Application of Osage Utility Operating Company, Inc.

WA-2019-0185 VOL 2

September 17, 2019



www.tigercr.com 573.999.2662

1	
1	STATE OF MISSOURI
2	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3	
4	
5	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
6	Evidentiary Hearing
7	September 17, 2019
8	Jefferson City, Missouri
9	Volume 2
10	
11	
12	
13	In The Matter Of The) Application Of Osage Utility)
14	Operating Company, Inc. To) File No. Acquire Certain Water And) WA-2019-0185
15	Sewer Assets And For A) Certificate of Convenience)
16	And Necessity)
17	
18	NANCY DIPPELL, Presiding
19	SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE
20	RYAN A. SILVEY, Chairman, WILLIAM P. KENNEY,
21	SCOTT T. RUPP COMMISSIONERS
22	COMMISSIONERS
23	
24	REPORTED BY: Beverly Jean Bentch, CCR No. 640
25	TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC

1	APPEARANCES	
2	MARK W. COMLEY, Attorney at Law NEWMAN, COMLEY & RUTH, PC	
3	601 Monroe Street, Suite 301 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102	
4	573.634.2266 FOR: Cedar Glen Condominium Owners Association, Inc.	
5	DEAN L. COOPER, Attorney at Law	
6 7	JENNIFER L. HERNANDEZ, Attorney at Law BRYDON, SWEARENGEN & ENGLAND, PC PO Box 456	
8	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0456 573.635.7166	
9	FOR: Osage Utility Operating Company, Inc.	
10	CALEB HALL, Senior Counsel PO Box 2230 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102	
11	573.751.5558 FOR: Office of the Public Counsel	
12	WHITNEY PAYNE, Assistant Staff Counsel	
13	MARK JOHNSON, Senior Counsel 200 Madison Street, Suite 800	
14	PO Box 360 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0360	
15	573.751.4140 FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission	
16 17	AARON ELLSWORTH, Attorney at Law ELLSWORTH & HARDWICK	
18	PO Box 250 2404 Bagnell Dam Boulevard	
19	Lake Ozark, Missouri 65049 573.693.9650	
20	FOR: Public Water Supply District #5 Lake Area Wastewater Assn., Inc.	
21	Missouri Water Assn., Inc.	
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	I N D E X	
2		Page
3	Opening Statement by Mr. Cooper Opening Statement by Ms. Payne	19 30
4	Opening Statement by Mr. Hall Opening Statement by Mr. Comley	42 59
5	Opening Statement by Mr. Ellsworth	70
6	Company's Witnesses:	
7	JOSIAH COX Direct Examination by Mr. Cooper	79
8	Cross-Examination by Ms. Payne Cross-Examination by Mr. Comley	82 84
9	In-camera Proceeding (Volume 3)	0.0
11	Continued Cross-Examination by Mr. Comley Cross-Examination by Mr. Ellsworth Continued Cross-Examination by	98 101
12	Mr. Ellsworth105 Open Hearing	
13	Cross-Examination by Mr. Ellsworth Cont'd Cross-Examination by Mr. Ellsworth	101 105
14	Questions by Chairman Silvey Questions by Commissioner Kenney	118 120
15	Questions by Commissioner Rupp Questions by Judge Dippell	122 123
16	Further Questions by Commissioner Rupp Further Cross-Examination by Ms. Payne	125 128
17	Further Cross-Examination by Mr. Comley Further Cross-Examination by Mr. Ellsworth	135
18	Further Cross-Examination by Mr. Hall Redirect Examination by Mr. Cooper	144 145
19	Further Questions by Judge Dippell	153
20	TODD THOMAS Direct Examination by Ms. Hernandez	155
21	Cross-Examination by Ms. Payne Cross-Examination by Mr. Comley	157 159
22	Cross-Examination by Mr. Ellsworth Cross-Examination by Mr. Hall	171 179
23	Questions by Judge Dippell Further Cross-Examination by Mr. Ellsworth	
24	Redirect Examination by Ms. Hernandez	190
25		

1	INDEX (Continued)	
2	(Concinded)	PAGE
3	Staff's Witnesses:	
4	NATELLE DIETRICH Direct Examination by Ms. Payne	205
5	Cross-Examination by Mr. Cooper Cross-Examination by Mr. Comley	209 210
6	Cross-Examination by Mr. Comicy Cross-Examination by Mr. Ellsworth Cross-Examination by Mr. Hall	214 220
7	Questions by Chairman Silvey Further Cross-Examination by Mr. Cooper	228 231
8	Further Cross-Examination by Mr. Comley	232 233
9	Further Cross-Examination by Mr. Ellsworth Redirect Examination by Ms. Payne	237
10	DAVID ROOS Direct Examination by Ms. Payne	242
11	Cross-Examination by Mr. Cooper	242 244 244
12	Cross-Examination by Mr. Comley Cross-Examination by Mr. Ellsworth	244 248 250
13	Cross-Examination by Mr. Hall Questions by Chairman Silvey	251
14	Questions by Commissioner Rupp Questions by Judge Dippell	253 253
15	Further Cross-Examination by Mr. Comley Further Cross-Examination by Mr. Hall	254 255
16	Redirect Examination by Ms. Payne	256
17	KIMBERLY BOLIN Direct Examination by Ms. Payne	260
18	Cross-Examination by Mr. Cooper Cross-Examination by Mr. Ellsworth	261 262
19	Cross-Examination by Mr. Hall Questions by Chairman Silvey	264 266
20	Questions by Commissioner Rupp Questions by Judge Dippell	274 275
21	Further Cross-Examination by Mr. Cooper Redirect Examination by Ms. Payne	276 278
22		
23		
24		
25		

1		EXHIBIT INDEX	
		Recei	ived
2	Company's	Exhibits: Direct Testimony of Josiah Cox	81
3		Schedule JC-2C (Public)	81
5		Schedule JC-2C	01
4		(Confidential)	81
	3PDirect	Schedule JC-3C(Public)	81
5	3CDirect	Schedule JC-3C	
c	4DD	(Confidential) Schedule JC-13C (Public)	81 81
6		Schedule JC-13C (Public)	81
7	TODITOO	(Confidential)	81
	5	Surrebuttal Testimony of Josiah Cox	81
8	6	Direct Testimony of Todd Thomas	157
	7	Surrebuttal Testimony of Todd Thomas	157
9	8	Osage Water Facilities	
10	Staff's Ex	zhihita:	
10	100P	Direct Testimony of Natelle Dietrich	
11		(Public)	209
	100C	Direct Testimony of Natelle Dietrich	
12		(Confidential)	209
1 2	101	Surrebuttal Testimony of Natelle	0.00
13	102	Dietrich Surrebuttal Testimony of Kimberly K.	209
14	102	Bolin	261
	103	Surrebuttal Testimony of Scott J.	
15		Glasgow	280
	104	Surrebuttal Testimony of David C.	
16	1055	Roos	243
17	105P	Supplemental Testimony of Natelle Dietrich with Revised Staff	
/		Recommendation (Public)	209
18	105C	Supplemental Testimony of Natelle	_ 0 /
		Dietrich with Revised Staff	
19		Recommendation (Confidential)	209
2.0	106	Minimum Design Standards for	1 2 5
20		Missouri Community Water Systems	135
21	Joint Bido	ders:	
	402	Report of Receiver 12/24/15	139
22	403	Report of Receiver 5/12/17	139
0.0	0.5.5.	the Dalle General	
23	Office of 200	the Public Counsel:	224
24	201	Data Request 23 Data Request 25	228
٠ ـ	201	Data Request 25	220
25			

PROCEEDINGS

JUDGE DIPPELL: We'll go ahead and go on the record. All right. Good morning. This is September 17, 2019. We're here for an evidentiary hearing in Case No. WA-2019-0185. My name is Nancy Dippell. I'm the Regulatory Law Judge assigned to preside over this matter. And we've, like I say, come here today. This is In the Matter of the Application of Osage Utility Operating Company, Inc. to Acquire Certain Water and Sewer Assets and for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity.

We bifurcated this hearing. It was originally involving both Osage Water and the Reflections Water and Sewer Systems. We bifurcated the hearing to only address the application with regard to the Osage Water Company. Is Reflections present today or Great Southern Bank? Okay. I just wanted to double check because I had excused them, but it dawned on me that they may not have wanted to be excused from this particular hearing.

So we'll begin then with entries of appearance. Osage Utility Operating Company?

MR. COOPER: Thank you, Your Honor. Dean
Cooper and Jennifer Hernandez of the law firm of Brydon,
Swearengen & England, PC appearing on behalf of Osage
Utility Operating Company, Inc. The court reporter has

1	the address.
2	JUDGE DIPPELL: Commission Staff?
3	MS. PAYNE: Whitney Payne and Mark Johnson on
4	behalf of the Staff of the Missouri Public Service
5	Commission, and our information has been previously
6	provided to the court reporter.
7	JUDGE DIPPELL: Office of Public Counsel?
8	MR. HALL: Good morning, Judge. Caleb Hall
9	appearing on behalf of the Office of Public Counsel. My
10	contact information has also been previously provided to
11	the court reporter.
12	JUDGE DIPPELL: Public Water Supply District?
13	MR. ELLSWORTH: Good morning. Aaron
14	Ellsworth. I represent Public Water Supply District No.
15	5, Lake Area Wastewater Association, and Missouri Water
16	Association. I've also prefiled my information.
17	JUDGE DIPPELL: Cedar Glen Condominium Owners
18	Association?
19	MR. COMLEY: Thank you, Judge. Let the record
20	reflect the entry of Mark W. Comley and Newman, Comley $\&$
21	Ruth on behalf of Cedar Glen Condominium Owners
22	Association. Like everybody else, our business address
23	has been supplied to the court reporter already.
24	JUDGE DIPPELL: And I previously asked and no
25	one for Great Southern or the Reflections Condominium

2.5

Owners Association is present. So initial remarks. If all would please silence your electronic devices, that would be very much appreciated. We previously before we went on the record I assigned blocks of numbers to the prefiled exhibits or to the parties for their exhibits. We'll take those up as they're offered. I will assign a specific number to those.

So we have some pending motions to strike.

Cedar Glen Condominium Owners Association filed a motion to strike portions of the testimony of Todd Thomas and Josiah Cox. Public Water Supply District and Lake Area Wastewater Association and Missouri Water Association filed motions to strike portions of Todd Thomas and Josiah Cox's testimony. And there was a motion to strike filed by Osage Utility Operating Company to strike portions of testimony and limit the scope of the proceeding. I am going to deny all of those motions to strike. That's all I'm going to say about that. I'm going to deny those.

With regard to the alternative motion for leave to file testimony in response, obviously we're here at the hearing. So I'm not going to delay the procedural schedule to allow written testimony to be filed. However, even though the normal proceeding is to have surrebuttal and that be the final word besides

cross-examination, I think that the issues raised in these cases, that being the qualifications of the alternative bidders, or Joint Bidders, whether or not there's a better alternative or an alternative that is less detrimental to the public interest or more in the public interest. I think those are important issues that the Commission is going to need in making its decision. So what I'm going to allow is on direct I'm going to allow the intervenors to elicit testimony on direct about that surrebuttal issue.

And again, this is unusual but this is an unusual case. It's a case that with regard to Osage Water Company is obviously a company well known to the Commission, been in receivership for a long time, been in bankruptcy, and I think that the Commission needs every fact and every bit of information that it can to make a good decision so that we can get this utility taken care of.

Also, the Commission directed staff to file a revised recommendation specifically wanting information about the acquisition premium and the way that bifurcating the hearing had affected that and Staff did file supplemental testimony on Friday. And I'm going to give the parties an opportunity, and I said so in the Order, the Commission said in its Order that it would

of violation as well.

give the parties an opportunity to present rebuttal to that new information. So again on your direct testimony I will allow direct testimony in rebuttal to that new information that was provided by Staff. We're not going to go down the road of rehashing the entire direct testimony, but you will have an opportunity to respond to the new information provided by Staff.

So are there any questions about that?

MR. ELLSWORTH: Your Honor, one question.

With respect to our alternative motion, there are a number of documents that I requested through data requests of Osage Utility Operating Company with respect to the systems operated by Central States just basically asking for their notices of violation as well. That hasn't been produced yet. They needed additional time. I understand that. I was in the same position previously. I've also made a request, Sunshine request to the Missouri Department of Natural Resources. I'd ask permission to file those documents at a later date as well when they are provided. I think they are relevant in the fact that it will show — they obviously want to show we have notices of violation. I think it's fair that we have the opportunity to show their notices

JUDGE DIPPELL: Let's address that when we get

to cross-examination on that point. I don't want --1 2 Like I say, I'm not going to delay the hearing waiting 3 on those. MR. ELLSWORTH: Absolutely. MR. COOPER: Yeah --5 6 JUDGE DIPPELL: Go ahead, Mr. Cooper. 7 MR. COOPER: I'm sorry, Judge. The point that 8 we would make, too, is that time hasn't passed to 9 respond to those. We're not sitting here today because 10 the Company is late in responding to any data requests. 11 JUDGE DIPPELL: I understand. Let's address 12 that when we get to cross-examination on that topic. 13 there any other questions or preliminary? MR. COOPER: I would ask for I think one 14 15 consideration given how you've outlined how we're going 16 to proceed today and that is perhaps after opening 17 statements and before we go to our first witness if we 18 could break for a few minutes just to talk about how we 19 want to handle that I would appreciate it. 20 JUDGE DIPPELL: We can take a break after Sounds reasonable. All right then. I think 21 opening. 22 we can go ahead and begin with those openings. So we'll 23 begin -- This is going to be a little complicated 24 because there are so many long-named parties and 25 acronyms and we're talking about Osage Water Company but

the applicant is Osage Utility Operating Company. So I 1 2 just want to make sure that everybody tries to be clear so that the record reflects when you're talking about 3 4 Osage if you're talking about Osage Water Company or 5 Osage Utility and maybe we can come up with a better 6 reference for the applicant. If you'll try to be clear about which entity you're actually questioning witnesses 7 8 about. 9 All right. Let's go ahead and begin then with 10 the Company, Osage Utility Operating Company. 11 MR. COOPER: Good morning. My name is Dean 12 Cooper. I'm representing Osage Utility Operating 13 Company, Inc. this morning in its application in this 14 case. 15 In this hearing, of course, we're going to address OUOC's application to purchase certificates of 16 17 convenience and necessity and water and sewer assets of Osage Water Company. Good thing we handed it out. 18 19 That's kind of small on the screen. 20 At present, Osage Water Company provides water 21 service to approximately 402 customers and sewer service 22 to approximately 420 customers in Camden County, 23 Missouri. This includes four service areas: The Cedar

Glen service area, which I'm sure you'll hear more about

today, which has approximately 216 water connections and

24

2.5

2.5

216 sewer connections, Chelsea Rose service that has approximately 42 water connections and 38 sewer connections, Cimarron Bay service area with about 110 water connections and sewer connections, and the Highway KK service area which has two subdivisions, Eagle Woods and Golden Glade. In Eagle Woods, there's currently 33 homes connected to the water system and wastewater service is provided to 33 homes in that same subdivision and 23 homes in the Golden Glade subdivision.

The Staff's recommendation, which is a part of Ms. Dietrich's testimony in this case, describes these, does a pretty nice job of describing these systems at a high level.

Due to certain decisions by company management, failure to properly construct, and failure to properly maintain the water and sewer systems, there are several compliance issues that need to be addressed. Some of the facilities are operating without permits from DNR; and at least one wastewater treatment system is in such a state of repair that wastewater is bypassing treatment processes.

Osage Water, as the judge alluded to, has had a difficult past. It first obtained a CCN in 1989 to operate as a water and sewer utility. It obtained a variety of certificates after that. By December 10 of

2002, the Commission had issued a Report and Order indicating this Osage had been effectively abandoned by its owners and that it was unable or unwilling to provide safe and adequate service to its customers.

Osage Water Company was placed into permanent receivership in October of 2005 by the Camden County Circuit Court. At that time in 2005, the Circuit Court further directed the receiver to liquidate Osage Water Company's assets as quickly as practical on terms that protect the interests of the customers. So here we are about 14 years later trying to work a sale.

In October of 2017, with permission from the circuit court, Osage Water Company filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy and a bankruptcy trustee was appointed.

Osage Water Company's assets were liquidated by the bankruptcy trustee using a bidding procedure, and on November 14 of 2018, the bankruptcy court issued an order approving the sale of Osage Water Company's assets and naming Central States Water Resources, the affiliate of Osage Utility Operating Company, as the successful bidder.

OUOC, or Osage Utility Operating Company, is an affiliate, as I say, of Central States Water Resources. Several Central States Water Resources affiliates are public utilities authorized to provide

water and sewer service in Missouri subject to the regulation of this Commission.

Those include Hillcrest Utility Operating
Company, Elm Hills Utility Operating Company, Raccoon
Creek Utility Operating Company, Indian Hills Utility
Operating Company and Confluence Rivers Utility
Operating Company. Central States affiliates have
purchased 22 wastewater treatment plants with associated
facilities. They have in Missouri designed, permitted,
and completed construction with Missouri DNR approval of
approximately \$5.1 million of sanitary sewer investments
since 2015.

On the drinking side, drinking water side of the business, Central Water States Resources -- or Central States Water Resources affiliated companies have purchased 13 drinking water systems in Missouri and Arkansas, and in Missouri that's included approximately \$4.1 million of investments in drinking water systems since 2015.

Osage Utility Operating Company has the technical, managerial, and financial capability to own and operate the systems and provide safe and adequate service for the customers. The standard for us to address today is the not detrimental to the public standard, and it comes from a 1934 Missouri Supreme

Court case which recognized first that owners of property have a constitutional right to determine whether to sell their property or not and to deny them that right would be to deny them an important -- an incident important to ownership of property. A property owner should be allowed to sell his property unless it would be detrimental to the public.

Now, in further explaining that, the Court pointed out that it is not the Commission's province to insist that the public shall be benefited as a condition to change of ownership but their duty is to see that no such change shall be made as would work to the public detriment. In the public interest in such cases can reasonably mean no more than not detrimental to the public.

We would assert that Osage Utility Operating Company's acquisition of the identified assets is not only not detrimental, it's of benefit to the systems, customers, and public interest as compared to the status quo. As described before, Osage Utility Operating Company brings proven experience in the rehabilitation, operation, management, and investment in small water and sewer facilities. And in this case that would be to systems that have been essentially treading water for the last 14 years or so.

2.5

Osage Utility Operating Company would seek to provide service after closing of the proposed transaction under the same water and sewer tariffs currently applicable to the Osage Water Company service area and to charge the same rates currently applicable to the Osage Water Company service area. Neither the rates nor the tariff provisions may be changed in the future without the approval of this Commission.

Osage Utility Operating Company has requested a debt acquisition adjustment equal to the difference between the total purchase price and the net original cost for the Osage Water Company systems. This is in accordance with the Commission's relatively new nonviable utility acquisition rule.

We believe that it's not controverted that the purchase agreement is the result of an arm's length transaction between the parties, whereas it was the result of an auction or bid conducted by the United States Bankruptcy Court.

Osage is a viable utility and will not be materially impaired by the acquisition of these systems and the acquisition of all the Osage Water Company utility systems, all requiring some level of investment in improvements, is unlikely to occur without the probability of obtaining an acquisition adjustment.

Within the Commission's Acquisition of
Nonviable Utilities Rules, the rule states in part that
if the Commission determines the request for an
acquisition incentive is in the public interest, it
shall grant the request. The Commission may apply an
acquisition incentive in the applicant's next general
rate proceeding following acquisition of a nonviable
utility if the Commission determines it will not result
in unjust or unreasonable rates.

We read this rule to mean that in this proceeding the Commission need not specify a particular dollar amount for this acquisition premium; that it is enough for the Commission to look at the facts of the case to find that an acquisition premium is in the public interest given the condition of Osage Water Company but that the amount can and ultimately should be addressed, the amount I suppose if any, can and should be addressed in the next rate case where the Commission has before it the actual rate information and is considering all relevant factors to determine what is or isn't a just and reasonable rate at that time.

The Staff has proposed that the Commission impose 14 conditions in conjunction with approval of the proposed acquisition of the Osage Water Company assets.

Osage Utility Operating Company has no objection to

those conditions that have been proposed by the staff. The opposition's arguments essentially are that this Commission will not do its job in the future to ensure that safe and adequate service is provided at just and reasonable rates. Their solution to that identified problem is that this Osage Utility Operating Company application be denied in hopes that the Osage Water Company properties will instead be purchased by unregulated entities that have not been examined in this proceeding for their technical, managerial, or financial abilities. This is not a solution that should be accepted by the Commission.

As was stated by the Office of the Public Counsel in its position statement, there is no detriment to the public interest when safe and adequate service is provided at just and reasonable rates. Osage Utility Operating Company's status as a regulated entity will necessarily result in safe and adequate service at just and reasonable rates because of the continued regulation by this Commission.

Osage Utility Operating Company's acquisition of the Osage Water Company utility assets and CCN should be approved by this Commission subject to the conditions proposed by the Staff of the Commission and a finding from the Commission that an acquisition premium is in

the public interest. That's all I have.

2.5

JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you. Are there any questions for Mr. Cooper? Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Thank you. What can the Commission consider or not consider when determining what is not detrimental to the public interest?

MR. COOPER: Well, that's a broad question. We certainly, of course, made a motion to strike alleging that we think that what you should consider is a comparison between the transaction that's proposed in the application versus essentially status quo, will that acquisition be not detrimental as compared to the world as it exists today.

I know that the Commission has denied that motion, because Judge Dippell told us that earlier today, but I still think that your focus needs to be on the actual transaction that's before you; that you have in this case an application that asks you to approve an agreement that's been ordered by the bankruptcy court. It's the first, even though there will be other allegations that there are backup bidders, that's true. That is the deal that's before you here and that's the deal that the bankruptcy court has identified and issued an order approving at this point in time, and so I think it's that transaction that should be the Commission's

1 focus.

CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Did the bankruptcy court give a reason for selecting this bid over the other bids?

MR. COOPER: Well, there was a process, and I wasn't intimately -- I wasn't involved at all in the bankruptcy court proceeding, but there certainly was a process that led to the selection of this transaction. I think it started by the fact that the only timely bid received by the bankruptcy court was from Central States Water Resources.

Later, after I think a bid was received late, the Court reopened the process and established a procedure for the bid based upon how that had sorted out.

CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Did the bankruptcy trustee or the bankruptcy court factor in the acquisition premium recovery when considering these bids?

MR. COOPER: I don't know that they did. I'd be surprised. Of course, in the end, whether Osage Utility Operating Company buys the operation or assuming that what's been referred to in the case in some places as the Joint Bidders buy it, it appears that the price will be the same. So whether you call a part of that an acquisition premium or you don't, it seems like the

1	purchaser is going to have paid the same amount of money
2	for those assets.
3	CHAIRMAN SILVEY: That's all I have at this
4	time.
5	JUDGE DIPPELL: Are there any other Commission
6	questions?
7	COMMISSIONER KENNEY: I have no questions.
8	Thank you, Mr. Cooper.
9	JUDGE DIPPELL: Commissioner Rupp?
10	COMMISSIONER RUPP: Just following up on
11	Chairman Silvey's questions, so in the bankruptcy
12	bidding, Osage is the only one that had a timely bid,
13	then they reopened the bid process after other bids came
14	in late and then they still chose your company?
15	MR. COOPER: That's my understanding, yes.
16	COMMISSIONER RUPP: Thank you.
17	JUDGE DIPPELL: I just have one question I
18	wanted to clarify. You referred to Osage Utility
19	Operating Company as an affiliate of Central States
20	Water Resources. Can you explain that structure just a
21	little bit?
22	MR. COOPER: They have similar if not
23	identical ownership. Central States Water Resources is
24	the manager essentially of Osage, but the ultimate
25	parent is CSWR, LLC.

JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. I just wanted to get 1 2 that clarified because it's a little confusing. MR. COOPER: Before I finish, I would like to 3 4 go back for a second to Commissioner Rupp's question. Ι 5 do want to point out that in the testimony there's 6 testimony about the bidding procedures and how the 7 bankruptcy court got to where it got and something 8 called a stalking-horse procedure was used. 9 I don't want to leave you with the impression that at the end of the day it was a simple choosing. 10 11 There was a lot more going on there. 12 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you, Mr. Cooper. We can 13 proceed then with Staff. 14 MS. PAYNE: Thank you. May it please the 15 Commission. Oftentimes when studying the law, it is 16 said that one should not lose sight of the forest for 17 the trees. It's very easy to find one's self in a case such as this so focused on the details that the parties 18 19 have laid out in making their case that we lose sight of 20 that forest. 21 The application that has been placed before 22 this Commission by the Osage Utility Operating Company 23 asks for two things: Authorization to acquire the 24 assets and certificates of convenience and necessity of

the Osage Water Company. It also asks for an

2.5

acquisition premium under the provisions of the recently implemented Commission Rule 10.085.

2.5

In regard to Osage Utility Operating Company's request to acquire the assets of Osage Water Company, Staff conducted its investigation in accordance with normal practice for an acquisition case. In the case of a presently regulated system such as Osage Water Company, Staff considers whether the acquisition would be detrimental to the public interest.

My policy witness Natelle Dietrich can explain more about the standard, but it's been laid out by Mr. Cooper in his opening. So I won't bore you with all of the details of that again. I will suffice to say that the Commission has utilized this standard since it was laid out by the Supreme Court of Missouri when considering all transfer of asset cases for water and sewer systems.

Staff utilized the standard in this case and has recommended Commission approval of the Company's request based on our position that this acquisition would not be detrimental to the public interest. In fact, if you find that this is not detrimental to the public interest, the same Supreme Court case that set out this standard says that you must approve the request for acquisition.

2.5

Staff in its recommendation has also included several proposed requirements for the Company to comply with, which the Company has agreed to, and which Staff continues to support now. Staff's witness Scott Glasgow of its customer experience department will be present to discuss some of those recommendations and any other concerns regarding the customer service considerations that are at issue here.

When reviewing an application to acquire water and sewer systems, Staff also considers the technical, managerial, and financial capacity, as Mr. Cooper explained earlier. It's further explained in Staff's recommendation, along with the facts that Staff applied, in order to come to its recommendation that this company has met the technical, managerial, and financial requirements. They originate from Section 640.115 and are also included in the Code of State Regulations for Safe Water Drinking Commission.

