## BEFORE THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Application

Of Missouri American Water Company for

A Certificate of Convenience and Necessity

Authorizing it to install, own, acquire,

Construct, operate, control, manage, and

Maintain a water system and sewer system

In and around the City of Eureka, Missouri

2

1

3

4

5

6

./

8

9 10

11 |

13 14

16

15

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, Volume Four, produced,

Sworn and examined on May 6, 2022, between the hours of nine o'clock in the forenoon and five o'clock in the afternoon of that day, via WebEx teleconference, before Jeanne M. Pedrotty, a Certified Court Reporter (MO) and Certified Shorthand Reporter (IL), in a certain cause now pending before the Missouri Public Service Commission.

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

	Page 296
1 2	APPEARANCES
3	Presiding: Kenneth Seyer, Regulatory Law Judge
4	For Missouri American Water:
5	Dean Cooper, Esq.
6	Brydon, Swearengen & England 312 East Capitol
7 8	Jefferson City, Mo 65102
9	For the Public Service Commission:
10	Karen Bretz, Esq. Missouri Public Service Commission
11	200 Madison Street
12	Jefferson City, Mo 65102
13	For the Office of Public Counsel:
14	FOR the Office of Public Counsel.
15	Lindsay Van Gerpen, Esq. Office of Public Counsel
13	200 Madison Street
16 17	Jefferson City, MO 65102
18	
19	
20	Court Reporter: Jeanne M. Pedrotty, CCR/CSR
0.1	Missouri CCR #618
21	Illinois CSR #084-003893 Veritext Legal Solutions
22	701 Market Street
23	St. Louis, Missouri 63101 (314) 230-7260
0.4	Www.veritext.com
24 25	

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between all parties that this proceeding may be taken in shorthand by Jeanne M. Pedrotty, CCR/CSR, a Certified Court Reporter and Certified Shorthand Reporter, and afterwards transcribed into typewriting.

2.0

2.2

\* \* \* \* \*

JUDGE SEYER: Let's bring this proceeding to order and go on the record. Good morning, today is May 6, 2022. The time is 8:30 a.m.. The Commission has set this time for evidentiary hearing in the case captioned as in the Matter of Application of Missouri American Water Company for certificate of convenience and necessity authorizing it to install, own, acquire, construct, operate, control, manage, and maintain a water system and sewer system in and around the City of Eureka, Missouri. It is our file number WA-2021-0376 and also includes the sewer system case file number SA-2021-0377.

Previously on January 21st and 22nd -- I'm sorry -- January 20th and 21st, evidence was presented to the Commission in this matter. The purpose of today's evidentiary hearing is to collect additional evidence pursuant to the Commission's order granting request to ra-open the record issued April 21st. For that order, today's evidence shall be limited to that

- 1 | related to the Arbors of Rockwood Community
- 2 | Improvement District. My name is Ken Seyer. I am the
- 3 regulatory law judge presiding over this hearing. The
- 4 hearing is taking place in Public Service Commission
- 5 hearing room, Room 310 of the Governor's office
- 6 building in Jefferson City, Missouri. However,
- 7 | witnesses will also be participating over the Internet
- 8 | via Cisco WebEx. So let's have counsel for the
- 9 parties make their appearances beginning with Missouri
- 10 | American Water.
- 11 MR. COOPER: Thank you, Your Honor. Dean
- 12 | Cooper from the law firm of Brydon, Swearengen &
- 13 | England, P. O. Box 456, Jefferson City, Missouri,
- 14 | 65102, appearing on behalf of Missouri American Water
- 15 | Company.
- 16 JUDGE SEYER: Public Counsel?
- MS. VAN GERPEN: Good morning, Your Honor.
- 18 | Lindsay Van Gerpen from Office of the Public Counsel,
- 19 P. O. Box 2230, Jefferson City, Missouri, 65102.
- 20 JUDGE SEYER: Okay. And the staff of the
- 21 | Commission?
- MS. BRETZ: Karen Bretz for staff,
- 23 | B-r-e-t-z, address is 200 Madison Street, 8th floor,
- 24 Jefferson City, Missouri, 65102.
- 25 JUDGE SEYER: All right. For those in the

hearing room, I ask that everyone silence all cell phones and mobile devices. If you are in the hearing room and also logged on to WebEx I ask that you log off WebEx to avoid feedback and other potential audio issues. Also, for those in the hearing room please be cognizant of when your microphones are on indicated by a lit green light at the base of microphone and when they are off. For those connected via WebEx I ask that you mute your microphone when not speaking.

2.0

Also the court reporter today is not present in the hearing room, but is instead participating via WebEx. Therefore, it's important that you speak into the hearing room microphones so that she can record all of the proceedings. Do the parties have any preliminary matters today?

MS. BRETZ: Judge, I didn't realize the court reporter was going to be on WebEx. How should we handle exhibits then?

JUDGE SEYER: As long, I think we all have access to those exhibits.

MS. BRETZ: Since they are entered into EFIS already?

JUDGE SEYER: Right. As long as the -- if they are not already entered into EFIS, as long as they are e-mailed to the exhibits at psc.Mo.gov e-mail

address. Okay. Are there any changes to the joint order of witnesses and cross examination filed on Wednesday, May 4th? Okay.

MR. SILVEY: This is Chairman Silvey.

JUDGE SEYER: Yes.

2.0

MR. SILVEY: I would like to note that I am present as well as Commissioner Holtman. And I'm not sure if any other commissioners are present.

Commissioner Coleman is here. Thank you, Judge.

JUDGE SEYER: I'm sorry. Who is that?

MR. SILVEY: I believe Commissioner Coleman and Commissioner Holtman are also present.

JUDGE SEYER: Okay. Thank you. According to the joint order of witnesses, staff will be calling Craig Sabo, Curt Gateley, Amanda McMellen; and then Missouri American Water would call Sean Flower and Brian LaGrand; is that correct?

Okay. As far as the numbering of the exhibits, previously exhibit numbers 1 through 99 were assigned to Missouri American Water Company; 100 through 199 to staff, 200 through 299 to Public Counsel, and 300 to 399 to the Commission. So any new exhibits should follow that numbering. All right.

So, Ms. Bretz, would you like to make an opening statement on behalf of the staff?

MS. BRETZ: Yes. Thank you. Good morning, may it please the Commission. I am Karen Bretz for commission staff. Thank you for re-opening the record in this case to hear evidence whether residents of Arbors of Rockwood subdivision development would pay twice for their water system in the sale of the City of Eureka water system to Missouri American is completed.

JUDGE SEYER: Excuse me, Ms. Bretz, is that microphone on?

MS. BRETZ: Yes.

2.0

JUDGE SEYER: I just want to make sure.

MS. BRETZ: Briefly, the Arbors subdivision is on the north side of I-44 just east of Six Flags. It's a brand new subdivision. There are 528 home lots. And according to Mayor Flower's testimony, 405 occupancy permits have been issued to date. The residents in the Arbors are subject to a community improvement district or CID. Sections 67.1401 through 67.1571 RSMO provides for the formation of CIDs and describes how they operate. Depending on the amount of street frontage, homeowners in Arbors are annually assessed between \$100 and \$800 annually to reimburse the developers costs, which include the costs of constructing the water system. The CID is authorized

to levy these assessments for up to 32 years from the date the City of Eureka ordinance was passed. potentially Arbors residents will be paying these assessments until 2048. Staff's opinion is that residents of the Arbors will pay twice for the water system serving their subdivision if the sale to Missouri American goes through. Through their CID assessments residents are currently paying the developers costs of installing the water system. Documents filed in EFIS from the state auditor's office and St. Louis County Department of Revenue show that Arbors residents have been paying these assessments since 2018. On their real estate tax receipts these assessments are listed as other This is one time that the Arbors residents charges. will be paying for their water system. If the sale to Missouri American is completed, the appraised value of the water system will be included in Missouri American's rate base. Therefore, all Missouri American customers including residents in the Arbors will pay for this appraised value through their rates. This is a second time that Arbors rate payers are paying for their water system. Brian LaGrand of Missouri American states in his testimony that Arbors residents will not pay twice. His reasoning is that

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

1 under the appraisal statute fair market value establishes rate base and regardless of whether the 3 value of the Arbors water system is considered distributed property, the fair market value for the 5 entire transactions is \$28 million. Mr. LaGrand further states that customers in the Arbors will pay 6 7 the same rate as all other Eureka customers. And this actually bolsters staff's arguments because it 8 establishes that Arbors residents are paying fully for 10 Missouri American investments in the system. 11 LaGrand overlooks that Arbors residents have been and 12 would tend to pay annual assessments to the CID. 13 parties have briefed the issue where the commission 14 must make a necessary or convenient for the public 15 interest finding when it purchase is made pursuant to 16 appraisal statute. Staff and OPC's position is that 17 the appraisal statute does not abrogate the commission's duty to make this finding. Missouri 18 19 American's position, of course, is that the commission 2.0 must blanketly accept the Missouri American/City of Eureka appraisal. The necessary or convenient 21 2.2 standard safeguards rate payers to help ensure that 23 their rates are fair just and reasonable. As we've 24 seen in this case, the necessary or convenient for the

public interest standard provides a vital check and

2.5

- balance. It ensures that people are not paying twice
  for the same system. Staff has subjected Craig Sabo,
  an employee of the City of Eureka and a board member
  of the Arbor's CID to be present today. Staff's other
  witness Craig Gateley and Amanda McMellen are also
  available for cross examination and commissioner
  questions. Thank you.
  - JUDGE SEYER: Thank you. Ms. Van Gerpen, would you like to make an opening statement.
- 10 MS. VAN GERPEN: Very briefly, Your Honor.
- MR. SILVEY: This is Chairman Silvey again.

  Are we going to have an opportunity to question after
- 13 | the opening statement?

8

9

17

18

- 14 JUDGE SEYER: I'm sorry about that, yes.
- MR. SILVEY: Thank you. I have a couple of questions.
  - JUDGE SEYER: Do any of the other commissions have any questions for Ms. Bretz?
- MR. SILVEY: Yes. Ms. Bretz, thank you for your opening statement. A couple questions. Did the residents of this subdivision have an opportunity to vote in the election?
- MS. BRETZ: Yes. They voted as did the other residents the City of Eureka did.
- MS. SILVEY: And did the ballot language

1 | include the sales price of \$26 million.

2.0

2.2

MS. BRETZ: Yes, they did. And it was actually \$28 million.

MR. SILVEY: \$28 million. Thank you for that correction. What portion of the CID that they are paying their annual CID -- what portion of that is specifically related to the water as opposed to streets, sidewalks, and other things covered by the CID.

MS. BRETZ: Amanda McMellen might be able to better answer that question, but staff did a back of the neck initial formulation of how much they will be paying. And staff estimated the amount they will be paying to reimburse the developer is the same as what staff assigned as which is \$2.9 million, but you're asking how much of their annual assessment will be put towards the paying the developer?

MR. SILVEY: Specifically for the water system because my understanding of how CIDs operate is there is other costs included there, that the developer is being reimburse for streets, curbs, sidewalks, whatever would have been included in that CID to begin with, so of the aggregate cost how much of that are specifically related to the water treatment center.

MS. BRETZ: As I recall and this is in the development agreement, which we will be asked to be admitted into evidence the brief entire total is \$3.4 million, and so approximately 2.9 of that will be for the water system.

2.0

MR. SILVEY: Okay. So if the commission were to determine -- what relief is staff asking for, are you asking for us to just reject the sale outright or is there a way in which the commission could craft an order for the sale to proceed, but protecting the interests of that particular neighborhood as it pertains to double paying?

MS. BRETZ: I believe staff's position is it's all or nothing situation. And that the language of the appraisal statute says that you must either accept it or reject it. And so we are asking for the commission to reject entirely the Missouri American application.

MR. SILVEY: So there are approximately 500 and some lots in this neighborhood, is that what your opening statement says?

MS. BRETZ: 500 and some change, yes.

MR. SILVEY: 500 and some change. How many lots are there in Eureka at large?

MS. BRETZ: I'm not sure entirely. I

recall testimony from, I think, it was from Mayor

Flower that he stated that the people living in the

Arbors development is approximately ten percent of the

population of the number of homes that are would be

potentially Missouri American customers.

2.0

MR. SILVEY: So if it's all or nothing proposition and we have no authority to find a middle ground or to specifically carve out that neighborhood, you're asking us to reject an entire application based on ten percent of the population. Is that an accurate assessment?

MS. BRETZ: Well, I mean we had two days of hearing in January, too. And we believe there is other reasons why the application should be rejected. At this time we're just addressing the Arbors -- the Arbor issue. We believe that there are other reasons why the application should be rejected as we described, as we put testimony on in January.

MR. SILVEY: Sure, but as it pertains to this hearing today for this one specific issue, all or nothing based on ten percent for this argument.

MS. BRETZ: Yes. Yes, of course. Missouri American is free to come back and submit another application.

MR. SILVEY: How long would that take?

MS. BRETZ: We could ask for accelerated schedule. I would think 60 days. Sixty days we could come up with another recommendation -- staff could come up with another recommendation.

MR. SILVEY: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Bretz. Thank you, Judge. No further questions.

MS. BRETZ: Thank you.

JUDGE SEYER: Are there any questions from the other commissioners?

10 | COMMISSIONER: No questions, Judge.

COMMISSIONER: No question.

2.0

JUDGE SEYER: Thank you. Then Ms. Van
Gerpen.

MS. VAN GERPEN: Good morning, and may it please the commission. My name is Lindsay Van Gerpen and I represent the Office of the Public Counsel. The OPC continues to request that the commission deny Missouri American Water Company's application for certificate of convenience and necessity in regards to the acquisition of the City of Eureka, Missouri's water and sewer systems. The OPC maintains the position that it took in its initial and reply post-hearing briefs, specifically that the commission should deny the requested CCN because Missouri American Water Company plans to pay too much for these

1 systems and it plans to provide water service to Eureka through a new pipeline means that this acquisition simply is not in the public interest. 3 Today we're here to explore the additional fact that 5 the residents of the Arbors subdivision will likely pay twice for parts of their water system if Missouri 6 7 American Water Company completes this purchase. 8 payments will come once through a community improvement district special assessment and once 10 through their Missouri American Water Company rates. 11 Although the OPC does not dispute that Missouri 12 American Water Company is qualified and has the 13 financial ability to provide water and sewer service to the citizens of Eureka. These facts will further 14 15 support the OPC's position that this acquisition as 16 proposed simply is not in the public interest. And 17 for these reasons the OPC continues to request that 18 the commission deny the requested CCN. Are there any 19 questions?

JUDGE SEYER: Any questions from the commissioners?

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

MR. SILVEY: Thank you, Judge, this is
Chairman Silvey. I have a question. Thank you for
your opening statement. So since you mentioned in the
opening statement, you're contending Missouri American

is paying too much for the system?

MS. VAN GERPEN: Yes, that's correct.

MR. SILVEY: And they are paying it to the City of Eureka, correct, not to an individual

5 developer?

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

MS. VAN GERPIN: That is correct.

MR. SILVEY: And the City of Eureka -- it seems like this is a little bit of a different situation than an acquisition to a private developer because the citizens they are essentially paying the citizens who are going to be receiving the service through their elected city council and disbursing those funds, is that an accurate assessment? So they are over paying to themselves?

MS. VAN GERPEN: I'm not sure that I would agree with that. Because the citizens of the Arbors will still continue to pay for their water system through the CID as well.

MR. SILVEY: But you also agree that they participated in the election which stated the amount of the sale?

MS. VAN GERPEN: Yes.

MR. SILVEY: And that the proceeds of the sale will go to the city, which will be able to be appropriated by their elected representatives?

1 MS. VAN GERPEN: Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. SILVEY: Okay. Thank you. Thank you,

Judge.

JUDGE SEYER: All right. Are there any other questions from the commissioners? All right. Thank you, Ms. Van Gerpen. I apologize. All right. Mr. Cooper, would you like to make an opening statement?

