In the Matter of:

THE APPLICATION OF CONFLUENCE RIVERS UTILITY OPERATING COMPANY, etc.

WA-2019-0299, VOL. V

March 02, 2020



www.tigercr.com 573.999.2662

1	STATE OF MISSOURI		
2	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION		
3			
4			
5	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS		
6	Procedural Conference		
7	March 2, 2020		
8	Jefferson City, Missouri		
9	Volume 5		
10			
11			
12	In The Matter Of The Application) Of Confluence Rivers Utility)		
13	Operating Company, Inc., For) File No. Authority To Acquire Certain Water) WA-2019-0299		
14	Authority to Acquire Certain Water) WA-2019-0299 And Sewer Assets And For A) Certificate Of Convenience And)		
15	Necessity)		
16			
17			
18	CHARLES HATCHER, Presiding REGULATORY LAW JUDGE		
19	REGULATORI LAW UUDGE		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24	REPORTED BY: Beverly Jean Bentch, CCR No. 640		
25	TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC		

1	APPEARANCES
2	KAREN BRETZ, Staff Counsel MARK JOHNSON, Senior Counsel
3	Suite 800 200 Madison Street
4	P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0360
5	FOR: Staff of the Public Service Commission
6 7	DEAN L. COOPER, Attorney at Law 312 East Capitol P.O. Box 456
8	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 FOR: Confluence Rivers Utility Operating Company, Inc.
9	DAVID C. LINTON, Attorney at Law 825 Maryville Centre Drive, Suite 300
11	Town and Country, Missouri 63017-5946 FOR: Lake Perry Lot Owners' Association
12	JOHN CLIZER, Senior Counsel 200 Madison Street
13	P.O. Box 2230 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
14	FOR: Office of the Public Counsel
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Т	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE HATCHER: Let's go on the record. Today
3	is March 2, 2020. The Commission has set this time for
4	a Prehearing Conference for File No. WA-2019-0299, which
5	is captioned In The Matter Of The Application Of
6	Confluence Rivers Utility Operating Company, Inc., For
7	Authority To Acquire Certain Water And Sewer Assets And
8	For A Certificate Of Convenience And Necessity. My name
9	is Charles Hatcher, and I am the Regulatory Law Judge
10	assigned to this case. Let's go ahead and have counsel
11	for the parties make their entry of appearance.
12	Confluence Rivers?
13	MR. COOPER: Thank you, Your Honor. Dean
14	Cooper appearing for Confluence Rivers Utility Operating
15	Company, Inc.
16	JUDGE HATCHER: Thank you. And the Lot
17	Owners?
18	MR. LINTON: Yes, Your Honor. David Linton on
19	behalf of the Lake Perry Lot Owners' Association. I've
20	given my contact information to the court reporter.
21	MS. BRETZ: Karen Bretz for Staff.
22	MR. JOHNSON: Mark Johnson for Staff.
23	JUDGE HATCHER: And Public Counsel?
24	MR. CLIZER: John Clizer on behalf of the
25	Office of the Public Counsel.

```
JUDGE HATCHER: Okay. We all have our
 1
    directions from the most recent agenda meeting, maybe
 2
    not the most recent, but a recent agenda meeting.
 3
     the purpose of our meeting today is to set forth our
 4
 5
     timeline, to discuss any matters that you all need to
 6
    discuss. My purpose here is to make sure that all of
 7
    you attend to do that. Staff, can I ask you to take
 8
     responsibility to file whatever the agreed upon schedule
 9
     is that results from this or a status report?
10
               MS. BRETZ: Yes, we can do that.
11
               JUDGE HATCHER: Take a quick show of hands.
12
     30 days or 45 days for said schedule to be filed or
13
     status report?
14
               MS. BRETZ: Maybe 15. I don't see why we need
15
    to take that much time.
16
               JUDGE HATCHER: Sounds good. 15 days. I did
    not figure that up. Today is the 2nd. So March 17 is a
17
18
    Tuesday.
19
               MS. BRETZ: St. Patrick's Day.
20
               JUDGE HATCHER: Yeah, and it's St. Patrick's
21
    Day.
22
               MS. BRETZ:
                           That's fine.
23
               JUDGE HATCHER: Okay. We'll keep it there.
24
     3/17 status report or a schedule. For the schedule, the
2.5
    Commission requests filing of a joint stipulation of
```