Staff recommends, as stated, that they have met the technical, managerial, and financial standards as evidenced by the affiliates to the Osage Utility Operating Company and the facts of this case.

Several parties in this matter have attempted to muddy the waters by arguing that the Commission might consider alternative purchasers for the Osage systems.

However, the Commission has in the past provided guidance as to the weight that should be given competing proposals such as in In re Utilicorp United in which the Commission stated the competing proposals in that case were not relevant to the question of whether the transaction at issue was detrimental to the public. And Staff believes the same is true here.

The Commission is instilled with the authority to review and come to a determination regarding an application presented before it for the acquisition of systems. The Commission should review only the entity which filed to acquire the systems and whether that acquisition would be detrimental to the public interest. While arguments have also been raised by the parties that the Commission should consider proposed improvements and future potential rate increases, none of those arguments are rooted in certainty at this stage. What is rooted in certainty is that the Company has agreed to Staff's conditions outlined in its recommendation. That includes charging existing rates for at least 24 months from the date of acquisition prior to coming in for a rate case.

The Osage systems are historically in disrepair which led to this Commission placing them in receivership 14 years ago. Staff reviewed the

improvements proposed by the Osage Utility Operating 1 2 Company and generally believes them to be reasonable. Staff's witness David Roos can comment on his 3 investigation of the system status at the time of 4 Staff's review for this case. 5 Since appointing a 6 receiver, conditions at Osage have only worsened, which 7 led to the bankruptcy filing two years ago. Missouri's 8 courts have declared that a property owner has a 9 constitutional right to determine whether to sell their 10 property or not, and that right should not be denied 11 unless it would be detrimental to the public. 12 After 14 years of receivership, Staff stands 13 behind the recommendation that the bankruptcy auction 14 proceeded accordingly and that the Osage Utility 15 Operating Company should have the ability to acquire the 16 systems without being detrimental to the public 17 interest. 18 Now, the second issue that I referenced 19 earlier is to decide whether the Osage Utility Operating 20 Company should receive an acquisition premium in 21 conjunction with its purchase. The Commission implemented Rule 10.085 in January of this year. 22 23 entity has previously sought an acquisition premium 24 pursuant to the rule prior to this proceeding. 25 Staff reviewed the rule and applied each of

the criteria to the facts of this case and has determined that the application here meets each of those criteria. Section 393.145.1 explains that the procedure for placing a water or sewer utility into receivership as the Commission finding that the utility is unable or unwilling to provide safe and adequate service or has been actually or effectively abandoned by its owners. Then it may petition a circuit court to place that utility under the control and responsibility of a receiver.

Osage Water Company has been in receivership for 14 years. When it was placed in receivership, this Commission said its debts totaled \$1.13 million and the systems were teetering on the edge of an abyss. The purpose of 10.85 is to encourage acquisition of nonviable water or sewer utilities through an incentive to utilities with the resources to rehabilitate the nonviable utility in a reasonable time frame.

Osage Water Company is the perfect example of such a nonviable utility that is contemplated by the purpose of this rule. Some parties have argued that Osage Utility Operating Company would have acquired the Osage systems regardless of the opportunity for an acquisition premium. Unfortunately there's no way to know what might have happened in an alternate universe,

but Staff based its recommendation for approval on the statement of Josiah Cox that absent approval of an acquisition of premium his company would need to reevaluate its position on purchasing the systems included in this application. This statement is supported by the history of the system regarding past attempts of other entities to purchase the Osage Water systems evidencing that the acquisition would not have occurred without the provision of the acquiring entity to obtain an acquisition premium.

Staff's witness Kim Bolin can comment further on Staff's position regarding the acquisition premium recommendation, and Staff witness James Busch worked on Staff's amended recommendation and updated acquisition premium pursuant to the order of this Commission. He will be available this afternoon should anyone have any questions regarding the updated recommendation specifically.

In conclusion, there are certainly a lot of considerations that this Commission is charged with through law when considering the acquisition of one entity by another, but it is important to remember that before getting bogged down in various claims by the parties the Commission is not being asked to approve a rate increase or issue a decision regarding any proposed

1	improvements at this time. The Commission is just being
2	asked if approving the acquisition of Osage Water
3	Company's assets by Osage Utility Operating Company
4	would be detrimental to the public interest. Thank you
5	and I'm happy to answer any questions.
6	JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you. Mr. Chairman?
7	CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Thank you. Just a few
8	questions. So you mentioned that the updates and
9	improvements are needed and you think are generally
10	reasonable. Do you think the cost estimates for those
11	improvements are prudent and reasonable as well?
12	MS. PAYNE: I mean, personally I'm not an
13	engineer and I don't know as far as that goes.
14	Certainly my witnesses can explain a little bit more. I
15	would say that we do not rely on estimates necessarily.
16	I mean, when it comes time to actually consider the
17	costs of the improvements, it would be in a rate case
18	and we would do a full prudency evaluation. So I think
19	that there's too much uncertainty in estimates, but
20	generally Staff has reviewed and agrees that the
21	improvements would be necessary and that the costs are
22	consistent with those necessary improvements.
23	CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Okay. And how much are the
24	Osage system assets worth?

1	would like me to put on there. I think they're worth
2	whatever a company is willing to buy them for. But
3	obviously my witness Kim Bolin can explain more about
4	the actual worth of the assets.
5	CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Can the Commission in a
6	future rate case determine that only the lower bids
7	should be recovered through rates?
8	MS. PAYNE: Certainly I think you could. I
9	haven't evaluated that specifically.
10	CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Do you know what the current
11	rate for customers are in Osage?
12	MS. PAYNE: I actually do not remember off the
13	top of my head.
14	CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Do you know how long it's
15	been since there's been an increase?
16	MS. PAYNE: Prior to the receivership, if I'm
17	not mistaken. I apologize. My witnesses are going to
18	be able to answer the specifics a little bit better than
19	me.
20	CHAIRMAN SILVEY: So that would be over 14
21	years ago
22	MS. PAYNE: I believe so.
23	CHAIRMAN SILVEY: since they've had an
24	increase?
25	MR. COOPER: Chairman, I think closer to ten,

1	2009.
2	CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Ten?
3	MR. COOPER: Ten years.
4	CHAIRMAN SILVEY: So if the sale is approved,
5	how much do you anticipate customers' rates increasing
6	over the next five years?
7	MS. PAYNE: I mean, Staff has not done an
8	evaluation of rate increase at this time. That's not
9	what's being considered here. I think the Company has
10	given some projections and certainly other parties have
11	given some projections too.
12	CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Is it Staff's position that
13	an acquisition incentive is appropriate when there are
14	other intervenors and bidders in the bankruptcy court
15	who have submitted credible purchases?
16	MS. PAYNE: Staff's position is that this rule
17	was implemented specifically for cases such as this, to
18	our understanding, and that if a company meets the
19	elements of the rule it is proper to grant an
20	acquisition premium regardless of competing bids.
21	CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Okay. Thank you.
22	COMMISSIONER KENNEY: I have no questions.
23	JUDGE DIPPELL: Commissioner Rupp?
24	COMMISSIONER RUPP: How many times has
25	entities attempted to purchase these assets in the past

1	and those have failed?
2	MS. PAYNE: We have evidence of two
3	specifically previously, and we also believe that
4	there's at least a third time these were attempted for
5	purchase.
6	COMMISSIONER RUPP: And those failed because?
7	MS. PAYNE: I would not even begin to comment
8	on why another company backed out or why attempted
9	purchase failed, but I believe that it's probably the
10	extreme disrepair of the systems and the concern of any
11	business person that they would not be able to recoup
12	any kind of a reasonable return on them.
13	COMMISSIONER RUPP: So are these like
14	expressions of interest or were they actually walking
15	down the path towards purchasing the assets?
16	MS. PAYNE: My understanding is that in all
17	cases that they reached the contract portion.
18	COMMISSIONER RUPP: Thank you.
19	MR. JOHNSON: If I may. I know there is at
20	least one instance where I think Missouri-American filed
21	with the Commission to purchase a portion of the system
22	and in that instance it was decided that because it was
23	not the entire system being acquired it would be
24	detrimental to the public interest.
25	COMMISSIONER RUPP: Thank you.

1	JUDGE DIPPELL: I just had one question. You
2	cited that Utilicorp case as pointing to the Commission
3	not considering the other bidders. But in that case
4	weren't the bids withdrawn in that case?
5	MS. PAYNE: They were withdrawn in that case.
6	However, the Commission made the statement regardless of
7	the fact that they were withdrawn.
8	JUDGE DIPPELL: And isn't there also a court
9	case that says something to the effect that the
10	Commission can't can't not consider an acquisition
11	premium in making these kinds of decisions?
12	MS. PAYNE: Yes. There is a case that says
13	that I actually have it here and that was State ex
14	rel. Martiny Creek. It does say that the Commission can
15	consider an acquisition premium in the course of
16	determining whether something is or is not detrimental
17	to the public interest.
18	JUDGE DIPPELL: If the Commission must
19	consider the acquisition premiums, I believe there was a
20	case that was remanded to the Commission because it
21	didn't consider an acquisition premium. If I'm correct
22	on that, wouldn't it follow that the Commission should
23	also consider any rate increases that might take place
24	as a result of the transaction?
25	MS. PAYNE: I think the difference is an

1 acquisition premium is something that is affecting the 2 actual acquisition whereas a rate increase you won't know what the potential rate impact is until after 3 improvements are completed. I think that's the 4 5 difference. Additionally, of course, our new 6 Acquisition Premium Incentive Rule has only recently 7 been implemented and a higher court hasn't had an 8 opportunity to consider that factor yet. 9 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. This will all be things 10 that I'll want everybody to be sure and include in your 11 briefs. 12 MS. PAYNE: Absolutely. 13 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. We can proceed then with Office of Public Counsel. 14 15 MR. HALL: Good morning and may it please the 16 Commission. As I said in my introductions, my name is 17 Caleb Hall appearing on behalf of the Office of Public 18 I should start my opening for the convenience Counsel.

Commission. As I said in my introductions, my name is Caleb Hall appearing on behalf of the Office of Public Counsel. I should start my opening for the convenience of the Commission and the court reporter to explain that when I say "Central States," I'm referring to Central States Water Resources which I'll refer to generally in place of saying Osage Utility Operating Company since they're affiliates. When I say the "Osage Company" or "Osage Water Systems," I'm referring to the assets in question, Osage Water Company. And when I say "Joint"

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

Bidders," I'm referring collectively to Public Water Supply District No. 5, Missouri Water Association, and Lake Area Wastewater Association. That way then it's all conveniently put together.

2.5

Public Counsel stands here today asking you for two things. One, to deny the transfer of the CCN from the Osage systems to Central States because it's a detriment to the public interest. Secondly, notwithstanding our first ask to deny an acquisition incentive for Central States acquisition of the Osage systems.

Public Counsel's point as to the CCN's transfer is premised on the public interest. Approving a CCN transfer is detrimental to the public interest when it forecloses other efficiently available water operators that can provide the same safe and adequate service at a more efficient cost. Central States' argument that it's the best utility to service the Osage systems is premised on this Commission ignoring all other options. When other parties presented those options to this Commission, Central States filed motions to strike that testimony. They want you to ignore any other alternatives you have.

Thankfully Missouri case law is clear that this Commission is free to consider alternatives, and

here are the options you have in this case. Option A. Central States, a company with a history of acquiring failing water utility companies and then repairing them to such a level that result in rates in exponentially raise in part because the company has historically used a cost of debt as high as nearly 14 percent.

Central States in this case is also proposing over \$2 million in repairs for the Osage systems and requesting an acquisition incentive on top of the return it would get in the future. Option B. You have three currently viable operators, the Joint Bidders. They are asking to take on the system at the same purchase price that Central States has requested. However, without an acquisition incentive, with repairs that are far less than estimates, at sometimes depending on the system 200 percent less and with bond financing to repair the systems at 3.5 percent. The customers of Cedar Glen portion of the Osage systems have also come in support of the Joint Bidders' proposal and OPC joins them at this time as we see it as a far more efficient alternative.

I invite you to ask OPC witness Kerri Roth to explain what potential ramifications any of these two options will have on customers in the future.

Again, Commission, consider that if safe and

adequate service can be provided at a more efficient cost, then it's incumbent upon just and reasonable principles for this Commission to foster that efficient cost.

2.5

In this case you've been presented with differing repair estimates and differing options going forward. Let's look in the actual record. You have Central States' argument. You have five parties on one side and Staff's recommendation that did not consider other potential operators when considering the CCN transfer and admitted that it considered Central States repair estimates as just conceptual. They have not voiced any opinion otherwise on the repair estimates. When you have five versus one, you have a clear record in favor of one option over the other, and we ask this Commission consider that at this time.

As for OPC's second point, the acquisition incentive rule reads that an incentive can only be granted to a company if the acquisition would be unlikely to occur without the probability of obtaining that incentive. All the evidence in this record will show that the acquisition is actually highly likely to incur without the acquisition incentive.

Consider what is the evidence supporting Central States' argument that it would not buy the

system but for the acquisition incentive. The only evidence so far in this record is Josiah Cox's statement to that effect and the testimony of one Staff witness Kim Bolin who merely relied upon Josiah Cox's statement.

2.5

What's the evidence to the contrary though?

First consider Central States' express business model.

This is a company with a well known history of purchasing failing water systems to then repair them for the promise of future returns.

Besides the several that have already been purchased in Missouri, Central States has already moved into Arkansas, Kentucky, Tennessee, and Louisiana amounting to over a hundred pending and acquired systems. You can go to their website right now and read their statement, their policy statement. This is their business model.

The great thing about business models is you don't need to incentivize them to follow them. As the libertarian adage goes, the way you get a business model to follow through is government gets out of the way. Then consider the alternative -- or I'm sorry, not the alternative. Consider the timeline.

In 2015, Central States first offered to purchase the Osage systems for a far less price than the 800,000 they settled on now. Then they came back in

2017 and tried to purchase the system again for even less than the 2015 offer. Central States then formed the current holding company that is the current applicant in this case for, as Staff's recommendation states, for the explicit purpose of purchasing and acquiring the Osage Water Company.

Central States then took the effort and due diligence to negotiate its position as the stalking-horse bidder during the bankruptcy auction ensuring that it would win by default in the event of a matching bid. During the bankruptcy auction, you had Missouri-American Water and the Joint Bidders who participated as well. However, Central States continued to raise the bid price by matching those bids until the final purchase price was nearly double what the initial offer was which again was an offer that Central States had negotiated by becoming the stalking-horse bidder.

Then this Commission can also consider that Central States initially brought this action by having two joined utility systems, Osage and Reflections. When Reflections sought to leave this proceeding because the Reflections' assets had been sold to some of the Joint Bidders, Central States not only opposed their removal from this proceeding before this Commission. They've now run to the Camden County Circuit Court and have

2.5

demanded that an unwilling seller divest its property to Central States. I find this kind of ironic considering we just saw a presentation from Central States' counsel talking about how property owners have a constitutional right to divest their property as they see fit. This seems to be a running theme for this company.

Central States has another pending case before this Commission, Port Perry, where Central States is asserting a right to own and operate a water system despite what the residents have voiced at the public hearing. Bear in mind that public -- that the Joint Bidders already have an outstanding contract to purchase the Osage Water Company systems.

If this CCN transfer is denied, they have an outstanding contract to then receive the systems without the acquisition incentive. Clearly this acquisition would occur without the probability of an acquisition incentive.

At this time actually I would like to address one of Commissioner Silvey's questions. You had asked about the bankruptcy proceeding that I just went through had considered the acquisition incentive. I think it's worth noting that the Acquisition Incentive Rule hadn't even been codified at that time. So you had Central States that was undergoing these bankruptcy bid

1	procedures without the Acquisition Incentive Rule even
2	being technically available for the Company to request.
3	With this record in mind, Public Counsel asks
4	that this Commission deny the CCN transfer and
5	notwithstanding our first request otherwise deny the
6	acquisition incentive requested by Central States. I'm
7	available for questions at this time. Otherwise, if
8	there are more technical matters, I believe my witness
9	can provide a much more coherent response. Thank you.
10	JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Chairman, did you have
11	questions?
12	CHAIRMAN SILVEY: I do. Thanks. The system
13	is in dire need of upgrade; is that right?
14	MR. HALL: Yeah, there's no dispute that
15	repairs are most certainly needed. There's a dispute as
16	to what repairs are needed to achieve safe and adequate
17	service at that level.
18	CHAIRMAN SILVEY: So it's not currently
19	providing safe and adequate service?
20	MR. HALL: That's what this Commission has
21	determined in prior proceedings, yes.
22	CHAIRMAN SILVEY: So if the Commission denies
23	this application, how long would it realistically take
24	for the bankruptcy court to approve another bid, the
25	Commission to have a new hearing, decide to grant a CCN

to the backup bidders and then for the Joint Bidders to actually build the upgrades?

2.5

MR. HALL: That is a very good question,
Commissioner. As for how long it would take for the
bankruptcy proceedings to move forward, I believe that
question would actually be better posited towards the
Joint Bidders who are more intimately involved in that
process. As for how this can proceed further, I think
the Commission can also consider that the Joint Bidders
are a nonregulated entity. If they are able to then
enact on their contract to purchase the system, based on
their testimony, it seems like safe and adequate service
could be acquired post haste. As to any specific
questions as to what repairs could be made, I offer that
you ask my witness Kerri Roth on that point.

CHAIRMAN SILVEY: But if we deny the current application, there's no question that there would be delay over approving it and moving forward?

MR. HALL: I think that is a consideration, but I would invite that there's also opportunity cost involved. If CCN requests are simply approved for the first company that comes in, that forestalls consideration of what is actually in the public interest and what's in the best interest of the customers involved.

If you grant the CCN transfer for Central States, you have foreclosed other potential opportunities for safe and adequate service to be provided at a much more efficient cost.

2.5

CHAIRMAN SILVEY: So your position is that customers are not currently receiving safe and adequate service and it is not detrimental to them to continue delaying safe and adequate service?

MR. HALL: Our position is that safe and adequate service should be achieved at the most just and reasonable rates possible, and a just and reasonable rate is achieved at an efficient cost. There is nothing in the record to suggest that if you delay at this time there are people in imminent threat of bodily or health harm. So we're looking at opportunity cost. Do we approve what Central States is asking, give them everything they want, give them more money on top of the request out of the desperation that you get safe and adequate service immediately or do we consider all other options and deliberate on how safe and adequate service can be achieved within a reasonable time frame with some possible delay but yet still have the best end result for Missouri's customers.

CHAIRMAN SILVEY: No further questions at this time.

1	JUDGE DIPPELL: Commissioner Kenney?
2	COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Yeah, just briefly.
3	Regarding the you mentioned that the other bidders
4	have a list of estimated repairs that are much less than
5	Central States. Are you saying that Central States'
6	estimates are not prudent?
7	MR. HALL: What I'm saying is that Central
8	States has every incentive to put in as much repairs as
9	possible to then receive a future return on the
10	investments that are made. The options that this
11	Commission should consider are you have Central States
12	with a vested interest to support whatever repair
13	interests are made and then you have people on the
14	ground actually living in these systems that are
15	supporting different estimates.
16	I cannot speak to prudency at this time.
17	However, the Commission should consider that those
18	vastly different estimates will have vastly different
19	impacts on rates.
20	COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Sure, but wouldn't that
21	be determined in a rate case?
22	MR. HALL: You know, normally that would have
23	been the procedure, Commissioner. Unfortunately in this
24	proceeding that Central States has asked for a
25	single-issue ratemaking issue and that is the

1	acquisition incentive.
2	COMMISSIONER KENNEY: But that's not what I
3	mentioned. I was talking about the prudency of the
4	repairs, the prudency of the system, and you would have
5	a chance to argue in a rate case that those were not
6	prudent, wouldn't you?
7	MR. HALL: Yes, we would at that time.
8	COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Regarding the
9	acquisition premium, in this case are we setting a
10	dollar amount?
11	MR. HALL: That would depend on an order from
12	the Commission.
13	COMMISSIONER KENNEY: I thought we were
14	setting the decision was whether or not we would
15	allow an acquisition incentive or premium but that that
16	would be set in a rate case, the amount.
17	MR. HALL: Well, the Commission is free to
18	make an order issue that order as it sees fit. You
19	have Staff's recommendation which has presented a dollar
20	number. I imagine that that is the dollar number that
21	would have some leverage coming into a next proceeding.
22	As to whether
23	COMMISSIONER KENNEY: But that would be
24	determined in the next proceeding, right? I mean, in a
25	rate case?

1	MR. HALL: Yes.
2	COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Okay. Thank you.
3	JUDGE DIPPELL: I just had a couple for you.
4	So the Joint Bidders haven't actually they don't have
5	a pending application to transfer these assets or
6	anything like that, right?
7	MR. HALL: Yes, Your Honor, and they don't
8	have to.
9	JUDGE DIPPELL: They don't have to have an
10	application to transfer the assets?
11	MR. HALL: No, Your Honor. Central States has
12	presented this case. Central States has the burden of
13	proof to prove that its requested transfer is in the
14	public interest, and that standard is determined by
15	looking at other possible alternatives.
16	JUDGE DIPPELL: Right. But you're arguing
17	that the Commission should consider that these other
18	bidders are out there, and I'm just clarifying that
19	while there is according to the testimony so far,
20	there does seem to be a bidder in the bankruptcy court
21	but here as it stands we don't the Commission doesn't
22	have an application for that transfer before it.
23	MR. HALL: Yes, I think that's a fair
24	observation, Your Honor. I would posit, not to try to
25	avoid your question, but simply say that as to the

strategies of how the Joint Bidders would go to actually 1 2 finalize an acquirement, I think their counsel and their witnesses would be a better person to ask on that point. 3 I honestly don't know about the -- I'm unfamiliar with 4 5 the different procedures that would be involved since 6 we're dealing with a nonprofit public water system 7 organized under Chapter 393 versus a water corporation 8 which is Central States organized under Chapter 386. 9 JUDGE DIPPELL: And then the other point you were making was that Central States has a history of 10 11 raising rates and they can't raise the rates without the 12 Commission determining that those rates are just and reasonable first, correct? 13 14 MR. HALL: Yes, that's correct. They have no 15 unilateral power to raise rates. 16 JUDGE DIPPELL: So even if their history is 17 acquiring companies and raising rates, those rates are 18 just and reasonable because the Commission has 19 determined that to be so, correct? 20 MR. HALL: As determined by those Commission 21 However, it's a question as to not just 22 opportunity costs but efficiency costs. I can give you 23 a Toyota Camry that won't break down for 20 years and 24 that is a just and reasonable ride to get me to my 25 commute day in and day out, or we can say that a Pontiac

GT, I'm honestly not familiar with car models, top end 1 2 muscle car, that's the just and reasonable. They both function the same purpose of getting me back and forth 3 to work but there's a different efficiency cost and a 5 different opportunity cost that's lost depending on the 6 option you take. 7 JUDGE DIPPELL: You know I drive a Toyota 8 Camry that's about 20 years old, right? MR. HALL: They're a good vehicle. I have a 9 Prius myself and I don't think it will fail anytime 10 11 soon. 12 JUDGE DIPPELL: The last thing is you talked about a CCN transfer, and I've seen that in the 13 14 testimony and arguments CCN transfer, but what we're 15 really talking about is a new CCN, correct? 16 MR. HALL: You know, that's an interesting 17 point, Your Honor. I see this as sort of like a 18 semantics argument. It depends on how you look at it. There's an existing CCN that if Central States is 19 20 granted it they'll have a new CCN under a new certificate but it will be transferred from an old 21 22 certificate authority. The Staff recommendation has 23 taken the position that there's two different standards versus a transfer versus a certificate. We don't 24 necessarily agree. However, we've adopted that language 2.5

for the benefit of the Commission to seek consistent 1 2 arguments and at the end of the day the Commission is still free to consider other alternatives as directed by 3 4 the courts. JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Thank you very much. 5 6 I'm sorry. Mr. Chairman? 7 CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Thank you. Just a quick 8 follow up. You've mentioned opportunity costs a couple 9 of times. I guess my question is if your water had been 10 deemed not safe and adequate, would you be concerned 11 about returning it to safe and adequate or more 12 concerned with opportunity costs? I would -- I admit that's a really 13 MR. HALL: pointed question, Commissioner. I would want the people 14 15 representing me to consider all possible alternatives to 16 ensure that my water is brought back to the safe levels 17 it necessarily meets. Keep in mind that safe and not 18

it necessarily meets. Keep in mind that safe and not safe does not mean the difference between safe and impending death. I would simply want a fair and thorough deliberation on the matter so that people are not left with the most -- the least -- are not left with a detrimental choice.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN SILVEY: So then your position is the current level of unsafeness, if I can make up that word, is acceptable in exchange for opportunity costs?

1	MR. HALL: No, Commissioner, politely. It is
2	not that we are satisfied with the current level of
3	service. It is that we all agree repairs need to be
4	made. It's a question of how we go about doing it.
5	CHAIRMAN SILVEY: But not a question of
6	timing?
7	MR. HALL: As to immediacy between right now
8	this second versus future deliberations in the future,
9	no.
10	CHAIRMAN SILVEY: So delay is acceptable in
11	your position?
12	MR. HALL: A relatively small amount of delay
13	would be acceptable for future potential benefits that
14	vastly outweigh that cost, yes.
15	CHAIRMAN SILVEY: What is your threshold for
16	relatively small delay?
17	MR. HALL: That would have to depend on the
18	different circumstances of each case involved. It would
19	depend on the systems in questions. I'm afraid I can't
20	give you a categorical answer to that question. I think
21	that's a really good point, though, Commissioner. I
22	invite you to ask our witness, Mrs. Roth, on what
23	potential ramifications these could have for the
24	customers and what considerations the Commission could
25	consider on that point.

1 CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Okay. Thank you. No 2 further questions. 3 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you, Mr. Hall. Cedar Glen? 4 5 MR. COMLEY: May it please the Commission. 6 name is Mark Comley. I represent Cedar Glen Condominium 7 Owners Association in this matter. Picking up on 8 something that Judge Dippell mentioned in the 9 preliminary remarks today, this is a different 10 application for you and the one before you in my 11 experience at least is different than you've ever seen 12 before. It has similarities to the typical application 13 to acquire utility assets that has been joined with the 14 request for certificates of need and convenience, but 15 those similarities in when considering there are in this docket a historically distressed regulated water and 16 17 sewer utility, Osage Water Company, which even in 18 receivership, as pointed out by Judge Silvey, has failed 19 to achieve required service standards. It has continued 20 to do that for 14 years. There is oversight of a 21 bankruptcy trustee, and has been noted before there are 22 two qualified potential purchasers of those assets. 23 One is Osage Utility Operating Company and for 24 brevity I'll probably refer to that as OUOC and the 25 other is the Joint Bidders. The Joint Bidders are under

contract. They're under contract to buy those assets. They've committed themselves to buy those assets. They are the same assets at the same price bid by the applicant. The Joint Bidders' contract is still in force and effect.