MR. COOPER: Thank you. Before I go I guess into my opening statement I did want to respond to one thing that Ms. Bretz stated near the end of her opening. She was asked about the timing it would take to bring another application before this commission. And she referenced, I think, the 60 days that is sort of aspirational for the staff to file a recommendation from the time an application has been filed with the commission. I don't know how many cases that actually happen in 60 days. But more importantly, as has been discussed in this case when we were here before, the sale of the system by the City of Eureka requires a public vote, a public vote that passed calls for a purchase price certain. So I think if we're talking about some sort of situation where we came back to the commission with some other purchase price you're talking about placing another resolution on the ballot

1 and an election and that process before you ever get around to thinking about coming back to this commission. So I think it is a much longer time frame 3 than just coming back with a second application. That 5 having been said, I do want to say the issue we're discussing today with the Arbors is largely a 6 7 continuation of an issue that has been discussed previously. The Arbors development was specifically 8 discussed in this case to include staff's calculation in its staff recommendation was incorporated in its 10 testimony. There was cross examination of the staff 11 12 witness about the Arbors, and Missouri American 13 addressed the Arbors in its initial brief. focused on the fact that the Arbors was being treated 14 15 as a contributed property or contributed plant by the 16 staff. And in fact, specifically that's what Missouri 17 American's initial brief talked about with the impact contributed plant we believe should have on fair 18 19 market value which is none. But more to the point is as can been seen in the testimony is Eureka has a 2.0 21 public question and answers website associated with waste water and water property and sale process that 2.2 23 specifically address the Arbors situation -- the Arbors CID, in fact, situation for some time. 24 Missouri American witness LaGrand points out that the 2.5

1 staff made specific reference to the city's question and answer site as early as October 1st of 2021, when the staff recommendation was filed. Throughout the 3 case, staff has been aware of Arbors's assets and the 5 fact they were contributed to Eureka. And as I said earlier, took this into account in its recommendation 6 and, in fact, Mr. Gateley's testimony in today's 7 hearing specifically indicates that staff's 8 recommendation remains unchanged as a result of the CID information. Now, more importantly the community 10 11 improvement district in place to recover a portion of 12 development cost related to property contributed to 13 the city where all development costs are assumed to 14 have been recovered or not in some way -- some other 15 The issue is the same for this commission, that 16 is once the assets are owned by a city does the path 17 of the city's acquisition matter in regard to the fair market value of the assets owned by that city for 18 19 purposes specifically of section 393.320 RSMO. Again, 2.0 Missouri American believes the answers is it does not. 21 In accordance with 393.320 blink 5 subsection 1, the 2.2 lesser of the purchase price or appraised or fair 23 market value together with the reasonable and prudent 24 transaction closing and transition cost incurred by

Missouri American shall constitute the rate making

2.5

rate base for Eureka's system being acquired by Missouri American. Assets referenced by staff are owned by Eureka are currently in service and are used and useful for the provision of water services to Eureka residents. Nothing in section 393.320 references or creates an exception for contributed property in the case of a municipal system. Now, this does not mean that the concept of net book value is completely ignored by section 393.320. If the small water utility is a public utility subject to chapter 386 and small water utility completed a rate case prior to the acquisition, the commission may utilize the net book value determined by the commission in its decision as to the rate making rate base. However, again, that provision is not available where the small water utility is a municipal system. That different approach could be for one or all of several good It could be because of the difficulty in reasons. establishing a net original cost for unregulated Because the consolidation of small water and system. sewer systems with large systems should be encouraged or perhaps that's because in the case of a municipality as citizens, the customers, are receiving value in that the city can use and apportion its sales proceeds as it sees fit for the benefit of the city

24

2.5

and its citizens Now, I will add to my, perhaps, list one more item, fourth class cities may sell property pursuant to the power granted by section 79.010, but its power must necessarily be exercised in conjunction with Missouri, with the Missouri constitutional provisions. Article 6 section 25, and section 23 for that matter, prohibit a city from a grant of public money or property to any private individual, association or corporation. As a result, a city may not donate to private businesses or charters, give away assets or convey assets for nominal consideration. And I would reference as a good summary of this situation and case law surrounding it, I would reference you to AG opinion 98-96, which was issued on July 5th, 1996, and can be also cited in Lexus 1996 AG Lexus 77. And I have copies of that Judge if you're interested. If the city were to give its water assets to Missouri American or the Arbor assets to Missouri American for something less than fair market value such an act would appear to violate the Missouri constitution.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Now, I would also like to talk a little bit about the sort of the perspective proportions involved here in regard to the size of the Arbors. Arbors subdivision, there are, as Ms. Bretz said, about 405

water customers currently in the Arbors area. This compares to approximately 4100 total Eureka water customers and approximately 340,000 Missouri American water customers in St. Louis County, the district of which Eureka water customers would become a part. The \$2.9 million construction cost associated with the Arbors water system assets that we're talking about today compares to a water rate base for the St. Louis County district of approximately \$1.2 billion as of December 31st of 2020. The portion of any revenue requirement associated with that \$2.9 million that one could even argue would be recovered from the Arbor water customers through MAWC rates would be extremely small.

2.0

2.2

2.5

Finally, I leave you with a couple of questions. Staff's argument, again, is its position that the 405 water customers in the Arbors development could pay twice for the Arbors water system. As discussed before, MAWC believes this concept is not applicable to a municipal system. However, even if it were, if the sale does not go through, the assets stay with Eureka and Arbors residents continue to pay the same water rates as other Eureka customers, would staff still say those customers are paying twice. What if any absence of a sale to Missouri American

1 does Eureka water rates are similar to or even higher than Arbors residents would have paid to Missouri American, would staff still argue they are paying 3 twice if the system is acquired by Missouri American, 5 but not if the sale falls through and they pay higher It's just a fact that the crossover of assets 6 7 from a municipality to a regulated investor-owned 8 utility necessarily create sort of the overused phrase of apples to orange comparison. Net original cost and 10 contributed plant are terms applicable to regulated 11 utilities and have nothing to do with municipal 12 utility accounting. And more important, nothing to do 13 with the fair market value of those municipal assets. The issues raised in this hearing do not change the 14 argument Missouri American has made in its initial and 15 16 reply briefs and Missouri American asks that the 17 commission apply section 393.320 as written, grant Missouri American's certificates of convenience and 18 19 necessity to provide water and waste water service 2.0 within the proposed service area subject to the conditions described by staff, and establish the rate 21 2.2 making rate base for the systems acquired in amount 23 equal to the fair market value. Thank you. 24 JUDGE SEYER: All right, Chairman Silvey, do you have questions? 2.5

L	MR.	SILVEY:	Thank	you,	Judge,	I	do.
---	-----	---------	-------	------	--------	---	-----

## EXAMINATION

## QUESTIONS BY MR. SILVEY:

2.0

- Q. Thank you for your opening. So you reference the 405 customers in the submission, roughly 4100 customers total in Eureka, and 340,000 in St. Louis County at large?
- A. Approximately, yeah. Perhaps a few more than 340,000, but that's pretty close.
- Q. So just so I'm clear, if this acquisition were to go through then the rate base for citizens of Eureka would become 344,000 people. I mean they would all be paying the same?
- A. The number of customers in the St. Louis County district for water would be approximately 344,000, correct.
  - Q. Would they all be on the same rate?
- A. Well, within that number of customers there are, I can't remember the rate designation, but there would be some differentiation there. Rate A, there is rate J for industrial. Mr. LaGrand could probably give a better rundown. I know he can give better rundown than I for the different rates.
  - Q. Let me rephrase.
  - A. Go ahead.

- Q. So, yes, respective of different rate classes, would the \$2.8 million that's at issue today be spread across 340,000 some thousands people as opposed to just the 4100 people in Eureka?
  - A. Correct, yes, Chairman.

2.0

2.2

- Q. So your contention is the actual amount of double payment would be very small because 340,000 people who aren't currently bearing that cost would also be bearing that cost after the acquisition?
  - A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. Thank you, Judge.

  JUDGE SEYER: Do any of the other

  commissioners have questions.

COMMISSIONER: No questions, Judge.

JUDGE SEYER: All right. Thank you, Mr.

Cooper.

- Q. I apologize. I do have one additional question. So we have the election that occurred, is the record -- does the record show what the results of the election were specifically or just that it was majority that approved the sale?
- A. No, I believe, Chairman, that our -- I don't remember exact count, but I believe our direct testimony provides the exact count -- our direct testimony in the first part of this case. And I'm

sure we can come up with that pretty quickly.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

14

Sabo.

- Q. Okay. For the specific issue today, I don't recall seeing in the record. Would it be possible to get the precinct results for this area where the CID is into the record?
- A. I can certainly look. I think whether it comes into the record or not is a question for yourself and the Judge, but certainly we can see if we can come up with that information, Chairman.
- 10 Q. Okay. Thank you. Thank you, Judge.
- JUDGE SEYER: Thank you, Mr. Cooper. All
- 12 | right. Ms. Bretz, call your first witness.
- MS. BRETZ: Our first witness is Craig
- JUDGE SEYER: All right. Mr. Sabo. And he is testifying via WebEx, correct?
- MS. BRETZ: That's my understanding.
- JUDGE SEYER: Are you here, Mr. Sabo?
- 19 THE WITNESS: I'm present.
- JUDGE SEYER: Thank you. Would you raise
  your right hand to be sworn in please. Thank you. Do
  you solemnly swear or affirm that the testimony you
  give in this hearing shall be the truth, the whole
  truth, and nothing but the truth.

THE WITNESS: I do.

	Page 321
1	JUDGE SEYER: All right. Thank you. Go
2	ahead, Ms. Bretz.
3	EXAMINATION
4	QUESTIONS BY MS. BRETZ:
5	Q. Thanks. Good morning, Mr. Sabo?
6	A. Good morning.
7	Q. I'll turn this around so I can both look at
8	you and speak into the microphone. It's a little
9	awkward. You're an employee of the City of Eureka; is
10	that correct?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. And what's your title?
13	A. City administrator.
14	Q. And what's your job responsibility in a
15	nutshell?
16	A. Oversee the day-to-day operations of the
17	city, provide managerial support and oversight.
18	Q. And you're also a board member of the
19	Arbors of Rockwood community improvement district; is
20	that correct?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. How long have you been a board member?
23	A. Since its formation roughly five years ago.
24	Q. If I said 2016, does that sound about
25	right?

		Page 322
1	Α.	Yes.
2	Q.	Do you have an official position in the
3	CID?	
4	Α.	Yes. I'm secretary and treasurer.
5	Q.	You're currently secretary treasurer of the
6	CID?	
7	Α.	Yes.
8	Q.	Is Barb Flint also an employee of the City
9	of Eureka?	
10	Α.	Yes. She is our finance director.
11	Q.	And she is also board member of Arbors CID?
12	Α.	Yes.
13	Q.	Does she have a position other than being
14	board membe	r? Is she an officer as well?
15	Α.	No.
16	Q.	Okay. Staff subpoenaed you to be present
17	today, corr	ect?
18	Α.	Yes.
19	Q.	And staff asked you to bring some documents
20	with you?	
21	Α.	Yes. Which were provided.
22	Q.	Correct. We found out you were going to be
23	appearing W	ebEx and you were gracious enough to
24	provide the	se documents and you made those available

to staff, right?

25

1 A.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

22

23

24

25

- Q. I'll say for the record, staff distributed to the other parties and also filed into EFIS these documents. What you have marked as document one is a copy of Ordinance 2394, which was passed by the City of Eureka Board of Alderman and it establishes the Arbors CID; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.

Yes.

- O. You're familiar with that ordinance?
- A. I am.
  - Q. And what you sent to us is a true and correct copy of that ordinance?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. And then what you marked as document two are the resolutions passed by the Arbors CID?
  - A. Yes.
    - Q. And you're familiar with those resolutions?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. What you sent to us are true and correct copies of those resolutions?
- 21 A. Yes.
  - Q. And what you marked as document three is the development agreement between the City of Eureka, the developer, and Arbors CID?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. And you're familiar with that agreement?
- 2 A. Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. And what you sent to us is a true and correct copy of that agreement?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. Staff also asked you to bring the receipts and financial records related to the water system at the Arbors; is that correct?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. And you wrote to me that that information was contained in what you marked as document 2U, correct?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. Judge, we would ask for the subpoenaed documents to be admitted as, I think, Exhibit 100. Is that the correct numbering?
  - JUDGE SEYER: I would suggest that we make that Exhibit 110, which I think is the next available exhibit number.
- MS. BRETZ: Sure.
- JUDGE SEYER: So all three of those, all of those documents or just document one?
- MS. BRETZ: All the documents.
- JUDGE SEYER: Okay. So what's essentially document one, two and three, that collection would be

	Page 325
1	Exhibit 110. Are there any objections to that? All
2	right. Exhibit 110 is admitted.
3	MS. BRETZ: We don't have any other
4	questions, Judge.
5	JUDGE SEYER: All right. Ms. Van Gerpen,
6	do you have any questions.
7	MS. VAN GERPEN: No questions, Your Honor.
8	JUDGE SEYER: All right. Mr. Cooper?
9	MR. COOPER: No questions, Your Honor.
LO	JUDGE SEYER: All right. Are there any
L1	questions from the commissioners? Hearing none, I do
L2	have some questions myself.
L3	EXAMINATION
L4	QUESTIONS BY JUDGE SEYER:
L5	Q. So Mr. Sabo, you are currently a member of
L6	the Board of Directors of the CID, correct?
L7	A. Yes.
L8	Q. And as you testified here today, are you
L9	representing the district, the city or some
20	combination of both?
21	A. Presumably both.
22	Q. Okay. This community improvement district,
23	did the development of that area start before the

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

Legislative consideration preceded it for

creation of that CID?

Α.

24

25

1 the zoning.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. But actual shovel in the ground not before the creation of it?
- A. I can't speak as to how the timing of those two met.
  - Q. Okay.
    - A. Certainly close. But not necessarily -- I can't nail the time frame.
    - Q. Has that subdivision -- has that development been completed?
  - A. No.
  - Q. Are there still lots for sale?
  - A. There is approximately 80 percent developed, with lots for sale, yes.
- Q. So approximately 20 percent of lots are still for sale?
- 17 A. Correct.
  - Q. Okay. We have what has been filed in the EFIS document system as document 2U, 2 and the letter "U", are receipts for the development. So I'd like to draw your attention to that document.
    - A. I have it available.
  - Q. Okay. Are any of the projects that were developed under the CID, have they been sold or are they to be sold to Missouri American water?

A. Well, the water and sanitary sewer component including infrastructure, located on site, which is well and tank softening equipment, disinfection, fluoridation and the like.

2.0

- Q. And as those portions of the development were constructed, were they transferred to the city?
- A. Upon completion and service being provided by them, yes.
- Q. And logistically, how was that done? Was it done by resolution?
- A. No. It would have been inspection by staff and any consulting engineers. Same with any other subdivision.
- Q. So you mentioned the subdivision is roughly 80 percent completed. So has there been a certificate of substantial completion submitted to the city?
- A. I don't know. Just those components would have been accepted. I generally view substantial completion as the entire development, including all other forms of infrastructure such as streets. And that would not be the case in light of subdivision still being under development.
- Q. I'm not sure why the microphone turned off there. If I can draw your attention to the amended petition for creation of the CID?

1 Α. Which --

5

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Do you have a document number for that? 3

I'm sorry. 4 JUDGE SEYER:

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Do you have a document number for that? 6

- Ο. Give me a second here. It would be in that document one that was filed with the subpoena in EFIS, approximately six pages in.
- 10 Α. I apologize. One moment please. Okay. I have it. 11
  - Okay. On the third page of that amended petition it states there is a section for the estimates of costs and improvements. And it states that the estimated costs are approximately \$3,413,574. In the annual report that was submitted to the state auditor's office, it also lists obligations roughly in that amount for the fiscal year ending June 30th, So can you tell me when those obligations were issued, what particular date?
  - One moment please. I apologize. Many documents.
  - Of course. Ο.
- 2.4 Based on resolution 21-001, they appear to Α. 25 be in 2016, throughout various months throughout 2016.

- O. So not issued all at one time?
- A. Submitted -- they were submitted in April of 2017, but not incurred all at the same time of course.
  - Q. And what form were those obligations issued -- in what form were those obligations issued?
- A. My understanding there was a note, note or notes issued carried by the developer.
  - Q. And issued to whom -- to what entities?
- A. Rooster Road LLC, the entity, the developer formed in connection with the project.
- Q. Okay. If I could direct your attention, still within that document 2U, roughly page 7 of 48.
  - A. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. At the top of that page it is headed Parc Front Group LP, and then next line HTV CID reimbursable project costs.
- A. I see that. I'm not familiar with that entity.
- Q. If you can take a look at that page, would you agree that this is essentially what those debt obligations paid for, the \$3.4 million?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And so it amounts to roughly half a dozen companies that contributed to the project and majority

- of it was for water service, would you agree?
- 2 A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. And then \$509,000 on streets and \$48,000 on asphalt trail?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. So were there -- so cost, and I know the ordinance talks about lawns, trees, other landscape, traffic signs, signals, drainage, et cetera, storm and sewer systems. At least out of this \$3.4 million, none of that was spent on lawns and trees and so forth, correct?
    - A. Correct.
  - Q. All right. I believe those all the questions I have at this time. All right. Ms. Van Gerpen, do you have any recross based on my questions?

