

Exhibit No.:  
Issues: Weather  
Witness: Curt Wells  
Sponsoring Party: MO PSC Staff  
Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony  
Case No.: GR-2007-0003  
Date Testimony Prepared: December 15, 2006

**MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

**UTILITY OPERATIONS DIVISION**

**DIRECT TESTIMONY**

**OF**

**CURT WELLS**

**UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a AMMEREN UE**

**CASE NO. GR-2007-0003**

**Jefferson City, Missouri  
December 2006**

My commission expires 9-21-11

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**DIRECT TESTIMONY**

**OF**

**CURT WELLS**

**UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a AMEREN UE**

**CASE NO. GR-2007-0003**

SUMMARY ..... 2

DEFINITION OF HEATING DEGREE DAYS..... 2

SELECTION OF WEATHER STATIONS ..... 3

TYPES OF WEATHER STATIONS..... 3

WEATHER VARIABLES ..... 4

**DIRECT TESTIMONY**

**OF**

**CURT WELLS**

**UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a AMEREN UE**

**CASE NO. GR-2007-0003**

Q. Please state your name and business address.

A. My name is Curt Wells and my business address is Missouri Public Service Commission, P. O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri, 65102.

Q. What is your present position with the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission)?

A. I am a Regulatory Economist in the Energy Department of the Utility Operations Division.

Q. Please review your educational background and work experience.

A. I have a Bachelor's degree in Economics from Duke University, a Master's degree in Economics from The Pennsylvania State University, and a Master's degree in Applied Economics from Southern Methodist University. I have been employed by the Commission since February, 2006. Prior to joining the Commission, I completed a career in the U.S. Air Force, which included assignments as a navigator in weather reconnaissance aircraft, and later in the Purchasing/Contracting area as Contract Negotiator and Administrator, Contracting Policy Manager, Installation Purchasing Department Chief, and Contracting Program Manager.

Q. Have you filed testimony in prior cases?

A. Yes. My previous testimony is listed in Schedule CW-1.

**SUMMARY**

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

A. I will explain my calculations of actual and normal heating-degree-day (HDD) variables, which I furnished to Staff witness James A. Gray.

Q. How is your testimony organized?

A. I have organized my testimony into the following sections: Definition of Heating-Degree-Day (HDD), Selection of Weather Stations, Types of Weather Stations, and Weather Variables. Attached Schedules CW-2 and CW-3 provide specific calculations of HDD and temperature variables for the Cape Girardeau Regional Airport and Columbia Regional Airport weather stations that I supplied to Mr. Gray. Additional detail is included in my workpapers.

**DEFINITION OF HEATING DEGREE DAYS**

Q. What is a heating degree day?

A. Degree days are weather measures that were originally devised to evaluate energy demand and consumption. Degree days are based on how far the daily average temperature departs from the base level of 65 degrees Fahrenheit (°F). Heating degree days are used to examine the relationship between temperature and natural gas usage for residential heating.

Q. How are HDDs calculated?

A. HDDs are calculated as the number of degrees the daily average temperature is below 65 °F, and are set equal to zero when the daily average temperature is at or above 65 °F. The daily average temperature (TAVG) is the average of the day's maximum (TMAX) and minimum temperatures (TMIN).

1 Q. What is the source of your data on TMAX and TMIN?

2 A. The TMAX and TMIN data were compiled from National Oceanic and  
3 Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) information.

4 **SELECTION OF WEATHER STATIONS**

5 Q. Which weather stations did you use in this rate case?

6 A. I used the weather stations at Cape Girardeau Regional Airport (Cape  
7 Girardeau) and Columbia Regional Airport (Columbia).

8 Q. How did you select these weather stations?

9 A. I selected Cape Girardeau and Columbia because they best represent weather  
10 in the Missouri service territory of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE (AmerenUE).

11 **TYPES OF WEATHER STATIONS**

12 Q. What types of weather stations are maintained at the selected locations?

13 A. Columbia is a first-order station. Cape Girardeau is a cooperative station.

14 Q. What is the difference between these stations?

15 A. First-order weather stations are usually located at regional or municipal  
16 airports, where professional observers continuously monitor the weather instruments. The  
17 instruments record daily TMAX and TMIN, along with hourly observations of precipitation,  
18 temperature, dew point, wind and other weather elements. In contrast, trained volunteers  
19 usually man cooperative weather stations, where they record daily observations of TMAX,  
20 TMIN and precipitation. Both types of stations meet NOAA's quality standards and have  
21 had their data adjusted for changes in instruments and instrument location (exposure  
22 changes).

**WEATHER VARIABLES**

Q. What time interval did you use in determining an historical average for your weather variables?

A. I conformed the data to the most recent three-decade time period used by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) and the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) to calculate normal daily weather variables. As stated by NOAA, "A climate normal is defined, by convention, as the arithmetic mean of a climatological element computed over three consecutive decades (WMO, 1989)." NOAA applies this concept to temperature by calculating thirty-year temperature normals as monthly average maximum temperature and monthly average minimum temperature, using the Fahrenheit scale.

Q. What period is NOAA currently using for calculating its thirty-year temperature normals?

A. NOAA uses the three most recent consecutive decades, which are currently the 30 years from January 1, 1971 through December 31, 2000. International convention has established that three-decade periods are appropriately long and uniform time frames for the calculation of normals. The choice of this 30 year period by Staff is based on previous Staff analysis, Commission decisions, and these standards for normal weather variables established by NOAA and the WMO.