Also in this entity -- also in this
application rather the entity, which owns the assets
offered for sale, is not the applicant. Osage Water
Company did not file for authority to sell its assets.
A potential buyer of those assets has filed the
application. I understand that the Commission has
entertained applications of this nature before. So this
may not be unusual. In my experience, this is the first
time I've seen it.

Even so, Osage Water Company is not a party to this case. Technically it is not subject to the orders issued by the Commission in this case. But again, you do have two of the parties that are under contract to purchase the Osage Water Company assets.

Additionally, OUOC is not yet a public utility and it has not requested certificates of convenience and necessity to serve Osage Water Company's customers as Office of Public Counsel has pointed out. Rather, it has asked that it be allowed to purchase those certificates.

2.5

OUOC is affiliated with a company that owns certificated public utility companies, but OUOC is a new creation. It enjoys independent existence from its parent under law. Should it independently qualify for certificates of service for the area? Because of the prevalence of public water districts in Camden County, something I will mention in my remarks later, and the growing popularity of the nonprofit water and sewer entities who have intervened in this case, I think one could justifiably question whether it is still necessary or convenient for a public utility, a regulated rate of return public utility, to serve the Osage Water Company customers.

So in multiple ways this application is far different from any the Commission has considered before. Because this application and the relationship with the parties before you are quite dissimilar from what is customary, I submit too that the standard of review you should apply should be appropriately tailored. And repeatedly you have been told that your rules and case authority on sale of assets would require that you approve the sale if it is not detrimental to the public interest. Stated maybe a little differently but maybe in a sharper lens, will the proposed sale work a detriment to the public. That has been an expression of

the standard as well I think as was pointed out by Mr. Cooper.

2.5

Yet there are two qualified potential buyers of the assets in front of you. Unlike other cases where alternative purchase offers, and I'll emphasize offers, may have been raised for comparison in an asset purchase docket, here there are two rival potential purchasers both considered qualified by the bankrupt seller and already under written contract to buy the property for the same price. The only credential that separates OUOC from the Joint Bidders is OUOC matched the Joint Bidders' last bid.

Truly the question before the Commission is which of these two qualified potential buyers of the Osage Water Company assets will better serve the public. You have considerable discretion in elaborating on the factors involved in the public interest. Harm to ratepayers is definitely one of those factors. Efficiency and economy in the use of facilities devoted to public use is certainly another.

Indirectly I represent 216, half or more of the approximate 420 to 424 customers of Osage Water Company. I should add that there are 202 unit owners at Cedar Glen but they represent 216 of Osage Water Company's customer accounts. Those unit owners in turn

2.5

Constitute the greatest segment of the public served by Osage Water Company and the greatest segment of the public which will be directly affected by the outcome of this case. And one of the Joint Bidders, Public Water Supply District No. 5, has offered to provide water and wastewater service to Cedar Glen unit owners. Its bid, which is part of the joint bid, is exclusively for the Osage Water Company water distribution and wastewater facilities currently serving the condominiums.

Extending District No. 5's services to Cedar Glen has been discussed between the managing boards of each of these entities. It's also been discussed with the unit owners. Currently Cedar Glen Condominiums are outside the District No. 5 service territory, but the boundary of the district is not more than 1,100 feet away. Annexing Cedar Glen is part of District No. 5's plans to expand.

Osage Water Company assets, the Cedar Glen Board of Directors has agreed with the district to voluntarily annex the condominiums into the district. Mr. Ken Hulett, who is the current president of the Board of Directors for Cedar Glen, has observed in his rebuttal testimony that approving a public utility at this hour to serve Cedar Glen will effectively superimpose a new

regulated company over what the district plans to serve in the future.

2.5

These annexation plans are known to the Cedar Glen unit owners as I have mentioned and as you will see reflected in the 70 or so public comments that are filed in this case. They know about this. They know about the expansion. And I have a sampling of those public comments. I only have three. I will not read all of them. I thought they would be illustrative for you to hear.

Mr. Ron Darling writes, and they have different names for parties in these comments but I'm going to read them verbatim. I am deeply concerned with the pending purchase of the Cedar Glen water and sewer system by Central States Water Resources. It appears they overbid and would seek a quicker return on their debt load by their investors. I would prefer the purchase by PW Supply No. 5. They are local, know their customer base, would not overestimate repair costs and know the local contractors for any work necessary. Our costs would be more reasonable over time.

Dianne Blake writes, I feel the best option for Cedar Glen Condos would be Public Water Supply No.

5. This would be in our best interest as they are local and not a private company looking to use our complex to

increase profits and to remedy problems with other systems such as the KK system and make money for investors. We have unfairly compensated for these other systems for many years rather than focus on our own water supply. Please help us keep our rates reasonable.

Finally, Allan Poole writes, please do not allow the takeover of Osage Water by Osage Utility Water Company. Public Water Supply District No. 5 is much preferred. It is local and nonprofit. The Osage Utility Water Company is not local and is operated for profit which virtually guarantees big rate increases over the coming years. Cedar Glen residents, all 200 units, strongly urge you to reject Osage Utility Water Company.

The other comments on file they voice similar themes. The district is favored because it is not profit, is local and will have more reasonable rates over time than a regulated utility. While the receivership of Osage Water Company was running its course, the water distribution and wastewater services provided by public water supply districts in Camden County served more and more customers. The Commission has approved sales of previously regulated water and sewer assets to Public District No. 4 of Camden County, for instance.

2.5

For example, in June of 2015, the Commission approved in File No. WM-2015-0231 the sale of the assets of Ozark Shores Water Company to District 4, a sale which involved service to approximately 1,860 water customers. In April of 2017, the Commission approved File No. WM-2017-018 the sale of the assets of Lake Region Water and Sewer Company to District No. 4. That sale involved 683 water customers and 925 sewer customers.

Cedar Glen is going to offer the testimony of two witnesses today. Mr. David Krehbiel is a consulting engineer and serves as a consulting engineer for District No. 5. Among other matters, he will discuss the location of the District 5 service territory and its proximity to Cedar Glen. He will also talk about the benefits of district water and sewer services to Cedar Glen and in particular how interconnection of the water systems can be achieved and how that solves an emerging issue concerning a secondary water source for Cedar Glen and I think to some extent for the district. He also responds to several points in the direct testimony of OUOC's witnesses and I think the Staff.

Ken Hulett, who I've mentioned already, is
Cedar Glen's second witness. He is the president of the
Condominium Owners Association and he testifies to the

association's strong interest in obtaining services from the district and how the unit owners will be best served.

2.5

The evidence in this case will show that the detriments to the public which would result from OUOC's acquisition of water -- of Osage Water Company's water distribution and wastewater systems outweigh any benefits of that acquisition and its application should be rejected.

JUDGE DIPPELL: Are there Commission questions?

CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Thank you. I'll ask you the same question I asked the Company. What do you think that this Commission can consider when determining what is not detrimental?

MR. COMLEY: What is not detrimental? I think it was the AG Processing case, Judge Dippell, that we were trying to grasp at. The Supreme Court has indicated that one of the elements that you can consider and you should consider is the impact on the ratepayer and you can do that now in an asset purchase case.

The issue I think in that case was an acquisition premium. But now there's another factor here and that is there are two competing qualified entities under contract to buy these assets. That I

1	think is a factor in itself on which of these would do
2	more good than harm.
3	CHAIRMAN SILVEY: So if we were to deny this
4	acquisition and it were to go back to the bankruptcy
5	court, can the bankruptcy court reject the Joint
6	Bidders' application or can the Joint Bidders withdraw?
7	MR. COMLEY: My understanding is that the
8	Joint Bidders are on the hook. If this application is
9	rejected, the trustee is going to point right at them
10	and say you're next, you've got to buy these assets at
11	that price and that price is still open. I don't think
12	there will be any delay. No, there won't be an
13	opportunity to withdraw. The trustee will enforce that
14	agreement. And I think the terms are fairly identical.
15	Staff would have copies of each of those agreements.
16	But with the exception of how they are phased, they are
17	identical agreements.
18	CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Do you have any indication
19	of how long that process would take?
20	MR. COMLEY: No, I don't.
21	CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Okay. And do you have
22	authority to speak on behalf of all of the owners of
23	Cedar Glen in stating their opposition?
24	MR. COMLEY: Well, not necessarily. And I
25	mentioned I indirectly represent them. I represent the

Homeowners Association. The voice of the association I 1 2 would say is Mr. Hulett. But given the extent of the 3 comments --CHAIRMAN SILVEY: You read us three. How many 5 did you receive? 6 MR. COMLEY: I think there's over 70 and that 7 would represent I think close to 17 percent of the entire customer base of Osage Water Company and pretty 8 9 close to 33 percent of the people that live at Cedar 10 Glen. I'm not sure whether the Commission has ever seen 11 that much response from customers in public comments. 12 It would certainly be a record. If there were that many 13 in a KCP&L case, I'm trying to think 10 percent would be 8,000. So there's been a big response to this. 14 15 unit owners I think -- I think it's fair to say that in 16 bulk the unit owners would prefer to be served by the district in the future. 17 18 CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Okay. No further questions 19 at this time. Thank you. 20 MR. COMLEY: May I answer a question that you 21 posed to the Office of Public Counsel? I think we need 22 to keep in mind that Cedar Glen residents, as well as 23 the residents in Chelsea Rose, Eagle Woods, Cimarron 24 Bay, they have all been putting up with less than the 2.5 best service throughout the course of this receivership.

I don't think they're going -- I will speak for them. 1 Т 2 don't think they're going to worry about increased delay if they can get their rates at the same rate that 3 4 everybody else pays in that area. And there's going to 5 be evidence in the record -- I'm presuming there will be 6 evidence in the record showing the district rates are 78 7 bucks combined. We may face increases twice that much 8 for this utility company. There would be that much 9 discrepancy in the rates in this area. And I think -- I 10 would submit to you that Cedar Glen unit owners don't 11 want that to happen. 12 CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Thank you. 13 JUDGE DIPPELL: Any other Commission 14 questions? 15 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: No, thank you. JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you, Mr. Comley. 16 17 Area, MO Water and Public Water Supply District? 18 MR. ELLSWORTH: Good morning, Commissioners, 19 Judge Dippell, Chairman. My name is Aaron Ellsworth. Ι 20 represent the Joint Bidders we'll call them. Public Water District No. 5, Lake Area Wastewater 21 22 Association and Missouri Water Association. I have to 23 admit I'm a late guy. I think it's appropriate to say 24 I'm a fish out of water at PSC hearings. This is not 2.5 what I typically do, right.

2.5

A year or two ago I agreed to represent a sleepy little sewer district down in Camden County and now I'm here. The issues brought are very interesting before the Commission. The entities I represent are all nonprofit. With respect to the Public Water District, I'm not sure they even get paid. They all live within the district. With respect to Lake Area Wastewater Association and Missouri Water Association, they have members. Those members form the board and they determine what salaries are. These are all nonprofit organizations.

So, you know, the application about, the one you guys are hearing today, is about profit. I mean, you will see levels of built in profit for Osage Utility Operating Company. It's how they operate. It's not bad. I mean, we live in the capital society. When there are other alternatives, I ask you to keep in mind the consumer. The consumer will be the biggest loser here if we approve the application. They will face rates that will be two or three times higher. It hasn't been pinned down in their data requests. It probably won't be pinned down in their testimony at exactly what will they be coming back on a rate increase and asking for in a couple years.

I will tell you from my review it appears that

they're intending to make improvements to these systems that aren't required. Chairman Silvey, earlier you talked about these systems being in dire need of repair. Potentially, you know, could there be potential harm to the consumer currently. In the Staff's recommendation, the DNR reported no unsatisfactory conditions at Cedar Glen currently. Now, I agree that over the last 10, 14 years Osage Water Company has not reinvested money into those systems. They've let them kind of limp along.

Do they need repairs? Yes. Is it dire at this point to the effect that we should worry about the delay? Of course, we don't want delay. I don't think it's a dire situation. And I think the evidence will show that. We do have four systems. It appears that there are not DNR reports on the other ones but there doesn't seem to be any type of health or imminent harm to the user currently that I'm aware of or that I've seen in any of the documents.

There were a couple other things that were brought up. One other point, too, is that even with respect to the Cedar Glen water, it appears to be fine in those reports as well. What is unfortunate in this process and I have a little bit more history and background on it because I was involved in the bankruptcy proceeding. There was some mention of a

delay in application. The process was the same for everybody.

2.5

We started in circuit court, multiple bids multiple times. The question was asked why didn't anybody purchase it before. All the offers were always contingent on giving me clear title. You don't want to buy something that has a judgment against it. That's what we had. So circuit court didn't have the power to grant clean title. So the only option was, and they fought for years over the judgments and who was going to get paid if these went through, and they're still fighting about it in bankruptcy court and they'll probably fight for it long after this proceeding is over. But that was the issue in the circuit court proceeding. Ultimately the judge decided who can give clear title, let's put it in bankruptcy.

Bankruptcy judge can give us clear title. He can wipe away all the judgments. Only person that has the authority to do it. So that's why we wound up in bankruptcy court. Multiple bids in circuit court. Those will be part of the evidence today.

Bankruptcy court, multiple bidders. We all appear at the bankruptcy auction. The terms were the same for everybody. They negotiated the stalking-horse purchaser, Central States, Osage Utility Operating

2.5

Company, and they put out the first bid. It was \$465,000. And then everybody had to -- I think maybe they were 455. We had to match that. We all had to deposit 40 grand to come to the auction. We all go to the auction. They continue to match it so they get preferential treatment. If it was the other way around, we're here today saying give us, you know, approve our application. With respect to the application, if it needs to be filed we'll have that filed immediately. I don't have a contract. I have a contract that's contingent upon their application not being granted.

What I'm hoping the Commission will hear today and gather from the evidence is that I think you guys have a general ability to consider all factors that will be relevant to what is in the public's interest and certainly harm to a ratepayer. I think this is the biggest one before you today. But also consider the proposal that Osage Utility has.

The application should state the purchase price and financial terms. We haven't pinned down the financial terms of this. What's the loan? Some of the exhibits shows that we have a \$1.7 million loan. It appears it's going to be at 9 percent. Over how many years? That interest is a loan from a parent company. They're building in all these levels of profit. It's a

1	guaranteed loan. You have a trapped consumer base that
2	will be paid.
3	So the other things that should be considered
4	are the proposed improvements and are they necessary.
5	That really hasn't been addressed in my opinion. They
6	say what they wanted to do. Is it necessary? That's
7	not in the Staff recommendation. How will the
8	improvements improve or correct deficiencies? I don't
9	see that in the recommendation. I don't see that in the
10	application. In fact, it appears that what is required
11	and what they're proposing are very different.
12	And then obviously public interest. So at the
13	end of the day I want you guys to consider all the
14	relevant factors in determining that this application
15	approval will be against public interest and denying it.
16	JUDGE DIPPELL: Do you have any questions?
17	COMMISSIONER KENNEY: I have a question.
18	JUDGE DIPPELL: Commissioner Kenney?
19	COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Good morning.
20	MR. ELLSWORTH: Good morning.
21	COMMISSIONER ELLSWORTH: Is it your
22	understanding that if we deny this application then your
23	group of bidders would be granted the contract and then
24	they would, they, the group, would come back to us?
25	MR. ELLSWORTH: It's a fact that we have a

1	binding contract. In fact, we had to sign an amendment
2	to extend it beyond the closing date and it's in
3	perpetuity. We still have 40 grand sitting with the
4	trustee. If you deny the application, she's calling me
5	and say you're next up, I'm going to the bankruptcy
6	court, which I think the bankruptcy court, don't hold me
7	to it, but I think they've approved both contracts if I
8	understand how it was, but I did not participate in that
9	proceeding.
10	We're on the hook. We can't walk away. We
11	have to buy it. And plus, I think our presence here
12	today indicates we want to follow through on the
13	contract.
14	COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Thank you.
15	JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Chairman?
16	CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Just to clarify, you said
17	you have to buy it?
18	MR. ELLSWORTH: Yes. We'd be breaching the
19	contract if we didn't.
20	JUDGE DIPPELL: All right then. Thank you.
21	MR. ELLSWORTH: Thank you.
22	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. I think that concludes
23	our opening statements then, and I promised to give you
24	all a break before we began with the first witnesses.
25	So it's not quite 10:35. Is 15 minutes long enough or

1	20?
2	MR. COOPER: If it's the normal Commission
3	15-minute break, I think it will be.
4	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. We'll take 20 minutes
5	and we will begin at five till. Thank you. We can go
6	off the record.
7	(Off the record.)
8	JUDGE DIPPELL: Let's go ahead and go back on
9	the record. We're back on the record after our break,
10	and we are ready to go ahead and begin with our first
11	witness. So Mr. Cooper?
12	MR. COOPER: Yes, Judge. Do you want us to
13	talk our way through the exhibit numbering first or go
14	ahead and call Mr. Cox to the stand first?
15	JUDGE DIPPELL: You can go ahead and do the
16	exhibits. That's fine.
17	MR. COOPER: Okay. Mr. Cox has direct and
18	surrebuttal testimony. However, there are three
19	schedules within his direct that are confidential. So
20	we're going to mark those separately which results in
21	Exhibit No. 1 being Josiah Cox Direct, Exhibit 2P and 2C
22	being his Direct Schedule JC-2C in a public and a
23	confidential version. Exhibit 3P and 3C will be Mr. Cox
24	Direct Schedule JC-3C again in a public and confidential
25	version. Exhibit 4P and 4C will be Mr. Cox Direct

Schedule JC-13C in both a public and a confidential version. And Exhibit No. 5 will be Mr. Cox's Surrebuttal Testimony.

JUDGE DIPPELL: I'm just going to clarify for those that didn't have the benefit of our conversation with the court reporter earlier. Basically the Direct Testimony is Exhibit 1. That's everything in the public version. The Exhibits 2, 3 and 4 are the schedules from Mr. Cox's direct testimony that are marked as confidential. That's correct?

MR. COOPER: Correct.

2.5

And then, of course, 5 is the Surrebuttal. So

Mr. Cooper is going to provide -- because the 2, 3 and 4

are basically entirely confidential schedules, he's

going to go ahead and provide a public version which

will basically just be a sheet that says this is

confidential in its entirety. But when it goes into our

electronic filing system, that will show up so that if

someone from the public is trying to access that exhibit

they will see that they're not able to access it because

it's confidential in its entirety. So this is the way

Mr. Cooper proposed doing this. So we're going to give

it a try, but don't think that you have to change your

numbering to match this if you have proposed to do it

1	another way. All right.
2	MR. COOPER: At this time then, Judge, we
3	would call Mr. Josiah Cox to the stand.
4	(Witness sworn.)
5	JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you. All right, Mr.
6	Cooper, you can go ahead.
7	JOSIAH COX, being sworn, testified as follows:
8	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. COOPER:
9	Q. Please state your name.
10	A. My name is Josiah Cox.
11	Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
12	A. I'm employed by CSWR, LLC. I'm the president
13	of the company.
14	Q. Have you caused to be prepared for the
15	purposes of this proceeding certain direct and
16	surrebuttal testimony in question and answer form?
17	A. I have.
18	Q. Is it your understanding that that testimony
19	has been marked as Exhibits 1 through 5 for
20	identification?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Do you have any changes that you would like to
23	make to that testimony at this time?
24	A. No.
25	Q. If I were to ask you the questions that are

1 contained in Exhibits 1 through 5 today, would your
2 answers be the same?
3 A. They would.

- Q. Are those answers true and correct to the best of your information, knowledge and belief?
 - A. Yes, they are.

MR. COOPER: Your Honor, I would offer Exhibits 1 through 5 into evidence.

MR. COMLEY: Your Honor, with respect to Exhibit 5, the surrebuttal testimony of Mr. Cox, Cedar Glen renews its motion to strike select portions of his testimony, his surrebuttal testimony, and so as not to burden the transcript I would ask the Regulatory Law Judge, Judge Dippell, if you would permit us simply to note the filing of that motion and let it speak for itself instead of going through with the record today.

MR. ELLSWORTH: The Joint Bidders would join in Mr. Comley's motion.

JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. I will overrule your objection and notes the motion that was previously filed is the objection. Are there any other objections to Exhibits 1, 2 both public and confidential, 3 both public and confidential, 4 both public and confidential and Exhibit No. 5? Seeing no other objections, then I will receive those into evidence.

1	(COMPANY EXHIBITS 1, 2P, 2C, 3P, 3C, 4P, 4C
2	AND 5 WERE RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE AND MADE A PART OF
3	THIS RECORD.)
4	MR. COOPER: Thank you, Your Honor. We would
5	tender Mr. Cox for cross-examination.
6	JUDGE DIPPELL: Just one second. Okay. And I
7	forgot to say at the very beginning that we would follow
8	the proposed order of witnesses and order of
9	cross-examination, and so forth. Again, for the benefit
10	of those that don't practice here regularly, we usually
11	do direct and then cross-examination in the order, we
12	have questions from the Commission, we allow further
13	cross-examination based on questions from the bench and
14	then we do a redirect at the end.
15	Because we had Because I'm allowing
16	additional information based on Staff's recommendation,
17	when we do the direct you will have the opportunity to
18	put in a little more than you might normally do in a
19	Commission proceeding. So you didn't have anything
20	further at this time, Mr. Cooper, with regard to
21	response to Staff's recommendation?
22	MR. COOPER: Its revised recommendation, no,
23	we do not.
24	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Then let's go ahead
25	with cross-examination from the Staff?

1	MS. PAYNE: Thank you, Judge. Good morning,
2	Mr. Cox.
3	THE WITNESS: Good morning.
4	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. PAYNE:
5	Q. Has Osage Utility Operating Company requested
6	approval of any financing for this acquisition?
7	A. They have not.
8	Q. And has the Company obtained engineering
9	reports or any other evidence or documentation of the
10	proposed improvements that were made in its application?
11	A. We do not have final engineering reports for
12	any of those proposed improvements, to my knowledge.
13	Q. Have you seen any engineering reports or other
14	documentation of any other improvements proposed by any
15	other parties to this matter?
16	A. I have. I've read the joint applicants, some
17	of their comments on what they think the improvements
18	should be or repairs.
19	Q. Do you agree with those proposed improvements?
20	A. I do not.
21	Q. Thank you. Is it your understanding that a
22	federal bankruptcy court has ruled that Central States
23	Water Resources was the winning bidder of the bankruptcy
24	auction regarding the Osage Water Company assets?
25	A. Yes, ma'am. I was at the bid myself.

1	Q. Is Central States Water Resources the only
2	bidder that's authorized to purchase the assets by that
3	federal bankruptcy court at this time?
4	A. That is correct, currently at this time.
5	Q. Okay. And are you aware of the other bidders
6	to that bankruptcy auction?
7	A. I am. It's called the joint applicants, or
8	what are we calling those guys, the lake area the
9	Joint Bidders, sorry, excuse me, the Joint Bidders, yes.
10	They're the other people who have tried to bid it I
11	guess.
12	Q. Are they the only other bidders?
13	A. They are not the only other bidder. There was
14	another bidder that was present there at the auction
15	that would be Missouri-American Water.
16	Q. Okay. Thank you. And is your application in
17	the public interest in your opinion?
18	A. I absolutely believe our application is public
19	interest especially given the state of the systems that
20	we're attempting to purchase.
21	Q. Would you say it's not detrimental to the
22	public interest?
23	A. I don't know how you could be any more
24	detrimental than the current state of affairs at Osage
25	Water just due to the state of disrepair and

1 dilapidation that's going on. So absolutely is not 2 detrimental for our firm to buy these assets. MS. PAYNE: Thank you. I have no further 3 4 questions. JUDGE DIPPELL: Are there questions from Cedar 5 Glen? 6 MR. COMLEY: Thank you, Judge. 7 8 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. COMLEY: 9 Mr. Cox, I understand that in 2005 or so you Ο. formed an LLC called Trumpet, LLC; is that correct? 10 11 Yes, sir. Α. 12 Are you still affiliated with Trumpet, LLC? Ο. No, sir. 13 Α. 14 Q. Let me ask you this. Are you a professional 15 engineer? 16 No, sir. Α. 17 On page 3 of your direct, I think I have your 18 testimony correct, you're an administrator for a rural sewer district; is that correct? 19 20 Yes, sir. 21 I am assuming it's a Missouri rural sewer Ο. 22 district? 23 Α. Yes, sir. Where is that? Where is it? 24 Ο. 25 It's Selma Village Sewer District. Α.

1	Q.	Can you spell that?
2	Α.	S-e-l-m-a Village.
3	Q.	I'm unaware where that Selma Village is
4	located.	Is that on the east side?
5	Α.	That is correct. Near Crystal City, Missouri.
6	Q.	Thank you. In your position as administrator,
7	I suspect	you've become familiar with the manner in
8	which the	rates are set by that rural sewer district?
9	Α.	Yes, sir.
10	Q.	Is it true that the rates are set without any
11	considerat	tion of profit?
12	Α.	Yes, sir.
13	Q.	Now, I understand that there's some surplus
14	that's inv	volved in those rates. Would that be a correct
15	statement	?
16	Α.	That is correct.
17	Q.	But that surplus is allowed not for
18	distribut	ion to shareholders or to customers; is that
19	correct?	
20	Α.	That is correct.
21	Q.	I'm assuming that there's an elected board
22	that manag	ges the district?
23	Α.	Appointed board.
24	Q.	Appointed board. Are ratepayers given a
25	chance to	voice how the board is elected or appointed?

- A. I don't know the technical answer to that question, sir.
 - Q. Ms. Payne asked you about the auction process at the federal level. Regarding that process, isn't it true that Central States Water Services matched the bid that was supplied by the Joint Bidders?
 - A. That is correct.

