Exhibit No.:

Issues: Rate Case Expense, Dues and

Donations, Edison Electric

Institute Dues

Witness: Casey Westhues Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff

Type of Exhibit: Surrebuttal Testimony Case No.: ER-2010-0130

Date Testimony Prepared: April 23, 2010

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION **UTILITY SERVICES DIVISION**

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

CASEY WESTHUES

THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY **CASE NO. ER-2010-0130**

Jefferson City, Missouri **April, 2010**

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	OF THE SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF
3	CASEY WESTHUES
4	THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY
5	CASE NO. ER-2010-0130
6	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
7	RATE CASE EXPENSE
8	DUES AND DONATIONS
O	
9	EDISON ELECTRIC INSTITUTE DUES

1	SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY		
2	OF		
3		CASEY WESTHUES	
4		THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY	
5		CASE NO. ER-2010-0130	
6	Q.	Please state your name and business address.	
7	A.	Casey Westhues, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.	
8	Q.	Are you the same Casey Westhues who has previously filed rebuttal testimony	
9	and contributed to the Staff's Cost of Service Report in this proceeding?		
10	A.	Yes, I am.	
11	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY		
12	Q.	What is the purpose of your surrebuttal testimony?	
13	A.	The purpose of my surrebuttal testimony is to address the rebuttal testimony of	
14	The Empire District Electric Company ("Empire" or "Company") witness Jayna R. Long		
15	regarding rat	e case expense, dues and donations, and Edison Electric Institute (EEI) dues.	
16	RATE CAS	SE EXPENSE	
17	Q.	Do you agree with Empire witness Long that Empire should be allowed to	
18	recover the	costs associated with rate case appeals, as discussed at page 4 of her	
19	rebuttal testimony?		
20	A.	Yes. The Staff and Empire have reached an agreement to include a normalized	
21	level of the costs associated with rate case appeals in Empire's cost of service. This amount is		
22	reflected as a part of the Staff's adjustment to outside services expenses.		

- Q. On page 4 of her rebuttal testimony, Ms. Long discusses what she characterizes as unrecovered rate case expenses from past rate cases. Empire requests to specifically recover these past costs in the current case by increasing its rate case expense recovery by \$369,773. Does the Staff agree with Empire's request?
- A. No. The Staff disagrees that current rate case expenses should be increased by the specific costs associated with past rate case expenses. The Staff recommends recovery in rates of normalized rate case expense on a prospective basis. The Staff believes it is inappropriate to allow specific recovery in rates of amounts related to past rate proceedings. Absent extraordinary circumstances, ratemaking should be prospective in nature and not focus on retroactive treatment of alleged under-recoveries or over-recoveries of costs included in prior Company rates.
- Q. Has the Commission supported the Staff's position regarding past rate case expenses?
- A. Yes. In the Report and Order in Case No. WR-83-13 (Missouri Cities Water Company), the Commission stated:

Rate case expenses are not extraordinary expenses which should be amortized, but are ordinary expenses which should be included in a Company's cost of service at a reasonable level calculated upon historic data, adjusted if necessary for known and measurable changes.

It also stated:

To provide for the recovery of past rate case expenses, as proposed by the company, could constitute retroactive ratemaking, which is prohibited by <u>State ex rel. Utilities Consumer Council of Missouri v. Public Service Commission of Missouri</u>, 585 S.W.2d 41, 59 (Mo. En banc 1979). See also <u>Martigney Creek Sewer Company</u>, Mo. PSC Case No SR-83-166 (Report and Order issued March 4, 1983).

described above?

- 1 2
- Q. Does the Staff agree with the Commission's characterization of the issue described above?
- 3
- A. Yes. The articulation of the ordinary nature of these expenses is an accurate
- 4

5

Does the Staff agree with the Commission's resolution of the issue Q.

characterization of Staff's position in this case, premised on its expert judgment.

- 6
- 7 A. Yes. The Staff does not support the recovery of specific costs incurred in a
- 8 prior time period, absent an appropriate Accounting Authority Order that allows an amortized
- 9 portion of the cost to be reflected in test year expenses.

with MGE's previous rate cases prior to the 2004 case.

