Exhibit No.:

Issues: Demand-Side Investment

Mechanism

Witness: William R Davis Sponsoring Party: Union Electric Company

Type of Exhibit: Direct Tes
Case No.: ER-2020-Direct Testimony

Date Testimony Prepared: November 22, 2019

# MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

### **DIRECT TESTIMONY**

**OF** 

WILLIAM R. DAVIS

November, 2019 St. Louis, Missouri

# DIRECT TESTIMONY

## OF

# WILLIAM R. DAVIS

# File No. ER-2020-

1	Q.	Please state your name and business address.			
2	A.	My name is William (Bill) R. Davis. My business address is One Ameren Plaza,			
3	1901 Chouteau Ave., St. Louis, Missouri.				
4	Q.	By whom and in what capacity are you employed?			
5	A.	I am Director, Energy Solutions for Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren			
6	Missouri ("A	meren Missouri" or "Company").			
7	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?			
8	A.	My testimony supports the revisions to Rider EEIC – Energy Efficiency Investment			
9	Charge of A	meren Missouri's Schedule No. 6 - Schedule of Rates for Electric Service. The			
10	specific sheet	numbers are listed directly below and represent:			
11	• an adj	justment of customer rates to reflect the actual and forecasted costs of the Company's			
12	appro	ved energy efficiency programs;			
13	• clean-	-up changes to eliminate unneeded sheets for MEEIA 2013-15; and			
14	• minor	adjustments to set specific rate start dates as opposed to start billing months.			
15	The revised t	ariff sheets are also attached hereto as Schedule WRD-1.			
16	Speci	fically, the tariff sheets submitted for Commission approval are:			
17 18 19 20 21	3 <sup>rd</sup> Re 2 <sup>nd</sup> Re 2 <sup>nd</sup> Re	evised Sheet 90 evised Sheet 90.1 evised Sheet 90.2 evised Sheet 90.3 evised Sheet 90.4			

- 2<sup>nd</sup> Revised Sheet 91.1 1
- 2 1<sup>st</sup> Revised Sheet 91.10
- 3 6<sup>th</sup> Revised Sheet 91.11
- 4 1<sup>st</sup> Revised Sheet 91.13
- 5 1<sup>st</sup> Revised Sheet 91.21
- 2<sup>nd</sup> Revised Sheet 91.22 6
- 7 Original Sheet 91.23

#### 8 Q. Please explain why Ameren Missouri is filing a revision to its Rider EEIC at

#### 9 this time.

- 10 The terms of Rider EEIC require a filing at least once per calendar year to be A. effective with the subsequent calendar year's February billing month. The Missouri Public 11 Service Commission's ("Commission") rules also require this filing to be made at least sixty (60) 12
- days in advance of when rates would become effective. 13

### 14 Please describe the impact of the change in the Energy Efficiency Investment Q. 15

- Rate ("EEIR") on the Company's customers.
- Overall, this Rider EEIC filing seeks an increase of \$7.3 million over the current 16 A.
- level of such costs in the Company's rates. This increase consists of forecasted costs<sup>2</sup> for February 17
- 18 2019 through January 2020 as well as a reconciliation of historical costs, which are depicted in
- Table 1 below.<sup>3</sup> 19

**TABLE 1** 

Service Class	Reconciled Costs*	Forecasted Costs†	Total
1(M)-Residential Service	(\$4,007,375)	\$50,417,824	\$46,410,449
2(M)-Small General Service	(\$661,701)	\$14,318,270	\$13,656,569

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The proposed tariff sheets for approval change this portion of the Rider to be effective February 1<sup>st</sup> to be consistent with how rates are billed under Company's Rider RESRAM (approved) and Rider FAC (proposed in ER-2019-0335) and the requested change has no practical impact on the need for this filing.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Forecasted costs include the Earnings Opportunity for MEEIA 2016-18 as well as the rollover Performance Incentive for MEEIA 2013-15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The costs in the table are in addition to the costs approved in File No. ER-2019-0151.

3(M)-Large General Service	\$371,367	\$34,626,986	\$34,998,353
4(M)-Small Primary Service	\$210,980	\$13,791,259	\$14,002,239
11(M)-Large Primary Service	\$203,835	\$4,801,930	\$5,005,764
12(M)-Large Transmission Service	\$0	\$0	\$0

<sup>\*</sup>Total Reconciled Costs = Program Cost Reconciliation + Throughput Disincentive Reconciliation as defined in Rider EEIC †Forecasted Costs = Projected Program Costs + Projected Throughput Disincentive + Earnings Opportunity as defined in Rider EEIC

- 1 Allocating the MEEIA Rider EEIC revenue requirement (\$114.1 million) to the respective
- 2 rate classes<sup>4</sup> and using the forecasted kilowatt-hour ("kWh") sales for February 2020 to January
- 3 2021 results in the following EEIR amounts for the Company's customers for the remainder of the
- 4 Effective Period, beginning February 1, 2020:

TABLE 2

Service Class	MEEIA 2 Subtotal <sup>4</sup> (\$/kWh)	MEEIA 3 Subtotal (\$/kWh)	Total EEIR (\$/kWh)
1(M)-Residential Service	\$0.000858	\$0.002890	\$0.003748
2(M)-Small General Service	\$0.001732	\$0.002504	\$0.004236
3(M)-Large General Service	\$0.002375	\$0.002407	\$0.004782
4(M)-Small Primary Service	\$0.002037	\$0.002423	\$0.004460
11(M)-Large Primary Service	\$0.001006	\$0.002551	\$0.003557
12(M)-Large Transmission Service	\$0.000000	\$0.000000	\$0.000000

- 5 Filed concurrently with my direct testimony is the tariff sheet that contains the EEIR, along
- 6 with the relevant subcomponents. The new EEIR will result in charges of approximately \$3.80 per
- 7 month for an average residential customer which is a decrease from \$4.64 per month (a total
- 8 decrease of \$0.84 per month).