- Q. Would you also agree that if Osage Utility Operating Company is not able to close on the Osage Water Company assets the trustee has an agreement to sell those assets to the District No. 5 and the two nonprofit associations, the Joint Bidders?
- A. I'm not familiar enough with the bankruptcy process. I understand that our contract, my contract stipulates that we had to put a deposit down and so if we didn't close on the transaction barring certain stipulations in the contract, then we would either be forced to give up our deposit or consummate the contract.
- Q. Let me direct you to page 7, line 10 of your surrebuttal for a moment. It's going to take me a minute to get there too. Forgive me.
 - A. What was the page again, sir?
- Q. It's page 7, line 10. Reading there I have further, should OUOC not be able to close on the Osage

Water Company assets, the trustee has authority to sell the assets to District 5, Lake Area Wastewater

Association and Missouri Water Association, the first backup bidders, subject to receiving all necessary regulatory approval. Is that a correct reading of your testimony?

A. Yes, sir.

- Q. I understand that if OUOC is approved by the Commission that the Company intends to charge the same rates as Osage Water Company; is that correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And during the time those rates are in effect, OUOC would be undertaking the improvements that you and Mr. Thomas had described in your respective testimonies?
- A. Yes, sir. Our typical standard practice we would have to -- we have to engage a third-party professional engineer, we have to do final engineering design plans, we have to process those final engineering design plans with the Missouri Department of Natural Resources to get construction approval before we can construct those. So there would be a time period in which we are getting the plans permitted by DNR before the actual improvements the process would change.
- Q. I suspect you've anticipated that there would be an estimated time in which all those approvals would

1	be obtained. Would that be correct?
2	A. Yes, we have a range of times that we're
3	accustomed to.
4	Q. Would that range of time be within 12 to 24
5	months?
6	A. Yes, that is correct. We anticipate it to be.
7	Q. After those improvements are made, at some
8	point you will come to the Commission for purposes of a
9	rate increase reflecting the cost of those improvements?
10	A. That is correct. Any improvements we made we
11	will seek recovery for before the Commission.
12	Q. In the rate case as well you would seek
13	recovery of the costs of the assets?
14	A. That is yes, sir.
15	Q. If my math is correct, the total amount of
16	your investment in the systems and your improvements
17	would be in the range of \$3 million; is that correct?
18	A. That is our current estimate.
19	Q. Is that a preliminary estimate or is that
20	something that is considered accurate?
21	A. It's a preliminary estimate since we don't
22	have final engineering plans done.
23	Q. During that rate increase request you would be
24	expecting a return on your investment, would that be

25

correct?

1	A. Yes, sir.
2	Q. You've asked for a debit incentive a debit
3	acquisition incentive in this case; is that correct? I
4	think I've got the right terminology. Forgive me if I
5	don't.
6	A. What we've agreed, what we've asked for I
7	guess in the summation of this is the purchase price be
8	recognized as rate base.
9	Q. So if that's approved, you would want to have
10	that purchase price considered a rate of return on
11	that particular item?
12	A. Obviously subject to Commission approval we
13	would look for that.
14	Q. We've talked a little bit about the debt you
15	might incur on this. It's not going to be obtained on
16	the commercial market; would that be correct?
17	A. That's not correct yet. We're still in the
18	process of looking at obtained debts on the commercial
19	market.
20	Q. On the commercial market?
21	A. Correct.
22	Q. Are you also looking with respect to private
23	lenders?
24	A. We are.

Q. Would you expect the private lenders' rates to

1	be as competitive as the commercial markets?
2	A. It's all about the risk adjusted return that
3	the individual lenders want to loan us to. I can't
4	speak to how the individual private lenders, you know,
5	create their debt costs.
6	Q. Have you incurred debt at 10 percent or above
7	in the past?
8	A. I have.
9	Q. Are you anticipating that that debt might be
10	at that interest rate?
11	A. Can I correct? I have not. We have as a
12	company.
13	Q. You have as a company?
14	A. Correct.
15	Q. I understood that's what you meant. Excuse
16	me. As a for-profit entity, OUOC would not qualify for
17	any kind of tax exempt financing; is that correct?
18	A. Not that I'm currently aware of.
19	Q. With respect to the amount of money that you
20	would want to borrow at this point, you have not advised
21	the Commission or the parties about how much you might
22	borrow; is that correct?
23	A. That is correct.
24	Q. So you're anticipating that there would be

capital infusions from the members in the OUOC company

1	or shareholders in the OUOC company?
2	A. Yeah, there would be capital infusion from
3	Central States Water Resources, CSWR, LLC.
4	Q. And that capital infusion, would that be based
5	on a loan or would that be on a grant?
6	A. That would be an equity infusion.
7	Q. When the equity infusion that would be given a
8	preference in terms of returns?
9	A. No, sir. It's purely an investment. Then the
10	return comes from asking for a future rate consideration
11	for investments made in the systems.
12	Q. I have a few questions about Cedar Glen. I
13	wanted to confirm with you, and I think it's in the
14	Staff's recommendation, but just to make sure I have it
15	correctly and I've said it in my opening statement that
16	your understanding is that there are 216 customer
17	accounts at Cedar Glen; would that be correct?
18	A. There's some discrepancy between water and
19	wastewater I think in those counts, but I believe 216 is
20	close.
21	Q. Okay. And all of these customer accounts are
22	located within the condominium project; would that be
23	fair to say?

I think Mr. Cooper said there are about 420

A. Yes, sir, I believe that is true.

24

25

Q.

- Osage Water Company customers, correct me if I'm wrong,
 Mr. Cooper.
- MR. COOPER: I think 420 on one side and 402 on the other side.
- 5 BY MR. COMLEY:

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

- Q. So Cedar Glen would represent a little more than half of the customer base for Osage Water Company; would that be correct?
 - A. Approximately.
- Q. Do you think it's fair to say that the cost of serving those 216 customers at Cedar Glen let's say for water service would be less per customer than it would be per customer for the other Osage Water Company service areas?
 - A. No, I do not believe that's true.
- Q. The cost of service would be the same for those 216?
- A. It potentially could be more for Cedar Glen than for the rest of the systems depending on the final improvements that are required. Cedar Glen is one of the few -- is the only system inside the group of Osage Water systems that has a population over 500. Over 500 population actually requires two sources of drinking water and groundwater storage, boosters, pumps and also need a disinfection, backup disinfection.

1	
1	Q. I think that's been an issue between Mr.
2	Thomas and Mr. Krehbiel on whether or not that secondary
3	source, is that correct, I think there's been some
4	dispute about that in the testimony?
5	A. You know, I'm just going by Missouri state
6	minimum design guidelines.
7	Q. Thank you. Let me give you an example,
8	though. Wouldn't density of population at a particular
9	site influence the economy of scale in preparing a rate
10	for service?
11	A. It all depends on the amount of improvements
12	on a per customer basis.
13	Q. Would I be correct to say that OUOC would not
14	have a rate that's specific to the Cedar Glen residents
15	if it is approved to acquire the assets?
16	A. Since we're adopting the existing tariff, the
17	answer is no, there's a single tariff regardless of
18	where the customer is.
19	Q. In the future, would you anticipate that there
20	would be a region specific tariff that would cover the
21	Cedar Glen residents?
22	A. It would just depend on what the final
23	improvements are and what the makeup of the entire
24	company was at that point.
25	Q. One of the companies that Central States Water

Resources is affiliated with is the Hillcrest Utility
Company, as I understand?

A. That is correct, sir.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

- Q. And it was a distressed company as well, am I correct?
- A. Yes, sir. When we purchased Hillcrest, they were in the middle of a nine-week boil order because the exhaust vent in the water tower had rusted out so there was fecal matter in the drinking water system. The wastewater lagoons were under an Attorney General enforcement action. In fact, I don't think Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac were doing mortgages to the homes in that community due to the distressed nature of the lagoon system as well.
- Q. Do you recall what the rates were for Hillcrest at the time you took over the assets?
- A. I'm sorry, sir, I don't know off the top of my head.
 - O. Do you know what the rates are now?
- 20 A. I don't know the exact rate off the top of my 21 head.
 - Q. Hillcrest came to the Commission for rate relief; is that correct?
 - A. I don't understand your question.
 - Q. After you acquired the company and you

- operated for awhile, did you come to the -- did

 Hillcrest come to the Public Service Commission and
 acquire a rate increase?
 - A. Yes. After all the improvements were constructed in Hillcrest, it came before the Commission for rate increase.
 - Q. So there is a case that has been filed with the Commission for that rate relief; is that correct?
 - A. That is true, sir.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

- Q. Do you have any recollection of the results and approvals in that case?
- A. I have general recollections. Obviously I participated in that case.
- Q. Do you know what the request for rate relief was? Can you tell us what that is? Don't worry about it. I'll get the case.
 - A. I don't remember the numbers, sir.
- Q. All right. I'm going to skip to your direct just for a moment. On page 18 of your direct, page 18 of your direct, line 20. I think I'll wait until you're there. Are you there?
 - A. I am, sir.
- Q. Your testimony there is there is no treatment or disinfection of water in the Cedar Glen system, and my question would be are you saying that disinfection of

1	that water is required?
2	A. No, sir, I'm just saying there is no
3	disinfection currently.
4	Q. It is not required, is it?
5	A. It's not required unless there's an ecoli test
6	positive in the system.
7	Q. Do you know whether or not this is a
8	recommendation from Mr. Thomas?
9	A. I don't know if that's a recommendation of
10	Mr. Thomas or not.
11	MR. COMLEY: Your Honor, I have some questions
12	concerning one of the confidential schedules that
13	Mr. Cox attached to his direct testimony, and because of
14	that I would ask that the Commission schedule some sort
15	of an in-camera proceeding for all of us if we do all
16	have questions about it.
17	JUDGE DIPPELL: Are you saying you want to
18	hold that and do that
19	MR. COMLEY: I'll leave it up to you. I'm
20	happy to do it right now.
21	JUDGE DIPPELL: Will there be other Does
22	anyone at this time know they will have other questions
23	about confidential schedules?
24	MR. ELLSWORTH: It will depend on what
25	Mr. Comley covers, but I do intend to have questions

1	about it. He may cover them before I do.
2	JUDGE DIPPELL: We could go ahead I think then
3	and just cover that now and I can allow any other
4	cross-examination
5	MR. COMLEY: Thank you.
6	JUDGE DIPPELL: while we're in camera on
7	that. Well, then at this time we will go in camera. If
8	there are people in the room that are not able to hear
9	an in-camera proceeding, I'll count on the attorneys to
10	point that out and ask those people to leave the room.
11	I'm assuming that they have exited the room.
12	I can't tell. Thank you. Heard the door.
13	(REPORTER'S NOTE: The hearing went into an
14	in-camera proceeding.)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
(In-camera proceeding.)
 1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
(In-camera proceeding.)
 1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	(In-camera proceeding.)
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	(In-camera proceeding.)
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
(In-camera proceeding.)
 1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
(In-camera proceeding.)
 1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	(In-camera pro	ceeding.)
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	(REPORTER'S NOTE: At this point, public
2	session resumed.)
3	JUDGE DIPPELL: So we're back on the public
4	session then and we will resume with the
5	cross-examination from Cedar Glen.
6	MR. COMLEY: Thank you, Your Honor. I have no
7	other questions for Mr. Cox.
8	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Is there
9	cross-examination then from the Public Water Supply
10	District, et al.?
11	MR. ELLSWORTH: Yes, Your Honor. The Joint
12	Bidders would have cross-examination.
13	CONTINUED CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ELLSWORTH:
14	Q. Mr. Cox, on behalf of my clients I previously
15	submitted some data requests to you. One was state the
16	amount of the purchase price being financed and you
17	referenced the Company. I just want to clarify. Who is
18	First Round CSWR?
19	A. That was incorrect. It's CSWR, LLC. It was
20	formerly named First Round.
21	(REPORTER'S NOTE: At the request of Judge
22	Dippell, page 105, line 21 through 106, line 10 was
23	placed in the in-camera proceedings.)
24	
25	

```
1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
               (REPORTER'S NOTE: End of in-camera.)
11
               MR. COOPER: Judge, I think this was precisely
12
     the numbers that were a part of the in camera discussion
13
     that we were supposed to be having.
14
               JUDGE DIPPELL: I assume that those data
15
     requests were marked confidential?
16
               MR. HALL: If I can speak on that.
17
               JUDGE DIPPELL:
                               Yes.
18
               MR. HALL: The particular number was marked
     confidential with the two asterisks.
19
               JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Do we need to go back
20
21
     in camera to discuss?
22
               MR. ELLSWORTH: I'm going to apologize to you,
23
     Judge Dippell and the Commissioners. As I said, I'm a
24
     fish out of water here. This confidential stuff is new
2.5
     to me. I apologize. I didn't realize what has been
```

1	marked confidential. Yes, that is marked confidential.
2	JUDGE DIPPELL: I can make the part of the
3	recording confidential and I can make that part of the
4	in camera session, the questioning on the transcript.
5	So I can't, you know, take back from the air the words
6	that were said, but I will do my best to make the record
7	make that confidential on the record from here
8	forward. Are there Do you want to go back in camera
9	then and ask those questions? Do you have further
10	questions about the numbers?
11	MR. ELLSWORTH: No, Your Honor, that will be
12	fine. We don't have to go back in.
13	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. I wasn't sure you
14	actually got an answer.
15	MR. ELLSWORTH: I didn't I understand, but I
16	think he had testimony previously. I'll just move on
17	for the sake of my own embarrassment.
18	JUDGE DIPPELL: I guess just as a general rule
19	of thumb if you're about to talk about a number, it's
20	usually the numbers that are confidential.
21	MR. ELLSWORTH: Thank you. Now I'm afraid to
22	ask questions to try to remember what's confidential and
23	what's not.
24	BY MR. ELLSWORTH:
25	Q. Mr. Cox, in your direct testimony I believe

that of you and Mr. Thomas you talk about different improvements you propose to make to the Osage Water Company assets?

A. Yes, sir.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

- Q. You proposed a well monitoring system, an electronic well monitoring system?
 - A. Remote monitoring system.
 - Q. Remote monitoring?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Do you know what the cost of that is?
- A. It depends on the exact setup, but it ranges between 5 and \$10,000.
 - Q. Why is it necessary to have the remote monitoring system?
 - A. We use a remote monitoring system to ensure safe and reliable service. Central States Water Resources, our standard business practice is is when we go buy an asset, we do surveys of the entire asset and we geotag those so we know every single utility asset that's associated with every system, and then we use remote monitoring to be able to make sure that they are performing so we're able to see plant production, well production, that kind of thing simultaneously. That way we're able to do preventative maintenance to prevent a loss of service to customers.

1	Q. Where does the monitoring actually take place?
2	Is that in St. Louis?
3	A. No. It feeds into We have a computerized
4	maintenance management system that's in the cloud. So
5	all our monitoring goes to that. We use Utility Cloud
6	is the system we use. That's able to directly alert
7	both us and our contract operators simultaneously.
8	Q. Is that in addition to a physical monitoring
9	or routine physical monitoring of the systems?
10	A. That is true. It's in addition to the routine
11	physical monitoring.
12	Q. Is this electronic monitoring necessary?
13	A. I believe it's necessary for providing safe
14	and reliable service, gives us a double safety to make
15	sure that consumers are continuing to receive water and
16	sewer service that's safe.
17	Q. Have you reviewed the Staff recommendation?
18	A. I have.
19	Q. Do you agree with me that on page 8
20	JUDGE DIPPELL: Are you looking at the revised
21	recommendation or the original?
22	MR. ELLSWORTH: I'm sorry. I'm looking at the
23	original.
24	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Just so it's clear.
25	THE WITNESS: I don't believe I have a copy of

1 | that in front of me.

BY MR. ELLSWORTH:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

R?

- Q. Did you review the DNR sewer inspection reports for Cedar Glen?
 - A. I did previously.
- Q. Do you recall the DNR sewer inspection report states no unsatisfactory conditions at Cedar Glen?
- Α. I don't remember that, but I've been to Cedar Glen. Cedar Glen is a failing wastewater plant. The walls are failing, the media is failing. We did independent tests. They failed the tests as well. That's a system that has been neglected for years and I've gone there multiple times over the years, and everytime I've seen more and more systemic neglect. The idea that that plant can perform to meet modern DNR standards, it's not possible. We've got a ton of experience with sand plants all over the country. the most part, sand plants cannot treat for ammonia removal, you know, much less phosphorus removal in the The disinfection system there was severely There are a number of issues there. undersized.
 - Q. That's why you propose installing the MBBE or
 - A. The MBBR. It's a moving bed bio reactor.
 - Q. And you're proposing to install that at Cedar

Glen?

2.5

- A. Based on final engineering, you know, permitting, we have to go through all that. We believe that's the most cost effective solution in order to bring that system in compliance currently. That's subject to future review.
- Q. Do you know what the estimated cost of that is?
- A. I don't remember what it is off the top of my head.
- Q. And the total preliminary proposal for improvements and repairs to Cedar Glen you have a -- your company has approximately \$650,000? Do you know?
- A. That sounds close to correct. I have to look at the testimony directly.
- Q. Over all the systems your proposal is to repairs that are approaching \$2 million, correct?
- A. I believe it's 1.7 and change. Maybe it's \$2 million. I don't have the exact number in front of me.
- Q. Mr. Cox, the applicant had previously taken the position, tell me if I'm wrong, but I believe the applicant previously took the position that a second well was not necessary at Cedar Glen?
- A. You're talking about that we previously took the position?

1	Q. Yes.
2	A. We were evaluating whether that was possible
3	or not. We don't know currently.
4	Q. Today you said if we have more than 500 users
5	a second well is required?
6	A. Well, that is minimum design guides right
7	there. So it's a question of how many residents are
8	actually in the condos themselves. There's the question
9	that we have to answer at a future date and get approval
10	from the Missouri Department of Natural Resources.
11	Q. You don't have that figured out as we sit here
12	today?
13	A. We do not have that completely figured out as
14	we sit here today.
15	Q. But there are guidelines that say this is how
16	many users per three-bedroom condo, this is how many per
17	two-bedroom condo, correct?
18	A. There are Those are actually new
19	construction estimates. You can actually use flow rates
20	as well as a proxy for that. Either one is possible.
21	Q. Do you agree with me in your direct testimony
22	that you you or Mr. Thomas' direct testimony that you
23	calculated the customers to be 432 which was two times
24	the 216 users in Cedar Glen?
25	A. Yeah. The total customer count would be water

1	and sewer customers. That's where that number comes
2	from.
3	Q. Did you include the cost of a second well in
4	your \$650,000 improvements and repairs to Cedar Glen?
5	A. I don't remember, sir.
6	Q. So if you didn't, it could be what's the
7	cost of a second well there, 200,000?
8	A. I don't believe so. Probably \$100,000 is what
9	I expect to drill a deep water well in Camden County.
10	Q. So your estimate could be as much as, I
11	understand this is preliminary, without being an
12	engineer, it could be 750,000 instead of 650,000?
13	A. If we didn't include that original on the
14	original estimate, I don't remember.
15	Q. If you did include it in the original
16	estimate, would you have included that in your direct
17	testimony in your rebuttal testimony, because you
18	listed out the improvements you intended to make,
19	correct, your company intended to make?
20	A. If you give me a second I'll turn to the
21	pages.
22	Q. I'll try to help you find them.
23	A. Yeah, that would be great. No, I believe I
24	state I say that our company proposes to invest in

upgrades and make the water system viable and maintain

- compliance with MDNR upgrades. So we didn't list any specific improvements there.
 - Q. Can you tell me what page you're looking at?
 - A. It's page 18, 21 through 23.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

21

22

- Q. Mr. Comley had asked you about Hillcrest rates. In that case the public was also upset about Central States coming in and taking over their system?
- A. No, sir. When we took over the system, everyone recognized there were problems that needed remedied.
- Q. They were upset about the rates. If you don't have knowledge, that's fine.
- A. There were people, there were some people that were upset by the rate increases, yes, I agree.
- Q. You agree nobody likes to pay more, right, we want to pay less?
- 17 A. No one likes to pay more, I agree with that, 18 sir.
- Q. Do you agree with me that Hillcrest rates are currently \$152?
 - A. I don't remember what their exact rates are.
 - Q. Is that approximate?
 - A. I don't remember, sir.
- Q. Do you provide them water and sewer?
- 25 A. We do provide water and sewer.

On Elm Hills, were the users there were they 1 O. 2 upset about the rates charged by Central States? Elm Hills adopted existing tariffs. There's 3 Α. never been a rate increase at Elm Hills. 4 5 Do you know what the rates are there? Are they \$140? 6 7 No, sir. I don't know if they are, but Α. 8 they're significantly less than that. One of those 9 systems is a receivership system very similar to Osage, 10 been abandoned for probably 10 years. Another system 11 State Park Village, they were an active polluter in a 12 state park. We had to do an agreement on consent 13 abatement order to bring that system back in compliance 14 which we've done. 15 When did that operating company acquire Elm 16 Do you recall? Hills? I don't remember, sir. 17 Α. 18 Was it less than two years ago? 0. 19 I don't remember. Α. 20 Ο. Mr. Cox, earlier you testified that you said 21 that you were present when the bankruptcy judge approved 22 the Central States purchase of the Osage Water Company 23 assets? 24 That's correct. I was there at the auction Α. 2.5 myself.

1	Q. Do you understand the difference between being
2	at the auction and then We were both present at the
3	auction, right?
4	A. Correct.
5	Q. But there was no bankruptcy judge there,
6	right?
7	A. You know, sir, that bankruptcy proceeding is
8	above my pay grade. I don't know exactly how federal
9	bankruptcy works. I know I was at the auction and
10	participated in the auction.
11	Q. We participated in the auction with the
12	trustee present and their attorneys who sold the asset,
13	correct? You're still not sure?
14	A. Can you say that again? I didn't understand
15	the question.
16	Q. Present that day was the trustee Jill Olsen,
17	right?
18	A. Yes, I remember her being there.
19	Q. And then her attorney Spencer Fane?
20	A. I do remember Spencer Fane as well, sir.
21	Q. Do you recall there being a bankruptcy judge
22	there?
23	A. I don't recall how they did the auction. I
24	didn't know if there was a judge there or not.
2.5	O Do you know if following the auction if then

1	Jill Olsen, the trustee, through her attorney Spencer
2	Fane went to bankruptcy court to get approval of the
3	auction results?
4	A. Yes, the auction results were approved by the
5	federal bankruptcy judge.
6	Q. You weren't present when they approved the
7	results; you weren't at the bankruptcy proceeding?
8	A. No, I was not at the bankruptcy proceeding.
9	Q. You don't know whether or not that order
10	approves both your first stalking-horse purchaser bid
11	and the Joint Bidders' bid?
12	A. I don't understand the legal technical part of
13	that. So I don't know how to answer your question
14	there.
15	Q. Well, that's what I want to clarify because
16	earlier you did state that the bankruptcy judge approved
17	it that day at the auction?
18	A. Approved my bid?
19	Q. Just your company's purchase of the assets.
20	It didn't occur at the auction is my point, right?
21	A. You understand that process better than I do,
22	sir. I don't understand the differentiation you're
23	trying to make there.
24	MR. ELLSWORTH: That's fair enough. No

25

further questions.

1	JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Are there any
2	cross-examination from Public Counsel?
3	MR. HALL: Absent any questions from the
4	Commission, Public Counsel withholds any questions at
5	this time.
6	JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Are there
7	questions from the Commission? Chairman Silvey?
8	CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Thank you.
9	QUESTIONS BY CHAIRMAN SILVEY:
10	Q. Is the acquisition premium or the existence of
11	an acquisition premium a necessary part of your bid?
12	A. In this scenario, yes.
13	Q. So it was stated earlier in the proceeding
14	that this process, including I believe your initial bid,
15	predated the existence of an acquisition premium rule?
16	A. There were multiple bids over the course of
17	Osage Water that we participated in. So, you know,
18	you'd have to give me the time frame. The bid
19	Q. When was your first bid?
20	A. I don't remember, sir. The previous receiver
21	put this the whole set of systems, Osage Water, out
22	to bid. We were the only one in that original bid
23	process that were willing to purchase all the assets.
24	So it goes back to a previous statement I think one of
25	the attorneys made that American-Water maybe ten years

ago tried to buy all these systems. They only tried to 1 2 buy Cedar Glen. They didn't want all of the other smaller outlying systems and so they were rejected from 3 their purchase. Don't quote me on that, but I believe 4 that's what I heard earlier. For us we were continually 5 6 the only company that was willing to buy all the systems 7 simultaneously. Back to a comment that was made 8 earlier, this has been a very difficult process. So we 9 couldn't get clear title. So the bankruptcy proceeding 10 was all about giving clear title so anyone could 11 purchase the system because there were multiple 12 judgments against it.

- Q. So going back to my question, if you could ballpark for me, when did you first bid on acquiring the system?
- A. I really don't remember, but I would say probably '17 we originally bid on it. We bid -- I don't remember what we bid at that point. Yes, that's correct.
 - Q. So prior to January of 2019?

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. That is correct. Prior to the bankruptcy proceedings and the ability to get clear title.
- Q. Okay. So if the rule for the acquisition premium came into existence in January of 2019 -- I believe that's correct. I'm sure someone will correct

me if I'm wrong. -- and yet your initial bid was in 1 2 2017, 2018, somewhere at least a year prior to that, why 3 is the acquisition premium now a necessary part of your 4 bid? 5 Yeah. That goes back to being able to get 6 clear title. When we originally bid the system, we 7 thought we were going to be able to obtain clear title 8 at net book value. Since then it went into the 9 bankruptcy process and while we participated, Central 10 States Water Resources, in the rulemaking for that 11 incentive programs, we obviously knew it was progressing 12 through and knew the rules would be promulgated in 13 January. So we went to the bankruptcy proceeding. realized hey, we can participate in this. We know 14 15 there's an incentive rule and that will allow us to be 16 able to buy this system with clear title. 17 CHAIRMAN SILVEY: No further questions at this 18 time. 19 JUDGE DIPPELL: Commissioner Kenney, do you 20 have any questions? 21 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Just briefly. 22 QUESTIONS BY COMMISSIONER KENNEY: 23 On the bidding process, I'm trying to Ο. 24 understand. I heard someone say you set the bid. Was that the statement I heard? 25

- A. I believe that's what you heard. Really how that worked is they did an initial bid for all the systems. We were the only entity to submit a bid and then a late bid came in after the bid that was closed and then from that point that's when the bankruptcy trustee said hey, we're going to reopen this up for bid, which we consented to, and our bid that we put in for the system was then the stalking-horse bid, so the minimum bid.
 - Q. Explain stalking-horse to me. That's not a term I am familiar with.
 - A. I'm not a bankruptcy expert. My limited exposure here is that stalking-horse is you have the right to match a bid because you've got the base bid. So the bankruptcy trustee walks into the auction knowing that they will get at least what the stalking-horse's original bid was before it goes to auction.
 - Q. Was that granted because you were the only bidder originally?
 - A. That is correct. Only bidder in time.
 - Q. They'll have a chance to redirect in time.