- 10
- Q. Ms. Long cites two cases on page 4 of her testimony that allegedly support
- 11 Empire's position to recover past rate case expenses. What is your response?
- 12
- A. The first case that Ms. Long refers to is Case No. GR-2004-0209,
- 13
- 14 found no reference to the Commission authorizing the specific recovery of costs associated

Missouri Gas Energy ("MGE"). In its review of the Report and Order for that case, the Staff

- 15
- 16 As referenced by Ms. Long, in Case No.GR-2006-0422 (MGE), the Commission did
- 17 authorize MGE to recover past rate case expenses. However, for the reasons explained above
- 18 and in the Staff's Cost of Service Report, the Staff continues to maintain that the best
- 19 ratemaking practice is to include only a normalized level of rate case expenses in rates, based
- 20 on historically incurred levels, as opposed to providing for the specific recovery of
- 21 past expenses.
- 22 Q. Staff support the Company's requested Rate Case Expense Does
- 23 Recovery Rider?

A. No. As previously explained in my rebuttal testimony, the Staff does not consider a Rate Case Expense Recovery Rider to be necessary, or to constitute best ratemaking practice.

DUES AND DONATIONS

- Q. Why were the costs of certain dues and donations eliminated from the Staff's recommended cost of service?
- A. Certain dues and donations expenses were eliminated because they were unnecessary to provide safe and adequate electric service. Others were eliminated because the benefits associated with the dues and donations were duplicative of that of other costs incurred by Empire.
- Q. Which costs were eliminated as unnecessary for safe and adequate electric service?
- A. Dues and donations to the Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers (IEEE), the Homebuilder's Association (HBA), and the Tri State Contractors (Tri-State) were initially eliminated from the Staff's case as unnecessary for safe and adequate electric service. After additional review, the Staff now recommends recovery of the IEEE costs.
- Q. Has Empire provided any information, or has Staff independently acquired any information, indicating why the Homebuilder's Association costs are necessary for safe and adequate electric service?
- A. No. The HBA is an organization that is concerned with promoting the housing industry. They are affiliated with the National Homebuilders Association whose main goal is to "analyze policy issues, work toward improving the housing finance system, and analyze and forecast economic and consumer trends"

5

6

8

9

10

12 13

11

14

15

16

18

17

19 20

21 22

23

(National Association of Home Builders website) The Staff has not found anything to indicate that the HBA deals specifically with electric utility issues or issues involving installation of electrical equipment or extension of services, as was stated in Ms. Long's rebuttal testimony on page 6, lines 12-13.

- Q. Has Empire provided any information, or has Staff independently acquired any information, indicating why the Tri-State Contractors costs are necessary for safe and adequate electric service?
- A. No. Tri-State's mission is to promote "better working conditions for the Commercial Construction industry...to become a viable power to address City, County, and State issues which directly impact the Commercial Construction Industry" (Tri-State website). According to its website, a major focus of Tri-State's efforts in furtherance of these goals is its legal and legislative committees, where Tri-States seeks to "work with local and State Officials to negotiate legal and legislative changes." The Staff does not recommend recovery of costs indirectly associated with lobbying efforts, such as these, in rates.
 - Q. Which costs were eliminated because they were seen as duplicative?
- A. The U.S Chamber of Commerce and Missouri Chamber of Commerce dues were eliminated as duplicative costs.
 - Q. Why does the Staff regard these costs as duplicative?
- A. As was stated in the Staff's Cost of Service Report, Chamber of Commerce dues for cities and towns around the Joplin area were recommended for recovery in rates. The U.S and Missouri Chambers of Commerce serve the same general function as the local chambers of commerce and so are seen as duplicative costs for which recovery in rates is not recommended.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

Q. Ms. Long alleges in her rebuttal testimony on pages 5-6 that the Staff was inconsistent in their disallowances of certain dues. What is your response?

A. The problems referenced by Ms. Long were due to the Staff's reliance on

information that was initially informally provided by Empire that was inconsistent with the

information later formally provided by Empire. In response to Empire's concerns, the Staff

has re-adopted its direct filed position concerning these particular dues.

Kansas Economic Development Association and Arkansas One Call System.

EDISON ELECTRIC INSTITUTE DUES

Q. Ms. Long alleges in her rebuttal testimony on page 7, that Staff appears to rely solely on the Commission Order in Case No. ER-83-49, Kansas City Power & Light Company, as support for eliminating EEI dues. Is this accurate?

A. No the Staff has a general practice of disallowing costs associated with lobbying efforts. As regards EEI in particular, in its Report and Order in Case No. ER-83-49, the Commission stated that EEI dues:

> ...would be excluded as an expense until the company could better quantify the benefit accruing to both the company's ratepayers and shareholders.