MS. VAN GERPEN: Nothing, Your Honor.

JUDGE SEYER: Or the commissioners,

question? All right. Mr. Cooper?

MR. COOPER: Just a couple for

20 | clarification, Your Honor.

## 21 EXAMINATION

- 22 QUESTIONS BY MR. COOPER:
- Q. Mr. Sabo, you were asked some questions about the status of the subdivision and I think you mentioned that it was about 80/20. About 80 percent

of the lots sold and about 20 percent, I guess, have not yet been sold; is that correct?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. Would the collection lines for the sewer system and the distribution lines for the water system already be in place in regard to that 20 percent of the lots that have not yet been sold?
- A. It is possible that a few mains have not yet been extended. I'm not certain.
- Q. And it was mentioned -- the sewer system was mentioned, or perhaps I would say it was not mentioned as a part of the cost being recovered through the CID, is that correct?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. That's all the questions I have.
- JUDGE SEYER: All right. Ms. Bretz, do you have further questions?
- 18 MS. BRETZ: Nothing. Thank you.
- JUDGE SEYER: All right. Can this witness
  be excused? All right. Thank you, Mr. Sabo. You are
  excused.
- THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- JUDGE SEYER: All right, Ms. Bretz, call
- 24 your next witness.
- MS. BRETZ: Staff's next witness is Curt

Page 332 1 Gateley 2 JUDGE SEYER: Mr. Gateley, would you raise 3 your right hand please? Thank you. Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you give in this hearing 4 5 shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? 6 7 THE WITNESS: Yes. Thank you. Go ahead, Ms. 8 JUDGE SEYER: 9 Bretz. 10 EXAMINATION 11 OUESTIONS BY MS. BRETZ: 12 Mr. Gateley, would you please state and spell your name? 13 C-u-r-t-i-s, Gateley, G-a-t-e-l-e-y. 14 15 Where do you work and what's your job Q. 16 title? 17 I work for Public Service Commission. I'm 18 the manager of the water, sewer and steam department. 19 Are you the same Curt Gateley who prepared 2.0 testimony -- it's called direct testimony of Curt G. 21 Gateley with exhibits attached? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Do you have any changes or corrections to 24 your testimony?

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

A. I do not.

25

- 1 Ο. If I asked you those same questions today 2 your answers would be the same? 3 Α. Yes. And your testimony is true and correct to 4 5 the best of your knowledge? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Judge, we would ask for Mr. Gateley's testimony to be -- and exhibits, the attached exhibits 8 -- to be entered as I believe -- is that 111 now? 9 10 JUDGE SEYER: Yes, that's correct. 11 there any objection? All right. I'm seeing shaking 12 heads with no objection. Exhibit 111 is admitted. MS. BRETZ: We tender the witness for cross 13 14 examination. 15 JUDGE SEYER: All right. Ms. Van Gerpen? 16 MS. VAN GERPIN: Nothing from OPC. 17 JUDGE SEYER: All right. Mr. Cooper? 18 MR. COOPER: Nothing at this time, Your
- JUDGE SEYER: All right. Are there any questions from the commissioners?
- MR. SILVEY: No questions, Judge.
- JUDGE SEYER: All right. Mr. Gateley, I

24 have a couple questions.

19

Honor.

25 EXAMINATION

# QUESTIONS BY JUDGE SEYER:

- Q. For this particular subdivision, why is it staff's position that the residents in that subdivision will be pay twice for their water system?
- A. Right now those customers, it's my understanding, have been paying to reimburse the developer for the assets and will continue to pay for several years. In addition, the assets as part of the purchase of Eureka will go into rate base for which those customers will continue to pay that rate base and the return on that investment. So unlike other customers for whom Missouri American might construct some infrastructure, some plant, and then recover that and recover return, for those particular customers they will be subject to the normal situation for customers plus an additional tax assessment.
- Q. If those purchasers of the lots in that subdivision rather than pay the assessment over the years had paid for those developer costs upfront as part of the purchase of the lot, would staff still have that same position?
- A. No. The typical development situation, developers, of course, are trying to maximize their profit. They are trying to recover any of their expenses through the sale of lots and development.

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com

888-391-3376

\_

\_

•

\_ \_

They are subject to market forces. They may not be able to recover as much as they would like or all of it, but it is expected that folks who are purchasing a lot that aren't subject to a special district like this, that, yeah, they will have already had to pay for some portion of it in the purchase of the lot.

Our position would be different if it was an average situation, yeah. These assets being contributed to Eureka and then sold to Missouri American is a unique situation for me. I have never encountered it. And staff members I have talked to haven't encountered one in this particular situation before.

- Q. Is it common for developers to construct assets that are then donated to the city, to the municipal water or sewer system?
- A. That's the more typical situation that I am familiar with. Yes, sir.
- Q. All right. I don't have any further questions. Ms. Van Gerpen, do you have any follow-up questions?

MS. VAN GERPEN: Nothing, Your Honor.

JUDGE SEYER: All right. Mr. Cooper?

MR. COOPER: Yes.

EXAMINATION

QUESTIONS BY MR. COOPER:

2.0

Q. Mr. Gateley, I think I heard you say that in a situation where there was no CID where a developer constructed a system, tried to, maybe did, maybe didn't recover costs through the sale of the lots or lot prices, that staff would view that differently than the CID situation. Is that what you said?

A. Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q. So in that situation where the assets were contributed and there is no CID -- contributed by a developer and there is no CID, you would not view that as a contributed plan?
- A. I'm not an auditor so I'm not -- I don't calculate such things. That refinement of that kind of question would probably be better for Amanda McMellen.
- Q. Okay. What causes my confusion is in this case staff suggested that it didn't know about the CID at the time it did its recommendation, and yet it did view the Arbors construction costs as a contributed plan, correct?
- A. When we initially asked Missouri American and DRs for what the contributed plan was the response indicated there was none. It's my understanding the staff found out about the contributed plan for the

Arbors when they made the effort to go to the city and review the records and discuss the situation with the city. Again, I wasn't in that particular portion of the investigation so I'm speaking to my understanding of it.

2.0

- Q. But it was still identified as contributed plant, wasn't it?
  - A. Yes. In our recommendation it was.
- Q. Okay. Now, the Judge asked you about the concept of the residents of the Arbors paying twice, and you were talking about both the CID assessments and then whatever might be in their rates if Missouri American purchases the systems. Now, you're not suggesting that the Arbors development would have its own separate rate from the rest of St. Louis County, are you?
- A. Rates would be determined at the next rate case. So I can't answer that question for sure, but at this point I know staff is not making that contention.
- Q. And staff, in fact, has recommended in this case that if the transition proceeds that all of Eureka's customers should be become a part of the St. Louis County water rate making district, correct?
  - A. I would have to look back at my testimony

for sponsoring of the staff req.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- Q. But let's assume that's the case. If it is, wouldn't the amount associated with the Arbors water system be essentially spread throughout the St.
- 5 | Louis County rate making district?
  - A. Yes. The total amount of the purchase of Eureka in addition to the money spent to remediate Eureka's assets would be spread amongst all the customers in that rate district including the assets of Arbors.
    - Q. Which is several hundred thousand customers or at least a couple hundred?
      - A. Yeah. More than that, yes.
  - Q. Okay. Thank you.
- JUDGE SEYER: All right. Ms. Bretz, do
  16 you have redirect?
- MS. BRETZ: No.
- JUDGE SEYER: All right. Thank you. Ms.
- 19 Bretz, call your next witness.
- MS. BRETZ: Our next witness is Amanda

  McMellen.
- JUDGE SEYER: Would you raise your right
- 23 | hand please? Thank you. Do you solemnly swear or
- 24 affirm that the testimony you give in this hearing
- 25 shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but

	Page 339
1	the truth?
2	THE WITNESS: I do.
3	JUDGE SEYER: Go ahead.
4	EXAMINATION
5	QUESTIONS BY MS. BRETZ:
6	Q. Ms. McMellen, would you please state and
7	spell your name?
8	A. It's Amanda McMellen. A-m-a-n-d-a,
9	M-c-M-e-l-l-e-n.
L O	Q. Where are you employed and what's your job
L1	title?
L 2	A. I'm employed by Missouri Public Service
L3	Commission as utility regulatory audit unit
L4	supervisor.
L5	Q. Are you the same Amanda McMellen who caused
L6	to be prepared testimony titled direct testimony of
L 7	Amanda McMellen?
L8	A. Yes.
L9	Q. Do you have any changes or corrections to
20	your testimony?
21	A. I do have one correction.
22	Q. What is it?
23	A. On page 2, line 15, I want to strike and
24	Mayor Sean Flower and change "were" to "was" because
25	he was not present at that meeting. That's my

-	
_	mıstake.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. With this change is your testimony true and direct to your knowledge?
  - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. If I asked you those same questions today your answers would be the same?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Judge, we offer Ms. McMellen's testimony as Exhibit 112.
- JUDGE SEYER: All right. Are there any objection? All right. Exhibit 112 is admitted.
- MS. BRETZ: And staff tenders the witness for cross examination.
- JUDGE SEYER: Ms. Van Gerpen, do you have any questions?
- MS. VAN GERPEN: Nothing, Your Honor.
- JUDGE SEYER: Mr. Cooper?
- 18 MR. COOPER: Yes, Your Honor.

## 19 EXAMINATION

### 20 | QUESTIONS BY MR. COOPER:

Q. Ms. McMellen, do you agree with Mr. Gateley that generally properties that are contributed or given to the utility or city by a developer where there is no CID would be included in rate base or in your experience would you identify that as a

1 | contributed plant?

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- A. Yes, we would.
- Q. Okay. If you were to estimate an annual revenue requirement associated with 2.9 million of Missouri American's rate base, do you have a back of the envelope percentage that you use for -- I have heard people use ten percent or 11 percent or whatever it might be to take into account a rate of return on that plus something for depression. Do you have any sort of percentage that you use for that purpose?
- A. As an estimation, typically about ten percent.
- Q. So for 2.9 million that would be about 290,000 would be annual revenue requirement associated with that sort of rate base?
  - A. For Arbors rate base, correct.
- Q. And I suppose from rate case to rate case that would also reduce, wouldn't it, as depreciation reduced the amount of plant on which the company was receiving a return?
  - A. Yes. That's correct.
- Q. But in your -- let's say it's 290,000, given the size of St. Louis County district for Missouri American for water, something perhaps less than a dollar per customer across the district, is

- that the sort of magnitude that we're talking about?
  - A. That sounds about right. That's just for Arbors. That's not including the total difference.
    - Q. Right. Just isolating this Arbors piece?
- A. Correct.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

Q. That's all the questions I have here.

JUDGE SEYER: All right. Thank you. Are there any questions from the commissioners? All right.

## EXAMINATION

## QUESTIONS BY JUDGE SEYER:

- Q. Ms. McMellen, I would ask you the same question I asked Mr. Gateley. Would it make a difference if those homeowners who bought lots in the subdivision had paid for those as part of their lot purchase or home, just if it's a completed home, as opposed to paying the assessments, would your opinion be different as far as whether they are paying double?
- A. In my opinion, they wouldn't be paying double, but the staff calculation would remain unchanged.
- JUDGE SEYER: Ms. Van Gerpen, do you have any follow-up questions?
- MS. VAN GERPEN: Nothing, Your Honor.
- JUDGE SEYER: Mr. Cooper?

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

Do we need to take a break here?

25

	Page 344
1	MR. COOPER: Just a short one.
2	JUDGE SEYER: Let's break until about five
3	'til and we'll go off the record.
4	(Whereupon, a break was taken.)
5	JUDGE SEYER: Let's go back record. Mr.
6	Cooper, call your first witness.
7	MR. COOPER: Thank you, Your Honor. We
8	call Sean Flower.
9	JUDGE SEYER: Good morning. Would you
10	raise your right hand please? Thank you. Do you
11	swear or affirm that the testimony you will give in
12	this hearing shall be the truth, the whole truth, and
13	nothing but the truth?
14	THE WITNESS: I do.
15	JUDGE SEYER: All right. Thank you. Go
16	ahead, Mr. Cooper.
17	EXAMINATION
18	QUESTIONS BY MR. COOPER:
19	Q. Please state your name.
20	A. Sean Flower.
21	Q. And are the testifying here today in a
22	capacity for the City of Eureka?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. And what is that capacity?
25	A. I'm the mayor of Eureka.

- 1 And you testified in this case previously, Ο. 2 correct?
  - Α. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

- And you prepared for purposes of today's proceeding, rebuttal testimony concerning the Arbors that is found in question and answer form?
  - Α. Yes.
- Your Honor, I would like to mark that for identification as Exhibit 14.

10 JUDGE SEYER: All right.

- (By Mr. Cooper) Mayor Flower, do you have any changes that you need to make to that testimony at this time?
  - Α. No. It's accurate.
- Ο. And thus if I were to ask you those questions and your answers as reflected therein would be true and correct to the best of your information, knowledge, and believe?
  - Α. Yes.
- Your Honor, I would offer Exhibit 14 and Ο. tender the witness for cross examination.
- JUDGE SEYER: All right. Are there any objections to the admission of Exhibit 14? All right. Exhibit 14 is admitted. Ms. Van Gerpen, do you have any questions?

1 MS. VAN GERPEN: Nothing, Your Honor.

JUDGE SEYER: Ms. Bretz?

MS. BRETZ: Nothing, Your Honor.

JUDGE SEYER: Okay. Are there any question from the commissioners? All right. Hearing

none, Mr. Flowers (sic), I have a couple questions.

### EXAMINATION

# QUESTIONS BY JUDGE SEYER:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

Q. Do you -- or can we provide him with a copy of what is referred to as document 2U?

MS. BRETZ: I can do that, Your Honor.

- Q. (By Judge Seyer) Thank you. If you go to roughly page 7 and 8 of that document, would you agree that those -- that's more or less a breakdown of the costs that were incurred for the CID when it comes to the Arbors subdivision at least as of that date?
- A. Yes. This is the schedule of basically the developer was authorized to have certain classes of reimbursement, and this was their actual request to be reimbursed from the CID, the district.
- Q. And so would you agree that all of that, all of those assets that were constructed are part of the water and sewer assets that are to be sold to Missouri American water?
  - A. On the schedule that's attached?

- Q. Yes. On what is -- let's just --
- A. It's the one titled Parc Front Group LHP.

  Am I on the right page?
  - O. Uh-huh.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

- A. These are not all water assets.
- Q. So -- and I understand what you're saying, there is the asphalt trail and also streets and what have you?
- A. Yeah. It looks to me on this there was about \$590,000 for the street and paving and then there was another paving and trail that's shown as \$48,000. So there was \$640,000 or so that was non-water assets that were allowed to be reimbursed.
- Q. Okay. And water system assets are what is being sold to Missouri American Water?
- A. Yes. The rest of the costs were all associated with the water system.
- Q. Was there -- so was there any part -- is there any part of the sewer system that's in the Arbors subdivision that's also part of the sale?
  - A. Yes.
  - O. What is that?
- A. Well, there would be in conjunction with the Arbors subdivision a large section of sewer was constructed to tie in -- there was a big line running

underneath Highway 44, and then there is all transmission system for sewer within the subdivision. So there are all sorts of sewer improvements. Some are directly related to the individual homes in the Arbors and zoom are related to hooking that subdivision up to the actual overall system that takes it back to our treatment plant.

2.0

2.2

2.5

Ο.

- Q. And also were there any lift stations constructed for that subdivision?
- A. I do not believe they had a lift station.

  I believe that is gravity system through there.
- Q. And when those water and sewer system additions were completed, how were they transferred to the city?
- A. Generally, what's done is on water and sewer we'll go out -- the city will inspect after they are complete and make sure those systems are properly built, we'll get as-built plans that shows they have been built as designed. And generally, they are accepted at that point on plat by plat basis. Sometimes a large development might have phases, but as it stands now as soon as it's done they tell us it's done, we inspect it and accept it.

procedure?

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com

888-391-3376

How is it that you accept it? What's the

A. Generally, it's with the subdivision plat coming in. There is an approval process. It's basically through subdivision inspection. We have a series of things that we know it's eligible.

- O. Not a formal resolution?
- A. There is not a formal resolution. There is not one specific just to that.
- Q. Per the development agreement and I don't know that you need to look at development agreement, but it is schedule three.

MS. BRETZ: It is at the end of the document.

- Q. (By Judge Seyer) I wasn't sure if you had given him that portion of it. Has a certificate of completion been submitted by the developer and accepted by the City and the CID?
- A. I'd have to really look and see. There is so many different certifications that go in and things that go on with this, I'm not sure. I'd have to read that one to give you a good answer.
- Q. At the risk of getting off on a tangent -- I hope I'm not. But you're familiar with the engineering report that was used as part of the appraisal?
  - A. Yes.