 And then so I guess the bankruptcy court can do whatever they want. They decide they got a late bid so then they reopen it?
 - A. That is correct. That's my understanding.

1	Q. What was your original bid?
2	A. I believe it was 465.
3	Q. Somewhere in that range?
4	A. Yes, sir.
5	Q. And then when it was reopened, other entities,
6	other bidders joined in?
7	A. That is correct.
8	COMMISSIONER KENNEY: All right. Thank you.
9	JUDGE DIPPELL: Commissioner Rupp?
10	COMMISSIONER RUPP: Thank you.
11	QUESTIONS BY COMMISSIONER RUPP:
12	Q. So following up on Commissioner Kenney's
13	comment, you did not go into the bid process seeking to
14	be the stalking-horse; that was just because you were
15	the only ones to submit a bid on time and then was that
16	a decision that when they reopened it that they granted
17	that or did you have to seek that?
18	A. No, they granted that because they wanted a
19	fallback price is the way I understood it. So again,
20	not a bankruptcy attorney but since we were the only
21	ones to make a bid in time, then when they reopened it
22	when saw that there was additional bidders, that's when
23	they used us as the base bid if you will.
24	Q. So you did seek that on your initial bid?
25	A. No. I didn't know what a stalking-horse was

when I got into this.

2.5

COMMISSIONER RUPP: Several of us didn't.
Thank you.

JUDGE DIPPELL: I just have one question for you.

QUESTIONS BY JUDGE DIPPELL:

- Q. And this relates to what you were talking about with regard to how many residents or how many, yeah, I guess it's how many residents versus how many customers at Cedar Glen. So you're trying to buy it and you've made bids in bankruptcy court. You're going to ask for an acquisition premium but you haven't figured out how you are supposed to provide safe and adequate service?
- A. No, ma'am. We just know there's a range of ways. So when we take over systems, our standard practice is we triage the systems immediately, right. So all these systems have big existing issues that need to be rectified immediately just to make sure the public is not at risk, right. If you go through the various systems inside Osage, they've got failing disinfection systems, they have a lack of monitoring at all, we've got exposed wiring. You've got a bunch of kind of immediate fixes that you would go in in at attempt to make sure we maintain even basic provision of service.

Then from there we finalize the engineering. So we know there's a range here of improvements.

2.5

In fact, over the first couple months of operation of these systems we try and narrow that range down because after we have some experience operating them oftentimes we can say hey, the engineers originally estimated X, Y, Z, we only need X and Y it turns out to be able to meet minimum Missouri design standards. You know, we know exactly what it's going to take in general to bring all these systems into compliance. Obviously we have a done of experience with this. We've done it multiple times with systems in the same state of disrepair. So that's where you're here.

So for example, in your Cedar Glen example, specifically we know for sure the ground storage has to be added to that because they don't have 24-hour backup storage. That's a minimum design standard. Regardless if they're above the 500, we know they're going to need booster pumps and hydromatic storage because that's kind of minimum design standards. We know those things right out of the gates. And then whether or not we drill a second well will be determined what we think the population equivalent is. So that's what you heard us talking about. So population equivalent can be given even from the Missouri Department of Natural Resources

1	designation by housing class or it can actually use flow
2	rates and infer what the population is.
3	Q. So even if there is technically more than 500
4	residents, if the flow rates show that it's equivalent
5	to less than 500, you could get it permitted or not have
6	the extra well?
7	A. Yeah, that's a good question. You can never
8	tell how many actual residents are in a condo
9	association in particular. So yeah, we use a flow rate
10	to try to infer what that is.
11	JUDGE DIPPELL: That was all. Commissioner
12	Rupp, do you have any additional questions?
13	COMMISSIONER RUPP: Yeah, I did. Thank you,
14	Judge.
15	FURTHER QUESTIONS BY COMMISSIONER RUPP:
16	Q. So your initial bid you said was roughly,
17	what, 2017, somewhere in that ballpark?
18	A. I believe that's true, sir.
19	Q. And then did your organization incur any costs
20	while it was going through the whole bankruptcy issue to
21	get clear title?

A. Oh, yes. So we had to hire a bankruptcy attorney to understand that process. We had to, you know, retain outside counsel to get us through the process. There was absolutely costs as we were going

22

23

24

through the bankruptcy proceeding.

2.5

- Q. At that time in 2017, were there any other bids put in for the system?
- A. There may have been a bid for Cedar Glen. I don't remember, sir. We were the only one to bid all the assets, if I recall correctly. American-Water may have but I don't remember that. So I apologize. I don't remember the exact. I believe we were the only bidder.
- Q. I can ask this of the other parties but if you know the answer, the other parties that started making competing bids that you were matching in the stalking-horse, when did those come in? Like what year?
- A. It's not -- So it went to federal bankruptcy. And then in the summer of '18 or maybe early fall is when we made our initial bid in time. And then late bids came in. Then they named us a stalking-horse. I believe it was end of October is when the actual auction took place. So the parties were all at the auction. You weren't submitting remotely. You were sitting around a table at a federal bankruptcy auction is how that process worked.
- Q. So I'm trying to wrap my head around this timeline here where you said initially you started this process roughly in 2017. Who else was involved? Were

the other parties involved in 2017 or were just your organization? When did the other parties enter into the process?

- A. My recollection is we were the only party that bid this originally and that was back when the receiver was trying to dispose of the assets and the issue was that no one could clear get clear to the assets because there were so many judgments and lawsuits back and forth.
- Q. That's what I'm trying to get to. So the fact that you eventually can get clear title the value of the system went up dramatically?
 - A. Absolutely.

2.5

- Q. And so prior to there being a clean title, were you the only participants seeking these systems?
- A. I'm the only person that I remember talking —
 I mean the receiver was Gary Cover at that time and he
 went out to bid a couple times. I remember being the
 only person that wanted to purchase them all. I can't
 quote if there was someone else. I was the only person
 he was dealing with on an ongoing basis as far as I
 remember. That may not be true.
- Q. Fast forward to when you're sitting around the table with the trustee and you're doing the bid. So the bid -- So was your initial bid made public so that when

1	the late bidders came in they knew where your bid was?
2	A. No, it was not that I remember. I think it
3	was the late bidders came in and they realized there was
4	an opportunity to bid it. But then at the auction, if I
5	remember correctly, our bid was the base bid. So it's
6	the starting bid price is how that auction worked.
7	Q. So in effect they would know what your bid was
8	if it was the base bid?
9	A. Everyone knew what the base bid was, exactly
10	right.
11	Q. Which would have been your bid because you
12	were the stalking-horse?
13	A. That is correct.
14	COMMISSIONER RUPP: Thank you.
15	JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Is there further
16	cross-examination based on questions from the Commission
17	and myself? From Staff?
18	MS. PAYNE: Yes, thank you, Your Honor.
19	FURTHER CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. PAYNE:
20	Q. Okay. I'm going to attempt to clear up the
21	timeline a little bit here if you'll bear with me since
22	there were several Commission questions.
23	A. Thank you.
24	Q. Would you agree with me that the bankruptcy

was filed in October of 2017? Does that sound

1 memorable?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

- A. Potentially.
- Q. And a trustee would have been appointed later that month?
 - A. I don't remember the timeline. Work on a bunch of stuff here. I apologize. The exact dates -- I believe you go through the records you may be correct.
 - Q. Okay. And so then the official -- the \$465,000 bid that you referenced, that was made in August of 2018; does that sound right?
 - A. That is correct, ma'am.
 - Q. Okay. And then at the same time in October of 2018, there was a final order of rulemaking that was authorized in Case No. AX-2018-0240, and that would go on to be what we now know as Rule 10.085?
- A. That is correct. We participated in those workshops as well.
- Q. So you were familiar with that rule at the final order of rulemaking?
 - A. Very familiar.
- Q. Okay. And then the final bankruptcy auction that is now the subject of what we are discussing here today produced what we know as the purchase price in this case would have been in October of 2018?
 - A. That is correct.

1	Q. Okay. So if the final order of rulemaking was
2	October 4 and then the auction was October 24, you bid
3	that final purchase price aware of the final order of
4	rulemaking in that docket?
5	A. Absolutely.
6	MS. PAYNE: Could I ask the Commission to take
7	official notice of that rulemaking docket AX-2018-0240.
8	JUDGE DIPPELL: AX-20
9	MS. PAYNE: 18-0240.
10	THE WITNESS: Can I correct something I said
11	earlier based on time frames you just gave me? That
12	would mean that it was '16 that we were originally the
13	only ones who was willing to buy the only entity
14	willing to buy all the assets from the original receiver
15	when we could not get clear title which then forced it
16	to the bankruptcy proceeding just to be clear.
17	JUDGE DIPPELL: So your point in taking notice
18	of that case is to point out the timeline, right?
19	MS. PAYNE: That's correct.
20	JUDGE DIPPELL: It's not that you want the
21	comments and the proposed rules and all of that stuff to
22	come into this record?
23	MS. PAYNE: No, I ask only that we take notice
24	of the filing dates that were made in that docket.
25	JUDGE DIPPELL: Would there be any objection

1	to the Commission taking notice of those dates?
2	MR. COMLEY: No objection.
3	MR. ELLSWORTH: No objection.
4	MR. HALL: None, Your Honor.
5	JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. The Commission
6	will take notice of that docket in its files.
7	MS. PAYNE: Thank you.
8	BY MS. PAYNE:
9	Q. And then additionally there have been several
10	discussions of the minimum design standards in
11	questioning. I know that there was some discussion with
12	Commissioner Rupp regarding that. When you are
13	referencing that, are you referencing the minimum design
14	standards for the Missouri community water systems that
15	was effective in December of 2013, issued by the
16	Missouri Department of Natural Resources?
17	A. I believe that's what I'm referencing, yes.
18	Q. Okay. And if I were to hand you a copy of
19	that, could you read a portion of it for me?
20	A. Absolutely.
21	MS. PAYNE: Judge, would you like me to hand
22	out these for reference at this time?
23	JUDGE DIPPELL: Sure.
24	THE WITNESS: Yes. This is the document,
2.5	vou!re correct because I recognize the front now

BY MS. PAYNE:

- Q. Lovely picture that the Department of Natural Resources chose for us. Would you please turn to page 28 of that document. There should be a highlighted portion under 3.2.1.2 number of sources?
 - A. I see that.
- Q. And is that highlighted section, which should be highlighted for the benefit of everyone in the room now, the section you're referring to that requires additional sources of water depending on the number of customers?
- A. That is exactly what we've been talking about in terms of minimum design standards.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. These standards, do they apply to any improvements to existing systems as well as construction of new systems?
- MR. HALL: Objection, lack of foundation. Mr. Cox has testified that he's not an engineer. Asking him as to engineering specifications is not proper.
- MS. PAYNE: I was just asking him about the application of these standards. He's referenced them several times in his answers to the Commissioners' questions. I thought that that would be applicable.
- MR. HALL: These standards are engineering standards. He has testified that he cannot speak to

1	them.
2	JUDGE DIPPELL: I'm going to overrule the
3	objection. His qualifications will go to the weight.
4	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat your question?
5	BY MS. PAYNE:
6	Q. Is it true that these standards are designed
7	to apply to construction on any existing water systems
8	as well as construction of any new systems?
9	A. That is my understanding. Any upgrades
10	requires to meet minimum design standards.
11	MS. PAYNE: Thank you. Your Honor, I would go
12	ahead and offer this as an exhibit at this time.
13	JUDGE DIPPELL: Can you explain to me again,
14	Ms. Payne, this is so it's titled Minimum Design
15	Standards for Missouri Community Water Systems and it's
16	a publication by the Missouri Department of Natural
17	Resources. Is this incorporated in a rule or?
18	MS. PAYNE: It is considered their guidelines
19	for the operators of community water systems in the
20	state of Missouri. My witness David Roos will take the
21	stand later and he can offer a little bit more insight
22	into the use of this document. If you would prefer I
23	wait and offer it for admission at that time, I can.
24	JUDGE DIPPELL: Yeah, let's wait unless it's
25	going to be needed in cross-examination of other

1	witnesses?
2	MS. PAYNE: No, no, I was strictly wanting to
3	I had it ready to go and I thought it might assist
4	everyone since it had been referred to several times
5	throughout Mr. Cox's testimony.
6	JUDGE DIPPELL: Do you have a clean copy of it
7	to offer to the court reporter?
8	MS. PAYNE: The portions that have been
9	highlighted are actually just for reference because I
10	knew a 200-page document would be a lot to review and so
11	Staff has utilized the portions that have been
12	highlighted and flagged in the document. We can
13	absolutely get a clean one for the court reporter for
14	submission.
15	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Let's hold off on the
16	offer of that document until Mr. Roos is on the stand
17	then.
18	MS. PAYNE: I will go ahead and mark it as
19	Exhibit 106 at this time if that's okay.
20	JUDGE DIPPELL: We can go ahead and mark that
21	as Exhibit 106 for identification purposes.
22	MS. PAYNE: Thank you. It has quite a lengthy
23	title.
24	JUDGE DIPPELL: I guess since you've offered
25	it I might as well are there any objections to this

1	coming into the record at this time?
2	MR. ELLSWORTH: No.
3	MR. HALL: No.
4	JUDGE DIPPELL: I'll just go ahead and admit
5	it at this time, but I will ask you to give a clean copy
6	to the court reporter.
7	(STAFF EXHIBIT 106 WAS RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE
8	AND MADE A PART OF THIS RECORD.)
9	MS. PAYNE: I absolutely can after the next
10	break.
11	JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Thank you.
12	MS. PAYNE: Thank you. With that I have no
13	further questions.
14	JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Were there any
15	additional questions from Cedar Glen based on
16	Commissioner and myself questions?
17	FURTHER CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. COMLEY:
18	Q. I'd like for Mr. Cox to turn to one of the
19	pages of the minimum design standards that have been
20	admitted, if I can find it very quickly here. There's a
21	Table 1 that talks about occupancy per unit. It's on
22	page 3. On my copy Ms. Payne has highlighted that in
23	pink.
24	A. I see.
25	Q. Are you there?

1	A. I am there.
2	Q. And your understanding would be that this is a
3	way in which people can determine design capacities for
4	public water system wells and the requirement for other
5	wells based upon the per unit table; is that correct?
6	A. Yes, sir. And also you can see the other
7	methodology we talked about down in Section F.
8	Q. There's also
9	A. One.
10	Q peak flow you say?
11	A. Yes, which is really the standard design
12	you're moving towards. So that's where you get your
13	historical data on the public system if available in the
14	water system.
15	Q. The absence of that information, the
16	justification, DNR would expect you to go ahead and use
17	the per unit table; is that correct?
18	A. That's correct. If you don't use the master
19	meter readings on a per monthly basis, then you would
20	use the table.
21	MR. COMLEY: That's all I have.
22	JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there any further questions
23	from the Joint Bidders?
2.4	MR. ELLSWORTH: Yes. Your Honor.

FURTHER CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ELLSWORTH:

1	Q. Mr. Cox, this peak flow, have you obtained any			
2	data what the peak flow is at Cedar Glen?			
3	A. I don't know the answer to that question.			
4	Q. Have you ever operated a system in a second			
5	home resort type community like Lake of the Ozarks?			
6	A. Yes, we have.			
7	Q. You understand that we have busy weekends,			
8	July 4, Labor Day weekend, stuff like that, right?			
9	A. Absolutely. We've experienced that in a			
10	number of systems we own and operate.			
11	Q. On the history of the bids for the system, you			
12	actually submitted your first bid back in 2015; would			
13	that be more accurate?			
14	A. I don't even remember. I've been around the			
15	system for quite a bit.			
16	MR. ELLSWORTH: I don't know what the			
17	Commission prefers. I can mark these as exhibits or ask			
18	to take notice of Camden County. It's a circuit court			
19	case No. 26V010200965. In that case the receiver, we've			
20	talked about Mr. Cover in this hearing, he submitted			
21	reports of various bids over time for the Osage Water			
22	Company assets. So I don't know what the preference is.			
23	I can do it either way. I can mark it as exhibits.			
24	JUDGE DIPPELL: I would want it marked as an			
25	exhibit. And so explain to me one more time. This is a			

1 filing from that docket? 2 MR. ELLSWORTH: These are reports of receiver the first being filed on December 14, 2015. It's a 3 report of the various bids by various parties on Osage 4 5 Water Company's assets. Likewise, there's another 6 report of receiver as we've discussed, we're trying to 7 figure out how to clear title to this system over time. 8 There's been bids submitted -- the report was submitted 9 on May 12, 2017 and filed in that case. I can bring 10 those forward to be marked. 11 MR. COOPER: Judge, the only suggestion I 12 quess I would make is that Mr. Cox's direct testimony, I think it's Schedule JC-7, includes one of the trustee 13 14 motions in the bankruptcy case. I think that the events 15 that Mr. Ellsworth is kind of outlining are noted in 16 there. 17 JUDGE DIPPELL: Well, would you have any objection to him admitting those documents even if 18 19 they're repetitious? MR. COOPER: Even if they're cumulative? 20 21 JUDGE DIPPELL: Then we'll go ahead -- I think 22 we'll go ahead and mark them and I'll take an official 23 offer for those. 24 MR. ELLSWORTH: I would offer the two reports

of receivers as Exhibits 402 and 403.

2.5

1	JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there a distinction between			
2	Exhibit 402 and 403?			
3	MR. ELLSWORTH: Yes, Your Honor. 402 I will			
4	mark the December 24, 2015 report of receiver and I will			
5	mark the December I'm sorry, the May 12, 2017 report			
6	of receiver as 403.			
7	JUDGE DIPPELL: Do you have copies of those			
8	for the other attorneys and the Commission?			
9	MR. ELLSWORTH: I believe I may have.			
10	JUDGE DIPPELL: If you don't have at this			
11	time, I'll ask you to get that by the end of the			
12	hearing.			
13	MR. ELLSWORTH: I can do that.			
14	JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Then I have a			
15	December 24, 2015 report of receiver and a May 12, 2015			
16	report of receiver in that circuit court case. Is there			
17	any objection to Exhibits 402, 403? Seeing none, I will			
18	receive those into the record.			
19	(JOINT BIDDERS EXHIBITS 402 AND 403 WERE			
20	RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE AND MADE A PART OF THIS RECORD.)			
21	MR. COMLEY: Your Honor, one more observation.			
22	There was a letter addressed to Ms. Payne from Eric			
23	Johnson of Spencer Fane, and I think the timeline and			
24	the chronology of the auction, I think it's accurately			
25	discussed there in that letter and it's part of the			

```
Staff recommendation. So if anything, I would also
 1
 2
     direct the Commissioners at their leisure to review that
     letter for any clarity it may need on the timeline.
 3
               JUDGE DIPPELL: Yes, thank you, Mr. Comley.
 5
     Okay then.
 6
               MR. ELLSWORTH: May I proceed?
 7
               JUDGE DIPPELL: Yes. You have additional
 8
     questions?
 9
               MR. ELLSWORTH: I do.
     BY MR. ELLSWORTH:
10
11
               As Mr. Comley brought up, we have a letter
12
     that's attached to the Staff recommendation. Mr. Cox,
13
     have you reviewed that letter from Spencer Fane?
14
               I don't remember seeing specifically. I may
15
     have. I don't have a copy in front of me.
               I'm just going to -- I think you'll agree with
16
17
     me on this thing. In there the trustee -- find the
     language.
18
19
               MR. HALL: Counselor, not to interrupt but if
20
     it's at all help we have copies of that letter if that
21
     would be helpful at this time.
22
               MR. COOPER: If Mr. Cox is going to be asked
23
     about the letter, he ought to have it in front of him.
               MR. HALL: Your Honor, may I approach?
24
2.5
               JUDGE DIPPELL:
                               Yes.
```

Thank you, sir. 1 THE WITNESS: 2 BY MR. ELLSWORTH: Mr. Cox, my question was simple. On page 4 of 3 Ο. this letter it's the third full paragraph down. 4 5 Mr. Johnson, who was the attorney for the trustee of the 6 bankruptcy proceeding, states that the stalking -- the 7 bankruptcy court found the stalking-horse APA and the 8 trustee's selection of the stalking-horse to be fair, 9 reasonable, appropriate, and designed to maximize the 10 value of the bankruptcy estate. Do you agree that the 11 trustee did maximize the amount that will be paid for 12 Osage Water Company? 13 I'm not a trustee nor am I a bankruptcy expert so I can't answer that. 14 15 Your opening bid was \$465,000 and we ended at 16 \$800,000, right? 17 Α. That is correct. And you've stated a few times that you were 18 Ο. 19 selected as stalking-horse purchaser because other bids 20 were late. You don't have any personal knowledge of 21 bids being late, do you? 22 I believe --Α. 23 Ο. My question is, did somebody tell you that? -- the trustee. So the trustee had to call us 24 Α. to reopen the bid process to allow it. She could have 2.5

1	got it ordered, I believe, but I had to agree initially			
2	for that for the auction to happen. That was the way I			
3	remember it. So I'm not a bankruptcy attorney.			
4	Q. That's the way you recall it?			
5	A. That's correct.			
6	Q. And the trustee called to tell you this,			
7	right?			
8	A. That's correct.			
9	Q. You weren't collecting the bids and saying			
10	these were on time, these were late, correct?			
11	A. That is correct.			
12	Q. So the trustee would have the superior			
13	knowledge as to what was timely and what was late and			
14	how this auction procedure was conducted, right?			
15	A. I assume so.			
16	Q. Have you had any conversations with the			
17	trustee as to why they're not a party to this			
18	application or present for the hearing?			
19	MS. PAYNE: Objection. I think we've exceeded			
20	the scope of the Commissioner questions at this point.			
21	JUDGE DIPPELL: I'm going to allow it. I			
22	think it's still within the realm of some of the			
23	questions that the Commission and myself were asking.			
24	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat your question?			
25	MR. ELLSWORTH: No. I can ask a very similar			

1	one.				
2	BY MR. ELLSWORTH:				
3	Q. My question was, have you had any				
4	conversations with the trustee as to why they're not				
5	present for this hearing today?				
6	A. I have not had any conversations with the				
7	trustee at all.				
8	Q. Do you know why they were not part of the				
9	application process?				
10	A. I do not know that with technical reasons that				
11	is.				
12	Q. And educate me on this. Typically a seller				
13	selling their CCNs would apply to transfer those,				
14	wouldn't they?				
15	A. No, not all the time. We purchase systems all				
16	the time where we're the only petitioner that the other				
17	group is not a petitioner in the case.				
18	MR. ELLSWORTH: Fair enough. Thank you.				
19	JUDGE DIPPELL: Do you still have additional				
20	questions?				
21	MR. ELLSWORTH: No, I do not. Thank you.				
22	JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Are there further				
23	cross-examination questions from Public Counsel?				
24	MR. HALL: Yes, briefly. Mr. Cox, good				
25	afternoon.				

1	THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.				
2	FURTHER CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. HALL:				
3	Q. You were asked questions from Chairman Silvey				
4	regarding the acquisition incentive that's pending in				
5	this case. Did you review Staff's revised				
6	recommendation that was filed last week?				
7	A. I did.				
8	Q. Do you recall the part of Staff's revised				
9	recommendation where they remarked that they have				
10	altered their recommendation for the acquisition				
11	incentive?				
12	A. I did see that.				
13	Q. Did you see the part where Staff posited that				
14	the differential in value between the initial bid and				
15	the final purchase price may include a valuation to				
16	Central States of, quote, any additional economic value				
17	of establishing a presence in the Lake of the Ozarks				
18	area?				
19	A. I don't remember that exact comment, but I				
20	believe it's in there.				
21	Q. Okay. So I'll posit to you that the				
22	recommendation remarks that there may be some additional				
23	economic value of establishing a presence in the Lake of				
24	the Ozarks. Do you disagree with that?				
25	A. You know, from our perspective as a company,				

```
having a presence in the state is all that matters.
 1
                                                          As
 2
    you can see from previous applications, having an
 3
     individual presence in any part of the state doesn't
 4
    matter very much. We can buy systems and operate them
 5
     remotely from anywhere. We've kind of proven that
 6
    because we're in multiple states at this point.
 7
              Mr. Cox, I don't mean to be rude but just for
          Ο.
     the clarity of the record was that a yes or no to my
 8
 9
    question?
10
         Α.
               That's a no.
11
               MR. HALL: Thank you. No further questions.
12
               JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there any redirect?
13
               MR. COOPER: There will be, yes.
14
               JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there substantial redirect?
15
               MR. COOPER: There will be several questions,
16
    yes, Your Honor.
17
               JUDGE DIPPELL: We're at 12:25. We're into
18
     the typical lunch hour. I was trying to decide will
19
     there be more redirect if we break now?
20
               MR. COOPER: Well, in my experience, I've seen
21
     it work both ways. Sometimes it's less if we break.
22
               JUDGE DIPPELL: Let's go ahead for awhile and
23
    we'll take a late lunch. Proceed with your redirect.
24
               MR. COOPER: Thank you, Your Honor.
2.5
    REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. COOPER:
```

Q. It's been awhile since you've gotten some of
these questions. I'll try to work my way back through
them. I think you were asked a question early on by
Staff about financing and whether there was financing as
a part of the application and just kind of want to clear
that up. Will there be any financing necessary to close
on the transaction that's the subject of this
application?

- A. No, there will be no financing attached to closing of this transaction.
- Q. And that's because, and I think you answered it in response to a different question, but that's because the dollars necessary to close the transaction will be provided by a capital infusion?
 - A. That is correct.

2.5

- Q. In the form of equity from the owners, correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. You talked about the estimates for repairs I think at Cedar Glen and even more generally along the way. And I know you talked about the fact that, you know, experience will impact those, the permitting process with DNR will impact those, those sort of things, and I don't remember did you also discuss how you go about selecting the contractors to actually

perform those repairs?

