Exhibit No.:

Fuel Adjustment Clause - True-Up of Fifth Recovery Period Issues:

Witness: Erik C. Wenberg
Sponsoring Party: Union Electric Co.
Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony
Case No.: ER-2012-_____

Date Testimony Prepared: March 23, 2012

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

ERIK C. WENBERG

March, 2012 St. Louis, Missouri

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

ERIK C. WENBERG

Case No. ER-2012-____

1	Q:	Please state your name and business address.
2	A:	My name is Erik C. Wenberg. My business address is One Ameren Plaza, St. Louis,
3		Missouri.
4	Q:	By whom and in what capacity are you employed?
5	A:	I am employed by Ameren Services Company ("Ameren Services") as Manager,
6		Wholesale Power and Fuel Accounting. Ameren Services provides various corporate
7		support services to Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri ("Company" or
8		"Ameren Missouri"), including settlement and accounting related to fuel, purchased
9		power and off-system sales.
10	Q:	What is the purpose of your testimony?
11	A:	My testimony supports the fifth true-up filing being made by Ameren Missouri under 4
12		CSR 240-20.090(5) and the Company's approved fuel adjustment clause ("FAC"). The
13		terms of the FAC are reflected in the FAC tariff Rider FAC on file with the
14		Commission.
15	Q:	What is the purpose of a true-up filing in the context of Ameren Missouri's FAC?

1	A:	The purpose of a true-up filing is to identify the calculated difference between Actual Ne				
2		Fuel Costs ¹ and Net Base Fuel Costs that were over- or under-recovered from customers				
3		during the fifth Recovery Period ² prescribed by the FAC.				
4	Q:	Please briefly explain the FAC process, including the accumulation periods, filing				
5		dates, recovery and true-up periods.				
6	A:	The FAC process is outlined in the Company's FAC tariff. It begins with an				
7		Accumulation Period which covers a four-month period in which the Company's Actual				
8		Net Fuel Costs are accumulated and compared to the Net Base Fuel Costs calculated in				
9		accordance with the FAC tariff. The difference between the Net Base Fuel Costs and the				
10		Actual Net Fuel Costs is the amount recovered from or refunded to customers for the				
11		Recovery Period at issue, based upon an estimate or projection of the kilowatt-hour				
12		("kWh") sales that are expected during the Recovery Period. This recovery, over the 12-				
13		month Recovery Period at issue in this docket, occurs via application to customer bills of				
14		Fuel and Purchased Power Adjustment ("FPAc") rates (which are differentiated by				
15		voltage, as provided for in the FAC tariff). New FPAc rates take effect every four				
16		months. After a Recovery Period, a true-up is filed which finalizes, based upon actual				
17		kWh sales data from the Recovery Period at issue, the over-or under-recovered amount				
18		for the Recovery Period at issue. That amount is then included in the next FPAc rates				
19		adjustment filing.				

What was the timing of the accumulation and recovery relating to this true-up? Q:

20

¹ Capitalized terms not otherwise defined in this testimony have the meaning given them in the Company's FAC tariff, Rider FAC.

² This sum has been included in the new FPA_c rates filed in the 9th Accumulation Period docket filed concurrently with this filing, as described in my direct testimony filed in that docket.

1	A:	The Accumulation Period was June 1, 2010 through September 30, 2010. The Recovery				
2		Period for that Accumulation Period was February 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012.				
3	Q:	Why would there be a difference between the accumulated over- or under-recovery				
4		and the amount collected during the Recovery Period?				
5	A:	As noted earlier, the FPA _c rates are calculated based upon estimated kWh sales for the				
6		Recovery Period. Since the FPA rates are based upon an estimated number, once actual				
7		sales are recorded, a difference will always exist between the estimate and the actual				
8		kWh billed.				
9	Q:	What was the over- or under-recovery for the Recovery Period at issue in this				
10		filing?				
11	A:	There was an under-recovery from customers during the Recovery Period due to the				
12		difference between actual and estimated kWh sales and, in addition, there are sums				
13		relating to this Recovery Period that must be recovered from customers due to the				
14		correction of the mistake which was ordered by the Commission in Case No. ER-2010-				
15		0274. Accounting for the under-recovery due to the variance between estimated and				
16		actual kWh sales, correction of the mistake and interest at the Company's short-term				
17		borrowing rate, as provided for in the FAC tariff and the Commission's FAC rules, the				
18		total true-up adjustment arising from the true-up of the subject Recovery Period requires				
19		an additional recovery from customers of \$4,725,389. Schedule EW-TU to this				
20		testimony (and Attachment A to that schedule) contains details of the calculations that				
21		produce the sum to be recovered from customers.				

³ The impact of the mistake has been included in these calculations because the Commission's Report and Order in Case No. ER-2010-0274 specifically directed the Company to correct the impact of this mistake in all remaining true-up filings that are impacted by the mistake.

- 1 Q: How will that sum be recovered?
- 2 A: As earlier noted, it has been included as part of the adjustment to the FPA_c rates being
- 3 filed concurrently with the initiation of this docket.
- 4 Q: Does this conclude your direct testimony?
- 5 A: Yes, it does.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

_	o of Union Electric Company el Adjustment Clause for the)))	ER-2012-							
AFFIDAVIT OF ERIK C. WENBERG										
STATE OF MISSOURI CITY OF ST. LOUIS)) ss)									
Erik C. Wenberg, being first duly sworn on his oath, states:										
1. My name is I	Erik C. Wenberg. I work in the	e City of	St. Louis, Missouri, and I am							
employed by Ameren Services as a Manager, Wholesale Power and Fuel Accounting.										
2. Attached here	eto and made a part hereof for	all purpo	oses is my Direct Testimony							
on behalf of Union Electric	on behalf of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri consisting of 4 pages and									
Schedule EW-TU, all of whi	ch have been prepared in writ	ten form	for filing in the above-							
referenced docket.	referenced docket.									
3. I hereby swea	ar and affirm that the informati	ion conta	nined in the attached testimony							
to the questions therein prop	ounded are true and correct.									
	Erik C	C. Wenbe	erg							
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 21^{st} day of March, 2012.										
	_ Du	pie	J. Eaves							
My commission expires:	Notar	y Public								

My commission expires:

BECKIE J. EAVES
Notary Public - Notary Seal
State of Missouri
Commissioned for St. Louis City
My Commission Expires: February 21, 2014
Commission Number: 10938572

SCHEDULE EW-TU HAS BEEN MARKED HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL IN ITS ENTIRETY