMORTIZATION EXPENSE ALLOCATED TO MISSOURI JURISDICTION FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2008 UPDATED THROUGH JUNE 30, 2008 (\$000)

	DECODIDITION	I	PRO FORMA ELECTRIC		MISS	
LINE	DESCRIPTION (A)		<u>TOTALS</u> (B)	ALLOCATION (C)	<u>JURISDI</u> ([D)
1	INTANGIBLE PLANT - PRODUCTION	\$	3,938	FIXED	\$	3,875
2	INTANGIBLE PLANT - DISTRIBUTION		5	DIRECT		5
	TOTAL INTANGIBLE PLANT		3,943			3,880
	PRODUCTION PLANT:					
3	NUCLEAR		60,720	NUCLEAR		60,028
4	CALLAWAY POST OPERATIONAL		3,687	FIXED		3,628
5	CALLAWAY DECOMMISSIONING		6,759	DIRECT		6,486
6	STEAM		53,579	FIXED		52,727
7	HYDRAULIC		3,469	FIXED		3,414
8	OTHER		31,005	FIXED		30,512
9	TOTAL PRODUCTION PLANT		159,219			156,795
10	TRANSMISSION PLANT		13,352	DIRECT		13,352
11	DISTRIBUTION PLANT		130,606	DISTRIBUTION		130,398
12	GENERAL PLANT		19,303	LABOR		19,097
13	TOTAL DEPRC. & AMORT PLANT		326,423			323,522
14	AMORT OF MO. MERGER COSTS		416	DIRECT		416
15	AMORT OF Y2K COSTS		157	DIRECT		157
16	AMORT. OF 2006 STORM COSTS		800	DIRECT		800
17	AMORT. OF AAO STORM COSTS		4,942	DIRECT		4,942
18	AMORT. OF PENSION & OPEB TRACKER		(2,663)	LABOR		(2,635)
19	AMORT. OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY REG ASSET		1,300	DIRECT		1,300
20	TOTAL DEPRC. & AMORT. EXPENSE	\$	331,375		<u>\$</u>	328,502

AmerenUE TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME TAXES FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2008 UPDATED THROUGH JUNE 30, 2008 (\$000)

<u>LINE</u>	DESCRIPTION (A)		TOTAL PER <u>BOOKS</u> (B)	PRO FORMA <u>ADJUSTMENTS(1)</u> (C)	PRO FORMA ELECTRIC <u>TOTALS</u> (D)
1	PAYROLL TAXES F.I.C.A.	\$	5 18,594	\$ 1,036	\$ 19,630
2	FILO.A. FEDERAL UNEMPLOYMENT	Ţ	(4)		۵ (4)
3	MISSOURI UNEMPLOYMENT		(2)	-	(2)
4	IOWA UNEMPLOYMENT		-	-	-
5	ST. LOUIS EMPLOYMENT TAX	_	79	-	79
6	TOTAL PAYROLL TAXES		18,667	1,036	19,704
7	Production				12,965
8	Transmission				406
9	Distribution				6,333
10	Intangible and General				-
	R.E., P.P. & CORP FRANCHISE				
11	MISSOURI R.E., & P.P.		99,187	(133)	99,055
12	MISSOURI CORP FRANCHISE		1,071	-	1,071
13	IOWA R.E., & P.P.		1,348	-	1,348
14			-	-	-
15 16	OTHER STATES R.E. & P.P. R.E. TAXES CAPITALIZED		266 (2,026)	-	266 (2,026)
17	TRANSFER TO GAS		(2,026) (86)	-	(2,028) (86)
18	R.E. TRANSFER TO NON UTILITY		(42)	-	(42)
19	TOTAL R.E., P.P. & CORP FRANCHISE	#	99,719	(133)	99,586
20	Production				61,011
21	Transmission				656
22	Distribution				32,149
23	Intangible and General				5,771
	MISCELLANEOUS				
24	MUNICIPAL GROSS RECEIPTS		103,612	(103,612)	-
25	FED.EXCISE TAX-HEAVY VEH.USE TAX		9	-	9
26	ST. LOUIS EARNINGS		-	-	-
27	MO. EXCISE - NEIL INS. PREM.		742	-	742
28	MISCELLANEOUS	-		- (100 010)	
29	TOTAL MISCELLANEOUS		104,362	(103,612)	750
30 31	Production Transmission				742
31	Distribution				- 9
33	System General				-
	-				
34	TOTAL TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME TAXES	9	222,748	\$ (102,708)	\$ 120,040

35 (1) See SCHEDULE GSW-E13-2 for explanation of the pro forma adjustments.