The Staff interprets this Order as requiring a Company to accurately quantify the costs associated with EEI lobbying and shareholder advocacy efforts before obtaining recovery in rates of any portion of EEI dues. As will be explained below, Empire has not done so in this case and should not be allowed recovery of any portion of EEI dues.

Q. Has Empire quantified the benefit associated with EEI membership accruing to the company's ratepayers?

- A. No. While Empire has obtained from EEI a percentage of the dues that are allegedly associated with lobbying costs, and that were booked by Empire "below-the-line," Empire has provided no support or explanation for how this percentage was derived, nor have they demonstrated that this percentage of dues is an accurate quantification of the direct costs incurred by EEI in the lobbying and legislative advocacy area. Please refer to Empire's response to Staff Data Request No. 0347, which is attached to this testimony as Schedule 1.
- Q. Does Empire gain any benefit from its membership in EEI in areas not related to lobbying and governmental affairs?
- A. Based upon Ms. Long's rebuttal testimony, that is possible. However, absent reliable evidence of the allocation of EEI dues to activities that benefit shareholders versus activities that benefit ratepayers, the Staff cannot recommend recovery of any portion of EEI dues through rates.
- Q. Ms. Long attached a schedule to her rebuttal testimony that purports to illustrate the non-lobbying benefits received by Empire from its membership in EEI. What is your response?
- A. This information was apparently received from EEI by Empire, and it is not always clear what the information in this report relates to, and how it demonstrates the existence of non-lobbying benefits. The Staff notes, however, that Ms. Long's Schedule JRL-1 does indicate that Empire pays certain fees associated with Empire employee attendance at EEI technical committee meetings that are separate and apart from EEI's annual membership dues. Staff recommended recovery of such meeting fees incurred within the test year.

- Q. Are the EEI information sharing and training activities discussed by Ms. Long in her rebuttal testimony a primary focus of EEI's efforts?
- A. The Staff believes not. I have included as Schedule 2 to this testimony a copy of an EEI letter from Mr. David Radcliffe, EEI's Chairman, to Mr. William Gipson, Empire's President and Chief Executive Officer, as well as an attachment to this letter entitled "2008 Industry Issues and Accomplishments." Schedule 2 was sent to Empire as a cover letter to EEI's 2009 annual dues. Review of the content of Schedule 2 shows that EEI and its Chairman focus almost completely on governmental and regulatory affairs/lobbying activities as constituting its "accomplishments" in 2008. There is no reference in this information to any efforts by EEI to sponsor technical training or information-sharing activities as discussed by Ms. Long in her effort to justify inclusion of these dues in Empire's rates.
 - Q. Does this conclude your surrebuttal testimony?
 - A. Yes.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Company for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing) Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in) the Missouri Service Area of the Company)
AFFIDAVIT OF CASEY WESTHUES
STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss. COUNTY OF COLE)
Casey Westhues, of lawful age, on her oath states: that she has participated in the preparation of the foregoing Surrebuttal Testimony in question and answer form, consisting of pages to be presented in the above case; that the answers in the foregoing Surrebuttal Testimony were given by her; that she has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true and correct to the best of her knowledge and belief.
Casley Westhues Casey Westhues
Subscribed and sworn to before me this
D. SUZIE MANKIN Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Cole County My Commission Expires: December 08, 2012 Commission Number; 08412071

Missouri Public Service Commission

Data Request

0347 Data Request No.

Empire District Electric Company, The-Investor(Electric) **Company Name**

Case/Tracking No. ER-2010-0130

4/8/2010 **Date Requested**

Issue Expense - A&G - Dues and Donations

Angela Cloven **Requested From**

Requested By Casey Westhues

Brief Description EEI

1)In its response to Staff Data Request No. 0035.1 Empire Description

states that the percentage of EEI dues related to lobbying during 2008 were 14.37% and during 2009 were 16%. What

is the basis for determining the percentage related to lobbying and how was it determined for each of these years?

Who determines these percentages? 2) Does Empire believe the percentages cited above reflect all of EEI's costs related to governmental affairs/governmental relations or are some EEI costs related to governmental affairs/governmental relations reflected in the above the line portion of EEI dues? If so, please identify the governmental affairs/governmental relations costs treated above-the-line by Empire. Please

provide any documentation Empire relies on for its position

regarding the percentagel of EEI's costs related to

governmental affairs/governmental relations.

