1	BEFORE THE PUE	BLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2	STAT	TE OF MISSOURI
3		
4	TRANSCRI	PT OF PROCEEDINGS
5	Prehea	aring Conference
6	Aug	gust 30, 2011
7		Volume 1
8		
9		
10	Eric C. Larson,	)
11	Complainant,	)
12	VS.	) File No. WC-2011-0409
13	Woodland Manor Water	)
14	Company, LLC,	)
15	Respondent.	)
16		
17		
18	DANIE	LL JORDAN, Presiding
	SENIC	OR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE
19		
20		
21		
22	REPORTED BY:	
	NANCY L. SILVA, RPR,	CCR
23	TIGER COURT REPORTING	G, LLC
24		
25		

1	ERIC C. LARSON
	Pro se
2	
3	
4	
5	
	GREG GIBSON (via telephone)
6	P.O. Box 108
	Blue Eye, Missouri 65611
7	417.779.2226
	FOR: Woodland Manor Water Company, LLC
8	
9	
10	
	RACHEL LEWIS
11	Missouri Public Service Commission
	P.O. Box 360
12	Jefferson City, Missouri 63102
	573.526.6715
13	FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public Service
	Commission
14	
15	
16	CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER:
17	NANCY L. SILVA, RPR, CCR NO. 890
	Tiger Court Reporting, LLC
18	3610 Buttonwood, Suite 200
	Columbia, Missouri 65201
19	573.886.8942
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	JUDGE JORDAN: We can go on the
2	record. The Commission will call File No.
3	WC-2011-0409, which is the complaint of
4	Eric C. Larson against Woodland Manor Water
5	Company, L.L.C.
6	I'm Daniel Jordan. I am the
7	regulatory law judge assigned to this action.
8	What I'm going to do is begin with entries of
9	appearance, and we'll start with the
10	Complainant. Will you introduce yourself,
11	please.
12	MR. LARSON: I'm Eric Larson.
13	JUDGE JORDAN: Mr. Larson, there was
14	a little bit of confusion as to who the entity
15	is that owns the property that's being
16	serviced. Is there an LLC involved in this at
17	all?
18	MR. LARSON: No. There had been an
19	LLC earlier, and it was dissolved as a matter of
20	not being attentive to its LLCness.
21	JUDGE JORDAN: Right. Okay. So the
22	property is owned by you; is that correct?
23	MR. LARSON: Eric and Debbie Larson.
24	JUDGE JORDAN: And by Debbie Larson.
25	Thank you. Thank you. All right.

1	Now, for Woodland Manor Water Company,
2	LLC.
3	MR. GIBSON: Yes, your Honor.
4	Gregory R. Gibson on behalf of Woodland Manor.
5	JUDGE JORDAN: Thank you. Will you give
6	your address and contact information to the reporter,
7	please.
8	MR. GIBSON: Yes. The best address would
9	be P.O. Box 108, Blue Eye, Missouri 65611, and my
10	phone is area code 417.779.2226.
11	JUDGE JORDAN: Thank you.
12	And for Staff.
13	Rachel Lewis on behalf of Staff, P.O.
14	Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102. With me I
15	have Dave Spratt, Jim Merciel and Jim Bush in the
16	room.
17	JUDGE JORDAN: Thank you.
18	And for Office of Public Counsel, I
19	haven't seen anyone. They are a party. They're
20	entitled to appear, but I don't think they have so
21	far. And I'm not hearing anyone on the line and not
22	seeing anyone in the room for Office of Public
23	Counsel.
24	And while we're discussing
25	representation, Mr. Larson, you have someone also

1	with you as well?
2	MR. LARSON: Yes. This is my wife Debbie.
3	JUDGE JORDAN: Debbie Larson
4	MR. LARSON: Correct.
5	JUDGE JORDAN: is present in the room.
6	And Staff has identified their representatives.
7	MR. GIBSON: Mr. Gibson, is there a
8	representative of the LLC at your end of the line?
9	MS. FENIMA: Yes, your Honor. Mona Fenima
10	is also here. She is the chief administrator, I
11	guess, of the company.
12	JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. Here's what we're
13	going to do today: We're going to discuss
14	procedure. We can get into a little bit of the
15	substance of it, if you like.
16	It may be helpful for the parties to do
17	so. Many of these actions settle on terms agreeable
18	to the parties. And the Commission does offer
19	mediation services as well, if that would be
20	helpful. The law encourages settlement, and the
21	reason it encourages settlement is that the parties
22	can usually come up with a solution that better meets
23	their needs than the Commission or any other outsider
24	can.
25	What we'll do is discuss some of these

1 matte	rs on t	the recor	d, and	we'll	go	off	the	record
---------	---------	-----------	--------	-------	----	-----	-----	--------

I'll leave the room so you may discuss more sensitive information outside of my hearing without worrying about any prejudging the merits of the case.

Well, the thing I want the parties to focus on, in my experience, is what a complaint does. According to statute, what a complaint does is determine whether a regulated utility has violated a statute, a regulation, or a tariff.

The Commission can fashion remedies for that, but our focus is on whether the regulated utility has violated a statute, a regulation, or a tariff. The Complainant in these actions has the burden of proof, and it's very much like a circuit court trial. There's no jury.