2.0

- Q. Okay. If that engineering report referred to a lift station and it's referring to the Arbors lift station, with a building value of \$350,000 --
- A. You could be correct. That may be and it could be oversight of my knowledge of lift station there in that subdivision. I could rephrase what I'm saying. I'm not familiar with that in there, but if that's in the engineering report it very well could be accurate and it's an omission by me.
- Q. Okay. I don't have any further questions.

  Ms. Van Gerpen, do you have any questions?

MS. VAN GERPEN: Nothing, Your Honor.

JUDGE SEYER: Ms. Bretz, any --

MS. BRETZ: No, thank you.

JUDGE SEYER: Mr. Cooper, do you have any

redirect?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MR. COOPER: No questions.

JUDGE SEYER: All right. Thank you, thank

19 Mr. Flower. Mr. Cooper, call your next witness.

MR. COOPER: Thank you, Your Honor. We call Brian LaGrand.

JUDGE SEYER: Mr. LaGrand, would you raise your right hand please? Thank you. Do you swear or affirm the testimony you give in this hearing shall about the truth, whole truth, and nothing but the

	Page 351
1	truth?
2	THE WITNESS: I do.
3	JUDGE SEYER: Thank you. Go ahead, Mr.
4	Cooper.
5	MR. COOPER: Your Honor, we'll mark or we'd
6	like to mark Mr. LaGrand's rebuttal testimony as
7	Exhibit 15 for identification.
8	JUDGE SEYER: All right.
9	EXAMINATION
10	QUESTIONS BY MR. COOPER:
11	Q. Please state your name.
12	A. Brian W. LaGrand.
13	Q. By whom are you employed and what position?
14	A. Missouri American Water. I'm director of
15	rates for Missouri.
16	Q. And you have previously testified in this
17	case?
18	A. I have.
19	Q. Did you prepare for purposes of today's
20	proceeding testimony rebuttal testimony concerning the
21	Arbors in question and answer format?
22	A. Yes, I did.
23	Q. If I were to ask you the questions
24	contained in that exhibit today would your answers as
25	reflected therein be true and correct to the best of

Veritext Legal Solutions

T do.

Α.

page 9?

23

24

2.5

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

Okay. And that's on page 8 going over to

- 1 A. Yes, I see that.
- Q. Let's walk through this just briefly.
- 3 A. Sure.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

2.0

21

- Q. So residents of the Arbors are paying CID assessments, right?
  - A. That's my understanding, yes.
  - Q. And are you aware of how much CID assessments are?
  - A. I believe it depends on the size of the home or maybe size of the lot. Maybe \$500 to \$700 annually.
  - Q. If I said \$500 to \$800, that's in the ballpark?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. Are you aware of how long they'll be paying those assessments?
- 17 A. I'm not.
- 18 Q. If I said they will be paying them for up 19 to maybe 32 years, does that sound familiar?
  - A. I'm not familiar with the term, but I'm sure it's in the agreement for the CID.
- Q. Okay. But you're aware they will be paying these assessments for a number of years?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Are you aware what these assessments pay

1 | for generally?

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- A. Yes.
  - Q. What do they pay for?
  - A. My understanding is that is to reimburse the developer for costs associated with some water infrastructure as well as some roads and other non-water infrastructure.
  - Q. Sure. Sure. So the residents of Arbors will be paying for the developer's costs of the water system; is that correct?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. And then if Missouri American purchases the Eureka sewer and water system at \$28 million, that \$28 million will be incorporated in Missouri American's rate base; is that correct?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. And as part of that rate base is it accurate to say that the ratepayers are paying for the water system?
    - A. It's water system in its entirety, yes.
  - Q. Okay. So they'll be paying twice for the water system; first through their CID assessment and second time through their American American rates; is that correct?
    - A. No. I disagree with that.

1 Q. What's your reason for that?

2.0

- A. Well, when we get the fair market value, you know, appraisal of the property, which of course is different than a net value approach where they say if the company had not elected to use the appraise methodology, the appraisal is for the entire system. There is not appraised value for each specific asset. And that the appraisal price would then be allocated over the assets. So it eventually goes on to Missouri American's books and becomes part of rate base. So if the determination was made that there should be zero value for these assets that would mean other assets would have little bit higher value, but in total the entire system would still be \$28 million.
  - Q. But resident of Arbors will pay same rates as their other neighbors in Eureka, isn't that correct?
    - A. Under Missouri American ownership?
    - Q. Right.
  - A. Yes. As they do today under the city's ownership.
  - Q. Sure. So they will be paying for the water system through their rates?
    - A. Yes.
    - Q. Okay. So they will be paying through the

Page	356

- CID and then through Missouri American rates twice?
  - A. I still don't see it as twice.
  - Q. Okay. I don't have any other questions. Thank you.
- 5 A. Okay.
- JUDGE SEYER: Any questions from the commissioners?
- 8 MR. SILVEY: No questions, Judge.
- JUDGE SEYER: Thank you. I have got a question.
- \_ \_

1

2

3

4

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

### EXAMINATION

- QUESTIONS BY JUDGE SEYER:
  - Q. This is similar to my question to Mayor Flower. You are familiar with the engineering report that was used as a basis in the appraisal, correct?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. And in that engineering report there was a City of Eureka asset value report depreciated value assets in insurance list. Does that sound familiar?
  - A. Yes. It's been some time since I reviewed that report, but --
  - Q. Okay. And so that list, it lists assets in the Arbors subdivision. And I just want to kind of go down the list real quickly. There is a well that was constructed, correct?

1 A. Yes. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

25

- Q. A 500,000 gallon storage tank, water storage tank, and a building that included water treatment mechanisms for lack of a better word. Does that sound familiar?
- A. Generally. Again, I don't have the list in front of me, but in general, yes.
- Q. And also on the sewer system side there was a lift station that was installed?
- A. Yes. Again, without reviewing it -- subject to check, yes.
- Q. Mr. Cooper, those tables were not -- have not been previously included in the exhibit that was the engineering report. Would you have any objection to those two tables being admitted as a Commission Exhibit?
- MR. COOPER: I don't think so, Judge, but having said that, I'd like to see them so I make sure I know what you're referencing.
- JUDGE SEYER: Sure. Let's go off the record and you may approach the bench.
- (Whereupon, a short break was taken.)
- JUDGE SEYER: After some investigation off record, those tables are in the record as part of Mr.

LaGrand's direct testimony, which is Exhibit 11.

Exhibit 11, schedule BWL-3 pages 17 and 18, so there is no need to resubmit it as an exhibit. So Ms. Van Gerpen, do you have any follow-up questions?

MS. VAN GERPEN: Nothing, Your Honor.

JUDGE SEYER: Ms. Bretz?

MS. BRETZ: Nothing.

JUDGE SEYER: Any redirect?

MR. COOPER: Very briefly, Your Honor.

#### EXAMINATION

# QUESTIONS BY MR. COOPER:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q. Mr. LaGrand, you were asked by staff counsel, Ms. Bretz, about why you say that the Arbors customers will not be paying twice for the water system. Were you here earlier when Ms. McMellen testified?
  - A. Yes, I was.
- Q. And did you listen to her testimony concerning kind of a high level estimate of what impact \$2.9 million of rate base would have on the rates for the Arbors customers?
- A. Yes. I believe her estimate was approximately \$209,000, but it's a requirement overall which would then be spread across 345,000 customers.
- Q. So something less than a dollar, would you agree with that kind of order of magnitude assessment

of what impact this could have at worst on an Arbors customer?

A. Yes.

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

obvious.

Q. That's all the questions I have, Your Honor.

JUDGE SEYER: All right. Anything further for this witness?

MR. COOPER: No, Your Honor.

JUDGE SEYER: You may be excused.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

MR. COOPER: Your Honor, I have -- I'll let Mr. LaGrand clear here. I was asked during opening statements about the overall vote for the Eureka resolution, and that can be found in Mayor Flower's direct testimony, page 7, which is Exhibit 1 in the case, and reflects that there were 2289 yes votes, which is approximately 67 percent yes, to 1127 no votes, which is approximately 33 percent. And we have since then located the precinct-specific information which we can file pretty quickly, probably by this afternoon if you would like us to do that. JUDGE SEYER: Okay. Yes. And is it obvious which precinct the Arbors is a part of? MR. COOPER: I think we can make it

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com

888-391-3376

I think there is a map that identifies the

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com

888-391-3376

MS. BRETZ: Thank you, Your Honor. I would

25

1 like to briefly remind the Commission of the larger picture here. Sure, the people of Eureka voted to sell their systems to Missouri American according to 3 the testimony of Mayor Flower. 2289 people in the 5 city of Eureka voted to sell the systems. The bigger picture is that the approximately 340,000 Missouri 6 7 American customers of St. Louis County did not have 8 that opportunity. They did not have the opportunity to examine the appraisals. They didn't have the 10 opportunity to go to town hall meetings. 11 probably didn't think of looking at FA request on the 12 city of Eureka's website. They didn't have the 13 opportunity to ask Mr. Flower questions. They had really no opportunity to make a decision whether 14 15 paying more for the system's value was in their best 16 interest. So 2289 voters compared to the larger 17 picture of 340,000 people -- customers is really a drop in the bucket. It's miniscule. And of course, 18 19 it's pure speculation, but if given the choice whether to pay more than net book value for the system, pay 2.0 21 more for the system than they are worth, I would 2.2 imagine a large number of 340,000 people would say no, 23 I don't want to do that even if it's a dollar a year, 24 a month, there is no value to us there. The appraisal

statute creates the potential of perverse incentives.

Ms.

1 Of course, Eureka's voter voted to sell their systems for \$28 million. \$28 million, \$40 million, \$50 million, it doesn't make any difference. They were 3 unhappy with the quality of the water. They knew 5 their sewer system was failing. They wanted to unload The more the better, and that's what they got. 6 7 What they are potentially being paid is more than what 8 the system is worth. It also creates perverse incentive for Missouri American. The stockholders in Missouri American are not going to pay for that added 10 11 -- for the increased value. In fact, they get 12 rewarded for it because the increased value will be 13 reflected in in their rate of return. Sure, in the 14 bigger scope of things this purchase -- potential 15 purchase will have a small burden on all ratepayers, 16 but there is also a slippery slope argument here. 17 Allowing Missouri American to purchase for \$28 18 million, which is substantially more than what staff 19 computed net book value to be which has been, of course, traditional way of basing rates will encourage 2.0 21 Missouri American to purchase more systems at inflated This clearly does not created fair, just, and 2.2 prices. 23 reasonable rates. And we ask for the Commission to 24 deny the application entirely.

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com

888-391-3376

JUDGE SEYER: All right. Thank you.

Van Gerpen, would you like to make a closing argument? MS. VAN GERPEN: Yes. Just briefly, Your Again, the OPC would like to remind the Honor. Commission of its position that the Commission should deny Missouri American Water Company's application for CCN to acquire the city of Eureka's water and sewer systems because the acquisition simply is not in the public interest. In making its decision the Commission must bear in mind that it is the Commission itself, not Missouri American Water Company, who must determine whether the requested CCNs are necessary or convenient for the public service. Missouri American Water Company's decision to proceed under the appraisal statute does not change this fact. Here if Missouri American Water Company acquires the Eureka systems as it proposes to do, the resident of Arbors subdivision will pay twice for parts of their water system; once through the Arbors of Rockwood community improvement special assessment and once through their Missouri American Water rates. Today we learned the cost or reimbursement through the CID are mostly for the water system which will be sold to Missouri American Water Company. The residents of the Arbors will continue to pay their special assessment through approximately 2048. We also learned that Missouri

24

American Water Company will place the system into rate base, which directly affects these customers' rates for their water service. Therefore, the citizens will likely pay twice for part of their water system. For this and the reasons addressed in the OPC's initial and post-hearing brief, it simply cannot be that under these circumstances the acquisition is necessary or convenient for the public service. Therefore, OPC requests that the Commission deny the requested CCN.

JUDGE SEYER: All right. Thank you. All right. Mr. Cooper, would you like to make a closing argument on behalf of Missouri American Water Company?

MR. COOPER I would thank you, Your Honor. There is argument that St. Louis County customers had no opportunity to approve or not approve this transaction. I don't think there is anything unique or unusual about that. That's true of every situation. Having said that, we addressed in our previous briefs potential rate impact on those existing customers. We think that to use Ms. Bretz's word, any impact on existing water customers from this acquisition would be miniscule, and in fact, on the sewer side there is likely benefits for the existing sewer customers. In regards to the process of arriving at the fair market value, it certainly is

2.0

2.2

1 what has been used as a process identified in section 3 4 5 6 7 staff. 8 10 11 12 13 14 forward. 15 16 17 18 19 2.0 21 2.2 23 24

393.320, the appraisal process itself. The use of certified appraisers, the use of more than one appraiser, I believe, continues to be a buffer and a good judge of what the fair market value of water and sewer assets are in this case. I think therein kind of lies the fundamental disagreement that we have with In its closing it again mentioned comparison of the net book value, which is purely regulatory rate making term to the fair market value. These things are two different things. We've talked about that before in this matter and in our briefs and would ask the Commission it keep that in mind as it moves I think the one additional fact that we did not have quite as specifically at the start of the day as we have now through testimony is that even if and we don't agree with this -- but even if one wants to view the Arbors residents as paying twice for assets because of the Missouri American rate, the amount of or the annual revenue requirement associated with \$2.9 million of Missouri American rate base is likely to be less than a dollar per year those Arbors residents would end up paying. So it is an extremely small amount at worst even if one wants to look at it in that fashion. Beyond that, Your Honor, I would

3 upon the evidence that was taken today. And I very

4 much thank you for your time.

JUDGE SEYER: All right. Thank you. Are there any other matters that need to be addressed before we adjourn. All right. Then this evidentiary hearing is adjourned and we are off the record.

(Whereupon, the hearing was adjourned at 10:41 a.m.)

2.2

www.veritext.com

888-391-3376

[& - abrogate] Page 1

&	<b>2016</b> 321:24	<b>31st</b> 316:10	6
<b>&amp;</b> 296:6 298:12	328:25,25	<b>32</b> 302:1 353:19	<b>6</b> 295:9 297:9
0	<b>2017</b> 329:3	<b>33</b> 359:18	315:6
	<b>2018</b> 302:13	<b>340,000</b> 316:3	<b>60</b> 308:2 311:14,18
084-003893	<b>2020</b> 316:10	318:6,9 319:3,7	<b>618</b> 296:20
296:21	<b>2021</b> 313:2 328:19	361:6,17,22	<b>63101</b> 296:22
1	<b>2021-0376</b> 297:17	<b>344,000</b> 318:12,16	<b>640,000</b> 347:12
<b>1</b> 300:19 313:21	<b>2021-0377</b> 297:18	<b>345,000</b> 358:23	<b>65102</b> 296:7,11,16
359:15	<b>2022</b> 295:9 297:9	<b>350,000</b> 350:3	298:14,19,24
<b>1.2</b> 316:9	<b>2048</b> 302:4 363:25	<b>386</b> 314:11	<b>67</b> 359:17
<b>100</b> 300:20 301:23	<b>209,000</b> 358:22	<b>393.320</b> 313:19,21	<b>67.1401</b> 301:19
324:15	<b>20th</b> 297:20	314:5 317:17	<b>67.1571</b> 301:20
<b>10:35</b> 360:21	<b>21-001</b> 328:24	365:2	7
<b>10:41</b> 366:10	<b>21st</b> 297:19,20,24	<b>393.320.</b> 314:9	
<b>11</b> 341:7 357:25	<b>2230</b> 298:19	<b>399</b> 300:22	7 329:13 346:13
358:1	<b>2289</b> 359:16 361:4	4	359:15
<b>110</b> 324:18 325:1,2	361:16	40 362:2	<b>700</b> 353:10
<b>111</b> 333:9,12	<b>22nd</b> 297:19	<b>405</b> 301:16 315:25	<b>701</b> 296:22
<b>112</b> 340:9,11	<b>23</b> 315:6	316:17 318:5	<b>77</b> 315:16
<b>1127</b> 359:17	<b>230-7260</b> 296:23	<b>4100</b> 316:2 318:6	<b>79.010</b> 315:3
<b>113</b> 343:14,16	<b>2394</b> 323:5	319:4	8
<b>114</b> 343:20	<b>25</b> 315:6	<b>44</b> 301:14 348:1	<b>8</b> 346:13 352:24
<b>14</b> 345:9,20,23,24	<b>26</b> 305:1	<b>456</b> 298:13	<b>80</b> 326:13 327:15
<b>15</b> 339:23 351:7	<b>28</b> 303:5 305:3,4	<b>48</b> 329:13	330:25
352:4,6	354:13,14 355:14	<b>48,000</b> 330:3	<b>80/20</b> 330:25
<b>15330</b> 367:16	362:2,2,17	347:12	<b>800</b> 301:23 353:12
<b>16</b> 360:7,8	<b>290,000</b> 341:14,22	4th 300:3	<b>8:30</b> 297:9
<b>17</b> 358:1	<b>299</b> 300:21	5	8th 298:23
<b>18</b> 358:1	<b>2u</b> 324:11 326:19		9
<b>199</b> 300:21	329:13 346:10	<b>5</b> 313:21 <b>50</b> 362:2	9 352:25
<b>1996</b> 315:15,16	3	<b>50</b> 302:2 <b>500</b> 306:19,22,23	<b>98-96</b> 315:14
<b>1st</b> 313:2	<b>3</b> 358:1	353:10,12	<b>99</b> 300:19
2	<b>3,413,574</b> 328:15	<b>500,000</b> 357:2	<b>a</b>
<b>2</b> 326:19 339:23	<b>3.4</b> 306:3 329:22	<b>509,000</b> 330:3	
<b>2.8</b> 319:2	330:10	<b>528</b> 301:15	<b>a.m.</b> 366:10 <b>a.m.</b> 297:9
<b>2.9</b> 305:15 306:4	<b>300</b> 300:22	<b>590,000</b> 347:10	
316:6,11 341:4,13	<b>30th</b> 328:18	5th 315:15	<b>ability</b> 309:13
358:19 365:21	<b>310</b> 298:5		<b>able</b> 305:10
	<b>312</b> 296:6		310:24 335:2
<b>20</b> 326:15 331:1,6			obrogoto 202.17
<b>20</b> 326:15 331:1,6 <b>200</b> 296:11,15	<b>314</b> 296:23		abrogate 303:17