Q. What weather variables did you develop for the present rate case?

A. I developed the daily actual HDDs and the daily normal HDDs to be used by Mr. Gray to weather normalize the Company's sales and revenues. I also calculated the monthly peak-day normal HDDs which are used to allocate certain costs in the customer class-cost-of-service study. Calendar month summaries of actual and normal HDDs for the

Direct Testimony of  
Curt Wells

test year are presented for Cape Girardeau and Columbia in attached Schedules CW-2 and CW-3 respectively.

Q. How did you calculate adjusted daily HDDs for each of the days in the 30-year period, January 1, 1971 through December 31, 2000?

A. I first tabulated daily TMAX and TMIN for each day in these 30 years for Cape Girardeau and for Columbia, as well as for selected alternates where data were missing. I adjusted actual daily TMAX and TMIN for these 30 years so that the monthly averages of the adjusted daily TMAX and TMIN were equal to the adjusted monthly average TMAX and TMIN that NOAA uses to calculate the monthly station normals over the same period. Adjusted daily TAVG and HDD were then calculated using the adjusted TMAX and TMIN as discussed above. The details of the tabulation and adjustment processes are shown in my workpapers.

Q. How did you determine the daily normal HDDs for Mr. Gray?

A. I determined the daily normal HDDs by averaging the adjusted daily HDDs for each calendar date over the 30-year period. For example, the 30 observations of actual HDDs for January 1<sup>st</sup> of each year were averaged to determine the normal HDDs for January 1<sup>st</sup>.

Q. How did you calculate the normal peak-day HDDs for the 12 monthly normal peak days?

A. I calculated the normal HDD value for January's coldest day as the average of the HDDs of the 30 coldest days over all the January days in the 30 years of the normals period, where daily HDDs during the normals period were calculated from adjusted TMAX



Direct Testimony of  
Curt Wells

1 and TMIN as discussed above. The normal HDD values for the coldest day in each of the  
2 other months were calculated in the same way.

3 Q. What were the monthly peak day normal HDDs for each month?

4 A. A summary of the monthly peak day normal HDDs is presented for Cape  
5 Girardeau in Schedule CW-2 and for Columbia in Schedule CW-3.

6 Q. Does this conclude your Direct Testimony?

7 A. Yes, it does.

**TESTIMONY FILED BEFORE THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

<u>Case Number</u>	<u>Company</u>	<u>Issue</u>
ER-2006-0315	Empire District Electric	Revenue
ER-2006-0314	Kansas City Power & Light Company	Calculation of Normal Weather, Revenue
GR-2006-0387	ATMOS Energy Corporation	Calculation of Normal Weather
GR-2006-0422	Missouri Gas Energy	Calculation of Normal Weather

**STATION: CAPE\_GIRARDEAU\_FAA\_AIRP, MO (Station ID: 231289)**  
**Actual Heating Degree-Days (HDD) and Normal Heating Degree-Days (NHDD)**  
**For The 12 Calendar Months Beginning July 01, 2005 And Ending June 30, 2006**

		TOTAL HDD BY MONTH			PEAK DAY HDD		
		OBSERVED TOTALS HDD	NORMAL TOTALS NHDD	ADJUSTMENT, ACTUAL TO NORMAL	OBSERVED COLDEST DAY HDD	NORMAL COLDEST DAY NHDD	ADJUSTMENT, ACTUAL TO NORMAL
YEAR	MONTH						
2005	7	0	0	0	0.00	0.10	0.10
2005	8	0	1	1	0.00	0.72	0.72
2005	9	14	45	32	10.50	12.92	2.42
2005	10	263	239	(24)	20.50	24.88	4.38
2005	11	497	548	51	37.00	38.20	1.20
2005	12	967	883	(84)	58.00	55.68	(2.32)
2006	1	687	1009	322	32.50	60.17	27.67
2006	2	837	767	(70)	53.00	53.46	0.46
2006	3	557	544	(13)	30.50	40.56	10.06
2006	4	154	254	100	19.50	26.84	7.34
2006	5	75	64	(11)	10.50	13.37	2.87
2006	6	0	3	3	0.00	2.57	2.57
12 MONTHS		4050	4358	309	58.00	60.17	2.17

**STATION: COLUMBIA\_WSO\_AP, MO (Station ID: 231791)**  
**Actual Heating Degree-Days (HDD) and Normal Heating Degree-Days (NHDD)**  
**For The 12 Calendar Months Beginning July 01, 2005 And Ending June 30, 2006**

		TOTAL HDD BY MONTH			PEAK DAY HDD		
		OBSERVED TOTALS HDD	NORMAL TOTALS NHDD	ADJUSTMENT, ACTUAL TO NORMAL	OBSERVED COLDEST DAY HDD	NORMAL COLDEST DAY NHDD	ADJUSTMENT, ACTUAL TO NORMAL
YEAR	MONTH						
2005	7	0	1	1	0.00	1.16	1.16
2005	8	0	2	2	0.00	2.46	2.46
2005	9	34	76	42	13.00	16.59	3.59
2005	10	292	296	4	22.00	27.96	5.96
2005	11	554	654	100	39.00	43.88	4.88
2005	12	1051	1022	(28)	54.00	63.58	9.58
2006	1	744	1153	409	35.50	65.59	30.09
2006	2	870	885	16	56.00	60.89	4.89
2006	3	584	652	69	33.50	46.33	12.83
2006	4	161	334	173	21.00	29.88	8.88
2006	5	123	113	(10)	14.00	17.17	3.17
2006	6	0	10	10	0.00	6.41	6.41
12 MONTHS		4410	5198	789	56.00	65.59	9.59