Due Date 4/18/2010

Response

(1) The percentages were provided by EEI to Empire for each year. The different amounts per year reflect EEI's

expenditures costs related to governmental affairs activity. (2) Empire believes EEI cited percentages are accurate and inclusive. David Martin. Director of

Government Affairs.

The attached information provided to Missouri Public Service Commission Staff in response to the above data information request is accurate and complete, and contains no material misrepresentations or omissions, based upon present facts of which the undersigned has knowledge, information or belief. The undersigned agrees to immediately inform the Missouri Public Service Commission Staff if, during the pendency of Case No. ER-2010-0130 before the Commission, any matters are discovered which would materially affect the accuracy or completeness of the attached information.

If these data are voluminous, please (1) identify the relevant documents and their location (2) make arrangements with requestor to have documents available for inspection in the Empire District Electric Company, The-Investor(Electric) office, or other location mutually agreeable. Where identification of a document is requested, briefly describe the document (e.g. book, letter, memorandum, report) and state the following information as applicable for the particular document: name, title number, author, date of publication and publisher, addresses, date written, and the name and address of the person(s) having possession of the document. As used in this data request the term "document(s)" includes publication of any format, workpapers, letters, memoranda, notes, reports, analyses, computer analyses, test results, studies or data, recordings, transcriptions and printed, typed or written materials of every kind in your possession, custody or control or within your knowledge. The pronoun "you" or "your" refers to Empire District Electric Company, The-Investor(Electric) and its employees, contractors, agents or others employed by or acting in its behalf.

Security Public Rationale NA

701 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W Washington, D.C. 20004-2696 Telephone 202-508-5000

EDISON ELECTRIC INSTITUTE

DAVID M. RATCLIFFE EEI Chairman

November 14, 2008

Mr. William I Gipson President and CEO Empire District Electric Co PO Box 127 Joplin, MO 64802-0127

Dear Bill:

Enclosed please find the invoice for your company's 2009 Edison Electric Institute dues.

Based on the guidance provided by the EEI Board in June, the total dues budget remains unchanged for 2009, as it has now for several years. It is important to note that your individual company's billed dues will vary based on your relative growth in statistics. Companies with statistical increases greater than average will see higher dues, while companies with lower growth, mergers, or other changes may see reductions.

Working together, we achieved many of our priorities and delivered real benefits in 2008, including major tax savings that alone significantly exceed each of our dues to EEI. Enclosed is an excellent summary of our accomplishments. In the coming year, as we work with a new Obama Administration and a new Congress, I think we can anticipate that energy policy, climate and other environmental issues, the ongoing financial crisis, and tax policy – including the critically important dividend tax rate – will remain front-burner issues. Likewise, we anticipate a challenging regulatory agenda at both the federal and state level.

I would note that the flat dues guideline was set by the Board before the unanticipated market collapse, and the adverse impact this is having on EEI's investment income and pension liability under the new FASB #158 pension funding requirements. This creates a particularly demanding situation for EEI, and underscores the importance of your full support.

I want to thank you for your participation in EEI, your commitment to finding common ground, and your active involvement in advancing the public policy issues that are so critical to our companies and our customers. It is a true privilege to work with you. If you have questions, or would like to talk about EEI or the industry issues and positions, please give me or Tom Kuhn a call.

Sincerely,

David M. Ratcliffe DMR:era

Enclosures



2008 Industry Issues and Accomplishments

HIGHLIGHTS

- Bonus Depreciation—Enacted
- Extension of Renewable Tax Credits—Enacted
- Accelerated Depreciation for Smart Meters and Smart Grid—Enacted
- Incentives for Electric Vehicles—Enacted
- Clean Coal and Carbon Capture and Storage Incentives—Enacted
- LIHEAP—Fully Funded for First Time in Program's History
- Climate Change—Demonstrable Progress on Principles Endorsed by EEI CEOs
- Federal Renewable Portfolio Standard—Dropped from Energy Bill
- Amendment to Exempt DoD and Other Federal Agencies from State Electricity Laws—Dropped Prior to Action
- FERC Final Rule on Standards of Conduct—Eliminated Unworkable Prior Standards; New Rule Reflects EEI Positions
- FERC Order on Market-Based Rates—Rehearing Orders Providing Helpful Clarifications
- FERC Order on Mergers and Acquisitions—Rehearing Order Providing Helpful Clarification
- FERC Final Rule on Critical Infrastructure Protection—Issued; Reflects Key Issues Raised by EEI
- Investing in America's Electric Future Campaign—Significant Outreach Ongoing
- "Defend My Dividend" Campaign to Extend 15% Maximum Tax Rate on Dividend Income—Underway