2.5

- A. No. So after we do final engineering and we get that permitted, we create a bid package which is administered by a third-party engineer. We bid the construction contract to local contractors is typically what we use.
- Q. So a competitive bid to local contractors, and are these, this kind of follows up on a different question you got, are these true third parties, they're not affiliated companies?
- A. That is correct. We don't do any construction at Central States.
- Q. There were some questions about future financings that might be necessary. If there is a future financing, is it your understanding that you'll have to come back to the Commission for approval of such?
- A. Yes. I understand for any financing to encumber utility assets we have to come before the Commission.
- Q. Is it your experience that even when financings are approved that ultimately in a rate case the Commission will relook at that financing?
- A. I have direct experience that the Commission will do ratemaking separate than the financing approval.

1	Q. You were asked some questions about the cost
2	to serve Cedar Glen. And I guess what struck me about
3	those questions and your response is is that well,
4	let me back up. Would you agree that ultimately those
5	sort of questions come into play in a rate case when
6	you're dealing with rate design?
7	A. Yes. Any question on how the customers are
8	billed, tariff structure all comes out in a rate case
9	from my experience.
10	Q. Currently I guess Osage Water Company is what
11	you would refer to maybe as a single tariff rate?
12	A. That's the way we refer to it internally.
13	It's a single tariff for multiple systems.
14	Q. But ultimately how it gets broken out if you
15	purchase the system and/or systems plural and it ends up
16	in a rate case, that would be a question of rate design
17	for the Commission at that time?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. You were asked some questions about Hillcrest
20	Utility Operating Company. Do you remember that?
21	A. Yes, sir.
22	Q. I think you were asked about the rate case
23	that took place after it became a CSWR company, correct?
24	A. Yes.

Q. Do you remember how long it had been prior to

1	that since rates had been changed for Hillcrest?		
2	A. I mean, it was over 30 years as far as I		
3	remember.		
4	Q. Okay. You don't have anything with you I		
5	suppose that would refresh your recollection as to		
6	precise dates, do you?		
7	A. I don't. I don't know if I do or not. So I		
8	do have notes on that. So that would be '89. So 25		
9	years since rates have been increased approximately.		
10	Q. You were also asked questions about Elm Hills		
11	Utility Operating Company. Do you remember that?		
12	A. I do.		
13	Q. And I think you answered that there hasn't		
14	been no rate increase at Elm Hills since CSWR has owned		
15	those properties, correct?		
16	A. That is correct.		
17	Q. And do you have notes that would indicate when		
18	at least some of those properties were purchased?		
19	A. When we purchased the properties?		
20	Q. Yes.		
21	A. Yes, we purchased the initial systems Missouri		
22	Utilities which was another Missouri receivership system		
23	and State Park Village which was an unregulated sewer		
24	district in October of '17.		

Q. And there's no rate case on file for Elm

L	Hills,	is	there?
---	--------	----	--------

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

- A. No, there's not.
- Q. Now, I'm going to try to ask this question without the need to go in camera, but Mr. Comley asked you to do some math on your calculator based upon the pro forma statements that were filed in this case, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And in doing that, I guess there was some rough equivalent of a revenue requirement that you utilized, correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Would that revenue requirement assume all improvements that have been identified so far?
 - A. I believe it would, yes.
- Q. At whatever the current estimate is for those improvements?
- A. That is correct.
- Q. Would it include all of the acquisition premium being included?
 - A. Yes, I believe it would.
- Q. And would it also -- It includes your own estimate, I suppose, as to return on equity ultimately?
 - A. That is true.
- Q. And it includes I think you testified a high

1		
1	finance charge in it as well?	
2	A. That's correct. The highest we know is	
3	potentially possible.	
4	Q. Ultimately the revenue requirement would be	
5	decided by the Commission in a rate case, correct?	
6	A. That is correct.	
7	Q. And ultimately it would take into account	
8	other factors that might reduce that number are what you	
9	talked about before your experience with operating the	
10	companies that perhaps reduce what repairs you do	
11	ultimately, correct?	
12	A. That is correct.	
13	Q. The impact of competitive bidding on the	
14	ultimate repair cost; is that correct?	
15	A. That is correct.	
16	Q. Ultimately what the Commission decides to do	
17	with acquisition premium I suppose as well, right?	
18	A. That is correct.	
19	Q. And your ability to in the first instance to	
20	get cheaper financing than what you built in, correct?	
21	A. Correct.	
22	Q. Or, as we just talked about, the Commission's	
23	decision as to what they'll allow you to include in	
24	rates for financing, correct?	

That is correct. There's one other factor

1 also purchasing additional customers inside Osage.

- Q. Correct. So sitting here today we don't necessarily know what the customer count would be in a future rate case?
- A. That's exactly correct. We'd like to buy Public Water Supply District No. 5.
- Q. In response to I think it was Judge Dippell's question you talked about the repair process and what would be done immediately and then this sort of bidding process and getting to know the system and some of those things. When you close on a system, setting aside agreements on consent or anything else, is the purchaser immediately liable for any violations there might be for that system?
 - A. Yes, they are.
- MR. HALL: Objection. Calls for legal conclusion.
- 18 BY MR. COOPER:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

22

- Q. Is it your understanding that you're responsible for the operation of that system from day one?
 - A. Yes. I'm responsible to meet DNR standards attached to those from day one.
- Q. Is there a way that you are able to mitigate that exposure?

1	A. Yes, there are two ways. One we can enter	
2	into an agreement on consent with the Missouri	
3	Department of Natural Resources. That agreement on	
4	consent sets forth a time frame in which we have to	
5	submit design construction plans, permit those and	
6	construct them.	
7	Q. And would a sophisticated party make use of	
8	that agreement on consent process if they're able to	
9	negotiate such a document with Department of Natural	
10	Resources?	
11	A. Absolutely. All the major utilities I'm aware	
12	of utilize that same process.	
13	MR. COOPER: That's all the questions I have,	
14	Judge.	
15	JUDGE DIPPELL: Since I didn't actually rule	
16	on Mr. Hall's objection, I'll go ahead and sustain that	
17	since Mr. Cooper also rephrased it. So I hate to do	
18	this but I have one question and I forgot to ask you,	
19	Mr. Cox.	
20	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.	
21	FURTHER QUESTIONS BY JUDGE DIPPELL:	
22	Q. Because the Commission did bifurcate the	
23	Reflections systems out and your application was	
24	originally for both systems, is Osage Utility Operating	

Company intending to purchase Osage Water Company

1	regardless of whether the Commission also approves the
2	purchase of the Reflections company?
3	A. Yes, ma'am.
4	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Are there any
5	additional cross-examination questions based on that
6	question?
7	MR. COMLEY: No questions.
8	JUDGE DIPPELL: I'm not seeing any. Is there
9	any further redirect based on that question?
10	MR. COOPER: No, Your Honor.
11	JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Okay. With that
12	then I believe, Mr. Cox, your testimony is completed.
13	THE WITNESS: Thank you, ma'am.
14	JUDGE DIPPELL: You may step down.
15	(Witness excused.)
16	JUDGE DIPPELL: We will take a lunch break.
17	It is 12:37 by my computer clock. Let's take a break
18	until 1:45. We can go ahead and go off the record.
19	(Off the record.)
20	JUDGE DIPPELL: If everybody is ready, we can
21	go ahead and get started again. So let's go ahead and
22	go back on the record. We've returned from our lunch
23	break and our next witness is raring to go already at
24	the witness stand. So I'll just go ahead and swear you
25	in.

1	(Wit	ness sworn.)	
2	JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Mr. Cooper, you		
3	may go ahead.		
4	MR. COOPER: Ms. Hernandez.		
5	JUDG:	E DIPPELL: Ms. Hernandez.	
6	MS.	HERNANDEZ: Thank you. Good afternoon.	
7	TODD THOMAS, be	TODD THOMAS, being sworn, testified as follows:	
8	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. HERNANDEZ:		
9	Q. Can	you please state your name for the record?	
10	A. Todd	Thomas.	
11	Q. And	oy whom are you employed?	
12	A. CSWR.		
13	Q. And in what capacity?		
14	A. I am	responsible for business development and	
15	engineering.		
16	Q. Have	you caused to be prepared for the	
17	purposes of th	is proceeding certain testimony in	
18	question and answer form?		
19	A. Yes.		
20	Q. And	is it your understanding that that	
21	testimony has been marked as Exhibit 5 and 6 for		
22	identification I'm sorry 6 and 7 for		
23	identification?		
24	A. Yes.		
25	Q. And	do you have any changes that you would	

	like to make to your testimony?		
2	A. No.		
3	Q. If I asked you the questions which are		
4	contained in Exhibit 6 and 7 today, would your answers		
5	be the same?		
6	A. Yes.		
7	Q. Are those answers true and correct, to the		
8	best of your information, knowledge and belief?		
9	A. Yes.		
10	MS. HERNANDEZ: Your Honor, at this time I		
11	offer Exhibit 6 and 7 into evidence and we'll tender the		
12	witness for cross-examination.		
13	MR. COMLEY: Your Honor, let me renew the		
14	motion to strike portions of Mr. Thomas' surrebuttal		
15	testimony that were noted in the filed written motion to		
16	strike. Again, without trying to burden the transcript,		
17	I would ask that the motion speak for itself and be		
18	considered on the record here.		
19	JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there a joint in that		
20	motion?		
21	MR. ELLSWORTH: Yes, there is, Judge. The		
22	Joint Bidders would join in that motion.		
23	JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. That motion is		
24	noted and taken notice of the written document that was		
25	previously filed and that motion or that objection is		

1	overruled. And just to clarify, Exhibit No. 6 is the
2	Direct Testimony of Todd Thomas and Exhibit No. 7 is the
3	Surrebuttal Testimony of Todd Thomas?
4	MS. HERNANDEZ: Correct. Thank you.
5	JUDGE DIPPELL: That has been offered. Are
6	there any other objections to those documents, that
7	testimony? Seeing none, I will admit those into the
8	record.
9	(COMPANY EXHIBITS 6 AND 7 WERE RECEIVED INTO
10	EVIDENCE AND MADE A PART OF THIS RECORD.)
11	JUDGE DIPPELL: And the witness has been
12	tendered. Is there any cross-examination from Staff?
13	MS. PAYNE: Yes, thank you. Good afternoon,
14	Mr. Thomas.
15	THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.
16	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. PAYNE:
17	Q. Have you reviewed the improvements that were
18	proposed by the Joint Bidders in their testimony?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. In your opinion, are those sufficient to
21	provide safe and adequate service to the Osage Water
22	customers?
23	A. No.
24	Q. Do you believe the improvements are sufficient
25	to meet the DNR requirements?

1	A. No.	
2	Q. And you are also familiar with the minimum	
3	design standards that were handed out earlier?	
4	A. I sleep with a copy. Not exactly but yes, I	
5	am familiar.	
6	Q. So suffice it to say that you do not believe	
7	that the improvements that have been proposed by the	
8	Joint Bidders meet those standards?	
9	A. I do not.	
10	Q. Okay. Thank you. Could you tell me what	
11	bypassing is?	
12	A. Bypassing is when in the case of wastewater	
13	where you have a portion of the wastewater that is being	
14	treated, it's bypassed treatment process and goes out to	
15	the state waters.	
16	Q. When you say it goes out to the state waters,	
17	what does that mean in attorney terms?	
18	A. Well, in this particular case it would go to	
19	the lake, Lake of the Ozarks.	
20	Q. Okay. Would you see that as being a potential	
21	threat to public health?	
22	A. Yes.	
23	Q. Why would you say that?	
24	A. If it's untreated, it could have ecoli, for	

instance, which can cause an illness.

1	Q. When you say to the lake, you referred to the
2	Lake of the Ozarks?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Popular vacation spot?
5	A. Correct.
6	Q. Okay. Thank you. Do you know if bypassing
7	occurs at any of the Osage sewage treatment plants?
8	A. Yes. I witnessed a bypass as recent as last
9	week at one of those facilities.
10	Q. Can you tell me which facility that was?
11	A. It was the wastewater treatment facility at
12	Eagle Woods.
13	Q. Okay. And have you observed bypassing or have
14	you noticed a risk of bypassing at any of the other
15	systems?
16	A. Well, I guess in the sense of bypassing, at
17	Chelsea Rose there is sludge actually in the chlorine
18	contacts chamber which will be then released directly to
19	the lake in a form that as well.
20	MS. PAYNE: Thank you. I have no further
21	questions at this time. Thank you.
22	JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you. Is there
23	cross-examination from Cedar Glen?
24	MR. COMLEY: Yes, Your Honor.
2.5	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR COMLEY:

Q. Mr. Thomas, I have a few questions about the estimated costs. This is kind of following up on Whitney Payne's cross-examination. But the estimated cost of improvements that you testified about in your direct testimony, do you consider your estimates to be reliable forecasts of the costs of those improvements?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

I consider our estimates to be reliable in Α. understanding what we may have to do if we get acquisition of these facilities. So what we do, and we're very consistent in the way we do that, in the case of water we begin with this minimum guideline. case of wastewater, we look at the permit. We take that and I should say we use a licensed third-party Missouri professional engineer to do this. We look at all the requirements to meet those minimum quidelines. Based on those estimates are created. And then as was mentioned earlier we have this agreement on consent so that if we do acquire the facility we have a period of time to operate those before we take action on any of those items that we found just to make sure that we're not under or over designing.

And then as was also mentioned, we use third-party contractors to do those installations.

Those are bid out. So they're competitively bid. And of course, all this has to be permitted through the

Missouri DNR. And of course, ultimately it's the PSC that determines whether or not it was prudent and necessary.

- Q. We have talked about the total of the estimates. And now that you bring this up what you do, can you tell the Commission and us what are the total costs of the improvements you've estimated for the Osage Water Company assets?
- A. I mean, I could go in here and add them up. I don't do the pro forma. I look at the sites on their individual basis. So I have not totaled them.
 - Q. You haven't totaled them up?
 - A. No, sir.

2.5

- Q. Have you and Mr. Cox had a chance to discuss the time frame within which the improvements could be constructed after you -- presumably after you are approved?
- A. So have Mr. Cox and I discussed it directly?

 Yes, I'm sure we have, but more importantly the engineer who's responsible for dealing with the facilities who works for CSWR, he's the one that works with the Missouri DNR to get the agreements on consent and negotiates a prudent period of time again for us to operate it on an interim basis but then also do those improvements as quickly as possible. So again if

acquisition is approved, we have a lot of that work done to a certain point in time so we can act fast. We have to confirm what we're doing is prudent and correct.

- Q. When you say a prudent period of review under the consent feature you're talking about, how long do you anticipate a prudent period of review might be in your experience?
- A. Well, the agreement on consent gives us typically a year or 18 months, somewhere around that range, and it varies from site to site to get everything done and in use and usable. Typically that operational period is somewhere around three months.
- Q. With your period of consent, would it vary with the systems that you have within the Osage Water Company asset base or would you have to go back to DNR and negotiate more consent type features for a prudent period of review?
- A. I'm not certain I completely understood your question, but I'll answer it like this.
 - Q. Let me rephrase it.
 - A. Okay.

- Q. Does the consent cover any kind of system central water -- any the company might acquire?
- A. No, it's not a blanket consent. It's negotiated on an individual basis on a site for site

basis. 1 2 Do you have any expectations that the costs O. may exceed your estimates? 3 I don't have any expectations of that. Could 5 something happen -- could we find out something in that 6 trial period? Certainly. 7 Do you know whether there's a contingency 8 amount set forth in your cost estimate so that there's a 9 contingency budget involved in your estimate? 10 All our estimates typically have 10 percent Α. 11 contingency. 12 Do you have any expectation that the estimates will be above the actual costs of improvements? 13 14 What I can tell you that we try to accomplish 15 is to get all the improvements and achieve compliance in 16 the lowest cost means possible and ensure that quality and safe and a reliable service. 17 18 Is it fair to say that in your experience with 19 other systems that your cost estimates have been 20 exceeded by actual cost? 21 I don't have any personal recollection of 22 that. 23 Would you turn with me to page 15 of your Q.

surrebuttal on line 5?

Which page?

Α.

2.4

1	Q. Page 15 of your surrebuttal. I may have lost
2	track of the exhibit number.
3	A. I'm here.
4	Q. It's line 5, and I'm reading that the Cedar
5	Glen system lacks a second well; is that a correct
6	reading of your testimony?
7	A. There is no second well.
8	Q. It lacks a second well. I was going to check
9	with you to see are you now saying that Cedar Glen needs
10	that second well?
11	A. No, I'm not. I can tell you that based on all
12	the testimony we've heard previously if it is determined
13	either by means of the number of people that are
14	serviced there or by the flow data that it exceeds the
15	500 person equivalent, then it will either need a well
16	or some secondary source of water.
17	Q. So initially your testimony does not include
18	the cost of a second well; is that correct?
19	A. My initial estimate does not include the cost
20	of a second well, that is correct.
21	Q. Did you undertake a separate calculation to
22	determine whether or not a second well was needed?
23	A. I mean, from my experience in the industry, I
24	certainly know in the ballpark of what that well may be;

but until as I talk about with our process we use the

minimum guidelines, we determine an estimate and then if we're granted acquisition we go ahead and confirm all the information we've assembled and get the permitting from Missouri DNR before we take any action. So I don't necessarily know what you're driving at but you could have this discussion about many other items as well.

- Q. What I'm saying, you didn't use the table of per unit occupancy to come up with whether or not the second well was needed?
 - A. I did not use that table, no.

- Q. Wouldn't it be true that if there were 500 or more persons served by that system at Cedar Glen that minimum design requirements would be a second well would be required?
- A. That would be true. I understand also by Mr. Krehbiel, your engineer's testimony that he's saying that there's \$39,000 worth of improvements that would be needed to get everything in compliance. So if you're arguing that my estimate is too low, I don't understand.
- Q. You may have been misreading Mr. Krehbiel's testimony. I think you were talking about David Stone's testimony about Cedar Glen sewer service.
 - A. Where Mr. Krehbiel references that, yes.
- Q. Have you reviewed the Missouri Department of Natural Resources compliance and operational inspection

1	reports for the Cedar Glen water system?	
2	A. Repeat that question.	
3	Q. Have you had a chance to review the Missouri	
4	DNR compliance and operational inspection reports for	
5	the Cedar Glen water system?	
6	A. I reviewed the Lake Area Water, the Missouri	
7	Water Association, PWSD 5, and I don't remember out of	
8	all those which ones were specifically Cedar Glen.	
9	Q. Do you have a copy of Mr. Cox's surrebuttal	
10	testimony?	
11	A. I do not.	
12	MR. COMLEY: Mr. Cooper, could I impose on	
13	you?	
14	MR. COOPER: Yes. Surrebuttal?	
15	MR. COMLEY: Yes, surrebuttal.	
16	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	
17	BY MR. COMLEY:	
18	Q. Would you turn to his Schedules JC-S3 that is	
19	at the rear of his surrebuttal testimony?	
20	A. I'm here.	
21	Q. These purport to be compliance and operational	
22	inspection reports from an inspector for DNR. On the	
23	one dated February 5, 2015, can you read what is beside	
24	the item numbered 208?	
25	A. Okay. So get me to the exact document again.	

It's Schedule JC-S3. It's a combined 1 0. 2 schedule. And the first one that appears is dated February 5, 2015? 3 Page 1 in the lower right-hand corner? 5 Ο. Page 1 is the lower right-hand corner. 6 Α. Okay, I'm here. The system name is Jim Hepler, operator -- or 7 Q. 8 rather Jim Hepler, operator, was interviewed. There's 9 an item 208. Can you read what that says? PWS needs a second well (serves more than 500 10 Α. 11 people.) 12 There's another one that's dated August 24, Ο. 13 2017. If you can turn there with me. 14 Α. I'm here. 15 Could you read the first entry under comments and recommendations for corrections? 16 17 Α. 208? Yes, sir. 18 Ο. 19 PWS needs a second well (serves more than 500 Α. 20 people.) 21 Thank you. On page 16 of your surrebuttal, Ο. 22 top of the page, you discuss the design standards 23 requiring an emergency generator that will supply power 24 to booster station pumps. Turn with me there if you'd 25 like.

1	A. Which line?
2	Q. I think it's the top of the page.
3	A. I see it, yes.
4	Q. And you said that the generator would cost
5	between, the range would be between 40 and \$50,000; is
6	that a correct reading of your testimony?
7	A. That's a correct reading.
8	Q. I understand that you served in a technical
9	capacity for Public Water District No. 2 in St. Charles
10	County?
11	A. Only on an advisory committee.
12	Q. Only on an advisory committee. Do you know
13	whether Public Water Supply District No. 2 is a member
14	of the Missouri Rural Water Association?
15	A. I do not know that.
16	Q. Do you know if generators are available from
17	any association on a complimentary basis?
18	A. I don't know that.
19	Q. On page 17 of your surrebuttal, lines 7
20	through 8
21	A. What I do know, though, is on the Missouri
22	guideline it clearly states that emergency generation is
23	required if there's over 100 connections.
24	Q. It may be required. The issue would be
25	whether it's free or not. So all right. On page 17 of

your surrebuttal, lines 7 to 8, you state there are a number of expenses associated with the interconnection between District No. 5's water system and Cedar Glen's water system as Mr. Krehbiel has testified to that.

- A. I don't recall seeing any dollars from Mr. Krehbiel's testimony in terms of costs for a connection.
- Q. You state that the interconnection would be -- another expense for interconnection would be related to decommissioning and plugging the well. Can you tell me why the well would need to be plugged? I take that back. Wouldn't plugging the well be an option for Cedar Glen?
 - A. Show me where I say that.
- Q. Oh, sorry. Page 17, line 7. After the connection, the system would have to deal with the cost of decommissioning the existing well site and components. Wouldn't it be an option for Cedar Glen to close that well?
 - A. Yes.

2.5

- O. It would be necessary; is that correct?
- A. It would not necessarily be necessary.
- Q. In fact, by interconnecting the well with Cedar Heights, as Mr. Krehbiel discusses, wouldn't that eliminate the need for the second well that DNR has said

<u> </u>	needed	<u> </u>
- 1.5	needed	1 11010

- A. It may eliminate the need of the second well, but there's been no dollars and cents provided to show what that cost of that interconnection would be and it would not eliminate the other upgrades to that Cedar Glen water treatment facility that are still required.
- Q. I think Mr. Cox testified that costs of a second well at Cedar Glen may cost \$100,000?
 - A. It could, yes.
- Q. And by interconnecting, are you saying that the interconnection could be in excess of that?
 - A. Certainly.
- Q. At least we can agree that if there is an interconnection there won't be the necessity of finding property and digging a second well at Cedar Glen?
 - A. That could be a true statement, yes.
- Q. I visited with Mr. Cox a little bit about the need for disinfection of the water system at Cedar Glen. I know you were here. Do you recall that I visited about that with him?
 - A. I do, yes.
- Q. Is it true that you have not included a cost for any kind of disinfection equipment for installation at Cedar Glen water system?
 - A. That is true.

1	Q. On page 25 of your surrebuttal, let me see if
2	I can direct you to the line, but I want to talk about
3	that moving bed bio reactor. In your direct you
4	testified that the cost of improvements to the Cedar
5	Glen sewer system would be around \$282,000 or a net
6	range. Is the cost of the MBBR in that estimate?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. How much does an MBBR cost?
9	A. It depends. It depends upon the size of the
10	system, the number of people being served.
11	Q. What kind of size did you have for Cedar Glen
12	that's included in your estimate? Do you know?
13	A. It's not like they don't necessarily come
14	in small, medium and large. It's just based on design
15	calculations and the volume you need, and I don't have
16	that.
17	Q. You don't have that number with you?
18	A. That number with me, no.
19	MR. COMLEY: Excuse me just a minute. I have
20	no other questions.
21	JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you. Is there any
22	questions from the Joint Bidders?
23	MR. ELLSWORTH: Yes.
24	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ELLSWORTH:

Q. Mr. Thomas, you stated that a lot of the work

1	has already been done, I think you're referring to the
2	in-house engineer at Central States Water?
3	A. Just the preliminary work so that upon
4	acquisition we're not starting from ground zero.
5	JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Ellsworth, can I get you
6	to somehow I know the microphone is stationary, but
7	if you can move closer to it.
8	MR. ELLSWORTH: Okay. I can speak up too.
9	JUDGE DIPPELL: That would help the court
10	reporter hear you.
11	BY MR. ELLSWORTH:
12	Q. Did the engineer that has done some of the
13	preliminary work, did he not pick up on the DNR
14	requirements that the second well was needed?
15	A. You're talking about the second well at Cedar
16	Glen?
17	Q. Yes.
18	A. So it goes back down to what we discussed
19	before whether or not there is the you exceed the 500
20	people or if you exceed the flow.
21	Q. Okay. But you have a DNR report that you
22	reviewed that's connected to the direct testimony,
23	surrebuttal testimony that says it's needed and there's
24	500 people. So why was it not included in the cost?
25	A. There's you certainly must verify all the

- information you receive, right. So we have to verify 1 2 that that exceedance exists so that second well is 3 required.
 - Speaking of verifying information, let's look at your page 7, line 18 of your surrebuttal. have that in front of you still, Mr. Thomas?
 - I'll get there. Page 7, line 18? Α.
 - Q. Yes, sir.
 - Α. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

16

17

18

19

22

- That references a Schedule TT-S6. I guess I 10 Ο. 11 need to make sure that's not -- that's attached. 12 let's look at TT-S6 as well, please, in connection with There's a number of documents under that exhibit. 13 14 But I would like you to turn to the notice of violation 15 dated September 26, 2016, to Minnow Brook HOA.
 - Α. The page number?
 - You didn't number the pages. It's part of Schedule TT-S6. It's a notice of violation for Minnow Brook HOA.
- Let me look at a different document. Forgive 20 21 me. I'll get there.
 - That's okay. No problem. Ο.
- S2, S3, S4, S5. When you have this many Α. 24 violations, it's hard to sort through all of them to find them. Okay. I'm here. 25

1	Q. Did you verify that one of the Joint Bidders
2	was operating the system at that time? You said we had
3	so many violations.
4	A. Did I verify that one of the Joint Bidders was
5	operating this system?
6	Q. Yeah, Minnow Brook HOA.
7	A. We submitted a DR, a data request, asking for
8	the information for the stuff that they own and operate
9	for the last five years and if they gave it to us that
10	must mean that they own it and operate it because that's
11	what we asked for.
12	Q. Did you verify it? You said you verified
13	information.
14	A. If we asked them for their information and
15	they gave it to us, why would I need to do that?
16	Q. Let's turn back to the notice of violation for
17	Molokai Pointe Estates August 31, 2015. It's a few
18	pages behind that, Mr. Thomas. Ask you the same
19	question. Did you verify that Molokai Pointe Estates
20	was owned, operated, had any association with any of my
21	clients before filing your testimony?
22	A. I guess my response is the same.
23	Q. So you didn't verify it. You got the records
24	and you assumed that my clients were operating them

because it was responsive to your request?

1	A. Because they gave them to me, yes.
2	Q. And you filed these with the purposes of
3	making my clients look like they're unable or not
4	capable of maintaining Osage Water Company assets,
5	right?
6	MS. HERNANDEZ: Objection, argumentative.
7	JUDGE DIPPELL: I'll let you rephrase your
8	question. I'll sustain the objection.
9	BY MR. ELLSWORTH:
10	Q. What was the purpose of filing these notices
11	of violation?
12	A. I guess it's to verify the fact that PWSD had
13	17 violations, Lake Area Water had 86, Missouri Water
14	had 10. That's what they gave to us and then we did our
15	own Sunshine request of the systems that they operate
16	and there was 13 more provided during the Sunshine
17	request. That's just from a small sampling of a very
18	large stack of information.
19	Q. Did you file any of the letters of compliance
20	following these notices of violation with the
21	Commission?
22	A. We filed what we received.
23	Q. And the numbers you just gave, I don't have
24	them memorized, but the numbers you just gave, that was
25	based solely upon the information you received from DNR

as a result of your Sunshine records request?

- A. No. The primary -- the first set of numbers is what I received in our data request from Lake Area Water, Missouri Water Association and PWSD 5, the information they gave me. In addition to that, we supplemented that with a Sunshine request, got more records and found out that they had not fully disclosed all of their violations and there's more.
- Q. And did you -- each one -- you filed, what, a thousand pages with your surrebuttal, a thousand plus maybe?
 - A. I don't know. A lot.
- Q. It broke my printer and I think it broke somebody else's in the room.
 - A. Two binders.

- Q. Did you verify each of those notices of violation occurred at a time that one of my clients operated the systems that were subject to the violation?
- A. If your client gave them to us as part of our DR, we believed that they were giving them to us because they operated and they owned it.
- Q. What about the ones you added to your numbers from the DNR? Did you verify those?
- A. We verified those on our own. We took -- also I mentioned it as part of our recent due diligence, we

1	took samples in those facilities, those sand plants, and
2	they all violated for pneumonia and TSS and other things
3	too.
4	Q. You sampled all of the systems my clients
5	operates?
6	A. No. Just the ones that we're looking at
7	buying.
8	Q. Osage Water Company?
9	A. Yes. Excuse me, I see your point.
10	Q. But my clients don't operate
11	A. I understand.
12	Q. Mr. Thomas, please turn to Schedule TT-S8, if
13	you would, please.
14	A. I'm here.
15	Q. Again, this is attached, this is a schedule to
16	your surrebuttal testimony. Did you verify that
17	Bentwood Condos was operated by my clients, any of my
18	clients?
19	A. I received this from your client as part of a
20	DR.
21	Q. You did not Do you have a recollection you
22	didn't receive this from the Missouri Department of
23	Natural Resources?
24	A. None of what's in these exhibits is what we

received as part of our Sunshine request. There's a

1	supplemental exhibit but it's named differently.
2	Q. Is it possible that my clients would have
3	historical notices of violation maintained in their
4	files that would not be from a period of time they
5	operated the systems?
6	A. I can't guess about your client's ability to
7	record keep or understand their processes or
8	capabilities.
9	Q. Fair enough. How many notices of violation
10	has Central States or any of its subsidiaries,
11	affiliates, received in the last five years?
12	A. Two. One of them was for after there was a
13	newly started up plant. There was not an exceedance
14	Q. Mr. Thomas, I don't have a question pending if
15	you don't mind. If there's only two, then when I sent
16	my data request why was it such an onerous task to give
17	me the two violations?
18	A. I don't know the answer to that.
19	Q. That wasn't part of your scope of work, right?
20	A. That's correct.
21	MR. ELLSWORTH: I don't have any further
22	questions.
23	JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there cross-examination
24	from Public Counsel?

MR. HALL: Yes. Mr. Thomas, good afternoon.

L	THE WITNESS:	Good afternoon.
2	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR	. HALL:

- Q. So I'll preface this by usually my standard is to print off multiple copies for the witness and opposing counsel to have. I nearly killed our office's printer printing off all of your schedules. Do you have a copy of the schedules you attached to your surrebuttal testimony in front of you?
 - A. I have.

2.5

- Q. Thank goodness. Okay. I printed off all thousand some -- or I'm positing to you is about a thousand some pages attached to your surrebuttal. Do you agree with me that most of these papers do not show unsafe or inadequate service on the part of the parties?
- A. Do I agree with you that most do not show? I think that that's not necessarily -- I don't think you can make that kind of statement because they vary with a lot of different items, right.
- Q. Well, if you don't mind, let's just walk through.
- A. If you're trying to say if you have one thousand documents --
- Q. Mr. Thomas, I do not have a question pending to respond. Mr. Thomas, the first page you scheduled TT-S1, I'm looking this is a letter. It has the

- 1 letterhead from the department of DNR, correct?
 - A. Let me get there. Wrong book. I'm here.
 - Q. The front of this -- Like I said, it has a letterhead from DNR, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. This letter to Ms. Henry, the president of Camden County Public Water Supply District 5 from Maher Jaafari, Chief Engineer from the Public Drinking Water branch of DNR it looks like?
- 10 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

- 11 Q. And Mr. -- I do apologize. I know he's not in 12 the room. I don't mean to mispronounce his name. --13 Jaafari noted that the requested extension was granted 14 in this letter?
 - A. That appears to be what it says, yes.
 - Q. Okay. And if I turn the page, then it's a receipt for laboratory services, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And then the next page following on the same schedule is a copy of what looks look DNR's rules in the CSR, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And then the next page was an e-mail looks
 like a gmail account of one Bonnie Burden. It looks -am I interpreting this correctly this is an

advertisement for an inspection service that was available, correct, or solicitation rather than advertisement?

- A. I'd have to read it thoroughly to understand.
- Q. The next page in Schedule 1 was another, looks like another solicitation of inspection services from Ozark Applications, LLC?
 - A. Okay.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. And that all continues onto the next page of Schedule 1. What is it within Schedule TT-S1? What page specifically shows a violation?
- A. So these documents are the information we received during the data request. We had to go through and sort out through all these documents and look at the documents to find those violations. And if you look at the schedules, they're noted on the date and who's the owner and what the type of violation was but they're in here.
- Q. Mr. Thomas, I repeat my question again, you sponsored these schedules. What page within just one TT-S1 can you point to that shows a violation?
- A. I'd have to go through and find them but they're in here.
 - Q. Would you please jump ahead to Schedule TT-S4.
 - A. I'm here.

1	Q. The first page of TT-S4 it looks like a copy
2	of the actual data request questions, correct?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Turn the page. These look am I seeing
5	these correctly? It's several series of microbiological
6	analysis reports from DNR?
7	A. That's what it appears to be, yes.
8	Q. There's various different assets that are
9	named in all these different ones. On this particular
10	page I'm looking at which is the second page of TT-S4,
11	this looks like a sampling done of a swimming pool
12	restroom done on June 3, 2019?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Then when I see A for coliform and fecal
15	ecoli, the A is for absent, correct?
16	A. That's correct.
17	Q. At the bottom of the page there's a checkmark
18	for monitoring violation yes or no, correct?
19	A. That's correct.
20	Q. The DNR marked no?
21	A. That's correct.
22	Q. And there's another checkmark for MCL
23	violation yes and no?
24	A. That is correct.
25	Q. And DNR marked no?

- 1 A. That's correct.
 - Q. On the next page of TT-S4 I see a very similar page same type of swimming pool, or not same, this may be a different swimming pool. My point is simply to say this is another monitoring of another pool done on a different date that's substantially the same form as the prior page we discussed where there's a checkmark at the bottom denoting whether there was a monitoring violation or MCL violation, correct?
- 10 A. Yes.

2.5

- Q. And in both instances DNR marked there was no violation, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. This pattern continues throughout most of TT-S4, doesn't it?
 - A. As I said earlier, these are the documents that were supplied to us. We had to go through them and look at them individually to do the analysis that we provided in the tables.
 - Q. Pardon the delay. I'm simply trying to grasp all the papers in hand. So in my hand I'm holding the entirety of TT-S4. You started TT-S5 is another schedule you attached. This was a spreadsheet that you created, correct, using Microsoft Excel it looks like?
 - A. That Central States created, yes.

1	Q. This was not created by DNR?
2	A. That was not created by DNR, no.
3	Q. Could you please turn to Schedule TT-S6.
4	A. I'm here.
5	Q. This is a letter to Mr. Kelly Goss with the
6	Missouri Water Association from DNR with the same DNR
7	letterhead, correct?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. This first page of the schedule is a cover
10	sheet and then the actual DNR report follows. Do you
11	agree with me?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Would you please turn to what's noted as page
14	3 of the actual report that was attached to the schedule
15	where there's a subheading in bold called unsatisfactory
16	findings?
17	A. I'm here.
18	Q. Can you follow along with me the paragraph
19	that starts with the numeral 1. Am I reading this
20	correctly that the public water system in question
21	failed to obtain written authorization from the
22	department prior to construction, alteration or
23	extension of the water system. Did I read that

25

A. Yes.

1	Q. And that was what DNR saw was a violation?
2	A. In this particular case, yes.
3	Q. DNR noted in the next sentence that the water
4	operator constructed a chlorination system was installed
5	since the last inspection?
6	A. That's correct.
7	Q. And the required action following this
8	violation was to submit engineering reports within 180
9	calendar days. Am I reading that correctly?
10	A. That's correct.
11	Q. Please turn to the next following paragraph
12	starting with II. Am I reading this correctly the
13	violation here was the public water system has not
14	transferred a permit to dispense water from the prior
15	owner to the new operator?
16	A. Where are you?
17	Q. Same page, simply the paragraph that starts
18	with II.
19	A. The number II, yes, go ahead.
20	Q. II. The public water system has not
21	transferred a permit to dispense water to the public, to
22	the new owner as required. Am I reading that correctly?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. And the required action that DNR prescribed

following that violation was to complete an enclosed

1	application. Am I reading that correctly?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Do you agree with me that completing
4	applications and filing engineering reports is the
5	textbook definition of paperwork?
6	A. If you look at our tables that we provided,
7	there's effluent limit violations.
8	Q. Mr. Thomas, that was not my question. My
9	question was as to these two violations I've denoted,
10	would you agree that those are paperwork?
11	A. They're necessary.
12	Q. That wasn't the answer to my question.
13	A. They're paperwork, yes.
14	Q. Thank you. Your Schedule T-S7 TT-S7,
15	pardon me, this was another Excel spreadsheet that you
16	created, correct?
17	A. That Central States created, yes.
18	Q. So again for the purpose of the record I'm
19	positing to you we went through TT-S1. Here is TT-S4
20	all the way through 7. Would you agree with me that
21	this represents a substantial chunk of what you put in
22	the record as your full schedules?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. I ask my question again. Do you agree that

the majority of the schedules you filed do not show

1	violations?
2	A. I think it's one of those things on how you're
3	asking the question. Do those by the fact that most of
4	the papers in that are not direct violations, does that
5	represent that there are not issues with violations? I
6	do not agree with that. If you're asking me on a
7	strictly math basis if the number of those pages in
8	those documents are not violations, that is correct.
9	MR. HALL: Thanks. No further questions.
10	JUDGE DIPPELL: Are there questions from the
11	Commission? Mr. Chairman, do you have any questions?
12	Commissioner Kenney?
13	COMMISSIONER KENNEY: No questions. Thank
14	you.
15	JUDGE DIPPELL: Commissioner Rupp?
16	COMMISSIONER RUPP: No, thank you.
17	JUDGE DIPPELL: I just have one.
18	QUESTIONS BY JUDGE DIPPELL:
19	Q. At one point during questioning you were asked
20	about that's when Mr. Ellsworth was questioning you.
21	You were asked about did you verify these things on your
22	own and your answer to most of the questions was that

these were the documents that were presented to you in

23

24

25

data requests?

Α.

Yes.

1	Q. At one point he asked when you said that you
2	had gotten the Sunshine requests from DNR and you said
3	you had verified those on your own?
4	A. That's correct.
5	Q. What do you mean by that? What did you do?
6	A. So when we did the Sunshine request for
7	Missouri DNR, we gave them the specific facilities that
8	we had verified that are operated by the Lake Area Water
9	and Missouri Water Association and PWSD 5 and so those
10	are the records we got when we requested those.
11	Q. That was verified as of that they operated
12	those as of the date of the request or as of the date of
13	the violation?
14	A. That was as of the date of the request.
15	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. All right. That's all
16	the questions I have. Are there any further
17	cross-examination questions based on my questions? From
18	Staff?
19	MS. PAYNE: No, thank you.
20	JUDGE DIPPELL: Sorry. I just thought of one
21	more.
22	BY JUDGE DIPPELL:
23	Q. In the tables that Central States created or
24	that you created that were attached to your testimony,

the summary tables that was TT-S7 and maybe TT-S2, at

1	least on TT-S7 the last column says source and gives a
2	lot of letters, numbers. I'm not sure. What is that?
3	What does that refer to?
4	A. Which one is that?
5	Q. It's TT-S7.
6	A. So the Missouri DNR has a very specific filing
7	system for electronic files, which I won't attempt to
8	tell you how it works, but specifically that source and
9	it was just in the printout of the way we have the
10	other sources on the other ones. When we printed this
11	out, it was just a different format. Those are the
12	exact Missouri DNR files where these can be located.
13	Q. Okay. So that's a records number from DNR?
14	A. That's correct.
15	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Okay. Now based on my
16	questions are there further cross-examination from
17	Staff?
18	MS. PAYNE: No, thank you.
19	JUDGE DIPPELL: From Cedar Glen?
20	MR. COMLEY: No questions.
21	JUDGE DIPPELL: From the Joint Bidders?
22	MR. ELLSWORTH: Just as a follow up to Judge
23	Dippell's questions.
24	FURTHER CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ELLSWORTH:
25	Q. Mr. Thomas, what was the request you submitted

1	to DNR? Did you make the request yourself?
2	A. I did not make the request myself.
3	Q. Was it a general request in which you asked
4	DNR for records for the last five years for each of
5	these systems?
6	A. You know, I don't have the exact request with
7	me but it's similar.
8	Q. You didn't request the DNR records for the
9	period during which my clients owned the systems; is
10	that true?
11	A. I don't know the answer to that actually.
12	MR. ELLSWORTH: So nothing further.
13	THE WITNESS: I know there's a certain number
14	of years we requested.
15	JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you. Is there anything
16	further from Public Counsel based on my questions?
17	MR. HALL: Public Counsel defers from asking
18	further questions at this time.
19	JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there any redirect?
20	MS. HERNANDEZ: Yes. Thank you.
21	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. HERNANDEZ:
22	Q. Let's start at some of the questions you
23	received from OPC. Can you turn to your Schedule 4,
24	TT-S4.
25	A. I'm here.

1	Q. All right. And you were asked about some of
2	these monitoring reports. Do you recall the question
3	from the Office of Public Counsel on that?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. I believe they stated that there's several of
6	those monitoring reports in your Schedule 4. Do you
7	remember that
8	A. Yes.
9	Q line of questioning. Okay. And then
10	pointing you to the sample results, in particular the
11	total coliform and the fecal ecoli. Do you remember?
12	A. I do.
13	Q. Okay. Look at that chlorine residual column.
14	A. I see it.
15	Q. Is that indicating anything to you on this
16	document?
17	MR. HALL: I would simply ask for
18	clarification as to which page number that counsel is
19	looking at. There are hundreds of these forms filed.
20	None of them are page numbered. Just some type of
21	clarification for the record would be appreciated.
22	MR. ELLSWORTH: Also clarification as to what
23	system we're talking about, the date.
24	BY MS. HERNANDEZ:
25	Q. I was trying to go off the same document that

OPC was using. It's Bates stamped at the bottom 1 2 MWA1.17-000101 and the collection point it says swimming pool outside. You see the chlorine residual column? 3 I do, yes. 5 Does that chlorine level indicate anything to Ο. 6 you? 7 It's less than .02. Α. 8 Ο. And in terms of chlorine and water, is there a 9 standard that DNR -- is there a DNR standard for? 10 There's a standard and I believe it's .5. I Α. 11 could be wrong but I think it's .5. 12 All right. So in terms of these documents, when you included them in your schedule, were you trying 13 14 to refer to something besides the sample results for 15 total coliform or fecal ecoli? MR. ELLSWORTH: I just want to pose an 16 17 objection. There's no relevance. There's no foundation 18 to tie this -- We don't even know what swimming pool 19 This could have been a pool --20 THE WITNESS: This is not actually a swimming 21 pool. This is the water sample tap where they take the 22 It's not actually the swimming pool. It's a tap test. 23 at a pool. 24 JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Thomas, let me rule on the 25 objection.

It goes a little further than 1 MR. ELLSWORTH: 2 that as well. We don't know where this is taken. 3 JUDGE DIPPELL: I appreciate your objection 4 but we have already admitted these and so the Commission 5 will --6 MR. ELLSWORTH: True. 7 JUDGE DIPPELL: -- take that with the weight 8 of the evidence when it reviews it. Go ahead with your 9 question. BY MS. HERNANDEZ: 10 I believe I'd asked what this chlorine 11 12 residual level means to you. This does denote a violation. Whether or not 13 we used this one in the table or not, I don't know. 14 15 have to go back and cross check, cross reference. 16 So the collection point you had mentioned that 0. 17 -- well, it states swimming pool outside. Do you know 18 what collection point -- what are they referring to 19 there? 20 I've never been there but typically they refer 21 to either like a faucet in the bathroom or at the pool 22 deck or something like that. There's just different 23 location points for every system the DNR references for 24 collection points for water samples.

Okay. And for drinking water, correct?

25

Ο.

1	A. Yes, correct.
2	Q. So the analysis report is showing the level of
3	chlorine in drinking water?
4	A. That's correct.
5	Q. Why is chlorine in drinking water important?
6	A. For disinfection.
7	Q. All right. And let's just go back one page,
8	the first page of Schedule TT-S4 and DR 1.17.
9	A. I'm here.
10	Q. All right. And what documents did you request
11	in this DR?
12	A. Please provide the last two years of sampling
13	reports for each of the water systems listed in the
14	response to DR 1.16.
15	Q. The documents in TT-S4, you received these
16	documents in response to the data request that was
17	submitted to Missouri Water Association?
18	A. That's correct.
19	Q. All right. Let's go to your Schedule 6,
20	TT-S6.
21	A. I'm here.
22	Q. All right. Do you recall the line of
23	questioning essentially from the Office of Public
24	Counsel stating that these were not these were mere

paperwork violations versus safe and adequate service?

1	A. I do.
2	Q. All right. Can you explain why a company
3	maintaining appropriate records might be necessary?
4	A. It's absolutely necessary. Otherwise, how
5	else are you going to prove that you provided safe and
6	reliable service.
7	Q. Do you have any opinion on why DNR has
8	standards for submission of paperwork?
9	A. So they can completely understand and keep up
10	to date with how each system is running their how
11	each owner is running their systems and making sure
12	they're in compliance.
13	Q. Do you recall a question from Mr. Ellsworth to
14	the effect that he had asked a data request for notices
15	of violation from the applicant?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. And you had mentioned that there were two
18	violations and I think Mr. Ellsworth commented something
19	to the effect that shouldn't have been so time consuming
20	to get that information?
21	A. I recall.
22	Q. Was there anything else asked for in that DR
23	request?
24	A. I don't recall. I don't recall.

Q. Do you recall whether the request also asked

for discharge monitoring reports?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Α. It did. For NOVs, discharge monitoring reports, and there was one other element but I can't recall.
 - Ο. How often does the company generate a discharge monitoring report?
 - It depends upon the system and whatever the Α. permit requires but monthly on some, quarterly on others.
- All right. So you would be collecting a Ο. report, a monthly report for how many systems under this DR?
 - Gosh, many. Over 20 probably.
- 14 Ο. So more paperwork than just gathering two violations?
 - Oh, certainly, yes. Α.
- You mentioned there were two violations. Ο. were they and when? 18
 - Both of them have to do with Raccoon Creek. One of them was a, I believe a failure to report by a prior contract operator who is not working for us any more due to lack of performance. The other one was on the start up of a new plant there were no exceedance violations but there was some pin floc noted in the creek. And that was associated with the start up, a

1 plant start up.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

19

20

21

22

- Q. I'll have you jump around a little bit. Let's go to your Schedule 10.
 - A. I'm here.
- Q. All right. And you remember a line of questioning about whether you verified whether the systems were owned by Lake Area Wastewater Association or Missouri Water Association or Public Water Supply District at the time these DNR correspondence letters were generated?
- 11 A. I do.
- Q. All right. What is your schedule -- What is Schedule TT-S10?
- A. It's a list of the Lake Area Water systems by facility name and the status of who is the owner and operator.
 - Q. All right. Let's go to your Schedule 12.
- 18 A. I'm here.
 - Q. You were asked a line of questions about verifying, essentially verifying what the documents said that we were provided in a data request, rely on that.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you recall that line of questioning?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. How did you generate Schedule TT-S12?

1	A. We had to review each document that was
2	provided by us through the DR and read it and understand
3	if there's a violation and what the violation is and the
4	nature of the violation.
5	Q. Did you do that same process for Missouri
6	Water Association and the Public Water Supply District?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And you included those in your schedules as
9	well?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Do you still have Schedule S3 of from
12	Josiah Cox in front of you?
13	A. I don't recall.
14	Q. There was a line of questioning where you were
15	being asked or Mr. Ellsworth was pointing to a DNR
16	document that said a second well was needed to serve
17	more at Cedar Glen?
18	A. I recall that, yes.
19	Q. Do you recall whether you had those documents
20	in front of you when you were developing your estimates
21	included in your testimony?
22	A. I do not recall, no. I don't think we did.
23	Q. You don't believe you did have those in front
24	of you?

It was the third-party engineer that did the

25

A.

1 basis of that, and I can't say whether or not he did.

- Q. You were asked a question about page 17 of your surrebuttal, line 7 through 8.
 - A. What page again?
 - Q. Page 17.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

- A. I'm here.
- Q. All right. And line 7 through 8 there was a line of questioning about interconnection costs?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Have you seen any reports that would indicate any estimates for interconnection costs?
- A. You know, I recall seeing an engineering report that was done by PWSD 5 a couple years ago where they were looking at three options on whether or not to connect Cedar -- I can't remember the name of the two systems they own -- whether or not to connect one of those, one of those or two of those together or even I think Old Kinderhook and as part of that there was, yes, some interconnection costs.
- Q. Do you recall what those interconnection costs were?
- A. I mean, there were multiple parts in the estimates. There's the estimates of what they have to do with the facilities themselves and then below I recall the interconnection costs, but I mean I can't

recall the exact numbers. There was the purchasing of the easement, there's the DOT permits, there's the boring, the laying of the lines. They were relatively expensive but I don't remember the number.

- O. But more than zero?
- A. Oh, certainly.

- Q. There was a line of questioning asking you about your estimates and your testimony being only preliminary. Do you recall?
 - A. I recall, yes.
- Q. Can you discuss I guess what will occur if the Commission allows the applicant to acquire this system in terms of refining those estimates?
- A. Assuming that we get the AOCs or the agreements on consent to operate those facilities for a period of time, which we typically do, we'll operate those facilities for a period of months and verify, you know, all the items of the estimate and verify that they need to be done. The other thing we'd certainly verify is the -- specific to Cedar Glen is the number of residents that are there or the flow rate and determine which way DNR wants us to go with regard to storage or additional well there.
- Q. Hopefully my last question. Do you recall some questions from staff regarding I quess what they

```
call SSOs or sewage overflows?
 1
 2
               I recall one about a bypass.
 3
          Ο.
               Bypass. Thank you. And you had mentioned
 4
     that you had been to some of the facilities here
 5
    recently?
 6
         Α.
               I have. Actually had some pictures from my
    recent tours, visits last week. I actually have a
 7
 8
    picture of the bypass.
 9
               MS. HERNANDEZ: I want to pass out another
10
    document.
11
               THE WITNESS: Little harder to pass out but I
12
    have a video of that too.
13
               MS. HERNANDEZ: Should we just mark this for
14
     identification as Exhibit 8?
15
               JUDGE DIPPELL: We'll mark that for
     identification purposes as Exhibit 8, and this is
16
17
    pictures of Cedar Glen. I guess it's more --
18
               MS. HERNANDEZ: I'll ask the witness.
19
               JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. I just wanted to give
20
     it a title.
21
               MS. HERNANDEZ: Sure, that's fine. Osage
22
    Water facilities.
23
               JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Go ahead.
    BY MS. HERNANDEZ:
24
2.5
          Q. You had mentioned that you witnessed a bypass?
```

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Could you indicate what pictures show what you
3	observed that day?
4	A. By my packet
5	MR. ELLSWORTH: I'm sorry, Judge. I'm going
6	to object from testifying to a document that hasn't been
7	admitted. There's no foundation for these pictures.
8	They were not previously provided to any counsel. I've
9	never seen these previously.
10	JUDGE DIPPELL: Would you like to lay a
11	foundation for these before you
12	MS. HERNANDEZ: Sure.
13	BY MS. HERNANDEZ:
14	Q. Mr. Thomas, can you look through the packet of
15	information that's been provided to you?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. All right. And what is this document?
18	A. These are pictures taken last week of the
19	Osage Water facilities or water and wastewater
20	facilities.
21	Q. Are these pictures that you took yourself?
22	A. I took them personally, yes.
23	Q. And are they an accurate representation of
24	what you viewed that day at each of these facilities?
25	A. Yes.

