

Commissioners

STEVE GAW Chair

CONNIE MURRAY

KELVIN L. SIMMONS

BRYAN FORBIS

ROBERT M. CLAYTON III

Missouri Jublic Serbice Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://www.psc.mo.gov ROBERT J. QUINN, JR. Executive Director

WESS A. HENDERSON Director, Utility Operations

ROBERT SCHALLENBERG Director, Utility Services

> DONNA M. PRENGER Director, Administration

DALE HARDY ROBERTS Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

> DANA K. JOYCE General Counsel

October 24, 2003

Mr. Dale Hardy Roberts Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission P. O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Re:

Proposed Amendments to Rules 4 CSR 240-33.010, 4 CSR 240-33.020, 4 CSR 240-33.040, 4 CSR 240-33.070, 4 CSR 240-33.080, 4 CSR 240-33.110, 4 CSR 240-33.150, and 4 CSR 240-3.555, and Rescission of 4 CSR 240-33.030 Service and Billing Practices for Telecommunications Companies.

No. TX-2001-512

Dear Mr. Roberts:

Executive Order 03-15, Section 2, requires, among other things, that state agencies determine whether a proposed rule or rules affect small businesses. If the Public Service Commission determines that its proposed rule or rules affect small businesses by causing a direct and significant economic burden, it must then prepare a small business impact statement for submission to the Secretary of State.

In consultation with the staff of the Public Service Commission, I have undertaken an analysis of whether the proposed rule modifications updating the Commission's annual report submission regulations affect small businesses. I have determined that the proposed rule amendments listed above do not affect small businesses in a direct and significant manner, nor do they directly relate to the formation, operation or expansion of a small business.

The rule modifications listed above are designed to update the Commission's rules to provide consumers a better understanding of their bill and the ability to control what type of calls are made from their telephone or what items are charged on their telephone bill. None of these amendments will have any direct and significant economic burden upon small businesses.

October 24, 2003 Page 2

Therefore, preparation of a small business impact statement is not required for these rule amendments.

Please let me know if you have any questions based upon the foregoing.

Sincerely,

David A. Meyer

Associate General Counsel

(573) 751-8706

(573) 751-9285 (Fax)



Commissioners

STEVE GAW Chair

CONNIE MURRAY

KELVIN L. SIMMONS

BRYAN FORBIS

ROBERT M. CLAYTON III

Missouri Bublic Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://www.psc.mo.gov

October 24, 2003

ROBERT J. QUINN, JR. Executive Director

WESS A. HENDERSON Director, Utility Operations

ROBERT SCHALLENBERG Director, Utility Services

DONNA M. PRENGER Director, Administration

DALE HARDY ROBERTS Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

DANA K. JOYCE General Counsel

Mr. Dale Hardy Roberts Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission P. O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Re:

Proposed Amendments to Rules 4 CSR 240-33.010, 4 CSR 240-33.020, 4 CSR 240-33.040, 4 CSR 240-33.060, 4 CSR 240-33.070, 4 CSR 240-33.080, 4 CSR 240-33.110, 4 CSR 240-33.150, and 4 CSR 240-3.555, and Rescission of

4 CSR 240-33.030

Service and Billing Practices for Telecommunications Companies.

No. TX-2001-512

Dear Mr. Roberts:

Executive Order 93-13 requires state agencies to undertake a "takings analysis" of each proposed rule or regulation in light of the United States Supreme court decision in Lucas v. South Carolina Coastal Council, 112 S.Ct. 2886 (1992). Pursuant to that order, I have undertaken a "takings analysis" of the above-referenced proposed rulemaking. In Lucas, the Court held that state regulation depriving a real property owner of all economically beneficial use of that real property constitutes a "taking" under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments of the U.S. Constitution, for which the property owner must be compensated. The Court also held that when state regulations compel a property owner to suffer a permanent physical invasion of his/her property, such an invasion is compensable.

The proposed amendments are designed to update the Commission's rules to provide consumers a better understanding of their bill and the ability to control what type of calls are made from their telephone or what items are charged on their telephone bill.

The proposed rule amendments do not implicate the takings clause of the U.S. Constitution, because they do not involve the taking of real property.

Please let me know if you have any questions on this issue.