If the case were to go to hearing, it would be heard -- I would run the hearing. I assemble the record. I draft a decision, and I recommend that to the Commissioners, and then they decide, by vote -- there's five of them -- they decide how they want to decide the case.

Now, the thing about this hearing is that it is an evidentiary hearing. Like I say, it's much like in circuit court. The law relaxes the rules of evidence, but the law of evidence still applies, and

1	in order to figure out pretty much everything you
2	need to know about evidence, I'm going to guide you
3	to a statute. The statute is No. 536.070. 536.070.
4	That tells you pretty much everything you need to
5	know about how to get your information into the
6	record, if we go to hearing.
7	That statute's written in pretty plain
8	language. There's 12 subsections to it, but pro se
9	litigants prepare and will prevail in their case
10	pretty often. The thing to understand about the
11	person running the hearing, me, is that I'm a
12	lawyer. I'm an administrative lawyer. My expertise
13	is in administrative law. That's what I know.
14	I'm not an engineer, and I'm not an
15	accountant. I'm not an economist, so if you have
16	matters like that that you want to communicate to me
17	you're going to have to reduce them to very plain,
18	simple terms. Words of one syllable are helpful.
19	Any questions so far?
20	MR. GIBSON: No sir, not from us.
21	MR. LARSON: Nor from me.
22	JUDGE JORDAN: All right. Well, what I'd
23	like to do then, having said my peace, is leave this
24	matter to you and go off the record so that the

parties can talk about what they can agree to and

1	understand, also what they don't agree to.
2	It may be helpful for the parties to
3	agree on dates for exchanging information through the
4	discovery process. It may be helpful to agree on a
5	hearing date as well. If the parties want to file a
6	procedural schedule, that can be helpful as well.
7	I'll ask the Staff to guide those
8	discussions, and I will also ask Staff to file a
9	brief report, say tomorrow morning, a sentence or
10	maybe two just to give me an idea of the likelihood
11	for settlement or the need for a hearing as Staff
12	perceives it.
13	MS. LEWIS: Sure.
14	JUDGE JORDAN: And then once you're all
15	done, I'll ask you to hang up the phone and turn off
16	the lights.
17	MS. LEWIS: We can do that.
18	JUDGE JORDAN: Now, while I'm here with
19	you, is there anything I can help you with as far as
20	procedural guidance or at least how I perceive the
21	procedure in this action?
22	MR. LARSON: Not me.
23	JUDGE JORDAN: Staff, anything?
24	MS. LEWIS: No, I'm good too.

JUDGE JORDAN: Mr. Gibson, anything before

1	I leave the room and we go off the record?
2	MR. GIBSON: Well sir, no. I guess the
3	only issue, and it was one issue we raised, really,
4	just to kind of make sure we were covering all the
5	bases, was about whether or not the Complainant
6	whether there could be an LLC also involved with the
7	Complainant, and I understand he has taken the
8	position here on the record that there is no LLC
9	involved. That's fine.
10	I think you understand, we just didn't
11	want to be in a position of having to revisit this at
12	some point in the future, you know, having a
13	duplicate filing by an LLC at a later date, so if
14	there's my understanding is that the Court is
15	satisfied that the Complainant has taken the position
16	on the record that there is no LLC involved, so we
17	can dispense with that issue; is that correct?
18	JUDGE JORDAN: Well, I appreciate you
19	having raised that issue, because if an LLC does own
20	the property if the LLC is the customer I think
21	I should say, if the LLC were a customer, then that
22	would involve matters of representation.
23	MR. LARSON: Right. I'd have to be

JUDGE JORDAN: Exactly so, and that's come

represented by a lawyer.

1	up in the past. In fact, my very first hearing had
2	that. A surprise LLC popped up so
3	MR. LARSON: Uh-huh.
4	JUDGE JORDAN: always good for someone
5	to investigate and to clarify that, so I appreciate
6	you doing so.
7	Anything else before I go off the record
8	and leave the room?
9	MR. LARSON: Not from us.
10	JUDGE JORDAN: From Staff?
11	MS. LEWIS: Nothing from Staff.
12	JUDGE JORDAN: Anything from the utility?
13	MR. GIBSON: I have nothing further, sir.
14	JUDGE JORDAN: Thank you very much,
15	everyone, for your attention and efforts in this
16	action. With that, I'll adjourn this portion of the
17	prehearing conference. I'll leave the room and we'll
18	go off the record.
19	MR. LARSON: Thank you, sir.
20	JUDGE JORDAN: Thank you.
21	(The hearing concluded.)
22	
23	
24	

2	I, Nancy L. Silva, RPR, a Certified
3	Court Reporter, CCR No. 890, the officer before
4	whom the foregoing hearing was taken, do hereby
5	certify that the witness whose testimony appears
6	in the foregoing hearing was duly sworn; that
7	the testimony of said witness was taken by me to
8	the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to
9	typewriting under my direction; that I am
10	neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by
11	any of the parties to the action in which this
12	hearing was taken, and further, that I am not a
13	relative or employee of any attorney or counsel
14	employed by the parties thereto, nor financially
15	or otherwise interested in the outcome of the
16	action.
17	
18	
19	Nancy L. Silva, RPR, CCR
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE