

Commissioners

STEVE GAW Chair

CONNIE MURRAY

KELVIN L. SIMMONS

BRYAN FORBIS

ROBERT M. CLAYTON III

Missouri Jublic Serbice Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://www.psc.mo.gov ROBERT J. QUINN, JR. Executive Director

WESS A. HENDERSON Director, Utility Operations

* ROBERT SCHALLENBERG Director, Utility Services

> DONNA M. PRENGER Director, Administration

DALE HARDY ROBERTS Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

> DANA K. JOYCE General Counsel

October 24, 2003

Mr. Dale Hardy Roberts Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission P. O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Re:

Proposed Amendments to Rules 4 CSR 240-33.010, 4 CSR 240-33.020, 4 CSR 240-33.040, 4 CSR 240-33.070, 4 CSR 240-33.080, 4 CSR 240-33.110, 4 CSR 240-33.150, and 4 CSR 240-3.555, and Rescission of 4 CSR 240-33.030 Service and Billing Practices for Telecommunications Companies. *No. TX-2001-512*

Dear Mr. Roberts:

Executive Order 03-15, Section 2, requires, among other things, that state agencies determine whether a proposed rule or rules affect small businesses. If the Public Service Commission determines that its proposed rule or rules affect small businesses by causing a direct and significant economic burden, it must then prepare a small business impact statement for submission to the Secretary of State.

In consultation with the staff of the Public Service Commission, I have undertaken an analysis of whether the proposed rule modifications updating the Commission's annual report submission regulations affect small businesses. I have determined that the proposed rule amendments listed above do not affect small businesses in a direct and significant manner, nor do they directly relate to the formation, operation or expansion of a small business.

The rule modifications listed above are designed to update the Commission's rules to provide consumers a better understanding of their bill and the ability to control what type of calls are made from their telephone or what items are charged on their telephone bill. None of these amendments will have any direct and significant economic burden upon small businesses.

October 24, 2003 Page 2

Therefore, preparation of a small business impact statement is not required for these rule amendments.

Please let me know if you have any questions based upon the foregoing.

Sincerely,

David A. Meyer

Associate General Counsel

(573) 751-8706

(573) 751-9285 (Fax)



Commissioners

STEVE GAW Chair

CONNIE MURRAY

KELVIN L. SIMMONS

BRYAN FORBIS

ROBERT M. CLAYTON III

Missouri Aublic Service Commission

PÖST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number)

http://www.psc.mo.gov

October 24, 2003

ROBERT J. QUINN, JR. Executive Director

WESS A. HENDERSON Director, Utility Operations

ROBERT SCHALLENBERG Director, Utility Services

DONNA M. PRENGER Director, Administration

DALE HARDY ROBERTS Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

> DANA K. JOYCE General Counsel

Mr. Dale Hardy Roberts Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission P. O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Re: Prop

Proposed Amendments to Rules 4 CSR 240-33.010, 4 CSR 240-33.020,

4 CSR 240-33.040, 4 CSR 240-33.060, 4 CSR 240-33.070, 4 CSR 240-33.080,

4 CSR 240-33.110, 4 CSR 240-33.150, and 4 CSR 240-3.555, and Rescission of

4 CSR 240-33.030

Service and Billing Practices for Telecommunications Companies.

No. TX-2001-512

Dear Mr. Roberts:

Executive Order 93-13 requires state agencies to undertake a "takings analysis" of each proposed rule or regulation in light of the United States Supreme court decision in Lucas v. South Carolina Coastal Council, 112 S.Ct. 2886 (1992). Pursuant to that order, I have undertaken a "takings analysis" of the above-referenced proposed rulemaking. In Lucas, the Court held that state regulation depriving a real property owner of all economically beneficial use of that real property constitutes a "taking" under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments of the U.S. Constitution, for which the property owner must be compensated. The Court also held that when state regulations compel a property owner to suffer a permanent physical invasion of his/her property, such an invasion is compensable.

The proposed amendments are designed to update the Commission's rules to provide consumers a better understanding of their bill and the ability to control what type of calls are made from their telephone or what items are charged on their telephone bill.

The proposed rule amendments do not implicate the takings clause of the U.S. Constitution, because they do not involve the taking of real property.

Please let me know if you have any questions on this issue.

Sincerely yours,

David A. Meyer

Associate General Counsel

(573) 751-8706

(573) 751-9285 (Fax)

MATT BLUNT Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division RULE TRANSMITTAL *Administrative Rules Stamp

RECEIVED

JAN 2-8 2004

SECRETARY OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

A "SEPARATE" rule transmittal sheet must be used for EACH individual rulemaking.

	ne of Person to call with questions abo		FEEDWARD (1990)	** * **	native research for the	
1000000	tent David Meyer a Entry Tammy Vieth	Phone Phone	573-751-8706	- FAX	573-751-9285	
	il Address david.meyer@psc.mo.gov	FHORE	573-751-8377	_ FAX	573-751-9285	
	ragency Mailing Address Governor O	ffice Building 20	0 Madison St. 9th	Floor Jaf	farcon City MO	
Stat	utory Authority 386.040, 386.250, 392.	200 and 392.540	Current RSN		2000	
Date 536.0	e Filed With the Joint Committee on A 037, RSMo 2000, and Executive Order No. 9	Administrative 7-97 (June 27, 199	Rules Exempt p	er Section	s 536.024 and	
СН	ECK, IF INCLUDED:					
\boxtimes	[15] The state of	Incorporation by reference materials, if any				
	Cover letter	and the second	Authority with history of the rule			
	Affidavit	5	Public cost			
-	Forms, number of pages Fiscal notes		Private cost Hearing and comment period			
-	1 seed flotes	[N] Heati	ng and commen	period		
	Emergency Rulemaking, (check one MUST include effective date Proposed Rulemaking (check one) Order of Rulemaking (check one MUST complete page 2 of th Withdrawal (check one) rule	□rule ☑ ame □rule □ amen is transmittal	ndment resci	cission [ission ssion [] mergency	termination termination	
	Rule action notice In addition Rule under consideration					
	Rule action notice In addition			blication	date preference	
	Rule action notice In addition Rule under consideration CIFIC INSTRUCTIONS: Please indicate			10000000000000000000000000000000000000	date preference	
	Rule action notice In addition Rule under consideration CIFIC INSTRUCTIONS: Please indicate		luded herein).	10000000000000000000000000000000000000	date preference	

E. ORDER OF RULEMAKING: Rule Number 1a. Effective Date for the Order Statutory 30 days Specific date 1b. Does the Order of Rulemaking contain changes to the rule text? YES NO

RULE TRANSMITTAL (PAGE 2)

- 1c. If the answer is YES, please complete section F. If the answer is NO, STOP here.
- F. Please provide a complete list of the changes in the rule text for the order of rulemaking, indicating the specific section, subsection, paragraph, subparagraph, part, etc., where each change is found. It is especially important to identify the parts of the rule that are being deleted in this order of rulemaking. This is not a reprinting of your order, but an explanation of what sections, subsections, etc. have been changed since the original proposed rule was filed.

(Start text here. If text continues to a third page, insert a continuous section break and, in section 3, delete the footer text. DO NOT delete the header, however.)

NOTE: ALL changes MUST be specified here in order for those changes to be made in the rule as published in the Missouri Register and the Code of State Regulations.



Commissioners

STEVE GAW Chair CONNIE MURRAY

ROBERT M. CLAYTON III

Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://www.psc.state.mo.us ROBERT J. QUINN, JR. Executive Director

WESS A. HENDERSON Director, Utility Operations

ROBERT SCHALLENBERG Director, Utility Services

DONNA M. PRENGER Director, Administration

DALE HARDY ROBERTS Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

> DANA K. JOYCE General Counsel

January 28, 2004

Hon. Matt Blunt Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Blunt,

Re: Proposed Amendment to Rule 4 CSR 240-33.150
Verification of Orders for Changing Telecommunications Service Provider.

CERTIFICATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE RULE

I hereby certify that the attached is an accurate and complete copy of the proposed amendment lawfully submitted by the Missouri Public Service Commission for filing on this 28th day of January 2004.

The Missouri Public Service Commission has determined and hereby certifies that this proposed amendment will not have an economic impact on small businesses. The Missouri Public Service Commission also certifies that it has conducted an analysis of whether or not there has been a taking of real property pursuant to section 536.017 RSMo 2000 and that this proposed amendment does not constitute a taking of real property under relevant state and federal law. Statutory Authority: Sections 386.040, 386.250, 392.200 and 392.540 RSMo 2000.