FINANCE/TAX ISSUES

- Congress passed a bipartisan economic rescue package in October designed to help stabilize financial markets and restore liquidity to credit markets. The legislation included important energy tax-related provisions that:
 - Extend the Sec. 45 PTC (at full value) through 2009, expand facilities qualifying, and extend the refined coal credit;
 - Extend the 30% solar ITC for 8 years, and provide a new 10% ITC for combined heat and power systems. Remove the utility
 exclusion. Also add geothermal heat pumps;
 - Reduce depreciable lives for smart meters from 20 to 10 years; 10-year depreciable life also applies to smart grid property;
 - Extend tax incentives for energy-efficient homes, buildings, appliances, and new plug-in electric drive vehicles;
 - Provide \$1.5 billion in new credits for advanced clean coal facilities;
 - Provide a new tax credit for capture and storage of CO₂;
 - Extend the Transco provision for sales before January 1, 2010.
- Congress passed an economic stimulus package that contained a bonus depreciation provision worth billions to the electric utility
 industry for new capital equipment placed in service in 2008, except for certain long-lived property—including most traditional electric
 generation, transmission, and distribution property—that takes more than a year to construct (extends through 2009).
- EEI led extensive industry interaction with Treasury on proposed changes to tax accounting rules proposing that regulated utility
 assets that are sold or transferred to non-utilities would no longer qualify for normalization protection. The March 2008 final rule
 was consistent with EEI's position by both retaining normalization and in not making any retroactive changes.
- EEI is engaged in a multi-year effort to secure an extension of the current 15-percent maximum tax rate on dividend income. In April, EEI joined with AGA to release a new study that shows that the dividend tax rate reduction benefits the middle-class and seniors. EEI and AGA also launched a broad-based Defend My Dividend campaign.

PLUG-IN HYBRID ELECTRIC VEHICLES

The economic rescue bill provides a consumer tax credit for new plug-in electric drive vehicles. Separately, Congress passed a
continuing resolution that included \$7.5 billion for a \$25 billion federal loan program to help automakers, battery suppliers,
and parts makers retool or build new factories to manufacture advanced vehicles.

OTHER LEGISLATIVE ISSUES

- The continuing appropriations resolution passed by Congress in September included \$5.1 billion for LIHEAP for FY 2009. This
 marks the first time in LIHEAP's 27-year history that the program will be fully funded.
- EEI led a coalition effort to turn back an amendment sought by the Department of Defense to exempt DoD and other federal agencies from state electricity laws governing electricity purchases. The proposed language was dropped.
- The Senate refused to act on a House energy bill, in part because it contained a 15-percent renewable electricity standard.
- Rall antitrust legislation was reported out of the House Judiciary Committee in April, coupled with committee approval last fall in
 the Senate. This is the most progress made on rail legislation in years.