AmerenUE TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENTS FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2008 UPDATED THROUGH JUNE 30, 2008 (\$000)

<u>LINE</u>	<u>ITEM NO.</u> (A)	DESCRIPTION (B)	O FORMA <u>MOUNT</u> (C)
1	(1)	Increase the F.I.C.A. taxes to reflect the pro forma wage increases.	\$ 1,036
2 3	(2)	Eliminate the property taxes on future use plant, as this investment is excluded from rate base.	\$ (133)
4	(3)	Eliminate the gross receipts tax as they are a pass through tax.	\$ (103,612)
5		Total Pro Forma Adjustments to Taxes Other Than Income	\$ (102,708)

AmerenUE PRO FORMA ELECTRIC TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME TAXES ALLOCATED TO MISSOURI JURISDICTION FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2008 UPDATED THROUGH JUNE 30, 2008

(\$000)

<u>LINE</u>	DESCRIPTION (A)	E	O FORMA LECTRIC [OTALS (B)	ALLOCATION (C)	MISSOURI <u>JURISDICTIONAL</u> (D)
1 2	PAYROLL TAXES F.I.C.A. FEDERAL UNEMPLOYMENT	\$	19,630 (4)		
3	MISSOURI UNEMPLOYMENT		(2)		
4	IOWA UNEMPLOYMENT		-		
5	ST. LOUIS EMPLOYMENT TAX		79		
6	TOTAL PAYROLL TAXES		19,704		
7	Production		12,965	FIXED	12,759
8	Transmission		406	DIRECT	406
9	Distribution		6,333	DISTRIBUTION	6,323
10 11	Intangible and General		-	LABOR	- 19,488
	R.E., P.P. & CORP FRANCHISE				
12	MISSOURI R.E., & P.P.		99,055		
13	MISSOURI CORP FRANCHISE		1,071		
14	IOWA R.E., & P.P.		1,348		
15	IOWA CORP FRANCHISE OTHER STATES R.E. & P.P.		-		
16 17	R.E. TAXES CAPITALIZED		266 (2,026)		
18	TRANSFER TO GAS		(86)		
19	R.E. TRANSFER TO NON UTILITY		(42)		
20	TOTAL R.E., P.P. & CORP FRANCHISE		99,586		
21	Production		61,011	FIXED	60,040
22	Transmission		656	DIRECT	656
23	Distribution		32,149	DISTRIBUTION	32,097
24	Intangible and General		5,771	LABOR	5,709
25					98,502
26	MISCELLANEOUS MUNICIPAL GROSS RECEIPTS		-		
27	FED.EXCISE TAX-HEAVY VEH.USE TAX		9		
28	ST. LOUIS EARNINGS		-		
29	MO. EXCISE - NEIL INS. PREM.		742		
30	MISCELLANEOUS		-		
31	TOTAL MISCELLANEOUS		750		
32	Production		742	FIXED	730
33	Transmission		-		-
34 35	Distribution Intangible and General		9	DISTRIBUTION LABOR	9
36				LADON	739
37	TOTAL TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME TAXES	\$	120,040		\$ 118,729
51	TOTAL TAKES OTHER THAN INCOME TAKES	Ψ	120,040		φ 110,729

AmerenUE

TOTAL ELECTRIC AND MISSOURI JURISDICTIONAL INCOME TAXES AT THE PROPOSED RETURN FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2008 UPDATED THROUGH JUNE 30, 2008 (\$000)

TOTAL MISSOURI LINE DESCRIPTION ELECTRIC JURISDICTIONAL (A) (B) (C) (D) NET INCOME FROM OPERATIONS \$ 494,956 490,314 1 \$ ADD 2 CURRENT INCOME TAXES 210,295 208,571 DEFERRED INCOME TAXES 3 DEFERRED INCOME TAX EXPENSE 4 (7.760)(7.686)5 I.T.C. AMORTIZATION (4,774) (4,820) NET INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAX 6 692,671 686,425 ADDITIONS TO NET INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAX BOOK DEPRECIATION 7 331,375 328,502 SUBTRACTIONS TO NET INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAX 8 INTEREST ON DEBT (1) 157,700 156,221 **PRODUCTION DEDUCTION** 9 19,252 18,946 TAX STRAIGHT LINE 10 299,894 297,045 TOTAL SUBTRACTIONS 476.846 472.212 11 12 NET TAXABLE INCOME 547,200 542,716 FEDERAL INCOME TAX 13 NET TAXABLE INCOME 547,200 542,716 DEDUCT MISSOURI INCOME TAX 28,531 28,297 14 DEDUCT CITY EARNINGS TAX 15 353 350 FEDERAL TAXABLE INCOME 16 518,316 514,068 17 FEDERAL INCOME TAX 35.00% 181,411 179,924 STATE INCOME TAXES 18 NET TAXABLE INCOME 547,200 542,716 DEDUCT 50% OF FEDERAL INCOME TAX 19 90,706 89,962 DEDUCT CITY EARNINGS TAX 20 353 350 21 MISSOURI TAXABLE INCOME 456,494 452,754 22 MISSOURI INCOME TAX 6.25% 28,531 28,297 CITY EARNINGS TAX NET TAXABLE INCOME 547.200 542,716 23 CITY EARNINGS TAX 0.0695% 24 380 377 25 LESS: TAX CREDIT 27 27 26 NET CITY EARNINGS TAX 353 350 27 TOTAL CURRENT INCOME TAXES 210,295 208,571 DEFERRED INCOME TAXES: 28 DEFERRED INCOME TAX EXPENSE (7,686) (7.760)(4,820) (4,774)29 I.T.C. AMORTIZATION 30 TOTAL DEFERRED INCOME TAX (12, 580)(12,460) 197,715 196,111 TOTAL INCOME TAX 31 \$ s

32 (1) RATE BASE X EMBEDDED33 COST OF DEBT.

2.648%

AmerenUE FIXED (DEMAND) ALLOCATOR FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2008

LINE

- The investment in production facilities and related other ratebase items along with certain related operating expenses are allocated to Missouri jurisdiction on the "contribution to the peak" fixed allocation method; that is, 1
- 2
- in the ratio of the average demands at the time of AmerenUE system twelve monthly peaks. 3

LINE	DESCRIPTION	DEMAND (kW)	
	(A)		(B)
4	Average of the AmerenUE System Twelve Month	ly Peak Demands.	6,720,851
5 6	Average of the Twelve Monthly Peak Demands of of the AmerenUE Twelve Monthly Peak Demands		6,613,930
7	FIXED ALLOCATION PERCENTAGE	Line 5 / Line 4	<u>98.41</u> %

AmerenUE VARIABLE ALLOCATOR FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2008 UPDATED THROUGH JUNE 30, 2008

LINE

1 The investment in production fuel inventories and the materials and supplies inventories applicable to

2 production, the related taxes and the variable production expenses are allocated to Missouri jurisdiction in the

3 proportion of kilowatt-hour sales to Missouri jurisdiction adjusted for losses, unbilled kWh, customer growth and

4 normal weather compared to AmerenUE system adjusted kWh output.

	DESCRIPTION	TOTAL <u>COMPANY</u>	MISSOURI JURISDICTIONAL
	(A)	(B)	(C)
5	KWH SALES - 12 MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2008	39,966,300,371	39,331,681,247
6	LINE LOSSES	1,780,323,629	1,756,398,488
7	EFFECT OF WEATHER, CUSTOMER GROWTH AND UNBILLED SALES	42,129,637	63,158,094
8	PRO FORMA KWH OUTPUT - 12 MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2008	41,788,753,637	41,151,237,829
9	VARIABLE ALLOCATION PERCENTAGE (Col C, Line 8 / Col B, Line 8)		<u>98.47</u> %

AmerenUE LABOR ALLOCATOR FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2008 (\$000)

Line

- The investment in general plant (system general) and administrative and general expenses are allocated to
 Missouri jurisdiction in the proportion of the electric operating labor allocated to Missouri jurisdiction compared
- to the total AmerenUE electric operating labor. 3

	DESCRIPTION	_ <u>E</u>	TOTAL ELECTRIC	ALLOCATION	SSOURI
	(A)		(B)	(C)	(D)
	OPERATING & MAINTENANCE LABOR PRODUCTION LABOR				
4	INCREMENTAL LABOR	\$	6,334	VARIABLE	\$ 6,237
5	OTHER OPERATING LABOR		97,256	FIXED	95,710
6	MAINTENANCE LABOR		70,351	VARIABLE	 69,274
7	TOTAL PRODUCTION LABOR		173,941		171,221
8	TRANSMISSION LABOR		5,466	DIRECT	5,466
9	REGIONAL MARKET LABOR		-		-
	DISTRIBUTION LABOR				
10	MISSOURI		67,637	DIST. PLANT	 67,528
11	TOTAL DISTRIBUTION LABOR		67,637		67,528
	CUSTOMER ACCOUNTING LABOR				
12	MISSOURI		15,834	DIRECT	 15,824
13	TOTAL CUSTOMER ACCOUNTING LABOR		15,834		15,824
	CUSTOMER SERVICE & INFORMATION LABOR				
14	MISSOURI		1,789	DIRECT	 1,789
15	TOTAL CUST. SERV. & INFO. LABOR		1,789		1,789
	SALES LABOR				
16	MISSOURI		686	DIRECT	 686
17	TOTAL SALES LABOR		686		686
	ADMINISTRATIVE & GENERAL LABOR				
18	ACCOUNT 930-1		73	DIRECT	 73
19	TOTAL DIRECT OPERATING LABOR		265,426		262,588
20	REMAINING A&G LABOR		40,157	LABOR	 39,728
21	TOTAL OPERATING & MAINTENANCE LABOR	\$	305,584		\$ 302,315
22	LABOR ALLOCATION PERCENTAGE				<u>98.93%</u>

AmerenUE MISSOURI JURISDICTIONAL ORIGINAL COST RATE BASE AND COST OF SERVICE FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2008 UPDATED THROUGH JUNE 30, 2008 (\$000)

<u>LINE</u>	DESCRIPTION (A)	<u>REFERENCE</u> (B)	JURI	IISSOURI SDICTIONAL <u>AMOUNT</u> (C)
	A. Original Cost Rate Base			
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Original Cost of Plant In Service Less: Reserves for Depreciation Net Original Cost of Plant Materials and Supplies Average Prepayments Cash Working Capital Interest Expense Cash Requirement Federal Income Tax Cash Requirement State Income Tax Cash Requirement City Earnings Tax Cash Requirement Average Customer Advances for Construction Average Customer Deposits Accumulated Deferred Taxes on Income	SCHEDULE GSW-E1-2 SCHEDULE GSW-E2-2 SCHEDULE GSW-E3-2 SCHEDULE GSW-E4-2 SCHEDULE GSW-E5 SCHEDULE GSW-E6 SCHEDULE GSW-E6 SCHEDULE GSW-E6 SCHEDULE GSW-E7 SCHEDULE GSW-E7 SCHEDULE GSW-E8	\$	12,144,653 5,342,894 6,801,759 319,859 15,815 8,559 (23,246) (468) (74) (228) (3,257) (14,204) (1,191,761)
14	Pension Tracker	SCHEDULE GSW-E9		(3,837)
15 14	OPEB Tracker Total Original Cost Rate Base	SCHEDULE GSW-E9	\$	(9,336) 5,899,581
	B. Revenue Requirement Operating Expenses:			
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	Production Transmission Regional Market Expenses Distribution Customer Accounts Customer Accounts Customer Service Sales Administrative and General Total Operating Expenses Depreciation and Amortization Taxes Other than Income Taxes Income Taxes-Based on Proposed Rate of Return Federal State City Earnings Total Income Taxes Deferred Income Tax Expense I.T.C. Amortization Total Deferred Income Taxes	SCHEDULE GSW-E11-4 SCHEDULE GSW-E11-4 SCHEDULE GSW-E11-4 SCHEDULE GSW-E11-4 SCHEDULE GSW-E11-4 SCHEDULE GSW-E11-4 SCHEDULE GSW-E11-4 SCHEDULE GSW-E12-3 SCHEDULE GSW-E13-3 SCHEDULE GSW-E14 SCHEDULE GSW-E14 SCHEDULE GSW-E14 SCHEDULE GSW-E14	\$	1,171,890 34,299 8,795 196,707 58,025 7,681 1,104 259,308 1,737,809 328,502 118,729 179,924 28,297 350 208,571 (7,686) (4,774) (12,460)
33	Return (Rate base * 8.311%)	8.311%		490,314
34	Total Revenue Requirement		\$	2,871,465

AmerenUE INCREASE REQUIRED TO PRODUCE 8.311% RETURN ON NET ORIGINAL COST RATE BASE FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2008 UPDATED THROUGH JUNE 30, 2008

LINE	DESCRIPTION (A)	MISSOURI JURISDICTIONAL AMOUNT (B)		
1	Net Original Cost Rate Base	\$	5,899,581	
	Revenue Requirement:			
2	Return at Proposed Rate (8.311%)		490,314	
3	Operating and Maintenance Expenses		1,737,809	
4	Depreciation and Amortization		328,502	
5	Taxes Other Than Income		118,729	
6	Federal and State Income and City Earnings Taxes at Claimed Return		208,571	
7	Deferred Income Taxes		(12,460)	
8	Total Revenue Requirement		2,871,465	
9	Pro Forma Operating Revenue at Present Rates		2,620,659	
10	Deficiency in Operating Revenue	\$	250,806	