Sincerely yours,

David A. Meyer

Associate General Counsel

(573) 751-8706

(573) 751-9285 (Fax)

MATT BLUNT Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division RULE TRANSMITTAL *Administrative Rules Stamp

RECEIVED

JAN 2 8 2004

SECRETARY OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

A "SEPARATE" rule transmittal sheet must be used for EACH individual rulemaking.

Conte	The state of the s	Phone	573-751-8706	FAX	573-751-9285
	Entry Tammy Vieth	Phone	573-751-8377	FAX	573-751-9285
	l Address david.meyer@psc.mo.gov				
Date :	agency Mailing Address Governor Office tory Authority 386.250 Filed With the Joint Committee on Address 7, RSMo 2000, and Executive Order No. 93.0	ministrativa	Current RSN		
	CK, IF INCLUDED: This transmittal completed	/ (June 27, 199	poration by refere		
	Cover letter Affidavit Forms, number of pages Fiscal notes	Autho Public Privat	ority with history	of the rule	2
	Emergency Rulemaking, (check one)	and	nendment 🗌 reso	ussion [_	_ termination
	MUST include effective date Proposed Rulemaking (check one) Order of Rulemaking (check one reference of the second control of the second check one) Withdrawal (check one) rule rule and Rule action notice In addition Rule under consideration	ale amen		ssion 1	termination
SPECII dentify	Proposed Rulemaking (check one) Order of Rulemaking (check one re MUST complete page 2 of this to Withdrawal (check one) rule an Rule action notice In addition	alc amenoransmittal mendment v	rescission en	sion 🔲 i	

RULE TRANSMITTAL (PAGE 2)

E.	ORDER OF RULEMAKING: Rule Number	
	1a. Effective Date for the Order Statutory 30 days Specific date	
	1b. Does the Order of Rulemaking contain changes to the rule text? ☐ YES ☐ NO	
	1c. If the answer is YES, please complete section F. If the answer is NO, STOP here.	STOP here.

F. Please provide a complete list of the changes in the rule text for the order of rulemaking, indicating the specific section, subsection, paragraph, subparagraph, part, etc., where each change is found. It is especially important to identify the parts of the rule that are being deleted in this order of rulemaking. This is not a reprinting of your order, but an explanation of what sections, subsections, etc. have been changed since the original proposed rule was filed.

(Start text here. If text continues to a third page, insert a continuous section break and, in section 3, delete the footer text. DO NOT delete the header, however.)

NOTE: ALL changes MUST be specified here in order for those changes to be made in the rule as published in the Missouri Register and the Code of State Regulations.



Commissioners

STEVE GAW Chair

CONNIE MURRAY ROBERT M. CLAYTON III

Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://www.psc.state.mo.us ROBERT J. QUINN, JR. Executive Director

WESS A. HENDERSON Director, Utility Operations

ROBERT SCHALLENBERG Director, Utility Services

DONNA M. PRENGER Director, Administration

DALE HARDY ROBERTS Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

> DANA K. JOYCE General Counsel

January 28, 2004

Hon. Matt Blunt Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Blunt,

Re: Proposed Amendment to Rule 4 CSR 240-3.555
Telecommunications Company Residential Customer Inquiries.

CERTIFICATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE RULE

I hereby certify that the attached is an accurate and complete copy of the proposed amendment lawfully submitted by the Missouri Public Service Commission for filing on this 28th day of January 2004.

The Missouri Public Service Commission has determined and hereby certifies that this proposed amendment will not have an economic impact on small businesses. The Missouri Public Service Commission also certifies that it has conducted an analysis of whether or not there has been a taking of real property pursuant to section 536.017 RSMo 2000 and that this proposed amendment does not constitute a taking of real property under relevant state and federal law.

Statutory Authority: Section 386.250 RSMo 2000.

If there are any questions, please contact:

David Meyer, Associate General Counsel
P.O. Box 360

Jefferson City, MO 65102

(573) 751-8706, FAX (573) 751-9285

david.meyer@psc.mo.gov

BY THE COMMISSION

Dale Hardy Roberts

Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

AFFIDAVIT

RECEIVED
FEB 0 5 2004
SECHETAL ATE
ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

STATE OF MISSOURI)
COUNTY OF COLE)

I, Anne Walker, Deputy Director of the Department of Economic Development, first being duly sworn on my oath state that it is my opinion that the cost of the Proposed Amendment of 4 CSR 240-3.555 Telecommunications Company Residential Customer Inquiries, is less than five hundred dollars in the aggregate to this agency, any other agency of state government or any political subdivision thereof.

