Exhibit No.:

Issues: Cost of Service Witness: Henry E. Warren

Sponsoring Party: MO PSC Staff
Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony

Case No.: ER-2012-0345

Date Testimony Prepared: January 16, 2013

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION REGULATORY REVIEW DIVISION

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

HENRY E. WARREN, Ph.D.

THE EMPRIE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY CASE NO. ER-2012-0345

Jefferson City, Missouri January 2013

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of The Empire District)	
Electric Company of Joplin, Missouri)	
Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric)	Case No. ER-2012-0345
Service Provided to Customers in the		
Missouri Service Area of the Company)	

AFFIDAVIT OF HENRY E. WARREN

STATE OF MISSOURI)
) ss
COUNTY OF COLE)

Henry E. Warren, of lawful age, on his oath states: that he has participated in the preparation of the following Rebuttal Testimony in question and answer form, consisting of ____ pages of Rebuttal Testimony to be presented in the above case, that the answers in the following Rebuttal Testimony were given by him; that he has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true to the best of his knowledge and belief.

enry E. Warren

Subscribed and sworn to before me this ______day of January, 2013.

SUSAN L. SUNDERMEYER
Notary Public - Notary Seal
State of Missouri
Commissioned for Callaway County
My Commission Expires: October 03, 2014
Commission Number: 10942086

Notary Public

1		Table of Contents	
2 3		REBUTTAL TESTIMONY	
4			
5		OF	
6		WENDY E WARDEN DE	
7		HENRY E. WARREN, Ph.D.	
8 9		EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY	
10 11		CASE NO. ER-2012-0345	
12	1	EXECUTE CLIN OLA DA	1
13	1.	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
14	2.	RESPONSE TO DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DR. ADAM BICKFORD, MDNR.	1
15	3.	STAFF RECOMMENDATION	2

1	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY
2 3	OF
5	HENRY E. WARREN, Ph.D.
6 7	EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY
8 9	CASE NO. ER-2012-0345
10 11	Q. Please state your name and business address.
12	A. My name is Henry E. Warren and my business address is Missouri Public
13	Service Commission, P. O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri, 65102.
14	Q. Are you the same Henry E. Warren who contributed to Staff's Cost of Service
15	Report filed November 30, 2012?
16	A. I am.
17	1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
18	Q. What is the purpose of your Rebuttal Testimony?
19	A. My Rebuttal Testimony will address issues in Section IV. Empire's
20	Weatherization Program Funding of the Direct Testimony of Missouri Department of Natural
21	Resources (MDNR) witness Dr. Adam Bickford regarding MDNR's position. Staff's position
22	is that, as Dr. Bickford has recommended, Empire's Tariff Sheet No. 8c needs to be updated
23	and made consistent with the current federal guidelines for expenditures on the weatherization
24	of the dwellings of Empire's qualified low income customers.
25	2. RESPONSE TO DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DR. ADAM BICKFORD, MDNR.
26	Q. To which portion of the Direct Testimony submitted by MDNR Witness, Dr.
27	Adam Bickford regarding Empire's funded low income weatherization do you wish to
28	address?

A. On page 11, lines 4-10 of his Direct Testimony Dr. Bickford states,

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

20

21

19

MDNR does not have a formal role in administering Empire's Weatherization program, but does have a concern with the average and maximum per-house funding levels in Empire's weatherization tariff. The perhouse funding level is considerably below that authorized by the federal weatherization program, and could be reducing the potential benefits of the weatherization program, if cost-effective weatherization measures are not being installed due to the stated per-house limits.

Staff recommends that Empire's Revised Tariff Sheet No. 8c containing the guidelines for the weatherization of Empire's low-income customers should be consistent with the current federal guidelines for low income weatherization of the homes of Empire's lowincome customers (Schedule HEW-1). Having the same guidelines will expedite the use of the funds from Empire and simplify reporting requirements.

3. STAFF RECOMMENDATION

- Q. What is Staff's recommendation regarding the Direct Testimony of MDNR witness Dr. Adam Bickford?
- A. Staff's recommendation is for the Commission to accept the modification of Empire's low-income weatherization tariff sheet no. 8c to be consistent with federal guidelines for low income weatherization expenditures.
 - Does this conclude your Rebuttal Testimony? Q.
 - A. Yes, it does.