CLIMATE CHANGE

- Throughout the year, EEI has worked to promote its climate change principles and to expand industry consensus on climate
 legislation. The climate change principles continue to evolve, as expressed in EEI testimony before the House Energy & Commerce
 Committee in June. CEO discussions also continue, which, among other things, are addressing cost-containment mechanisms and
 distribution of allowances under cap-and-trade legislation.
- The Senate failed to invoke cloture on the Boxer substitute to the Lieberman-Warner bill. EEI emphasized: aligning CO₂ reduction targets with technology availability; effective cost-containment mechanisms; an economy-wide approach; and harmonization with other federal and state laws. Ten Senate Democrats then sent a letter to Senate Democratic leadership indicating they could not support the Boxer substitute and raised concerns over the need to contain costs, to invest aggressively in clean technologies, and to prevent harm to the economy. Senate Energy Committee Chairman Jeff Bingaman stressed setting realistic targets, an effective cost-control mechanism, and establishing a single, national cap-and-trade program, among other priorities.
- EEI hosted a Wall Street-Utility Leadership Forum in New York that focused on climate-related issues and highlighted the need to strengthen ties with the financial community and firms with large energy commodities groups. Another forum is set for December.
- EEI held a workshop on environmental disclosure and has conducted outreach to the Securities and Exchange Commission, stressing financial disclosure should not set environmental policy. EEI's efforts have resulted in industry-wide coordination.
- EEI and industry allies are working to educate congressional and Administration officials regarding the potential implications of regulating CO₂ emissions under the Clean Air Act in response to the Supreme Court's decision in Massachusetts v. EPA.
- EEI submitted comments in May on EPA's Safe Drinking Water Act underground injection control pre-rulemaking focused principally
 on the process for establishing regulations for the injection of CO₂ into underground geologic formations.
- EEI filed comments in July in support of the U.S. Department of the Interior's interim final 4(d) "Special Rule for the Polar Bear," providing that the listing of the polar bear as a threatened species under the Endangered Species Act due to climate impacts would not affect permitting of power plants outside of Alaska.
- Under the auspices of the Asia-Pacific Partnership on Clean Development and Climate, EEI and several member companies
 participated in a Power Generation Task Force meeting in India. Numerous member companies also have hosted site visits in the
 past year for international delegations. EEI also participated in the U.N. Framework Convention and Western Climate Initiative.

CYBERSECURITY

- FERC issued a final rule approving a set of mandatory NERC standards on critical infrastructure protection. The final rule is
 positive and responsive to a broad range of issues raised by EEI in its NOPR comments.
- EEI worked as part of a broad coalition of industry stakeholders, FERC, and key congressional staff to develop a narrowly focused legislative proposal to address electric industry cyber security issues identified by FERC Chairman Joe Kelliher in the spring.

ENERGY EFFICIENCY

- The economic rescue bill passed by Congress extends efficiency tax incentives for homes, buildings and appliances.
- EEI helped to secure a substantial increase in **residential energy efficiency** for the International Energy Conservation Code in February. The last significant energy-efficiency increase in the IECC was in 1992.
- EEI worked with ASHRAE committees to help make ASHRAE's latest commercial building standard ASHARE 90.1-2007 more
 efficient, as well as market- and fuel-neutral, and worked with NARUC to conduct a public E-forum, which explained the nature of
 more efficient retail electric rates, the urgent need to introduce such rates, and practical strategies for overcoming associated
 barriers.
- EEI published **Building Sustainable Efficiency Businesses**, which advocates for aggressive efficiency development and explains the use of tools to simulate the impact of alternative business/regulatory models on a utility's rates and financial performance.
- EEI helped to develop and participate in DOE's smart grid workshop, and worked with DOE to conduct a public E-forum on the smart grid and how it will support increased energy efficiency. More than 35 public utility commissions participated.
- The Edison Foundation's Institute for Electric Efficiency hired its first Executive Director, Lisa Wood, and continues its efforts to
 advance energy-efficiency practices among the nation's electric utilities. IEE also is reaching out to EEI members and advisory
 committee members, including NARUC, NASUCA, EPRI, CEE, ASE, and others.

CLEAN AIR INTERSTATE RULE (CAIR) AND OTHER MAJOR ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES

- In July, a three-judge panel of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit issued a unanimous decision vacating the entire Clean
 Air Interstate Rule. In the wake of this decision, EEI is working closely with member companies to understand the impacts on
 individual company operations and state programs. Legislation and regulation addressing utility air emissions are likely next year.
- The U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit denied petitions for rehearing en banc filed by industry and EPA in response to the Court's opinion vacating both EPA's cap-and-trade Clean Air Mercury Rule and its decision to "delist" mercury as a hazardous air pollutant. While EPA is appealing to the U.S. Supreme Court, prospects that the Court will consider the case are remote. A new EPA rulemaking could take several years to finalize and might not require mercury emission reductions for about five years. In the interim, EEI continues to assist individual member companies in state-specific proceedings.
- In April, the U.S. Supreme Court granted certiorari to PSE&G, Entergy, and the Utility Water Act Group on the question of whether Section 316(b) of the Clean Water Act authorizes EPA to compare costs with benefits in determining the best technology available for minimizing "adverse environmental impact" at cooling water intake structures. Oral arguments are scheduled for December, and a decision could be issued next spring. In July, 18 states filed a § 316(b) amicus brief in support of industry petitioners.
- EEI is a member of the Waters Advocacy Coalition, a broad-based coalition of both public and private organizations that has joined
 in opposition to legislation (H.R. 2421 and S. 1840) that would dramatically expand the jurisdiction of the Clean Water Act.
- EPA issued a final "water transfers" rulemaking in June that governs the movement of water from one body to another for a wide range of purposes, including power generation, does not require federal permits under the CWA (EEI's position). This exclusion is particularly important to hydropower operators that otherwise would face an unnecessary and burdensome permitting process.
- EEI is helping to shape the draft ASTM Standard Practice for Assessing Environmental Performance Improvements of Electric
 Power Generation Facilities and Infrastructure. The ASTM Power Task Group, which EEI chairs, is considering a proposed change
 to the Life Cycle Impact Assessment methodology. Such a change will require further testing and peer review.
- EEI's Vegetation Management Task Force is actively engaged in compliance standards-setting for NERC reliability standards.
- EEI and the Utility Solid Waste Activities Group helped to facilitate and build support for an Environmental Council of the States letter to EPA regarding appropriate regulation of coal combustion products.