facts as such as it might be for the issue of net book 1 2 value, and I understand that my request might be a little odd. I ask that in all of my conferences. So if 3 that doesn't work here, I'm not going to be terribly 4 5 disappointed, but it's just my standard ask. So if we 6 can come up with one, awesome. 7 MR. CLIZER: When you say "joint stipulation 8 of facts," unanimous is what I assume? 9 JUDGE HATCHER: Yes, yes. Confluence Rivers 10 is the purchaser, Port Perry is the seller. 11 MR. CLIZER: Is there a reason that you would 12 want a nonunanimous? Would that be helpful, if other parties may agree to some facts, or is it exclusively 13 14 unanimous? 15 JUDGE HATCHER: Exclusively unanimous because I use that in my citations. It's just an easy form for 16 17 me to find information and cite. As far as scheduling, the Regulatory Law Judge 18 19 will be unavailable March 23rd through the 27th. 20 and I mean me, is going on vacation with my family to 21 Disney World. So I would really appreciate any phone 22 calls but I'm not going to be allowed to take any. 23 We have the room all day. Nobody is on the 24 phone. I'm on the ninth floor. Is there anything else anybody can think of that we need to talk about before I 2.5

leave?

MR. COOPER: I have a question I guess, Judge, just to kind of get clear in my mind. I think we danced around this issue a little bit in the evidentiary hearing although I kind of found a few references where my client indicated that should be there be an acquisition premium in the future rate case that they would waive seeking recovery of that. And just so that, I guess just for sort of my comfort level as we move forward, but would a clear statement of that in a pleading from my client eliminate the need for this process or does the Commission believe that they need to get to a specific number even with that sort of waiver from my client, if you know and you may not know.

JUDGE HATCHER: I don't know. I'm trying to think about how -- about putting that in context.

Yeah.

MR. CLIZER: Would it be possible if you made such a pleading and sought from the Commission a determination of whether that would waive a necessity and the Commission could theoretically rule on that at agenda?

JUDGE HATCHER: That's kind of where I was headed was if that's an offer from your client. Yeah, I would put that into a pleading. I know that there is a

secondary concern not just recovering the acquisition premium. If Confluence does not recover it, then does that make Confluence as a business financially suspect in their ability.

MR. COOPER: Right. And I understand what you're saying, Judge, that sort of follow on issue should they not recover it will it so damage their financial wherewithal that they wouldn't be able to continue. Hopefully we'd be able to address that as well.

JUDGE HATCHER: Thinking ahead, let's just play this out, and I'm so sorry that this is on the record. This is going to be a terrible transcript. You file your said pleading. We would give 10 days for parties to respond and then it would be set for agenda. I'm not seeing anyone disagree. Is that what you're envisioning?

MR. COOPER: It could be, and we can talk about it after we go off the record, but it's possible that other things could happen kind of in parallel with that. I don't know if we need to sit still during that. I think the purpose of my question wasn't so much to think that you would necessarily have a final answer, but I wanted to make sure that that was still within sort of the realm of possibility, that it hadn't been

written off so far.

JUDGE HATCHER: I don't think anything -Sorry to talk over. I don't think anything has been written off.

MR. CLIZER: I have, I'm going to call it a question, I'm not sure, I might just be seeking guidance from the Commission on this point. My understanding is that the Commission wants information regarding the net book value of the plant or of the system being acquired.

JUDGE HATCHER: Yes.

MR. CLIZER: While I would hope that we don't actually end up going to hearing, if we do, the OPC believes that some of the information that would be best served for producing this would be in the hands of the actual owners. The OPC has previously requested the owners be made a party for different legal reasons. That was denied. I'm just hoping for some guidance.

Does the Commission know between a subpoena, attempting to rejoin the parties or some other methodology if there's some guidance regarding the best means for acquiring the information that might be in the hands of the owners that would in some way facilitate production, make things easier? If the Commission can't rule on that at this point, I understand. I just thought I'd throw that out there for now.