Anne Walker

DEPUTY DIRECTOR

Department of Economic Development

Machen

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 5th day of February, 2004.

I am commissioned as a notary public within the County of Coke.

State of Missouri, and my commission expires on April 29 2006

NOTARY PUBLIC

KIMBERLEY BRANDT SPRENCE!
NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF MISSOURI
COLE COUNTY
MY COMMISSION EXP. APR. 29,2006

RECEIVED

Title 4 - DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Division 240 – Public Service Commission Chapter 3 – Filing and Reporting Requirements

SECRETARY OF STATE

PROPOSED AMENDMENT

4 CSR 240-3.555 Telecommunications Company Residential Customer Inquiries. The Public Service Commission is amending this rule to add a new section (2)(J).

PURPOSE: This amendment requires telecommunications companies to provide notice of the availability of Lifeline and Link-up services to residential customers.

- (2) A telecommunications company shall prepare a statement which in layman's terms describes the rights and responsibilities of both the telecommunications company and its customers under this chapter. This statement shall appear in the front part of the telephone directory or the telecommunications company will mail or otherwise deliver such statement to its existing and new customers. If multiple telecommunications companies are represented in a directory, and each has identical statements of rights and responsibilities, the information need only appear once. Upon request the statement shall be submitted to the commission, its staff, or Office of the Public Counsel. The statement shall include descriptions of;
 - (A) Billing procedures;
 - (B) Customer payment requirements and procedures;
 - (C) Deposit and guarantee requirements;
 - (D) Conditions of termination, discontinuance and reconnection of service;
 - (E) Procedures for handling inquiries;
- (F) A procedure whereby a customer may avoid discontinuance of service during a period of absence;
 - (G) Complaint procedures under 4 CSR 240-2.070;
- (H) The telephone number and address of all offices of the Missouri Public Service Commission and the statement that this company is regulated by the Missouri Public Service Commission;
- (I) The address and telephone number of the Office of the Public Counsel and a statement of the function of that office; and
 - (J) Where provided, a prominent description of Lifeline and Link-up services.

AUTHORITY: section 386.250, RSMo 2000. Original rule filed May 1, 2002.

PUBLIC COST: This proposed rule will not cost state agencies or political subdivisions more than five hundred dollars (\$500) in the aggregate.

PRIVATE COST: This proposed rule will not cost private entities more than five hundred dollars (\$500) in the aggregate.

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING AND NOTICE TO SUBMIT COMMENTS: Anyone may file a statement in support of or in opposition to this proposed rule with the Missouri Public Service Commission, Dale Hardy Roberts, Secretary, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, MO 65102, (573)

751-3234. To be considered, comments must be received at the Commission's offices within thirty (30) days of publication in the Missouri Register, and should include a reference to Commission Case No. TX-2001-512. If comments are submitted via a paper filing, an original and eight (8) copies of the comments are required. Comments may also be submitted via a filing using Commission's electronic filing and information http://www.psc.state.mo.us/efis.asp. A public hearing is scheduled for April 23, 2004, at 10:00 A.M., in Room 310 of the Governor Office Building, 200 Madison Street, Jefferson City, Missouri, for interested persons to appear and respond to Commission questions. Any persons with special needs as addressed by the Americans with Disabilities Act should contact the Missouri Public Service Commission at least ten (10) days prior to the hearing at one of the following numbers: Consumer Services Hotline 1-800-392-4211, or TDD Hotline 1-800-829-7541.

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Dale Hardy Roberts, Secretary

DATE:

27 January 13, 2004

RE:

Authorization to File Proposed Rulemaking with the Office of Secretary of State

CASE NO:

TX-2001-512

The undersigned Commissioners hereby authorize the Secretary of the Missouri Public Service Commission to file the following Proposed Rulemaking with the Office of Secretary of State, to wit:

4 CSR 240-3.555 Telecommunications Company Residential Customer Inquiries.

Steve Gaw, Chair

Connie Murray, Commissioner

Robert Clayton III, Commissioner