FERC

- FERC issued a NOPR for Wholesale Competition in Regions with Organized Electric Markets in February. The proposed rule
 incorporated the majority of comments EEI made on FERC's earlier Advanced Notice of Proposed Rulemaking. In April, EEI filed
 comments in response to the NOPR, largely supporting FERC's proposals while asking for some helpful clarifications.
- In a major about-face, FERC issued a final rule on Standards of Conduct on October 16. The new rule reflects EEI's key
 advocacy position that the prior Standards of Conduct framework under Order No. 2004 was unworkable.
- FERC issued reheating orders in April and July that provided helpful clarifications in response to requests on market-based rates.
- FERC issued a rehearing order to its February 21 final rule granting additional blanket authorizations for merger and acquisition
 activities subject to Federal Power Act Section 203. EEI had sought, and FERC granted, clarification that the existing blanket
 authorization for internal reorganizations applies even if assets are transferred in the process and that the existing blanket
 authorization for MBR contracts applies if neither party is affiliated with a traditional public utility with captive customers.

INVESTING IN AMERICA'S ELECTRIC FUTURE

- EEI continues to lead a **national campaign** to help electric companies address the challenges of rising electricity costs and the need to invest in the nation's electricity infrastructure, and is reaching out to state and local officials—including legislators, governors, and regulators—around the country through participation in various forums and conferences.
- EEI facilitated State Capital Road Shows in Kansas, New York, North Dakota, and South Dakota to bring together legislators, state officials, electric utilities, the financial community, and regulators.
- EEI conducted another in a series of Wall Street-Regulator dialogues in New York. These dialogues—among state commissioners
 and rating agencies, investment bankers, equity and fixed-income analysts, and private equity investors—underscore the impact of
 regulatory decisions on the cost of capital and remind commissioners of the importance of regulation to a company's financial health.

OTHER ACTIVITIES

- EEI's Annual Convention/Expo in Toronto in June featured a robust program of government and industry leaders, a sold-out Expo, and Critical Issue Forums covering a wide range of industry issues; the International Financial Conference in London in March drew record attendance; and the quarterty CEO meetings featured speakers from Congress, the administration, and the states.
- EEI conducted high-value, certified Corporate Directors' training programs on industry- and audit-related issues.
- In June, EEI and IBEW launched the National Labor Management Public Affairs Committee, which is designed to bring together
 company executives and leaders from IBEW to advance the common objectives of the electric power industry and IBEW members.
- The Edison Foundation hosted two major conferences featuring key policymakers; academic, industrial, financial, labor, and
 environmental stakeholders; and senior executives from EEI's member companies. A March workshop in Washington, D.C., focused
 on the technical and non-technical challenges facing the commercialization and widespread deployment of carbon capture and
 storage. The "Keeping the Lights On: Our National Challenge" conference in April in New York was designed to accelerate the
 national dialogue on the issues of energy efficiency, generation and transmission adequacy, and looming carbon constraints.

November 2008



701 Pennsylvania Avenue, N W. Washington, D C 20004-2696 202-508-5000 www.eei.org Edison Electric Institute (EEI) is the association of U.S. shareholder-owned electric companies. Our members serve 95% of the ultimate customers in the shareholder-owned segment of the industry, and represent approximately 70% of the U.S. electric power industry. We also have as Affiliate members more than 65 International electric companies, and as Associate members more than 170 industry suppliers and related organizations.