JUDGE HATCHER: I don't want to offer guidance 1 2 to restrict you if you would decide to offer something. Just in my mind I'm thinking adding Port Perry was 3 decided. However, that was before we were establishing 4 5 net book value. I would think that most of your 6 discovery issues would be directed to Confluence Rivers 7 who again I would think would have access to all of Port 8 Perry's paperwork, probably their accountant and somehow through the process that information would --9 10 MR. CLIZER: I appreciate that Port Perry --11 sorry -- Confluence almost certainly has access to it. 12 It would not be the same thing as getting information 13 directly from the original source. 14 JUDGE HATCHER: I don't know. 15 MR. CLIZER: That's fine. I didn't really necessarily expect a full -- I'm just laying out there 16 17 what my primary concerns are regarding evidence. 18 JUDGE HATCHER: Let's see where today's 19 meeting gets us, but that's certainly an interesting 20 query. 21 MR. CLIZER: All right. 22 JUDGE HATCHER: I'll keep that in mind. 23 Any other discussions? This is pretty 24 informal. Okay. Then with that March 17 is our due 2.5 date. I have to remember to think in complete

WA-2019-0299 , Vol. V

```
1
     sentences. And with that, we're off the record. Thank
 2
     you all.
 3
              (Off the record.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER		
2			
3	I, Beverly Jean Bentch, RPR, CCR No. 640,		
4	Certified Court Reporter with the firm of Tiger Court		
5	Reporting, LLC, within the State of Missouri, do hereby		
6	certify that I was personally present at the proceedings		
7	had in the above-entitled cause at the time and place		
8	set forth in the caption sheet thereof; that I then and		
9	there took down in Stenotype the proceedings had; and		
10	that the foregoing is a full, true and correct		
11	transcript of such Stenotype notes so made at such time		
12	and place.		
13	Beverly Jean Bentch		
14			
15	Beverly Jean Bentch, RPR, CCR No. 640		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			

	agree 323:13	clear 324:3,10	decided 327:4
1	agreed 322:8	client 324:6,11,14,24	denied 326:17
10 325:14	ahead 321:10 325:11	Clizer 321:24 323:7,	determination
15 322:14,16	allowed 323:22	11 324:18 326:5,11 327:10,15,21	324:20
17 322:17 327:24	appearance 321:11	comfort 324:9	directed 327:6
	appearing 321:14	Commission 321:3	directions 322:2
2	Application 321:5	322:25 324:12,19,21	directly 327:13
2 321:3	Assets 321:7	326:7,8,18,23	disagree 325:16
2020 321:3	assigned 321:10	Company 321:6,15	disappointed 323:5
23rd 323:19	Association 321:19	complete 327:25	discovery 327:6
27th 323:19	assume 323:8	concern 325:1	discuss 322:5,6
2nd 322:17	attempting 326:19	concerns 327:17	discussions 327:23
ZIIG 022.17	attend 322:7	Conference 321:4	Disney 323:21
3	Authority 321:7	conferences 323:3	due 327:24
3/17 322:24	awesome 323:6	Confluence 321:6, 12,14 323:9 325:2,3 327:6,11	E
30 322:12	В	contact 321:20	easier 326:23
4	behalf 321:19,24	context 324:16	easy 323:16
	believes 326:13	continue 325:9	eliminate 324:11
45 322:12	bit 324:4	Convenience 321:8	end 326:12
A	book 323:1 326:9	Cooper 321:13,14	entry 321:11
A	327:5	324:2 325:5,18	envisioning 325:17
ability 325:4	Bretz 321:21 322:10,	counsel 321:10,23,25	establishing 327:4
access 327:7,11	14,19,22	court 321:20	evidence 327:17
accountant 327:8	business 325:3		evidentiary 324:4
Acquire 321:7		D	exclusively 323:13,
acquired 326:9		damage 325:7	15
acquiring 326:21	call 326:5	danced 324:3	expect 327:16
acquisition 324:7	calls 323:22	date 327:25	F
325:1	captioned 321:5	David 321:18	
actual 326:15	case 321:10 324:7	day 322:19,21 323:23	facilitate 326:22