E. Weatherization Program

APPLICATION:

The Residential Weatherization Program (Program) is designed to provide energy education and weatherization assistance, primarily for lower income customers. This Program is intended to assist customers through conservation, education and weatherization in reducing their use of energy and to reduce the level of bad debts experienced by The Empire District Electric Company (Company). The Company's participation in such financial incentives is limited to the funds allocated for that purpose and approved by the Demand Side Management advisory group (DSM advisory group) Customer Program Collaborative (CPC) pursuant to the Stipulation and Agreement approved by the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission) in Case No. ER-2012-0345 E0-2005-0263.

ADMINISTRATION:

The program will be administered by the Economic Security Corporation, the Ozark Area Community Action Corporation and the West Central Missouri Community Action Agency, also known in this tariff as Social Agencies, in accordance to an established formula. This formula, calculated by Missouri Department of Natural Resources, Energy Division (MDNR) DNR-Energy Center, allocates the dollars between the Social Agencies based on the total Empire accounts enrolled with Social Agency and the percentage of households in poverty within the Social Agency's service region. The formula is: (% of total Empire accounts by Social Agency times % of the annual funds available to the Social Agencies) plus (% of estimated poverty households accounts by Social Agency times % of the annual funds available to the Social Agencies).

- The program will offer grants for weatherization services to eligible customers. Customer digibility will be determined by federal low income weatherization guidelines administered by the MDNR. The program will be primarly directed to lower income customers.
- The total amount of grants offered to a customer will be determined by the federal low income weatherization guidelines administered by the MDNRtheagreement between the Company and the Social Agencies. The total amount of grants to a customer is expected to average \$1,200 (escalated by \$50 per year) with a maximum per customer of \$1,800 (escalated by \$50 per year). These funds will focus on measures that reduce electricity usage associated with electric heat, air conditioning, refrigeration, lighting, etc. Of the total funds allocated, the Social Agencies may spend up to \$200 toward the purchase of an Energy Star® rated refrigerator and \$100 toward the purchase of Energy Star® compact fluorescent lights (CFL) and lighting fixtures per home.
- 3. Program funds made available to the Social Agencies cannot be used for administrative costs except those incurred by the Social Agencies that are directly related to qualifying and assisting customers under this program. The amount of reimbursable administrative costs per participating household shall not exceed 15% of the total expenditures for each participating household.
- Social Agencies and Company agree to consult with Staff, Public Counsel, MDNRDepartment of Natural Resources, and other members of the DSM advisory group CPC during the term of the Program.
- This Program will continue for five years from the effective date of this tariff, unless otherwise ordered by the Commission. With the assistance of Social Agencies, the Company shall submit a report on the Program to the Staff, the Office of Public Counsel, the MDNR Energy Division Department of Natural Resources Energy Center and other members of the DSM advisory group CPC on or before April 16, 2007 2014 and on the same date for each succeeding year in which the Program continues. Each report will address the progress of the Program, and provide an accounting of the funds received and spent on the Program during the preceding calendar year. The report will include the following information with breakdowns for each of the participating social agencies:
 - a. Program funds provided by Company.
 - b. Amount of Program funds, if any, rolled over from previous year.
 - c. Amount of administrative funds retained by the social agency.
 - d. Number of weatherization jobs completed and total cost (excluding administrative funds) of jobs completed.
 - e. Number of weatherization jobs "in progress" at the end of the calendar year.
 - f. Number, type and total cost of baseload measures (non-heating) installed.

The report shall be subject to audit by the Commission Staff and Public Counsel.

PROGRAM FUNDING:

To the extent that the annual funds contributed exceeds the total cost expended on the Program, the amount of the excess shall be "rolled over" to be utilized for the Weatherization Program in the succeeding year. Annual funding of \$226,430 is available to the Social Agencies for this Program. are as follows:

2010 \$201,300 2012 \$226,430 2011 \$226,430 2013 \$226,430

If one of the Social Agencies Is unable to place the total dollars allocated, the unspent funds may be reallocated among the remaining Social Agencies.

DATE EFFECTIVE January xx, 2013 June

15. 2011

FILED

DATE OF ISSUE June 3. 2011 January xx, 2013 ISSUED BY Kelly S. Walters, Vice President, Joplin, MO

Missouri Public Service Commission ER-2011-0004; YE-2011-0615