If there are any questions, please contact:
David Meyer, Associate General Counsel
P.O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102
(573) 751-8706, FAX (573) 751-9285

david.meyer@psc.mo.gov

BY THE COMMISSION

Dale Hardy Roberts Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

AFFIDAVIT

RECEIVED

FEB 0 5 2004

SEGRETARY OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

STATE OF MISSOURI)
COUNTY OF COLE)

I, Anne Walker, Deputy Director of the Department of Economic Development, first being duly sworn on my oath state that it is my opinion that the cost of the Proposed Amendment of 4 CSR 240-33.150 Verification of Orders for Changing Telecommunications Service Provider, is less than five hundred dollars in the aggregate to this agency, any other agency of state government or any political subdivision thereof.

Anne Walker

DEPUTY DIRECTOR

Department of Economic Development

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 5th day of February, 2004.

I am commissioned as a notary public within the County of Colc.

State of Missouri, and my commission expires on April 29, 2006.

NOTARY PUBLIC

KIMBERLEY BRANDT SPRENGER NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF MISSOURI COLE COUNTY MY COMMISSION EXP. APR. 29,2005

Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Division 240—Public Service Commission Chapter 33—Service and Billing Practices for Telecommunications Companies

RECEIVED JAN 2 8 2004

SECRETARY OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

PROPOSED AMENDMENT

4 CSR 240-33.150 Verification of Orders for Changing Telecommunications Service Provider. The Public Service Commission is adding a new section (4).

PURPOSE: This amendment addresses the change of a customer's preferred telecommunications carrier in the case of mergers, consolidations or the sale, assignment, lease or transfer of assets.

- (4) Changes in Subscriber Carrier Selections as a result of merger or consolidation or the sale, assignment, lease or transfer of assets.
- (A) A telecommunications carrier may submit or execute a change in a subscriber's provider of telecommunications service on behalf of the subscriber without obtaining authorization and verification in accordance with the procedures prescribed in 4 CSR 240-33.150(2) & 4 CSR 240-33.150(3) when such change is a result of merger or consolidation or the sale, assignment, lease or transfer of assets approved by the commission.
- (B) A telecommunications carrier will notify all subscribers of such change through a notice in each subscriber's bill at least thirty (30) days prior to the effective date of the change.
- (C) A telecommunications carrier will notify all subscribers of the right to switch to another service provider.

([4]5) Letter of Agency Form and Content.

- (A) A telecommunications carrier may use a letter of agency to obtain written authorization and/or verification of a subscriber's request to change his or her preferred carrier selection. A letter of agency that does not conform with this section is invalid for purposes of 4 CSR 240-33.150.
- (B) The letter of agency shall be a separate document (or an easily separable document) containing only the authorizing language described in subsection (E) of this section having the sole purpose of authorizing a telecommunications carrier to initiate a preferred carrier change. The letter of agency must be signed and dated by the subscriber to the telephone line(s) requesting the preferred carrier change.
- (C) The letter of agency shall not be combined on the same document with inducements of any kind.
- (D) Notwithstanding subsections (B) and (C) of this section, the letter of agency may be combined with checks that contain only the required letter of agency language as prescribed in subsection (E) of this section and the necessary information to make the check a negotiable instrument. The letter of agency check shall not contain any promotional language or material. The letter of agency check shall contain in easily readable, bold-face type on the front of the check, a notice that the subscriber is authorizing a preferred carrier change by signing the check. The letter of agency language shall be placed near the signature line on the back of the check.

- (E) At a minimum, the letter of agency shall be printed with a type of sufficient size and readable type to be clearly legible and shall contain clear and unambiguous language that confirms--
- The subscriber's billing name and address and each telephone number to be covered by the preferred carrier change order;
- The decision to change the preferred carrier from the current telecommunications carrier to the soliciting telecommunications carrier;
- That the subscriber designates the submitting carrier to act as the subscriber's agent for the preferred carrier change;
- 4. That the subscriber understands that only one (1) telecommunications carrier may be designated as the subscriber's interstate or interLATA preferred interexchange carrier for any one (1) telephone number. The letter of agency shall contain separate statements regarding intraLATA/intrastate and inter-LATA/interstate, although a separate letter of agency for each choice is not necessary; and
- That the subscriber understands that any preferred carrier selection the subscriber chooses may involve a charge to the subscriber for changing the subscriber's preferred carrier.
- (F) Any carrier designated in a letter of agency as a preferred carrier must be the carrier directly setting the rates for the subscriber.
- (G) Letters of agency shall not suggest or require that a subscriber take some action in order to retain the subscriber's current telecommunications carrier.
- (H) If any portion of a letter of agency is translated into another language then all portions of the letter of agency shall be translated into that language. Every letter of agency shall be translated into the same language as any promotional materials, oral descriptions or instructions provided with the letter of agency.