			T
absence 316:25	adjourned 366:8,9	amended 327:24	<b>answer</b> 305:11
accelerated 308:1	administrator	328:12	313:2 337:18
accept 303:20	321:13	american 295:3	345:6 349:20
306:16 348:23,24	admission 345:23	296:4 297:12	351:21
accepted 327:18	admitted 306:3	298:10,14 300:16	answers 312:21
348:20 349:16	324:15 325:2	300:20 301:7	313:20 333:2
access 299:20	333:12 340:11	302:7,17,20,24	340:6 345:16
account 313:6	343:12,16 345:24	303:10,20 306:17	351:24
341:8	352:7 357:15	307:5,23 308:18	apologize 311:6
accounting 317:12	360:12	308:25 309:7,10	319:17 328:10,21
accurate 307:10	affidavit 343:18	309:12,25 312:12	<b>appear</b> 315:20
310:13 345:14	<b>affirm</b> 320:22	312:25 313:20,25	328:24
350:9 354:18	332:4 338:24	314:2 315:18,19	appearances
acquire 295:4	344:11 350:24	316:3,25 317:3,4	298:9
297:13 363:6	aforementioned	317:15,16 326:25	appearing 298:14
acquired 314:1	367:7	334:12 335:9	322:23
317:4,22	afternoon 295:11	336:22 337:13	<b>apples</b> 317:9
acquires 363:15	359:21	341:24 346:24	applicable 316:20
acquisition 308:20	<b>ag</b> 315:14,16	347:15 351:14	317:10
309:3,15 310:9	aggregate 305:23	354:12,23,23	application 295:3
313:17 314:12	<b>ago</b> 321:23	355:18 356:1	297:11 306:18
318:10 319:9	<b>agree</b> 310:16,19	361:3,7 362:9,10	307:9,14,17,24
363:7 364:7,22	329:21 330:1	362:17,21 363:5	308:18 311:13,16
act 315:20	340:21 346:13,21	363:10,12,15,20	312:4 362:24
<b>actual</b> 319:6 326:2	358:25 365:17	363:23 364:1,12	363:5
346:19 348:6	agreed 297:1	365:19,21	<b>apply</b> 317:17
<b>add</b> 315:1	agreement 306:2	american's 302:19	apportion 314:24
<b>added</b> 362:10	323:23 324:1,4	303:19 312:17	<b>appraisal</b> 303:1,16
addition 334:8	349:8,9 353:21	317:18 341:5	303:17,21 306:15
338:7	<b>ahead</b> 318:25	354:15 355:10	349:24 355:3,6,8
additional 297:22	321:2 332:8 339:3	<b>amount</b> 301:21	356:15 361:24
309:4 319:17	344:16 351:3	305:13 310:20	363:14 365:2
334:16 365:14	alderman 323:6	317:22 319:6	appraisals 361:9
additions 348:13	allocated 355:8	328:18 338:3,6	appraise 355:5
<b>address</b> 298:23	allowed 347:13	341:19 365:20,24	appraised 302:17
300:1 312:23	allowing 362:17	amounts 329:24	302:21 313:22
addressed 312:13	<b>amanda</b> 300:15	<b>annual</b> 303:12	355:7
364:5,18 366:6	304:5 305:10	305:6,16 328:16	appraiser 365:4
addressing 307:15	336:15 338:20	341:3,14 365:20	appraisers 365:3
adjourn 366:7	339:8,15,17	annually 301:22	approach 314:17
		301:23 353:11	355:4 357:21

•	1 1 212 4	215 10 10 21 6 5	1 2210
appropriated	arbors's 313:4	315:18,19 316:7	awkward 321:9
310:25	area 316:1 317:20	316:21 317:6,13	b
approval 349:2	320:4 325:23	334:7,8 335:8,14	<b>b</b> 298:23
<b>approve</b> 364:15,15	<b>argue</b> 316:12	336:9 338:8,9	<b>back</b> 305:11
approved 319:21	317:3	346:22,23 347:5	307:23 311:23
approximately	argument 307:21	347:13,14 355:9	312:2,4 337:25
306:4,19 307:3	316:16 317:15	355:12,12 356:19	341:5 344:5 348:7
316:2,3,9 318:8,15	362:16 363:1	356:22 365:6,19	360:21
326:13,15 328:9	364:12,14	assign 360:4	balance 304:1
328:15 358:22	arguments 303:8	assigned 300:20	<b>ballot</b> 304:25
359:17,18 361:6	360:18,23	305:15	311:25
363:25	arriving 364:25	associated 312:21	<b>ballpark</b> 353:13
april 297:24 329:2	article 315:6	316:6,11 338:3	barb 322:8
<b>arbor</b> 307:16	<b>asked</b> 306:2	341:4,14 347:17	base 299:7 302:19
315:18 316:12	311:12 322:19	354:5 365:20	303:2 314:1,14
<b>arbor's</b> 304:4	324:6 330:23	association 315:9	316:8 317:22
arbors 298:1	333:1 336:22	assume 338:2	318:11 334:9,10
301:5,13,18,22	337:9 340:5	assumed 313:13	340:24 341:5,15
302:3,5,12,15,20	342:13 358:11	attached 332:21	341:16 354:15,17
302:22,24 303:3,6	359:12	333:8 343:13,19	355:10 358:19
303:9,11 307:3,15	<b>asking</b> 305:16	346:25	364:2 365:21
309:5 310:16	306:7,8,16 307:9	attention 326:21	<b>based</b> 307:9,21
312:6,8,12,13,14	asks 317:16	327:24 329:12	328:24 330:15
312:23,24 315:24	asphalt 330:4	<b>audio</b> 299:4	366:2
315:24 316:1,7,17	347:7	<b>audit</b> 339:13	basically 346:17
316:18,22 317:2	aspirational	auditor 336:13	349:3
321:19 322:11	311:15	343:13	<b>basing</b> 362:20
323:7,15,24 324:8	assessed 301:23	auditor's 302:10	basis 348:20
336:20 337:1,10	assessment 305:16	328:17	356:15
337:14 338:3,10	307:11 309:9	authority 307:7	bear 363:9
341:16 342:3,4	310:13 334:16,18	authorized 301:25	<b>bearing</b> 319:8,9
345:5 346:16	354:22 358:25	346:18	beginning 298:9
347:20,24 348:5	363:19,24	authorizing 295:4	360:24
350:2 351:21	assessments 302:1	297:13	behalf 298:14
352:21 353:4	302:4,8,13,14	available 304:6	300:25 364:12
354:8 355:15	303:12 337:11	314:15 322:24	<b>belief</b> 352:1
356:23 358:12,20	342:17 353:5,8,16	324:18 326:22	<b>believe</b> 300:11
359:1,23 360:2	353:23,25	average 335:7	306:13 307:13,16
363:16,18,23	<b>asset</b> 355:7 356:18	<b>avoid</b> 299:4	312:18 319:22,23
365:18,22	assets 313:4,16,18	aware 313:4 353:7	330:13 333:9
	314:2 315:11,11	353:15,22,25	345:18 348:10,11
			J-J.10 J-0.10,11

[believe - cid] Page 4

			T.
353:9 358:21	301:1,2,9,11,13	<b>buffer</b> 365:4	ccns 363:11
360:6 365:4	304:18,19,23	<b>building</b> 298:6	ccr 296:20,20
believes 313:20	305:2,10 306:1,13	350:3 357:3	297:3 367:17
316:19	306:22,25 307:12	<b>built</b> 348:18,18,19	<b>cell</b> 299:1
<b>bench</b> 357:21	307:22 308:1,5,7	<b>burden</b> 362:15	<b>center</b> 305:25
<b>benefit</b> 314:25	311:11 315:25	businesses 315:10	<b>certain</b> 295:14
benefits 364:23	320:12,13,17	<b>bwl</b> 358:1	311:22 331:9
<b>best</b> 333:5 345:17	321:2,4 324:20,23	c	346:18
351:25 361:15	325:3 331:16,18	c 296:1 332:14	certainly 320:6,8
<b>better</b> 305:11	331:23,25 332:9	339:9	326:7 364:25
318:22,22 336:15	332:11 333:13	<b>calculate</b> 336:14	certificate 295:4
357:4 362:6	338:15,17,19,20		297:12 308:19
<b>beyond</b> 365:25	339:5 340:12	calculation 312:9	327:15 343:12
<b>big</b> 347:25	343:3,7,9,17,23	342:20 call 300:16 320:12	349:14 367:1
<b>bigger</b> 361:5	346:2,3,11 349:11		certificates 317:18
362:14	350:13,14 352:10	331:23 338:19	certifications
<b>billion</b> 316:9	352:11,13 358:5,6	344:6,8 350:19,21	349:18
<b>bit</b> 310:8 315:22	358:12 360:19,24	called 332:20	certified 295:12
355:13	360:25	calling 300:14	295:13 297:3,4
blanketly 303:20	bretz's 364:20	calls 311:21	365:3 367:5,6
<b>blink</b> 313:21	<b>brian</b> 300:17	<b>capacity</b> 344:22	certify 367:7
<b>board</b> 304:3	302:23 350:21	344:24	cetera 330:9
321:18,22 322:11	351:12	capitol 296:6	chairman 300:4
322:14 323:6	<b>brief</b> 306:3 312:13	captioned 297:11	304:11 309:23
325:16	312:17 364:6	<b>carried</b> 329:8	317:24 319:5,22
bolsters 303:8	<b>briefed</b> 303:13	carve 307:8	320:9
<b>book</b> 314:8,13	<b>briefly</b> 301:13	case 297:10,17	<b>change</b> 306:22,23
361:20 362:19	304:10 352:11	301:4 303:24	317:14 339:24
365:9	353:2 358:8 361:1	311:19 312:9	340:2 363:14
<b>books</b> 355:10	363:2	313:4 314:7,11,22	366:2
<b>bought</b> 342:14	<b>briefs</b> 308:23	315:13 319:25	changes 300:1
<b>box</b> 298:13,19	317:16 364:19	327:21 336:18	332:23 339:19
<b>brand</b> 301:15	365:12	337:18,22 338:2	345:12
<b>break</b> 343:25	<b>bring</b> 297:7	341:17,17 345:1	<b>chapter</b> 314:10
344:2,4 357:22	311:13 322:19	351:17 359:16	charges 302:15
360:17,19,20,22	324:6	365:6	charters 315:10
breakdown	<b>broken</b> 360:9	cases 311:17	check 303:25
346:14	<b>brydon</b> 296:6	cause 295:14	357:11
<b>bretz</b> 296:10	298:12	caused 339:15	<b>choice</b> 361:19
298:22,22 299:16	<b>bucket</b> 361:18	causes 336:17	<b>cid</b> 301:19,25
299:21 300:24		cen 308:24 309:18	302:7 303:12
		363:6 364:9	

[cid - contained] Page 5

304:4 305:5,6,9,23	<b>city's</b> 313:1,17	364:9 365:13	completes 309:7
310:18 312:24	355:20	commission's	completion 327:7
313:10 320:5	clarification	297:23 303:18	327:16,19 349:15
322:3,6,11 323:7	330:20	commissioner	component 327:2
323:15,24 325:16	<b>class</b> 315:2	300:7,9,11,12	components
325:24 326:24	classes 319:2	304:6 308:10,11	327:17
327:25 329:16	346:18	319:14	computed 362:19
331:13 336:2,6,10	<b>clear</b> 318:10	commissioners	concept 314:8
336:11,18 337:11	359:12	300:8 308:9	316:19 337:10
340:24 346:15,20	<b>clearly</b> 362:22	309:21 311:5	concerning 345:5
349:16 353:4,7,21	<b>close</b> 318:9 326:7	319:13 325:11	351:20 358:18
354:22 356:1	<b>closing</b> 313:24	330:17 333:21	conditions 317:21
363:21	360:18,23 363:1	342:8 346:5 356:7	confusion 336:17
<b>cids</b> 301:20 305:19	364:11 365:8	commissions	conjunction 315:4
circumstances	cognizant 299:6	304:18	347:23
364:7	<b>coleman</b> 300:9,11	<b>common</b> 335:13	connected 299:8
<b>cisco</b> 298:8	<b>collect</b> 297:22	community 298:1	connection 329:11
<b>cited</b> 315:15	collection 324:25	301:18 309:8	consideration
<b>cities</b> 315:2	331:4	313:10 321:19	315:12 325:25
citizens 309:14	combination	325:22 363:18	considered 303:3
310:10,11,16	325:20	companies 329:25	consolidation
314:23 315:1	<b>come</b> 307:23 308:3	company 295:3	314:20
318:11 364:3	308:4 309:8 320:1	297:12 298:15	constitute 313:25
city 295:6 296:7	320:9	300:20 308:25	constitution
296:11,16 297:15	<b>comes</b> 320:7	309:7,10,12	315:21
298:6,13,19,24	346:15	341:19 355:5	constitutional
301:6 302:2	<b>coming</b> 312:2,4	363:10,15,23	315:5
303:20 304:3,24	349:2 360:10	364:1,12	construct 295:5
308:20 310:4,7,12	commission 295:1	company's 308:18	297:14 334:12
310:24 311:20	295:15 296:9,10	363:5,13	335:13
313:13,16,18	297:9,21 298:4,21	compared 361:16	constructed 327:6
314:24,25 315:7,9	300:22 301:2,3	<b>compares</b> 316:2,8	336:3 346:22
315:17 321:9,13	303:13,19 306:6,9	comparison 317:9	347:25 348:9
321:17 322:8	306:17 308:15,17	365:8	356:25
323:5,23 325:19	308:23 309:18	complete 348:17	constructing
327:6,16 335:14	311:13,17,24	completed 301:8	301:25
337:1,3 340:23	312:3 313:15	302:17 314:11	construction
344:22 348:14,16	314:12,13 317:17	326:10 327:15	316:6 336:20
349:16 356:18	332:17 339:13	342:16 348:13	consulting 327:12
361:5,12 363:6	357:15 361:1	completely 314:9	contained 324:11
367:3	362:23 363:4,4,9,9		351:24
	·		

