

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**  
**OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

In the Matter of the Petition of Chariton Valley	)	
Telephone Corp. for Arbitration of Unresolved	)	
Issues Pertaining to a Section 251(b)(5) Agreement	)	<b><u>Case No. TO-2005-0374</u></b>
with United States Cellular Corporation	)	

**ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME**

On April 20, 2005, Chariton Valley Telephone Corporation requested that the Commission arbitrate its unresolved interconnection issues with United States Cellular Corporation.<sup>1</sup> By statute, United States Cellular Corporation's response was due no later than May 16.

On May 13, US Cellular filed its Motion for Extension of Time to File Response under Section 252(b)(3) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. US Cellular explained that it and the petitioning parties have negotiated extensively, and that they continue to do so. US Cellular states that it has not had the time to properly respond due to the time it has spent negotiating. US Cellular asks the Commission to extend the deadline to May 18, and states that the petitioning parties do not object.

The Commission finds US Cellular's request reasonable, and will grant it.

---

<sup>1</sup> On April 22, the Commission consolidated this case with Case Nos. TO-2005-0375 through TO-2005-0379, naming TO-2005-0374 the lead case.

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:**

1. That United States Cellular Corporation's Motion for Extension of Time to File Response under Section 252(b)(3) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 is granted.
2. That United States Cellular Corporation shall respond to the petitions no later than May 18, 2005.
3. That this order shall become effective on May 16, 2005.

**BY THE COMMISSION**

**Colleen Dale**  
**Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge**

( S E A L )

Ronald D. Pridgin, Regulatory Law Judge,  
by delegation of authority pursuant  
to Section 386.240, RSMo 2000.

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri,  
on this 16th day of May, 2005.