

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION  
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

In the Matter of a Proposed Rulemaking to     )  
Codify                   Procedures                   for     )  
Telecommunications Carriers to Seek     )                   Case No. TX-2003-0565  
Approval, Amendment and Adoption of     )  
Interconnection and Resale Agreements.     )

**FISCAL NOTE REVIEW MEMORANDUM**

COMES NOW the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission (“Staff”) and states:

1.       In the Memorandum attached as Appendix A, the Staff advises the Commission that the Staff conducted a review of the fiscal estimates from the rule adopted in this case and has determined that no fiscal estimate changes need to be filed with the Secretary of State.

2.       The Commission’s Order of Rulemaking adopting 4 CSR 240-3.513, Filing and Submission Requirements for Telecommunications Company Applications for Approval of Interconnection Agreements, Amendments to Interconnection Agreements, and for Notices of Adoptions of Interconnection Agreements or Statements of Generally Available Terms, was published in the May 2, 2005 *Missouri Register*. This rule became effective on June 30, 2005, 30 days after its publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

3.       Section 536.200.2 RSMo (2000) requires agencies to make a filing with the Secretary of State if, after the first full year after implementation, the cost of the rule exceeds the agency estimate. The first full fiscal year after the rules became effective was the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2005 and ending June 30, 2006.

WHEREFORE, the Staff respectfully submits the attached Memorandum wherein the Staff states its conclusion that no fiscal estimate changes need to be filed with the Secretary of State.

Respectfully submitted,

**/s/ William K. Haas**

William K. Haas

Deputy Counsel

Missouri Bar No. 28701

Attorney for the Staff of the  
Missouri Public Service Commission

P. O. Box 360

Jefferson City, MO 65102

(573) 751-7510 (Telephone)

(573) 751-9285 (Fax)

[william.haas@psc.mo.gov](mailto:william.haas@psc.mo.gov)

### **Certificate of Service**

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed, hand-delivered, transmitted by facsimile or electronically mailed to all counsel of record this 26<sup>th</sup> day of July 2006.

**/s/ William K. Haas**

# MEMORANDUM

To: Missouri Public Service Commission Official Case File  
Case No. TX-2003-0565

From: Natelle Dietrich  
Telecommunications Department

John Van Eschen/ July 24, 2006  
Utility Operations Division/Date

Bill Haas/ July 24, 2006  
General Counsel's Office/Date

Subject: Rulemaking Fiscal Analysis Review

Date: July 24, 2006

The Secretary of State (SOS) published the Commission's Final Order of Rulemaking, which proposed a new Commission rule at 4 CSR 240-3.513 and was the subject of Case No. TX-2003-0565. The rule established filing and submission requirements for interconnection agreements, modifications and adoptions. The rule became effective on June 30, 2005.

Section 536.200.2 RSMo 2000 requires agencies to make a filing with the SOS if, after the first full fiscal year after implementation, the cost of the rule exceeds the agency estimates. The Commission's General Procedure 1 (GP-1) requires a Staff investigation of the fiscal impact thirty days prior to end of first full fiscal year. According to GP-1, Staff is to prepare a memorandum within thirty days after the end of the first full fiscal year addressing whether the cost to all affected entities, including the Commission, has exceeded 10% of the estimated cost (or, where appropriate, \$500) reflected in the fiscal note. If costs are more than 10% or \$500, the General Counsel prepares a filing for action by the Commission.

This memorandum advises the Commission that Staff has not discovered any information that would show the cost estimates associated with the rule were not accurate. Additionally, Staff has contacted the industry for input on the fiscal review. Staff has not received any information from any party potentially or actually affected by the implementation of this rule that would indicate the public or private cost estimates as published in the *Missouri Register* were not accurate.

Since the Staff's investigation indicates the published cost estimates related to the implementation of 4 CSR 240-3.513 have not been exceeded, no *Missouri Register* publication is required under Section 536.200.2.

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION  
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

In the Matter of a Proposed Rulemaking to     )  
Codify Procedures for                             )  
Telecommunications Carriers to Seek            )  
Approval, Amendment and Adoption of  
Interconnection and Resale Agreements.

Case No. **TX-2003-0565**

**AFFIDAVIT OF   Natelle Dietrich**

STATE OF MISSOURI             )  
  )   ss:  
COUNTY OF COLE             )

Natelle Dietrich, employee of the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission, being of lawful age and after being duly sworn, states that she has participated in the preparation of the accompanying Rulemaking Fiscal Analysis Review Memorandum, and that the facts therein are true and correct to the best of her knowledge and belief.

*Natelle Dietrich*  
Natelle Dietrich

Subscribed and affirmed before me this 25<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2006  
I am commissioned as a notary public within the County of Cole, State of Missouri  
and my commission expires on 9-23-2008

*Rosemary R. Robinson*  
NOTARY PUBLIC