9

### Q. What are the main drivers for the change in the EEIR?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The allocation methodology is consistent with Ameren Missouri's stipulation approved in File No. EO-2018-0211.

A. Overall the revenue requirement request reflects an increase of \$7.3 million yet the new rate will be lower; resulting in a bill reduction. This phenomenon is driven by the fact that the first MEEIA 2019-21 rates went into effect in June 2019 which included the full first program year's costs to be collected over 8 months, while this filing includes increased costs but to be collected over 12 months, resulting in a lower rate.

The MEEIA 2019-21 revenue requirement reflects an \$11.6 million increase. This increase primarily reflects the fact that program costs and throughput disincentive are increasing in accordance with the Commission approved plan and savings goals.

The MEEIA 2016-18 revenue requirement reflects a \$4.4 million decrease. Now that MEEIA 2016-18 performance has been finalized through evaluation reports (and resulting stipulations to performance), this filing includes an earnings opportunity of \$39.6 million (inclusive of the throughput disincentive true-up) plus the rollover of MEEIA 2013-15 performance incentive. Those increases are offset by the reductions in program costs and throughput disincentive. The reduction in throughput disincentive is driven by an expected rebasing adjustment in the Company's pending rate case.

### Q. Please explain the clean-up items included in this tariff filing.

A. There are two main clean-up items: 1) eliminating expired tariff sheets related to MEEIA 2013-15; and 2) updating the tariffs to reflect a change from billing month start date to a calendar day start date.

The elimination of the MEEIA 2013-15 tariff sheets simply reflects the fact that the tariff language anticipated future dates at which any remaining over/under balances for the cost categories would rollover into the MEEIA 2016-18 collection. This approach is logical because there are no new costs for MEEIA 2013-15 and there will always be an over/under reconciliation

- balance caused by the natural variation in projected kWh sales used to set the rate and the actual
- 2 kWh sales collecting revenues. Eliminating these sheets also simplifies the tariff book by dropping
- 3 sheets that are no longer applicable.
- 4 This filing also includes updates to the tariffs to reflect a change from billing month start
- 5 date to a calendar day start date. Up to this point, rates have been applied at the start of the February
- 6 billing month (or June/October as allowed by the tariff); however, following discussions about
- 7 billing/rate application procedures with the Commission Staff it was determined starting rates on
- 8 a date certain prospectively was a cleaner approach. This change is consistent with how the
- 9 Company's Rider RESRAM is applied and the Rider FAC proposed by the Company in ER-2019-
- 10 0335. To execute this update, the proposed tariffs include changes to the definition of effective
- period and the "Filing" section in order to specify the first day of February (or June/October) as
- the first billing day. Further, as a one-time transition, the proposed tariffs also include a rates page
- specifying that the previously approved EEIR is effective until January 31, 2020. Going forward,
- the newly approved rates tariff page will include the specific start and end dates.
  - Q. What action is Ameren Missouri requesting from the Commission with respect
  - to the revised Rider EEIC rate schedule that the Company has filed?
  - A. The Company requests approval of the revised tariff pages and Rider EEIC rate
- schedule to become effective on February 1, 2020.

15

16

17

19

- Q. Are there other filing requirements which need to be provided?
- A. The rules require that Ameren Missouri provide the Annual Report required by 20
- 21 CSR 4240-20.93(9). That report was filed on May 29, 2019, in File No. EO-2019-0372. There was
- a 30-day comment period following that report's submission, no party filed comments,<sup>5</sup> and the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> While the Missouri Energy Consumers Group applied for and was granted intervention, it did not submit comments in the proceeding.

- 1 Commission subsequently closed the File on July 2, 2019. In addition, I have attached the
- 2 supporting documentation as Schedules WRD-2, WRD-3, WRD-4, WRD-5, and WRD-6.
- 3 Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?
- 4 A. Yes, it does.

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's Electric Service Tariffs Adjustment Relating To MEEIA EEIC Rider.	) File No. ER-2020- )
AFFIDAVIT OF WILLIAM	R. DAVIS
STATE OF MISSOURI ) ) ss CITY OF ST. LOUIS )	
William R. Davis, being first duly sworn on his oath, states	3:
1. My name is William R. Davis. I am emple	oyed by Ameren Missouri as Director,
Energy Solutions.	
2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for	all purposes is my Direct Testimony on
behalf of Union Electric Company, d/b/a Ameren Mis	souri, consisting of pages and
Schedule(s), all of wh	ich have been prepared in written form
for introduction into evidence in the above-referenced doc	ket.
3. I hereby swear and affirm that my answers	contained in the attached testimony to
the questions therein propounded are true and correct.  William R	han R Daves Davis
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 2)5 day of 1	
Notary Pul	de Best
My commission expires: 4e brugry 15, 2022	

GERI A. BEST
Notary Public - Notary Seal
State of Missouri
Commissioned for St. Louis County
My Commission Expires: February 15, 2022
Commission Number: 14839811