(/5/6) Preferred Carrier Freezes.

- (A) A preferred carrier freeze (or freeze) prevents a change in a subscriber's preferred carrier selection unless the subscriber gives the carrier from whom the freeze was requested his or her express consent. All local exchange carriers who offer preferred carrier freezes must comply with the provisions of this section.
- (B) All local exchange carriers who offer preferred carrier freezes shall offer freezes on a nondiscriminatory basis to all subscribers, regardless of the subscriber's carrier selections.
- (C) Preferred carrier freeze procedures, including any solicitation, must clearly distinguish among telecommunications services (e.g., local exchange, intraLATA/intrastate toll, interLATA/interstate toll, and international toll) subject to a preferred carrier freeze. The carrier offering the freeze must obtain separate authorization for each service for which a preferred carrier freeze is requested.
 - (D) Solicitation and Imposition of Preferred Carrier Freezes.
- All carrier-provided solicitation and other materials regarding preferred carrier freezes must include:
- A. An explanation, in clear and neutral language, of what a preferred carrier freeze is and what services may be subject to a freeze;

- B. A description of the specific procedures necessary to lift a preferred carrier freeze; an explanation that these steps are in addition to the commission's verification rules in sections 4 CSR 240-33.150(2) and (3) for changing a subscriber's preferred carrier selections; and an explanation that the subscriber will be unable to make a change in carrier selection unless he or she lifts the freeze; and
 - C. An explanation of any charges associated with the preferred carrier freeze.
- 2. No local exchange carrier shall implement a preferred carrier freeze unless the subscriber's request to impose a freeze has first been confirmed in accordance with one (1) of the following procedures:
- A. The local exchange carrier has obtained the subscriber's written and signed authorization in a form that meets the requirements of 4 CSR 240-33.150(4); or
- B. The local exchange carrier has obtained the subscriber's electronic authorization, placed from the telephone number(s) on which the preferred carrier freeze is to be imposed, to impose a preferred carrier freeze. The electronic authorization should confirm appropriate verification data (e.g., the subscriber's date of birth) and the information required in section (4). Telecommunications carriers electing to confirm preferred carrier freeze orders electronically shall establish one or more toll-free telephone numbers exclusively for that purpose. Calls to the number(s) will connect a subscriber to a voice response unit, or similar mechanism that records the required information regarding the preferred carrier freeze request, including automatically recording the originating automatic numbering identification; or
- C. An appropriately qualified independent third party has obtained the subscriber's oral authorization to submit the preferred carrier freeze and confirmed the appropriate verification data (e.g., the subscriber's date of birth) and the information required in section (4). The independent third party must—1) not be owned, managed, or directly controlled by the carrier or the carrier's marketing agent; 2) must not have any financial incentive to confirm preferred carrier freeze requests for the carrier or the carrier's marketing agent; and 3) must operate in a location physically separate from the carrier or the carrier's marketing agent. The content of the verification must include clear and conspicuous confirmation that the subscriber has authorized a preferred carrier freeze.
- 3. Written authorization to impose a preferred carrier freeze. A local exchange carrier may accept a subscriber's written and signed authorization to impose a freeze on his or her preferred carrier selection. Written authorization that does not conform with this section is invalid and may not be used to impose a preferred carrier freeze.
- A. The written authorization shall comply with section (4) of the commission's rules concerning the form and content for letters of agency.
- B. At a minimum, the written authorization must be printed with a readable type of sufficient size to be clearly legible and must contain clear and unambiguous language that confirms--
- (I) The subscriber's billing name and address and the telephone number(s) to be covered by the preferred carrier freeze;
- (II) The decision to place a preferred carrier freeze on the telephone number(s) and particular service(s). To the extent that a jurisdiction allows the imposition of preferred carrier freezes on additional preferred carrier selections (e.g., for local exchange, intraLATA/intrastate toll, inter-LATA-/interstate toll service, and international toll), the authorization must contain separate statements regarding the particular selections to be frozen;