contending 309:25	360:16 364:11,13	<b>count</b> 319:23,24	customer 341:25
contention 319:6	<b>copies</b> 315:16	<b>county</b> 302:11	359:2
337:20	323:20	316:4,9 318:7,15	customers 302:20
continuation	<b>copy</b> 323:5,12	337:15,24 338:5	303:6,7 307:5
312:7	324:4 346:9	341:23 343:18	314:23 316:1,3,4,5
continue 310:17	corporation 315:9	361:7 364:14	316:13,17,23,24
316:22 334:7,10	<b>correct</b> 300:17	<b>couple</b> 304:15,20	318:5,6,14,18
363:24	310:2,4,6 318:16	316:15 330:19	334:5,10,12,14,16
continues 308:17	319:5,10 320:16	333:24 338:12	337:23 338:9,11
309:17 365:4	321:10,20 322:17	346:6	358:13,20,23
contributed	322:22 323:7,12	<b>course</b> 303:19	361:7,17 364:2,14
312:15,15,18	323:19 324:4,8,12	307:22 328:23	364:20,21,24
313:5,12 314:6	324:16 325:16	329:4 334:23	d
317:10 329:25	326:17 330:11,12	355:3 361:18	<b>d</b> 339:8
335:8 336:10,10	331:2,13 333:4,10	362:1,20	darsi 343:18
336:12,20,23,25	336:21 337:24	<b>court</b> 295:12	date 301:17 302:2
337:6 340:22	341:16,21 342:5	296:19 297:4	328:20 346:16
341:1	343:22 345:2,17	299:10,17 367:5	day 295:11 321:16
control 295:5	350:4 351:25	covered 305:8	321:16 365:15
297:14	354:10,15,24	<b>craft</b> 306:9	days 307:12 308:2
convenience 295:4	355:17 356:15,25	<b>craig</b> 300:15 304:2	308:2 311:14,18
297:12 308:19	correction 305:5	304:5 320:13	dean 296:5 298:11
317:18	339:21	create 317:8	<b>debt</b> 329:21
convenient 303:14	corrections 332:23	created 362:22	december 316:10
303:21,24 363:12	339:19	creates 314:6	decision 314:14
364:8	cost 305:23 313:12	361:25 362:8	361:14 363:8,13
convey 315:11	313:24 314:19	<b>creation</b> 325:24	deny 308:17,24
cooper 296:5	316:6 317:9 319:8	326:3 327:25	309:18 362:24
298:11,12 311:7,9	319:9 330:6	cross 300:2 304:6	363:5 364:9
319:16 320:11	331:12 363:21	312:11 333:13	department
325:8,9 330:18,19	costs 301:24,24	340:13 345:21	302:11 332:18
330:22 333:17,18	302:9 305:20	352:5	343:19
335:22,23,25	313:13 328:14,15	crossover 317:6	depending 301:21
340:17,18,20	329:17 334:19	csr 296:20,21	depends 353:9
342:25 343:1	336:4,20 346:15	297:3 367:17	depreciated
344:1,6,7,16,18 345:11 350:15,17	347:16 354:5,9 council 310:12	curbs 305:21	356:18
350:19,20 351:4,5	council 310:12 counsel 296:13,15	currently 302:8 314:3 316:1 319:8	depreciation
351:10 357:12,17	298:8,16,18	322:5 325:15	341:18
358:8,10 359:8,11	300:22 308:16	curt 300:15	depression 341:9
359:24 360:4,8,13	358:12	331:25 332:19,20	described 307:18
339.24 300.4,0,13	330.12	331.23 332.17,20	317:21 367:8

describes 301:21	differently 336:6	<b>dollar</b> 341:25	encountered
designation	difficulty 314:18	358:24 361:23	335:10,11
318:19	<b>direct</b> 319:23,24	365:22	encourage 362:20
designed 348:19	329:12 332:20	<b>donate</b> 315:10	encouraged
determination	339:16 340:3	donated 335:14	314:21
355:11	357:25 359:15	<b>double</b> 306:12	engineering
determine 306:7	directly 348:4	319:7 342:18,20	349:23 350:1,8
363:11	364:2	<b>dozen</b> 329:24	356:14,17 357:14
determined	director 322:10	drainage 330:8	engineers 327:12
314:13 337:17	351:14	<b>draw</b> 326:21	england 296:6
developed 326:14	directors 325:16	327:24	298:13
326:24	disagree 354:25	<b>drop</b> 361:18	<b>ensure</b> 303:22
developer 305:14	disagreement	drs 336:23	ensures 304:1
305:17,21 310:5,9	365:7	<b>duty</b> 303:18	<b>entered</b> 299:21,24
323:24 329:8,10	disbursing 310:12	e	333:9 343:14,20
334:7,19 336:3,11	discuss 337:2	e 296:1,1 298:23	<b>entire</b> 303:5 306:3
340:23 346:18	discussed 311:19	299:25,25 332:14	307:9 327:19
349:15 354:5	312:7,9 316:19	332:14 339:9,9	355:6,14
developer's 354:9	discussing 312:6	earlier 313:6	<b>entirely</b> 306:17,25
developers 301:24	disinfection 327:4	358:14	362:24
302:9 334:23	<b>dispute</b> 309:11	early 313:2	entirety 354:20
335:13	distributed 303:4	east 296:6 301:14	entities 329:9
development	323:2	effort 337:1	<b>entity</b> 329:10,19
301:5 306:2 307:3	distribution 331:5	efis 299:22,24	envelope 341:6
312:8 313:12,13	district 298:2	302:10 323:3	<b>equal</b> 317:23
316:17 323:23	301:19 309:9	326:19 328:8	equipment 327:3
325:23 326:10,20	313:11 316:4,9	343:11,22	esq 296:5,10,14
327:5,19,22	318:15 321:19	either 306:15	essentially 310:10
334:22,25 337:14	325:19,22 335:4	<b>elected</b> 310:12,25	324:24 329:21
348:21 349:8,9	337:24 338:5,9	355:5	338:4
devices 299:2	341:23,25 346:20	election 304:22	establish 317:21
difference 342:3	document 323:4	310:20 312:1	establishes 303:2
342:14 362:3	323:14,22 324:11	319:18,20 360:9	303:9 323:6
different 310:8	324:22,25 326:19	eligible 349:4	establishing
314:16 318:23	326:19,21 328:2,5	employed 339:10	314:19
319:1 335:7	328:8 329:13	339:12 351:13	<b>estate</b> 302:13
342:18 349:18	346:10,13 349:12	employee 304:3	<b>estimate</b> 341:3
355:4 365:11	documents 302:10	321:9 322:8	358:18,21
differentiation	322:19,24 323:4	encompasses	estimated 305:13
318:20	324:15,22,23	360:2	328:15
	328:22 343:13,19		
			<u> </u>

[estimates - funds] Page 8

	252 12 25 ( 11	0.11	24402024541
estimates 328:14	352:12 356:11	<b>failing</b> 362:5	344:8,20 345:11
estimation 341:11	358:9	fair 303:1,4,23	350:19 356:14
et 330:8	examine 361:9	312:18 313:17,22	361:4,13
eureka 295:6	examined 295:9	315:20 317:13,23	<b>flower's</b> 301:16
297:16 301:7	exception 314:6	355:2 362:22	359:15
302:2 303:7,21	excuse 301:9	364:25 365:5,10	flowers 346:6
304:3,24 306:24	<b>excused</b> 331:20,21	<b>falls</b> 317:5	fluoridation 327:4
308:20 309:2,14	359:9	<b>familiar</b> 323:9,17	<b>focused</b> 312:14
310:4,7 311:20	exercised 315:4	324:1 329:18	<b>folks</b> 335:3
312:20 313:5	<b>exhibit</b> 300:19	335:17 349:22	<b>follow</b> 300:23
314:3,5 316:2,5,22	324:15,18,19	350:7 353:19,20	335:19 342:23
316:23 317:1	325:1,2 333:12	356:14,19 357:5	358:3
318:6,12 319:4	340:9,11 343:14	<b>far</b> 300:18 342:18	<b>forces</b> 335:1
321:9 322:9 323:6	343:16,17,20	<b>fashion</b> 365:25	forenoon 295:10
323:23 334:9	345:9,20,23,24	feedback 299:4	<b>form</b> 329:5,6
335:9 338:7	351:7,24 352:4,6	<b>file</b> 297:16,18	345:6
344:22,25 354:13	357:13,16,25	311:15 359:20	<b>formal</b> 349:5,6
355:16 356:18	358:1,2 359:15	360:5	<b>format</b> 351:21
359:14 361:2,5	360:5,7,8	<b>filed</b> 300:2 302:10	formation 301:20
363:15	<b>exhibits</b> 299:18,20	311:16 313:3	321:23
eureka's 314:1	299:25 300:19,23	323:3 326:18	<b>formed</b> 329:11
337:23 338:8	332:21 333:8,8	328:8 343:11,22	<b>forms</b> 327:20
361:12 362:1	343:10	<b>finally</b> 316:15	formulation
363:6	<b>existing</b> 364:20,21	<b>finance</b> 322:10	305:12
eventually 355:9	364:23	financial 309:13	<b>forth</b> 330:11
evidence 297:20	expected 335:3	324:7	<b>forward</b> 365:14
297:23,25 301:4	expenses 334:25	<b>find</b> 307:7	<b>found</b> 322:22
306:3 352:4	experience 340:25	<b>finding</b> 303:15,18	336:25 345:6
360:11,12 366:3	explore 309:4	<b>firm</b> 298:12	359:14
evidentiary	extended 331:9	<b>first</b> 319:25	<b>four</b> 295:7
297:10,22 366:7	extremely 316:13	320:12,13 343:12	<b>fourth</b> 315:2
exact 319:23,24	365:23	344:6 354:22	<b>frame</b> 312:3 326:8
examination 300:2	f	<b>fiscal</b> 328:18	<b>free</b> 307:23
304:6 312:11	<b>fa</b> 361:11	<b>fit</b> 314:25	<b>front</b> 329:16 347:2
318:2 321:3	<b>fact</b> 309:4 312:14	<b>five</b> 295:10 321:23	352:16 357:7
325:13 330:21	312:16,24 313:5,7	344:2	frontage 301:22
332:10 333:14,25	317:6 337:21	<b>flags</b> 301:14	<b>fully</b> 303:9
335:24 339:4	362:11 363:14	<b>flint</b> 322:8	fundamental
340:13,19 342:10	364:22 365:14	<b>floor</b> 298:23	365:7
344:17 345:21	facts 309:14	<b>flower</b> 300:16	<b>funds</b> 310:13
346:7 351:9 352:5	1acts 509.14	307:2 339:24	

[further - includes] Page 9

£41 202.6	261.10	250.22	1 1
<b>further</b> 303:6	361:19	350:23	hooking 348:5
308:6 309:14	<b>go</b> 297:8 310:24	handle 299:18	hope 349:22
331:17 335:18	311:9 316:21	happen 311:18	hours 295:9
343:8,9 350:10	318:11,25 321:1	headed 329:15	htv 329:16
359:6 360:14	332:8 334:9 337:1	heads 333:12	<b>huh</b> 347:4
g	339:3 344:3,5,15	hear 301:4	hundred 338:11
<b>g</b> 332:14,20	346:12 348:16	<b>heard</b> 336:1 341:7	338:12
gallon 357:2	349:18,19 351:3	hearing 297:10,22	i
gateley 300:15	356:23 357:20	298:3,4,5 299:1,2	identification
304:5 332:1,2,12	360:21 361:10	299:5,11,13	345:9 351:7 360:5
332:14,19,21	goes 302:7 355:9	307:13,20 308:23	identified 337:6
333:23 336:1	<b>going</b> 299:17	313:8 317:14	365:1
340:21 342:13	304:12 310:11	320:23 325:11	identifies 359:25
gateley's 313:7	322:22 352:24	332:4 338:24	identify 340:25
333:7 352:21	362:10	344:12 346:5	<b>ignored</b> 314:9
general 357:7	<b>good</b> 297:8 298:17	350:24 364:6	il 295:13 367:6,17
generally 327:18	301:1 308:14	366:8,9	illinois 296:21
340:22 348:15,19	314:17 315:12	<b>held</b> 367:7	imagine 361:22
349:1 354:1 357:6	321:5,6 344:9	<b>help</b> 303:22	impact 312:17
gerpen 296:14	349:20 352:14,15	<b>high</b> 358:18	358:19 359:1
298:17,18 304:8	365:5	<b>higher</b> 317:1,5	364:19,21
304:10 308:13,14	governor's 298:5	355:13	important 299:12
308:15 310:2,15	gracious 322:23	highway 348:1	317:12
310:22 311:1,6	<b>grant</b> 315:7	<b>holtman</b> 300:7,12	importantly
325:5,7 330:15,16	317:17	<b>home</b> 301:15	311:18 313:10
333:15 335:19,21	granted 315:3	342:16,16 353:10	improvement
340:14,16 342:22	granting 297:23	homeowners	298:2 301:19
342:24 345:24	gravity 348:11	301:22 342:14	309:9 313:11
346:1 350:11,12	<b>great</b> 360:19	homes 307:4 348:4	321:19 325:22
352:7,9 358:3,4	green 299:7	<b>honor</b> 298:11,17	363:19
363:1,2	<b>ground</b> 307:8	304:10 325:7,9	improvements
gerpin 310:6	326:2	330:16,20 333:19	328:14 348:3
333:16	<b>group</b> 329:16	335:21 340:16,18	incentive 362:9
getting 349:21	347:2	342:24 343:1	incentives 361:25
give 315:10,17	guess 311:10	344:7 345:8,20	include 301:24
318:22,22 320:23	331:1	346:1,3,11 350:12	305:1 312:9
328:7 332:4	h	350:20 351:5	included 302:18
338:24 344:11	half 329:24	352:3,9 358:4,8	305:20,22 340:24
349:20 350:24	hall 361:10	359:5,8,11 360:13	,
	hand 320:21 332:3	360:25 363:3	357:3,13 includes 297:17
given 340:23		364:13 365:25	metudes 29/:1/
341:23 349:14	338:23 344:10		

[including - levy] Page 10

	T	I	I
including 302:20	interests 306:11	311:3,4 315:17	knew 362:4
327:2,19 338:9	internet 298:7	317:24 318:1	know 311:17
342:3	investigation	319:11,12,14,15	318:22 327:17
incorporated	337:4 357:23	320:8,10,11,15,18	330:6 336:18
312:10 354:14	investment 334:11	320:20 321:1	337:19 349:4,9
incorrect 352:22	investments	324:14,17,21,24	355:3 357:19
increased 362:11	303:10	325:4,5,8,10,14	knowledge 333:5
362:12	investor 317:7	328:4 330:17	340:3 345:18
incurred 313:24	involved 315:23	331:16,19,23	350:5 352:1
329:3 346:15	isolating 342:4	332:2,8 333:7,10	1
indicated 299:6	issue 303:13	333:15,17,20,22	1 332:14 339:9,9
336:24	307:16,20 312:5,7	333:23 334:1	lack 357:4
indicates 313:8	313:15 319:2	335:22 337:9	
individual 310:4	320:2	338:15,18,22	<b>lagrand</b> 300:17 302:23 303:5,11
315:8 348:4	<b>issued</b> 297:24	339:3 340:8,10,14	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
industrial 318:21	301:17 315:15	340:17 342:7,11	312:25 318:21 350:21,22 351:12
<b>inflated</b> 362:21	328:20 329:1,5,6,8	342:22,25 343:2,4	·
information	329:9	343:7,15,21,24	352:4,14 358:11 359:12
313:10 320:9	<b>issues</b> 299:5	344:2,5,9,15	
324:10 345:17	317:14	345:10,22 346:2,4	lagrand's 351:6 357:25
352:1 359:20	item 315:2	346:8,12 349:13	
infrastructure	i	350:13,15,18,22	landscape 330:8
327:2,20 334:13	j 318:21	351:3,8 352:6,10	<b>language</b> 304:25 306:14
354:6,7	U	356:6,8,9,12	
<b>initial</b> 305:12	<b>january</b> 297:19,20	357:17,20,23	large 306:24
308:22 312:13,17	307:13,18	358:5,7 359:6,9,22	314:21 318:7 347:24 348:21
317:15 364:5	jeanne 295:12	360:3,6,14,17,20	
initially 336:22	296:20 297:3	360:23 362:25	361:22
<b>inspect</b> 348:16,23	367:5,17	364:10 365:5	largely 312:6
inspection 327:11	<b>jefferson</b> 296:7,11	366:5	larger 361:1,16
349:3	296:16 298:6,13	july 315:15	law 296:2 298:3
install 295:4	298:19,24	june 328:18	298:12 315:13
297:13	<b>job</b> 321:14 332:15	k	lawns 330:7,10
installed 357:9	339:10		learned 363:20,25
installing 302:9	joint 300:1,14	<b>karen</b> 296:10	leave 316:15
insurance 356:19	judge 296:3 297:7	298:22 301:2	legal 296:21
<b>interest</b> 303:15,25	298:3,16,20,25	keep 365:13	legislative 325:25
309:3,16 361:16	299:16,19,23	ken 298:2	lesser 313:22
363:8	300:5,9,10,13	kenneth 296:2	letter 326:19
interested 315:17	301:9,12 304:8,14	kind 336:14	level 358:18
	304:17 308:6,8,10 308:12 309:20,22	356:23 358:18,25	<b>levy</b> 302:1
	30x173097077	365:6	İ