adding 327:3	Certificate 321:8	days 322:12,16	facts 323:1,8,13
address 325:9	Charles 321:9	325:14	family 323:20
agenda 322:2,3	citations 323:16	Dean 321:13	figure 322:17
324:22 325:15	cite 323:17	decide 327:2	file 321:4 322:8

325:14	hope 326:11		Owners' 321:19
filed 322:12	hoping 326:17	M	
filing 322:25		made 324:18 326:16	P
final 325:23	I	make 321:11 322:6	paperwork 327:8
financial 325:8	informal 327:24	325:3,24 326:23	parallel 325:20
financially 325:3	information 321:20	March 321:3 322:17 323:19 327:24	parties 321:11 323:13 325:15 326:19
find 323:17	323:17 326:8,13,21 327:9,12	Mark 321:22	
fine 322:22 327:15	interesting 327:19	Matter 321:5	party 326:16
floor 323:24	issue 323:1 324:4	matters 322:5	Patrick's 322:19,20
follow 325:6	325:6	means 326:21	Perry 321:19 323:10 327:3,10
form 323:16	issues 327:6	meeting 322:2,3,4	Perry's 327:8
forward 324:10		327:19	phone 323:21,24
found 324:5	J	methodology 326:20	plant 326:9
full 327:16	John 321:24	mind 324:3 327:3,22	play 325:12
future 324:7	Johnson 321:22	move 324:9	pleading 324:11,19,
G G	joint 322:25 323:7		25 325:14
	Judge 321:2,9,16,23	N	point 326:7,24
give 325:14	322:1,11,16,20,23 323:9,15,18 324:2,15,	necessarily 325:23	Port 323:10 327:3,7,
good 322:16	23 325:6,11 326:2,10	327:16	10
guess 324:2,9	327:1,14,18,22	necessity 321:8 324:20	possibility 325:25
guidance 326:6,17,		net 323:1 326:8 327:5	Prehearing 321:4
20 327:1		ninth 323:24	premium 324:7 325:2
Н Н	Karen 321:21	nonunanimous	pretty 327:23
l	kind 324:3,5,23	323:12	previously 326:15
hands 322:11 326:14,	325:20	number 324:13	primary 327:17
22	L		process 324:12 327:9
happen 325:20		O	producing 326:14
Hatcher 321:2,9,16, 23 322:1,11,16,20,23	Lake 321:19	odd 323:3	production 326:23
323:9,15 324:15,23	Law 321:9 323:18	offer 324:24 327:1,2	Public 321:23,25
325:11 326:2,10 327:1,14,18,22	laying 327:16	Office 321:25	purchaser 323:10
headed 324:24	leave 324:1	OPC 326:12,15	purpose 322:4,6 325:22
hearing 324:5 326:12	legal 326:16	Operating 321:6,14	put 324:25
helpful 323:12	level 324:9	original 327:13	put 324.25 putting 324:16
Honor 321:13,18	Linton 321:18	owners 321:17	putting 324.16
	Lot 321:16,19	326:15,16,22	

	rule 324:21 326:24	terribly 323:4	work 323:4
Q		theoretically 324:21	World 323:21
query 327:20	S	thing 327:12	written 326:1,4
question 324:2	schedule 322:8,12,24	things 325:20 326:23	
325:22 326:6	scheduling 323:18	thinking 325:11 327:3	
quick 322:11	secondary 325:1		
R	seeking 324:8 326:6	thought 326:25 throw 326:25	
	seller 323:10		
rate 324:7	served 326:14	time 321:3 322:15 timeline 322:5	
realm 325:25	set 321:3 322:4		
reason 323:11	325:15	today 321:2 322:4,17	
reasons 326:16	Sewer 321:7	today's 327:18	
recent 322:2,3	show 322:11	transcript 325:13	
record 321:2 325:13,	sit 325:21	Tuesday 322:18	
19 recover 325:2,7	sort 324:9,13 325:6,	U	
recovering 325:1	sought 324:19	unanimaus 222,9 44	
recovery 324:8	Sounds 322:16	unanimous 323:8,14, 15	
references 324:5	source 327:13	unavailable 323:19	
Regulatory 321:9	specific 324:13	understand 323:2	
323:18	St 322:19,20	325:5 326:24	
rejoin 326:19	Staff 321:21,22 322:7	understanding 326:7	
remember 327:25	standard 323:5	Utility 321:6,14	
report 322:9,13,24	statement 324:10	Juney 521.0,14	
reporter 321:20	status 322:9,13,24	V	
request 323:2	stipulation 322:25	vacation 323:20	
requested 326:15	323:7	vacation 323:20	
requests 322:25	subpoena 326:18	W	
respond 325:15	suspect 325:3		
responsibility 322:8	system 326:9	WA-2019-0299 321:4	
restrict 327:2	т	waive 324:8,20	
results 322:9	<u> </u>	waiver 324:13	
Rivers 321:6,12,14 323:9 327:6	talk 323:25 325:18 326:3	wanted 325:24	
	terrible 325:13	Water 321:7	
room 323:23	Lettible 325:13	wherewithal 325:8	