- (III) That the subscriber understands that she or he will be unable to make a change in carrier selection unless she or he lifts the preferred carrier freeze; and
- (IV) That the subscriber understands that any preferred carrier freeze may involve a charge to the subscriber.
- (E) Procedures for Lifting Preferred Carrier Freezes. All local exchange carriers who offer preferred carrier freezes must, at a minimum, offer subscribers the following procedures for lifting a preferred carrier freeze:
- A local exchange carrier administering a preferred carrier freeze must accept a subscriber's written and signed authorization stating her or his intent to lift a preferred carrier freeze; and
- 2. A local exchange carrier administering a preferred carrier freeze must accept a subscriber's oral authorization stating her or his intent to lift a preferred carrier freeze and must offer a mechanism that allows a submitting carrier to conduct a three (3)-way conference call with the carrier administering the freeze and the subscriber in order to lift a freeze. When engaged in oral authorization to lift a preferred carrier freeze, the carrier administering the freeze shall confirm appropriate verification data (e.g., the subscriber's date of birth) and the subscriber's intent to lift the particular freeze.
- ([6]7) Carrier Liability for Charges. Any submitting telecommunications carrier that fails to comply with the procedures prescribed in 4 CSR 240-33.150 shall be liable to the subscriber's properly authorized carrier in an amount equal to all charges paid to the submitting telecommunications carrier by such subscriber after such violation. The remedies provided in 4 CSR 240-33.150 are in addition to any other remedies available at law.

AUTHORITY: sections 386.040, 386.250, 392.200, and 392.540, RSMo 2000. Emergency rule filed Oct. 21, 1998, effective Jan. 1, 1999, expired June 29, 1999. Emergency rule filed June 17, 1999, effective June 30, 1999, terminated Nov. 30, 1999. Original rule filed July 8, 1999, effective Nov. 30, 1999.

*Original authority: 386.040, RSMo 1939; 386.250, RSMo 1939, amended 1963, 1967, 1977, 1980, 1987, 1988, 1991, 1993, 1995, 1996; 392.200, RSMo 1939, amended 1987, 1988, 1996; 392.540, RSMo 1998.

PUBLIC COST: This proposed rule will not cost state agencies or political subdivisions more than five hundred dollars (\$500) in the aggregate.

PRIVATE COST: This proposed rule will not cost private entities more than five hundred dollars (\$500) in the aggregate.

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING AND NOTICE TO SUBMIT COMMENTS: Anyone may file a statement in support of or in opposition to this proposed rule with the Missouri Public Service Commission, Dale Hardy Roberts, Secretary, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, MO 65102, (573) 751-3234. To be considered, comments must be received at the Commission's offices within thirty (30) days of publication in the Missouri Register, and should include a reference to Commission Case No. TX-2001-512. If comments are submitted via a paper filing, an original and eight (8) copies of the comments are required. Comments may also be submitted via a filing using the Commission's electronic filing and information system at

<http://www.psc.state.mo.us/efis.asp>. A public hearing is scheduled for April 23, 2004, at 10:00 A.M., in Room 310 of the Governor Office Building, 200 Madison Street, Jefferson City, Missouri, for interested persons to appear and respond to Commission questions. Any persons with special needs as addressed by the Americans with Disabilities Act should contact the Missouri Public Service Commission at least ten (10) days prior to the hearing at one of the following numbers: Consumer Services Hotline 1-800-392-4211, or TDD Hotline 1-800-829-7541.

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Dale Hardy Roberts, Secretary

DATE:

2.7 January 13, 2004

RE:

Authorization to File Proposed Rulemaking with the Office of Secretary of State

CASE NO:

TX-2001-512

The undersigned Commissioners hereby authorize the Secretary of the Missouri Public Service Commission to file the following Proposed Rulemaking with the Office of Secretary of State, to wit:

4 CSR 240-33.150 Verification of Orders for Changing Telecommunications Service Provider.

Steve Gaw, Chair

Connie Murray, Commissioner

Robert Clayton III, Commissioner