[lexus - missouri] Page 11

1 215 16 16	226 15 221 1 7	1 4 206 22	4 226 5
lexus 315:16,16	326:15 331:1,7	market 296:22	met 326:5
lhp 347:2	334:17,25 336:5	303:1,4 312:19	methodology
lies 365:7	342:14	313:18,23 315:20	355:6
<b>lift</b> 348:8,10 350:2	louis 296:22	317:13,23 335:1	microphone 299:7
350:3,5 357:9	302:11 316:4,8	355:2 364:25	299:9 301:10
<b>light</b> 299:7 327:21	318:7,14 337:15	365:5,10	321:8 327:23
<b>limited</b> 297:25	337:24 338:5	<b>matter</b> 295:3	microphones
<b>lindsay</b> 296:14	341:23 361:7	297:11,21 313:17	299:6,13
298:18 308:15	364:14 367:3	315:7 365:12	<b>middle</b> 307:7
line 329:16 339:23	<b>lp</b> 329:16	matters 299:15	million 303:5
347:25	m	366:6	305:1,3,4,15 306:4
lines 331:4,5	m 295:12 296:20	<b>mawc</b> 316:13,19	316:6,11 319:2
<b>list</b> 315:1 356:19	297:3 339:8,9,9	maximize 334:23	329:22 330:10
356:22,24 357:6	367:5,17	<b>mayor</b> 301:16	341:4,13 354:14
<b>listed</b> 302:14	madison 296:11	307:1 339:24	354:14 355:14
<b>listen</b> 358:17	296:15 298:23	344:25 345:11	358:19 362:2,2,2,3
lists 328:17 356:22	magnitude 342:1	356:13 359:14	362:18 365:21
lit 299:7	358:25	361:4	<b>mind</b> 363:9 365:13
<b>little</b> 310:8 315:22	mail 299:25	mcmellen 300:15	miniscule 361:18
321:8 355:13	mailed 299:25	304:5 305:10	364:22
<b>living</b> 307:2	mains 331:8	336:16 338:21	<b>minutes</b> 360:20
<b>llc</b> 329:10	maintain 295:5	339:6,8,15,17	missouri 295:1,3,6
located 327:2	297:14	340:21 342:12	295:14 296:4,10
359:19	maintains 308:21	343:5 358:14	296:20,22 297:11
log 299:3	majority 319:21	mcmellen's 340:8	297:16 298:6,9,13
<b>logged</b> 299:3	329:25	mean 307:12	298:14,19,24
logistically 327:9	making 313:25	314:8 318:12	300:16,20 301:7
long 299:19,23,24	314:14 317:22	355:12	302:7,17,18,19,24
307:25 321:22	337:19,24 338:5	means 309:2	303:10,18,20
353:15	363:8 365:10	mechanisms 357:4	306:17 307:5,22
<b>longer</b> 312:3	manage 295:5	<b>meeting</b> 339:25	308:18,24 309:6
look 320:6 321:7	297:14	meetings 361:10	309:10,11,25
329:20 337:25	manager 332:18	<b>member</b> 304:3	312:12,16,25
349:9,17 365:24		321:18,22 322:11	313:20,25 314:2
<b>looking</b> 361:11	managerial 321:17	322:14 325:15	315:5,5,18,19,21
looks 347:9		<b>members</b> 335:11	316:3,25 317:2,4
<b>lot</b> 334:20 335:4,6	map 359:25 mark 345:8 351:5	mentioned 309:24	317:15,16,18
336:5 342:15	351:6	327:14 330:25	326:25 334:12
353:10		331:10,11,12	335:9 336:22
<b>lots</b> 301:16 306:20	marked 323:4,14	365:8	337:12 339:12
306:24 326:12,14	323:22 324:11		341:5,24 346:24
,			,

347:15 351:14,15	necessary 303:14	<b>objection</b> 333:11	363:3 364:8
354:12,15 355:9	303:21,24 363:11	333:12 340:11	<b>opc's</b> 303:16
355:18 356:1	364:7	343:24 352:6	309:15 364:5
361:3,6 362:9,10	necessity 295:4	357:14	<b>open</b> 297:24
362:17,21 363:5	297:13 308:19	objections 325:1	opening 300:25
363:10,12,15,20	317:19	343:15 345:23	301:3 304:9,13,20
363:22,25 364:12	neck 305:12	360:10	306:21 309:24,25
365:19,21 367:2	<b>need</b> 343:25	<b>obligations</b> 328:17	311:7,10,12 318:4
missouri's 308:20	345:12 349:9	328:19 329:5,6,22	359:13 366:1,2
mistake 340:1	358:2 360:17	<b>obvious</b> 359:23,25	operate 295:5
<b>mo</b> 295:13 296:7	366:6	occupancy 301:17	297:14 301:21
296:11,16 367:5	neighborhood	occurred 319:18	305:19
367:17	306:11,20 307:8	october 313:2	operations 321:16
<b>mobile</b> 299:2	neighbors 355:16	<b>offer</b> 340:8 345:20	opinion 302:4
<b>moment</b> 328:10,21	<b>net</b> 314:8,13,19	352:3	315:14 342:17,19
<b>money</b> 315:8	317:9 355:4	<b>office</b> 296:13,15	opportunity
338:7	361:20 362:19	298:5,18 302:11	304:12,21 361:8,8
<b>month</b> 361:24	365:9	308:16 328:17	361:10,13,14
months 328:25	never 335:10	<b>officer</b> 322:14	364:15
morning 297:8	<b>new</b> 300:22 301:15	official 322:2	opposed 305:7
298:17 301:1	309:2	okay 298:20 300:1	319:4 342:17
308:14 321:5,6	nine 295:10	300:3,13,18 306:6	<b>orange</b> 317:9
344:9 352:14,15	nominal 315:11	308:5 311:2	order 297:8,23,25
moves 365:13	<b>non</b> 347:13 354:7	319:11 320:2,10	300:2,14 306:10
municipal 314:7	<b>normal</b> 334:15	322:16 324:24	358:25
314:16 316:20	<b>north</b> 301:14	325:22 326:6,18	ordinance 302:2
317:11,13 335:15	<b>note</b> 300:6 329:7,7	326:23 328:10,12	323:5,9,12 330:7
municipality	<b>notes</b> 329:8	329:12,14 330:6	original 314:19
314:23 317:7	<b>number</b> 297:16,18	336:17 337:9	317:9
<b>mute</b> 299:9	307:4 318:14,18	338:14 341:3	outright 306:8
n	324:19 328:3,6	346:4 347:14	overall 348:6
n 296:1 339:8,9	353:23 360:5	350:1,10 352:24	358:22 359:13
nail 326:8	361:22	353:22 354:12,21	overlooks 303:11
name 298:2	numbering 300:18	355:25 356:3,5,22	oversee 321:16
308:15 332:13	300:23 324:16	359:22 360:3	oversight 321:17
339:7 344:19	<b>numbers</b> 300:19	omission 350:9	350:5
351:11	nutshell 321:15	once 309:8,9	overused 317:8
near 311:11	0	313:16 360:11	owned 313:16,18
necessarily 315:4	o 298:13,19	363:18,19	314:3 317:7
317:8 326:7	o'clock 295:10,10	opc 308:17,21	ownership 355:18
		309:11,17 333:16	355:21

[p - previously] Page 13

	216 19 22 217 5		225 ( 227 2
p	316:18,22 317:5	perspective	335:6 337:3
<b>p</b> 296:1,1 298:13	334:4,7,10,18	315:23	349:14
298:19	335:5 352:21	pertains 306:12	<b>portions</b> 327:5
page 328:12	353:25 354:3	307:19	<b>position</b> 303:16,19
329:13,15,20	355:15 361:20,20	perverse 361:25	306:13 308:22
339:23 346:13	362:10 363:17,24	362:8	309:15 316:16
347:3 352:24,25	364:4	petition 327:25	322:2,13 334:3,21
359:15	<b>payers</b> 302:22	328:13	335:7 351:13
pages 328:9 358:1	303:22	<b>phases</b> 348:21	363:4
paid 317:2 329:22	<b>paying</b> 302:3,8,12	phones 299:2	possible 320:4
334:19 342:15	302:16,23 303:9	phrase 317:8	331:8
362:7	304:1 305:6,13,14	<b>picture</b> 361:2,6,17	<b>post</b> 308:23 364:6
parc 329:15 347:2	305:17 306:12	<b>piece</b> 342:4	potential 299:4
part 316:5 319:25	310:1,3,10,14	pipeline 309:2	361:25 362:14
331:12 334:8,20	316:24 317:3	<b>place</b> 298:4 313:11	364:19
337:23 342:15	318:13 334:6	331:6 364:1 367:8	potentially 302:3
346:22 347:18,19	337:10 342:17,18	placing 311:25	307:5 362:7
347:20 349:23	342:19 353:4,15	<b>plan</b> 336:12,21,23	power 315:3,4
354:17 355:10	353:18,22 354:9	336:25	preceded 325:25
357:24 359:23	354:18,21 355:22	<b>plans</b> 308:25	precinct 320:4
364:4	355:25 358:13	309:1 348:18	359:19,23 360:1,2
	361:15 365:18,23	<b>plant</b> 312:15,18	360:9
participated 310:20	payment 319:7	317:10 334:13	preliminary
	payments 309:8	337:7 341:1,19	299:15
participating 298:7 299:12	pedrotty 295:12	348:7	<b>prepare</b> 351:19
	296:20 297:3	<b>plat</b> 348:20,20	prepared 332:19
particular 306:11	367:5,17	349:1	339:16 345:4
328:20 334:2,14	pending 295:14	please 299:5 301:2	<b>present</b> 299:11
335:12 337:3	people 304:1	308:15 320:21	300:7,8,12 304:4
parties 297:2	307:2 318:12	328:10,21 332:3	320:19 322:16
298:9 299:15	319:3,4,8 341:7	332:12 338:23	339:25
303:13 323:3	361:2,4,17,22	339:6 344:10,19	presented 297:20
360:17	percent 307:3,10	350:23 351:11	presiding 296:2
parts 309:6 363:17	307:21 326:13,15	plus 334:16 341:9	298:3
passed 302:2	327:15 330:25	point 312:19	presumably
311:21 323:5,15	331:1,6 341:7,7,12	337:19 348:20	325:21
path 313:16	359:17,18	points 312:25	<b>pretty</b> 318:9 320:1
paving 347:10,11	percentage 341:6	population 307:4	359:20
pay 301:5 302:5	341:10	307:10	<b>previous</b> 364:19
302:21,25 303:6	permits 301:17	<b>portion</b> 305:5,6	previously 297:19
303:12 308:25	F	313:11 316:10	300:19 312:8
309:6 310:17		313.11 310.10	300.17 312.0

			I
345:1 351:16	proposed 309:16	pursuant 297:23	r
357:13 367:8	317:20	303:15 315:3	r 296:1 298:23
price 305:1 311:22	<b>proposes</b> 363:16	<b>put</b> 305:17 307:18	332:14
311:24 313:22	proposition 307:7	q	ra 297:24
355:8	protecting 306:10	qualified 309:12	raise 320:20 332:2
prices 336:5	<b>provide</b> 309:1,13	quality 362:4	338:22 344:10
362:22	317:19 321:17	question 304:12	350:22
<b>prior</b> 314:12	322:24 346:9	305:11 308:11	<b>raised</b> 317:14
private 310:9	provided 322:21	309:23 312:21	rate 302:19,22
315:8,10	327:7	313:1 319:18	303:2,7,22 313:25
probably 318:21	provides 301:20	320:7 330:18	314:1,11,14,14
336:15 359:20	303:25 319:24	336:15 337:18	316:8 317:21,22
361:11	<b>provision</b> 314:4,15	342:13 345:6	318:11,17,19,20
procedure 348:25	provisions 315:6	346:5 351:21	318:21 319:1
<b>proceed</b> 306:10	prudent 313:23	356:10,13	334:9,10 337:15
363:13	psc.mo.gov 299:25	questions 304:7,16	337:17,24 338:5,9
proceeding 297:2	<b>public</b> 295:1,14	304:18,20 308:6,8	340:24 341:5,8,15
297:7 345:5	296:9,10,13,15	308:10 309:19,20	341:16,17,17
351:20 367:7	298:4,16,18	311:5 316:16	354:15,17 355:10
proceedings 295:7	300:21 303:14,25	317:25 318:3	358:19 362:13
299:14	308:16 309:3,16	319:13,14 321:4	364:1,19 365:9,19
proceeds 310:23	311:21,21 312:21	325:4,6,7,9,11,12	365:21
314:25 337:22	314:10 315:7	325:14 330:14,15	ratepayers 354:18
<b>process</b> 312:1,22	332:17 339:12	330:22,23 331:15	362:15
349:2 364:24	363:8,12 364:8	331:17 332:11	rates 302:21
365:1,2	purchase 303:15	333:1,21,22,24	303:23 309:10
produced 295:7	309:7 311:22,24	334:1 335:19,20	316:13,23 317:1,6
<b>profit</b> 334:24	313:22 334:9,20	335:25 339:5	318:23 337:12,17
prohibit 315:7	335:6 338:6	340:5,15,20 342:6	351:15 354:23
<b>project</b> 329:11,17	342:16 362:14,15	342:8,11,23	355:15,23 356:1
329:25	362:17,21	344:18 345:16,25	358:20 362:20,23
projects 326:23	purchasers 334:17	346:6,8 350:10,11	363:20 364:2
properly 348:18	purchases 337:13	350:17 351:10,23	read 349:19
properties 340:22	354:13	352:8,13 356:3,6,8	real 302:13 356:24
property 303:4	purchasing 335:3	356:12 358:3,10	realize 299:16
312:15,22 313:12	<b>pure</b> 361:19	359:4 361:13	really 349:17
314:7 315:2,8	<b>purely</b> 365:9	quickly 320:1	361:14,17
355:3	purpose 297:21	356:24 359:20	reason 355:1
proportions	341:10	quite 365:15	reasonable 303:23
315:23	purposes 313:19	40110 303.13	313:23 362:23
	345:4 351:19		310.20 302.20

[reasoning - right] Page 15

reasoning 302:25	referenced 311:14	remediate 338:7	317:2 334:3
reasons 307:14,16	314:2	remember 318:19	337:10 352:21
309:17 314:18	references 314:6	319:23 352:20	353:4 354:8
364:5	referencing	<b>remind</b> 361:1	363:23 365:18,23
rebuttal 345:5	357:19	363:3	resolution 311:25
351:6,20	referred 346:10	rephrase 318:24	327:10 328:24
recall 306:1 307:1	350:1	350:6	349:5,6 359:14
320:3	referring 350:2	<b>reply</b> 308:22	resolutions 323:15
receipts 302:14	refinement 336:14	317:16	323:17,20
324:6 326:20	reflected 345:16	<b>report</b> 328:16	respective 319:1
receiving 310:11	351:25 362:13	349:23 350:1,8	<b>respond</b> 311:10
314:23 341:20	reflects 359:16	356:14,17,18,21	response 336:23
recommendation	<b>regard</b> 313:17	357:14	responsibility
308:3,4 311:15	315:24 331:6	reporter 295:12	321:14
312:10 313:3,6,9	regardless 303:2	295:13 296:19	rest 337:15 347:16
336:19 337:8	<b>regards</b> 308:19	297:4,4 299:10,17	resubmit 358:2
recommended	364:24	367:1,5,6	<b>result</b> 313:9 315:9
337:21	regulated 317:7	represent 308:16	<b>results</b> 319:19
record 297:8,24	317:10	representatives	320:4 360:9
299:14 301:3	regulatory 296:2	310:25	<b>return</b> 334:11,14
319:19,19 320:3,5	298:3 339:13	representing	341:8,20 362:13
320:7 323:2 344:3	365:9	325:19	revenue 302:11
344:5 357:21,24	reimbursable	<b>req</b> 338:1	316:10 341:4,14
357:24 360:21	329:17	request 297:24	343:19 365:20
366:8	reimburse 301:23	308:17 309:17	review 337:2
records 324:7	305:14,21 334:6	346:19 361:11	reviewed 356:20
337:2	354:4	requested 308:24	reviewing 357:10
<b>recover</b> 313:11	reimbursed	309:18 363:11	rewarded 362:12
334:13,14,24	346:20 347:13	364:9	<b>right</b> 298:25
335:2 336:4	reimbursement	requests 364:9	299:23 300:23
recovered 313:14	346:19 363:21	requirement	311:4,5,6 317:24
316:12 331:12	<b>reject</b> 306:8,16,17	316:11 341:4,14	319:15 320:12,15
<b>recross</b> 330:15	307:9	358:22 365:20	320:21 321:1,25
redirect 338:16	<b>rejected</b> 307:14,17	requires 311:20	322:25 325:2,5,8
343:2 350:16	related 298:1	resident 355:15	325:10 330:13,14
358:7	305:7,24 313:12	363:16	330:18 331:16,19
<b>reduce</b> 341:18	324:7 348:4,5	residents 301:4,18	331:20,23 332:3
reduced 341:19	relief 306:7	302:3,5,8,12,15,20	333:11,15,17,20
<b>refer</b> 352:19 366:1	remain 342:20	302:25 303:9,11	333:23 334:5
reference 313:1	remains 313:9	304:21,24 309:5	335:18,22 338:15
315:12,14 318:5		314:5 316:22	338:18,22 340:10

[right - situation] Page 16

340:11 342:2,4,7,9	334:25 336:4	363:12 364:3,8	357:23 358:5,7
343:4,21 344:10	347:20	services 314:4	359:6,9,22 360:3,6
344:15 345:10,22	sales 305:1 314:24	serving 302:6	360:14,17,20,23
345:23 346:5	sanitary 327:1	<b>set</b> 297:10	362:25 364:10
347:3 350:18,23	saying 347:6 350:7	sewer 295:5	366:5
351:8 353:5	says 306:15,21	297:15,17 308:21	shaking 333:11
355:19 359:6	schedule 308:2	309:13 314:21	<b>short</b> 344:1 357:22
360:11 362:25	346:17,25 349:10	327:1 330:9 331:4	360:19,22
364:10,11 366:5,7	358:1	331:10 332:18	shorthand 295:13
risk 349:21	<b>scope</b> 362:14	335:15 346:23	297:3,4 367:6
<b>road</b> 329:10	<b>sean</b> 300:16	347:19,24 348:2,3	<b>shovel</b> 326:2
<b>roads</b> 354:6	339:24 344:8,20	348:12,16 354:13	<b>show</b> 302:11
rockwood 298:1	<b>second</b> 302:22	357:8 362:5 363:6	319:19
301:5 321:19	312:4 328:7	364:23,24 365:6	<b>shown</b> 347:11
363:18	354:23	<b>seyer</b> 296:2 297:7	<b>shows</b> 348:18
<b>room</b> 298:5,5	secretary 322:4,5	298:2,16,20,25	<b>sic</b> 346:6
299:1,3,5,11,13	<b>section</b> 313:19	299:19,23 300:5	<b>side</b> 301:14 357:8
<b>rooster</b> 329:10	314:5,9 315:3,6,6	300:10,13 301:9	364:23
roughly 318:5	317:17 328:13	301:12 304:8,14	sidewalks 305:8
321:23 327:14	347:24 365:1	304:17 308:8,12	305:22
328:17 329:13,24	sections 301:19	309:20 311:4	signals 330:8
346:13	<b>see</b> 320:8 329:18	317:24 319:12,15	signature 367:16
<b>rsmo</b> 301:20	349:17 353:1	320:11,15,18,20	<b>signs</b> 330:8
313:19	356:2 357:18	321:1 324:17,21	silence 299:1
rundown 318:22	seeing 320:3	324:24 325:5,8,10	<b>silvey</b> 300:4,4,6,11
318:23	333:11	325:14 328:4	304:11,11,15,19
running 347:25	seen 303:24	330:17 331:16,19	304:25 305:4,18
S	312:20	331:23 332:2,8	306:6,19,23 307:6
s 296:1 332:14	sees 314:25	333:10,15,17,20	307:19,25 308:5
sa 297:18	sell 315:2 361:3,5	333:23 334:1	309:22,23 310:3,7
sabo 300:15 304:2	362:1	335:22 338:15,18	310:19,23 311:2
320:14,15,18	<b>sent</b> 323:11,19	338:22 339:3	317:24 318:1,3
321:5 325:15	324:3	340:10,14,17	333:22 356:8
330:23 331:20	separate 337:15	342:7,11,22,25	similar 317:1
safeguards 303:22	<b>series</b> 349:4	343:2,4,7,15,21,24	356:13
sale 301:6 302:6	<b>service</b> 295:1,15	344:2,5,9,15	<b>simply</b> 309:3,16
302:16 306:8,10	296:9,10 298:4	345:10,22 346:2,4	363:7 364:6
310:21,24 311:20	309:1,13 310:11	346:8,12 349:13	<b>sir</b> 335:17
312:22 316:21,25	314:3 317:19,20	350:13,15,18,22	<b>site</b> 313:2 327:2
317:5 319:21	327:7 330:1	351:3,8 352:6,10	situation 306:14
326:12,14,16	332:17 339:12	356:6,9,12 357:20	310:9 311:23
320.12,11,10			

## [situation - summary]

312:23,24 315:13	special 309:9	365:8	<b>street</b> 296:11,15
334:15,22 335:8	335:4 363:19,24	<b>staff's</b> 302:4 303:8	296:22 298:23
335:10,12,16	specific 307:20	304:4 306:13	301:22 347:10
336:2,6,9 337:2	313:1 320:2 349:7	312:9 313:8	streets 305:8,21
364:18	355:7 359:19	316:16 331:25	327:20 330:3
six 301:14 328:9	specifically 305:7	334:3	347:7
<b>sixty</b> 308:2	305:18,24 307:8	standard 303:22	<b>strike</b> 339:23
size 315:24 341:23	308:23 312:8,16	303:25	subdivision 301:5
353:9,10	312:23 313:8,19	<b>stands</b> 348:22	301:13,15 302:6
slippery 362:16	319:20 365:15	start 325:23	304:21 309:5
<b>slope</b> 362:16	speculation	365:15	315:25 326:9
<b>small</b> 314:9,11,15	361:19	<b>state</b> 302:10	327:13,14,21
314:20 316:14	<b>spell</b> 332:13 339:7	328:16 332:12	330:24 334:2,4,18
319:7 362:15	<b>spent</b> 330:10	339:6 343:12	342:15 346:16
365:24	338:7	344:19 351:11	347:20,24 348:2,6
<b>sneha</b> 343:18	sponsoring 338:1	367:2	348:9 349:1,3
softening 327:3	<b>spread</b> 319:3	<b>stated</b> 307:2	350:6 356:23
<b>sold</b> 326:24,25	338:4,8 358:23	310:20 311:11	363:17
331:1,2,7 335:9	ss 367:3	statement 300:25	<b>subject</b> 301:18
346:23 347:15	st 296:22 302:11	304:9,13,20	314:10 317:20
363:22	316:4,8 318:6,14	306:21 309:24,25	334:15 335:1,4
solemnly 320:22	337:15,23 338:4	311:8,10 352:21	357:11
338:23	341:23 361:7	366:1,2	subjected 304:2
solutions 296:21	364:14 367:3	statements 359:13	submission 318:5
soon 348:22	staff 298:20,22	<b>states</b> 302:24	<b>submit</b> 307:23
<b>sorry</b> 297:20	300:14,21,25	303:6 328:13,14	submitted 327:16
300:10 304:14	301:3 303:16	<b>station</b> 348:10	328:16 329:2,2
328:4	304:2 305:11,13	350:2,3,5 357:9	349:15 360:11
<b>sort</b> 311:14,23	305:15 306:7	stations 348:8	subpoena 328:8
315:23 317:8	308:3 311:15	<b>status</b> 330:24	subpoenaed
341:10,15 342:1	312:10,11,16	<b>statute</b> 303:1,16	322:16 324:14
<b>sorts</b> 348:3	313:1,3,4 314:2	303:17 306:15	subsection 313:21
<b>sound</b> 321:24	316:24 317:3,21	361:25 363:14	substantial 327:16
353:19 356:19	322:16,19,25	<b>stay</b> 316:21	327:18
357:5	323:2 324:6	<b>steam</b> 332:18	substantially
<b>sounds</b> 342:2	327:11 334:20	stipulated 297:1	362:18
<b>speak</b> 299:13	335:11 336:5,18	stockholders	<b>suggest</b> 324:17
321:8 326:4	336:25 337:19,21	362:9	suggested 336:18
<b>speaker</b> 328:2,5	338:1 340:12	<b>storage</b> 357:2,3	suggesting 337:14
speaking 299:9	342:20 358:11	<b>storm</b> 330:9	<b>summary</b> 315:13
337:4	360:24 362:18		

Page 18

supervisor 339:14	358:14 361:20,21	<b>tenders</b> 340:12	360:25 362:25
<b>support</b> 309:15	362:5,8 363:18,22	term 353:20	364:10,13 366:4,5
321:17	364:1,4	365:10	thanks 321:5
<b>suppose</b> 341:17	<b>system's</b> 361:15	terms 317:10	360:13
<b>sure</b> 300:8 301:12	<b>systems</b> 308:21	testified 325:18	<b>thing</b> 311:11
306:25 307:19	309:1 314:21,21	345:1 351:16	things 305:8
310:15 320:1	317:22 330:9	358:15	336:14 349:4,18
324:20 327:23	337:13 348:17	testifying 320:16	362:14 365:10,11
337:18 348:17	361:3,5 362:1,21	344:21	think 299:19
349:13,19 353:3	363:7,16	testimony 301:16	307:1 308:2
353:21 354:8,8	t	302:24 307:1,18	311:14,22 312:3
355:22 357:18,20	t 298:23 332:14,14	312:11,20 313:7	320:6 324:15,18
361:2 362:13	tables 357:12,15	319:24,25 320:22	330:24 336:1
surrounding	357:24	332:4,20,20,24	357:17 359:24,25
315:13	take 307:25	333:4,8 337:25	361:11 364:16,20
swear 320:22	311:12 329:20	338:24 339:16,16	365:6,14 366:1
332:3 338:23	341:8 343:25	339:20 340:2,8	thinking 312:2
344:11 350:23	360:23	344:11 345:5,12	third 328:12
swearengen 296:6	taken 297:2 344:4	350:24 351:6,20	thousand 338:11
298:12	357:22 360:22	351:20 352:16,20	thousands 319:3
sworn 295:9	366:3	357:25 358:17	three 323:22
320:21	takes 348:6	359:15 361:4	324:21,25 349:10
system 295:5,5	talk 315:22	365:16	tie 347:25
297:15,15,17	talked 312:17	thank 298:11	time 297:9,10
301:6,7,25 302:6,9	335:11 365:11	300:9,13 301:1,3	302:15,22 307:15
302:16,18,23	talking 311:22,25	304:7,8,15,19	311:16 312:3,24
303:3,10 304:2	316:7 337:11	305:4 308:5,6,7,12	326:8 329:1,3
305:19 306:5	342:1	309:22,23 311:2,2	330:14 333:18
309:6 310:1,17	talks 330:7	311:6,9 317:23	336:19 345:13
311:20 314:1,7,16	tangent 349:21	318:1,4 319:11,11	352:3 354:23
314:20 316:7,18	tank 327:3 357:2,3	319:15 320:10,10	356:20 366:4
316:20 317:4	tank 327.3 337.2,3 tax 302:13 334:16	320:11,20,21	367:8
324:7 326:19	teleconference	321:1 331:18,20	<b>timing</b> 311:12
331:5,5,10 334:4	295:11	331:22 332:3,8	326:4
335:15 336:3	tell 328:19 348:22	338:14,18,23	title 321:12 332:16
338:4 347:14,17	360:1	342:7 343:4,6	339:11
347:19 348:2,6,11	ten 307:3,10,21	344:7,10,15	<b>titled</b> 339:16 347:2
348:12 352:22	341:7,11 360:20	346:12 350:14,18	today 297:8
354:10,13,19,20	tend 303:12	350:18,20,23	299:10,15 304:4
354:22 355:6,14	tender 333:13	351:3 352:11	307:20 309:4
355:23 357:8		356:4,9 359:10	312:6 316:8 319:2
	345:21 352:4		

[today - water] Page 19

	T	I	I
320:2 322:17	344:12,12,13	unusual 364:17	<b>vital</b> 303:25
325:18 333:1	350:25,25 351:1	<b>upfront</b> 334:19	volume 295:7
340:5 344:21	trying 334:23,24	use 314:24 341:6,7	<b>vote</b> 304:22
351:24 355:20	turn 321:7	341:10 355:5	311:21,21 359:13
363:20 366:3	<b>turned</b> 327:23	364:20 365:2,3	<b>voted</b> 304:23
today's 297:22,25	twice 301:6 302:5	useful 314:4	361:2,5 362:1
313:7 345:4	302:25 304:1	utilities 317:11	<b>voter</b> 362:1
351:19	309:6 316:18,24	<b>utility</b> 314:10,10	<b>voters</b> 361:16
top 329:15	317:4 334:4	314:11,16 317:8	votes 359:17,18
total 306:3 316:2	337:10 352:22	317:12 339:13	W
318:6 338:6 342:3	354:21 356:1,2	340:23	w 351:12
355:13	358:13 363:17	<b>utilize</b> 314:12	<b>wa</b> 297:17
town 361:10	364:4 365:18	v	walk 353:2
traditional 362:20	two 307:12 323:14		want 301:12
traffic 330:8	324:25 326:5	<b>value</b> 302:17,21	
<b>trail</b> 330:4 347:7	343:10 357:15	303:1,3,4 312:19	311:10 312:5
347:11	365:11	313:18,23 314:8	339:23 356:23
transaction	typewriting 297:5	314:13,24 315:20	360:4 361:23
313:24 364:16	typical 334:22	317:13,23 350:3	wanted 362:5
transactions 303:5	335:16	355:2,4,7,12,13	wants 365:17,24
transcribed 297:5	typically 341:11	356:18,18 361:15	waste 312:22
transcript 295:7	u	361:20,24 362:11	317:19
transferred 327:6	<b>u</b> 326:20 332:14	362:12,19 364:25	water 295:3,5
348:13		365:5,9,10	296:4 297:12,15
transition 313:24	uh 347:4	van 296:14 298:17 298:18 304:8,10	298:10,14 300:16 300:20 301:6,7,25
337:22	<b>unchanged</b> 313:9 342:21	/	, ,
transmission		308:12,14,15	302:5,9,16,18,23
348:2	underneath 348:1	310:2,6,15,22	303:3 305:7,18,24
treasurer 322:4,5	understand 347:6	311:1,6 325:5,7 330:14,16 333:15	306:5 308:18,21
treated 312:14	understanding	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	308:25 309:1,6,7
treatment 305:25	305:19 320:17	333:16 335:19,21	309:10,12,13
348:7 357:4	329:7 334:6	340:14,16 342:22	310:17 312:22,22
trees 330:7,11	336:24 337:4	342:24 345:24	314:4,10,11,16,20
<b>tried</b> 336:3	353:6 354:4	346:1 350:11,12	315:18 316:1,2,4,5
true 323:11,19	unhappy 362:4	352:7,9 358:2,4	316:7,8,13,17,18
324:3 333:4 340:2	<b>unique</b> 335:9	363:1,2	316:23 317:1,19
345:17 351:25	364:16	various 328:25	317:19 318:15
364:17	unit 339:13	veritext 296:21	324:7 326:25
<b>truth</b> 320:23,24,24	unknown 328:2,5	view 327:18 336:5	327:1 330:1 331:5
332:5,5,6 338:25	unload 362:5	336:11,20 365:18	332:18 334:4
338:25 339:1	unregulated	violate 315:20	335:15 337:24
	314:19		338:4 341:24

[water - zoom] Page 20

246.02.04.247.5	<b>!</b>
346:23,24 347:5	writing 352:20
347:13,14,15,17	written 317:17
348:12,15 351:14	wrote 324:10
352:22 354:5,7,9	www.veritext.com
354:13,19,20,22	296:23
355:22 357:2,3	y
358:13 362:4	y 332:14
363:5,6,10,13,15	yeah 318:8 335:5
363:17,20,22,23	335:8 338:13
364:1,3,4,12,21	347:9 360:8
365:5	year 328:18
way 306:9 313:14	361:23 365:22
313:15 362:20	<b>vears</b> 302:1
we've 303:23	321:23 334:8,19
365:11	353:19,23
webex 295:11	
298:8 299:3,4,8,12	200.22
299:17 320:16	<b>z</b> 298:23
322:23	<b>zero</b> 355:11
website 312:21	<b>zoning</b> 326:1
361:12	<b>zoom</b> 348:5
wednesday 300:3	
witness 304:5	
312:12,25 320:12	
320:13,19,25	
331:19,22,24,25	
332:7 333:13	
338:19,20 339:2	
340:12 343:6	
344:6,14 345:21	
350:19 351:2	
359:7,10	
witnesses 298:7	
300:2,14 343:8,10	
360:15	
word 357:4 364:21	
work 332:15,17	
worst 359:1	
365:24	
<b>worth</b> 361:21	
362:8	