1	MS. HERNANDEZ: And with that I would offer
2	Exhibit 8.
3	JUDGE DIPPELL: Would there be an objection to
4	Exhibit 8?
5	MR. COMLEY: What is the purpose of the
6	photographs? Is it for purposes of his redirect after
7	the questions from staff or I think that would be with
8	Eagle Woods bypass. That's all. Only one of the
9	photographs I think shows Eagle Woods.
10	MS. HERNANDEZ: It goes to certainly the
11	bypass question but also to all the questions about
12	whether there are violations or issues at each of these
13	facilities that the cross questions were indicating that
14	the documents did not show.
15	MR. HALL: Public Counsel must also cite an
16	objection. The questions that were posited to him
17	bringing these pictures were up were about the bypass.
18	These pictures seem to document more than that. This
19	seems like a blatant attempt to inflate surrebuttal
20	testimony with exhibits that could have been filed at
21	the time when they were to be filed.
22	JUDGE DIPPELL: Is anyone contesting that
23	there are issues with these systems? What is the
24	relevance of these pictures?
25	MS. HERNANDEZ: The documents, it's not that

1	there's issues. I think the cross was trying to contest
2	that the documents included in surrebuttal don't contain
3	all the violations that Mr. Thomas says are summarized
4	in those tables included in his schedules as well. So I
5	think this is to show the current condition that there
6	are these situations at these facilities and also to go
7	specifically to the question asked about the bypass.
8	MR. COMLEY: I'm thinking that there are
9	photographs of systems that were not referred to by OPC.
10	I think OPC was talking about systems that were
11	purportedly operated by the Missouri Water Association
12	and the Lake Area Wastewater Association and the
13	district. These are not. If anything, it's cumulative
14	for the surrebuttal testimony has already been shown.
15	These photographs are already in the surrebuttal.
16	JUDGE DIPPELL: I'm going to sustain the
17	objections and not admit these photographs. I mean, the
18	time for direct has long passed and I don't see how
19	these are relevant to the redirect.
20	MS. HERNANDEZ: No further questions. Thank
21	you.
22	JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Thomas, I believe that
23	concludes your testimony and you may step down.
24	(Witness excused.)
25	JUDGE DIPPELL: We've only been in here about

```
an hour and 15 minutes. I'm tempted to take a
 1
 2
     ten-minute break before we begin with Staff's witnesses.
     I see at least one nod. Let's go ahead and take a ten,
 3
     twelve-minute break and come back at 3:15. While you're
 4
 5
     on break, I would like to know when you get back like do
 6
     we think that there's any chance if we stay a little
 7
     late we could get through all the witnesses today or
 8
     should we just plan on coming back in the morning?
 9
     Think about that while you're on break. I'll see you at
10
     3:15.
11
               (Off the record.)
12
               JUDGE DIPPELL: Let's go ahead and go back on
     the record. We're back on the record after our break
13
14
     and we're ready to begin with Staff's witnesses.
15
               MS. PAYNE: Staff would call its witness
     Natelle Dietrich to the stand.
16
17
               (Witness sworn.)
     NATELLE DIETRICH, being sworn, testified as follows:
18
19
     DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. PAYNE:
20
               Would you please state and spell your name for
21
     the court reporter?
22
               Natelle, N-a-t-e-l-l-e, Dietrich,
23
     D-i-e-t-r-i-c-h.
24
               And by whom are you employed and in what
2.5
     capacity?
```

- A. I'm employed by the Public Service Commission as Commission Staff Director.
- Q. And did you prepare or cause to be prepared testimony that's been marked in this case as Exhibit 100, the Direct Testimony of Natelle Dietrich confidential and public as Exhibit No. 101, the Surrebuttal Testimony of Natelle Dietrich and Exhibit No. 105, the Supplemental Testimony of Natelle Dietrich confidential and public?
 - A. I did.

2.5

- Q. Do you have any changes or corrections to make to that testimony at this time?
- A. Yes. To the direct testimony, what is identified as confidential Schedule ND-D2, which is Staff's recommendation, in the cover pleading that goes with the Staff recommendation it mentions the letter from Spencer Fane and it says that the letter is marked as Attachment B. When it was filed it was marked as Attachment A. So the letter from Spencer Fane should be Attachment B to Staff's recommendation.

And then on the supplemental testimony that was filed, we filed red line and clean versions of Staff's updated memorandum and as part of doing that process there was some additions added to the Staff memorandum that we determined were not responsive to the

order to just make adjustments based on Reflections and a couple of those did not get deleted. So on the red line version of the Staff memorandum on page 6 of 26, the end of the first paragraph where it's talking about water and sewer systems, there's a red line that says and through the DNR website. That additional language should be stricken as not applicable to Reflections.

2.5

On page 8 of 26, there's what looks like it could be a third paragraph that's all red lined. It starts with on September 1, 2019, DNR terminated Cedar Glen, so on and so forth. That paragraph should be deleted as not applicable to Reflections.

And then the last one is actually the opposite where it was language that somehow got deleted and should not have been. That's on page 25 of 26. The end of the first paragraph on that page where it's talking about a sample letter was provided, on the original Staff memorandum there was a sentence after that that said these -- that's the wrong sentence. I'm sorry. It's the paragraph that's publicity and customer notice which is at the top of page 25 of 26 of the red line version. The sentence that was inadvertently deleted from the original Staff recommendation says as of the date of this report, zero public comments have been entered in the Commission's Electronic Filing

Information System concerning this case. That statement should still be in the Staff memorandum because it was not updated as a result of Reflections. And then --

JUDGE DIPPELL: I'm sorry. Can you tell me again where that sentence was omitted?

THE WITNESS: Yes. At the bottom of page 24 of 26 of the red line version, it's publicity and customer notice. At the very end of that section after the words upgrades of these water and wastewater systems.

JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay.

would be made in the clean version of the Staff memorandum which was also attached. And on the clean version the first change is on page 5 of 21 and it's the end of the paragraph starting with the water and sewer systems. The language and through DNR website should be deleted. On page 7 of 21, it's what looks like a second full paragraph. Starts on September 1, 2019, DNR terminated. That paragraph should be deleted. And again the adding of the language would be on page 20 of 21 at the end of the first full section publicity and customer notice.

BY MS. PAYNE:

2.4

2.5

O. And given those corrections, if I were to ask

```
you the same questions contained in that testimony
 1
 2
     otherwise, would you have the same answers?
 3
          Α.
               Yes.
               MS. PAYNE: All right. Then with that I go
 5
     ahead and offer Exhibit No. 100C and P, 101 and 105C and
     P into the record.
 6
 7
               JUDGE DIPPELL: 100 is the Direct Testimony,
 8
     101 is the Surrebuttal Testimony and 105 is the
 9
     Supplemental Testimony?
               MS. PAYNE: Correct.
10
11
               JUDGE DIPPELL: And there are confidential
12
     versions of 100 and 105?
13
               MS. PAYNE: Correct.
14
               JUDGE DIPPELL: Would there be any objection
15
     to those exhibits? Seeing none, then I will receive
     those into the record.
16
               (STAFF'S EXHIBITS 100C, 100P, 101, 105C AND
17
     105P WERE RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE AND MADE A PART OF THIS
18
19
    RECORD.)
               MS. PAYNE: Then I will tender this witness
20
21
     for cross.
22
               JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Is there any
23
     cross-examination by OUOC?
24
               MR. COOPER: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.
25
     CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. COOPER:
```

1	Q. The Staff has not performed an examination of
2	the Public Water Supply District No. 5, Missouri Water
3	Association or the Lake Area Wastewater Association as
4	to their technical, managerial and financial capability
5	to own and operate water and wastewater systems in
6	Missouri in this case, has it?
7	A. That's correct.
8	Q. And is that because an application requesting
9	permission for transfer to the Water District, MWA and
10	LAWWA is not before the Commission?
11	A. That's correct, after that was discussed with
12	Staff Counsel's office as to how to address that.
13	Q. And Osage Water Company, to your knowledge, is
14	still currently considered a public utility, isn't it?
15	A. Yes, it is.
16	MR. COOPER: That's all the questions I have.
17	JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there any cross-examination
18	by Cedar Glen?
19	MR. COMLEY: Yes, Your Honor.
20	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. COMLEY:
21	Q. Ms. Dietrich, on page 16 of your revised
22	memorandum, I think that's where it is. Forgive me.
23	I'm a little confused about some of the changes. If
24	there's been a change on this, let me know.
25	A. Okay.

1	Q. There's a statement in the second paragraph		
2	that Staff also considers well, for its reviews in		
3	connection with cases like this my understanding is		
4	Staff also considers whether or not other utility		
5	entities are available to provide similar service?		
6	A. That statement is applicable to Reflections.		
7	That's applicable to entities that are not currently		
8	regulated by the Commission.		
9	Q. So you were saying this statement would be		
10	whether Reflections was able to provide similar service		
11	to the customers?		
12	A. No. As part of the Reflections Osage		
13	Operating Company's application to acquire Reflections,		
14	we would have considered whether there were other		
15	entities that would be able to provide service because		
16	Reflections was not a Commission regulated entity.		
17	Q. I see. You would not consider other available		
18	public entities in the area that would serve the Cedar		
19	Glen area; is that what you're saying?		
20	A. Correct.		
21	Q. So then I understand the Staff knew that Cedar		
22	Glen had bid on these assets years ago. Would that be		
23	correct?		
24	A. Had been on them?		

Had bid on them.

Q.

- A. Oh, bid on them. I'm not familiar with that.

 Other staff members may be.
 - Q. It was in the letter from Eric Johnson that you attached to your Staff recommendation. I thought well, that was something you reviewed in advance.
 - A. Correct, yes.

2.5

- Q. Eric Johnson's letter points out that Cedar Glen itself had bid on those assets at one time; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Even though the Staff knew that that had happened, Staff did not try to contact any of the Cedar Glen customers to find out preferences that they would prefer -- excuse me for the word play, their preferences?
- A. Staff considered the application that was before the Commission.
- Q. And of course, I mean, the district and Missouri Water Association and Lake Area Wastewater Association are not applicants in this case?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. One more question just to make clear. No member of the Staff contacted the president of the homeowners association at Cedar Glen to find out what its preferences might be in connection with this

	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
1	application?
2	A. Not that I'm aware of, but we typically would
3	not do that because there was not an application from
4	that entity before the Commission.
5	Q. If you had, it would probably have been
6	referred to in your memorandum, I'm assuming?
7	A. Correct.
8	Q. Would it be fair to say the Staff did not
9	independently estimate the cost of any of the
10	improvements that OUOC has said are needed for these
11	systems?
12	A. No. Staff reviews what is put before it, and
13	then as far as the actual dollar amounts that would be
14	part of a rate case review.
15	Q. Later. But right now none of the Staff
16	reviewed it, in fact, accepted those estimates for
17	purposes of your memorandum?
18	A. Staff did not review and Staff has not
19	accepted them, no.
20	Q. Okay. There's been no effort to confirm those
21	estimates are within range; is that correct?
22	A. Not at this stage of the process, no.
23	MR. COMLEY: I have no other questions.

JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there questions from the

24

25

Joint Bidders?

1	MR. ELLSWORTH: Yes, Your Honor.		
2	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ELLSWORTH:		
3	Q. Just briefly as a follow up. Did the Staff		
4	evaluate the necessity of the repairs proposed?		
5	A. I'm not sure what you mean by necessity		
6	because the standard for this particular application is		
7	not detrimental to the public interest. Necessity is a		
8	different standard. So perhaps it's a		
9	Q. In your Staff recommendation you did mention		
10	some of the repairs that were proposed to be made to the		
11	four systems by Osage Water Company, correct?		
12	A. Correct.		
13	Q. And you did state that you didn't agree or		
14	disagree with whether or not those were necessary,		
15	didn't you, if I can find the exact language? What I'm		
16	recalling specifically is with respect to Cedar Glen, if		
17	I find your language. In the Staff recommendation, you		
18	did go over the proposed improvements or repairs to the		
19	systems?		
20	A. Staff reviewed what Osage Utility Operating		
21	Company was suggesting might need to be repaired as far		
22	as the systems, yes.		
23	Q. And there was no real comment on whether those		
24	were necessary?		
25	A. Correct. What was throwing me was your use of		

the word necessity.

- Q. Okay. I understand. But you did comment that you did contact the operator of the systems Lake of the Ozarks Water and Sewer?
- A. Correct. Standard process when we have an application for acquisition or transfer of assets, those types of things, we go out, we do inspections of the facilities, we meet perhaps with the management, those types of things.
- Q. And with respect to the Cedar Glen facility, Lake -- we call them LOWS at the lake, L-O-W-S, they stated that the current treatment plant would meet the ammonia limits and no new construction will be needed; is that true?
- A. That would probably be better addressed to Mr. Roos is the technical expert.
- Q. Okay. Also it was noted in the recommendation that a DNR sewer inspection report there's no unsatisfactory conditions?
- A. Same thing, Mr. Roos would be better able to answer that.
- Q. Would that be -- If I asked you similar questions on the other systems, would that be the same answer, talk to Mr. Roos?
 - A. Yes. I'm the policy witness and he's more the

technical.

- Q. With respect to the policy then, correct me if I'm wrong, the standard of review for the application for sale of assets when you're submitting an application for acquisition incentive, is that the Code of State Regulations 240-10.085 subsection 4? Does that state the standard of review for the sale of assets where an acquisition incentive is part of the application?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And I have to ask that because I don't know. So I want to make sure we're on the same page.
- A. Just to clarify, the Commission has moved to the Department of Commerce and Insurance and our rule numbers have changed. So now instead of 4 CSR 240-10.085 it would be 20 CSR 4240-10.085.
- Q. Thank you for adding to my confusion. So we're on the same page I believe with the standard of review. Would you agree with me that under that standard of review one of the elements the Staff needs to look at is the purchase price in the financial terms of the acquisition and make sure that they're fair and reasonable and have been reached at arm's length negotiation. Is that one of the elements?
- A. Yes. On a subpart 4D says the purchase price and financial terms of the acquisition are fair and

reasonable and have been reached through an arm's length negotiation. That's what the application has to demonstrate.

- Q. And just to clarify, the application filed by Osage Utility, there is part of that includes an acquisition incentive or incentives, right?
 - A. That's correct.

2.5

- Q. What did the Staff find out with respect to the financial terms of the acquisition?
- A. We had discussions when the application was first submitted and, of course, at that time it included Reflections. We've since had additional discussions removing Reflections where we looked at what the financial transaction was, whether it was arm's length or not, whether we thought they meaning Osage Utility Operating Company met all of the requirements of section 4.
- Q. Okay. But the application itself doesn't include any of the financial terms, right, the interest rate, the term of the loan, who the lender is, that type of stuff, right?
- A. That's correct. It was not a financial or a finance application.
- Q. Okay. Under 4E, any plant improvements necessary to make the utility viable will be completed

within a reasonable period of time. Does this require you to determine whether or not the repairs and improvements stated in the application are necessary?

- A. I don't read that as requiring the Commission to make a determination as to whether the improvements are necessary. The application needs to include that information as to any improvements that it deems necessary to make the utility viable. They have to propose how they plan on doing that or within what period of time.
- Q. So your emphasis on that is more the period of time to make sure the repairs are made when reviewing that application, right?
 - A. Correct.

2.5

- Q. And is it your position that on the next to last element the acquisition is in the public interest. Does the Staff take into consideration the effect on the ratepayer increase of rates?
- A. In this particular type of acquisition, the standard is not detrimental to the public interest. So the Staff looks at the application as compared to status quo, if you will, and makes a recommendation as to whether Staff thinks that the transaction is detrimental or not. That would include things such as what they're proposing to do, whether there is a need for

improvements. In this particular case an entity that's been in receivership has filed bankruptcy. So those are the types of things that are considered.

- Q. Did the Staff make a determination with respect to the last element that the acquisition would be unlikely to occur without the probability of obtaining an acquisition incentive?
- A. Again, I don't think the rule requires Staff to make that finding. It's a statement that needs to be included in the application as to whether the acquisition would be unlikely to occur without the probability of obtaining an acquisition incentive.

 Mr. Cox indicated in his testimony that the transaction would not occur absent the acquisition incentive. Staff had no reason to doubt that statement. We have no way of independently verifying that though.
- Q. But in this case you had the letter from Spencer Fane attached to all of the contracts and you knew that there was a backup bidder ready, or appeared to be a backup bidder ready to close this transaction upon the same terms and conditions without incentives, right?
- A. But my reading of the rule is not are there other alternatives that the acquisition would happen.

 My reading of the rule is the acquisition before the

1	Commissio	n would be unlikely to occur without the
2	probabili	ty of the incentive.
3	Q.	So your reading is that the applicant would be
4	the ap	plicant's acquisition would be unlikely to
5	occur?	
6	A.	That's correct.
7	Q.	The acquisition in general of the system?
8	A.	That's correct.
9		MR. ELLSWORTH: No further questions.
10		JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you. Is there anything
11	from Publ	ic Counsel?
12		MR. HALL: Yes, Your Honor. I'll attempt to
13	be brief.	Good afternoon, Ms. Dietrich.
14		THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.
15	CROSS-EXA	MINATION BY MR. HALL:
16	Q.	Dietrich, right?
17	A.	Yes.
18		MR. HALL: Your Honor, may I approach?
19		JUDGE DIPPELL: Yes.
20	BY MR. HA	LL:
21	Q.	Ms. Dietrich, you were asked some questions
22	from coun	sel for Cedar Glen about the acquisition
23	incentive	?
24	А.	Yes.
25	Q.	I've also just handed you a document that for

1	demonstrative purposes I'd like to consider this as OPC
2	Exhibit 200. Do you recognize the document I've just
3	handed you?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. This is a data request from this docket that
6	was sent by Ms. Roth to Staff, correct?
7	A. That's correct.
8	Q. And you supplied the answer for this data
9	request, correct?
10	A. The answer was provided by myself and Jim
11	Busch.
12	Q. The question was regarding Staff's position as
13	to whether Osage Utility Operating Company, Central
14	States in this case, would be unlikely whether the
15	acquisitions requested by Central States would be
16	unlikely to occur without the probability of obtaining
17	the incentive, correct?
18	A. Correct.
19	Q. And your answer in the first sentence of your
20	response was that Staff does not take a position on
21	whether the acquisitions would be unlikely to occur
22	without the probability of obtaining acquisition
23	incentives; am I reading that answer correctly?
24	A. That's what the answer says, yes.

25

Q. Ms. Natelle, were you in the hearing room

1	during opening statements?		
2	A. Yes.		
3	Q. And you heard the opening statement provided		
4	by your counsel, Ms. Payne?		
5	A. Yes.		
6	Q. So you heard her assert that Staff argued		
7	today that the acquisition would not be likely to occur		
8	without the acquisition incentive. Do you recall that?		
9	A. I remember her discussion. I don't remember		
10	her exact words.		
11	Q. You're familiar with one Kim Bolin, correct?		
12	A. Yes.		
13	Q. She's another Staff member. She's filed		
14	testimony in this case as well?		
15	A. That's correct.		
16	Q. You reviewed her testimony for this case?		
17	A. Yes.		
18	Q. She's also supplied testimony speaking to the		
19	acquisition incentive, correct?		
20	A. That's correct.		
21	Q. And she supports let me rephrase that. Her	•	
22	testimony is that the acquisition may not be likely to		
23	occur without the probability of obtaining an		
24	acquisition incentive, correct?		
25	A. Could you point me to where she says that?		

1	Q. Of course. Please turn to page 4 of Kim
2	Bolin's surrebuttal testimony.
3	A. Okay.
4	Q. Maybe it's not fair to put words when she's
5	not here in her mouth, but the answer that she gave on
6	lines 6 through 10 was that just because a company has
7	purchased other distressed systems does not mean it will
8	necessarily purchase every distressed system no matter
9	the circumstance and then she quotes Josiah Cox's
10	testimony where he says where he posited that the
11	acquisition is unlikely to occur without the probability
12	of obtaining the acquisition incentive; am I presenting
13	that accurately?
14	A. Correct. As you said, she's quoting Mr. Cox.
15	Q. Is Kim Bolin then taking Do you believe Kim
16	Bolin is taking a position as to whether the acquisition
17	would be unlikely to occur?
18	A. No, I do not.
19	MR. HALL: Your Honor, at this time I would
20	move for the admission of Exhibit 200 at this time since
21	it's been authenticated by the witness as an answer
22	given by her.

to Data Request No. 0023 coming into the record? Seeing

JUDGE DIPPELL: Would there be any objection

23

24

25

none, I will admit that.

1	(OPC EXHIBIT 200 WAS RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE
2	AND MADE A PART OF THIS RECORD.)
3	MR. HALL: Your Honor, may I approach again?
4	JUDGE DIPPELL: Yes.
5	MR. HALL: You'll have to pardon the delay.
6	I'm trying to find sufficient copies for the other
7	parties.
8	JUDGE DIPPELL: I think you gave me a few
9	extra.
10	MR. HALL: Thank you.
11	BY MR. HALL:
12	Q. For demonstrative purposes, I would like to
13	treat this as Exhibit 201. Ms. Dietrich, given my
14	delay, have you had sufficient time to review the
15	document I handed you?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Do you recognize this document?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. This was another data request from OPC witness
20	Ms. Roth to Staff, correct?
21	A. That's correct.
22	Q. This is No. 25. You supplied the answer to
23	this as well, correct?
24	A. Myself and Jim Busch, yes.
25	O. This guestion was as to a particular page

within Staff's initial memorandum and recommendation,
correct?

A. Yes.

- Q. In response to that, Ms. Roth asked whether Staff considered other potential operators for the Osage Water Company systems and then asked is it Staff's position that the Joint Bidders would also be available to provide similar service. Am I paraphrasing that question accurately?
 - A. That's what the question says, yes.
- Q. And your answer as to the initial Staff recommendation was that the quoted language of page 19 of that initial recommendation, quote, is in regards specifically to the applicable criteria for investigation into the application for the acquisition of Reflections, correct?
- A. Correct. The language that she cites in this data request is related to Staff's recommendation on Reflections in our Staff memorandum.
- Q. That language was, quoting verbatim, for its reviews Staff also considers whether or not other utility entities are available to provide similar service, end quote. Did I read that accurately?
 - A. In the question?
- O. Yes.

1	7 7700
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Ms. Dietrich, do you have the revised
3	recommendation in front of you?
4	A. I do.
5	Q. Let me verify the page number. I'm looking at
6	the red line version so that alters the page numbers
7	slightly. Could you please turn to page 20 of 26?
8	A. Okay.
9	Q. So with this red lined version I see that a
10	sentence was taken out at the end of the second full
11	paragraph on the page. Are you following me?
12	A. Yes, uh-huh.
13	Q. That sentence that was stricken is
14	specifically referring to Reflections?
15	A. That's correct.
16	Q. Two sentences up I see the sentence for its
17	review Staff also considers whether or not other utility
18	entities are available to provide similar service. Am I
19	reading that correctly?
20	A. I think you said two sentences up. It's about
21	halfway between in that paragraph, yes, it says that.
22	Q. That was the same quoted text that Ms. Roth
23	identified in her data request, Data Request 25,
24	correct?

A. That's correct, but I would point out that

that paragraph begins with when considering a request for a new CCN, which would be Reflections, not Osage's purchase of Osage Water Company.

- Q. For clarity sake then, Staff is not taking the position that Central States' request to operate the Osage Water Company systems -- Let me rephrase that. I lost myself. Staff's position is that the request to operate the Osage Water Company systems is not a new CCN but a request for a CNN transfer?
 - A. That's correct.

- Q. So this paragraph that was left in the revised recommendation, this is not applicable to the Osage Water Company systems?
- A. No. The reason we didn't red line the whole paragraph was just a general explanation of how we review CCNs, and it clearly states that when it's a new CCN. So we didn't red line the entire paragraph.
- Q. Fair enough. But then Staff did not use this analysis for a new CCN to judge the acquisition of the Osage Water Company systems, correct?
 - A. That's correct.

MR. HALL: Thank you. At this time, Your Honor, I would move for the admission of Exhibit 201 since it's been authenticated as an accurate response given by the witness.

1	JUDGE DIPPELL: Would there be any objection
2	to Data Request 0025, Exhibit No. 201, coming into the
3	record?
4	MR. JOHNSON: Judge, Staff would object for
5	relevance purposes, this DR request in regard to the
6	Reflections systems, we would question the relevance of
7	this matter.
8	MR. HALL: It's relevant as to the credibility
9	consistency of Staff's recommendation.
10	JUDGE DIPPELL: I'm going to overrule the
11	objection. If for nothing else, it explains Staff's
12	position in their memorandum. I'm going to Are there
13	any other objections? Seeing none, I will admit that.
14	(OPC'S EXHIBIT 201 WAS RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE
15	AND MADE A PART OF THIS RECORD.)
16	Mr. HALL: Thank you. I have no further
17	questions of this witness.
18	JUDGE DIPPELL: All right then. Are there any
19	questions for Ms. Dietrich from the Commission?
20	CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Yes.
21	JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Chairman?
22	CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Thank you.
23	QUESTIONS BY CHAIRMAN SILVEY:
24	Q. Does Staff agree with the comments made in the
25	opening statements of the Joint Bidders that if the

Commission denies OUOC's application in this case the Joint Bidders will be approved by the bankruptcy court as the next viable bid?

- A. That's my understanding and then they would be the entity that would come before the Commission.
- Q. Okay. Can the Commission consider the likely rate impacts on customers when determining what is not detrimental to the public interests?
- A. The Commission in my opinion could consider whatever it deems important, but it doesn't have all the information before it to consider the effect of the rate impact because we don't have all relevant factors that you would have in a rate case.
- Q. So would you say the rate impact between OUOC and the Joint Bidders would likely be the same?
- A. Well, I don't know that we know that. I mean, from the information that's been provided in testimony we have two different proposals as to what improvements are needed. Some of the numbers are very far apart. So I don't know at this time that the Commission would have enough information to determine that one number is correct over another or, you know, to be able to make the determination as to what improvements are necessary based on the information that it has before it.
 - O. Is it your understanding that the Joint

1	Bidders would get the acquisition premium or would seek
2	the acquisition premium?
3	A. No.
4	Q. They would not?
5	A. I don't think they would be able to because
6	they would not be a regulated entity before the
7	Commission.
8	Q. So would that be a likely difference in
9	potential rate impact?
10	A. That would be one difference. I think the
11	larger difference would be the actual improvements that
12	need to be made to the system and then, of course, you
13	have Osage Utility Operating Company would be a
14	regulated entity and the Commission would be reviewing
15	its rate requests whereas the Joint Bidders would be a
16	nonregulated entity and there would be no oversight of
17	those rates.
18	CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Okay. No further questions
19	at this time.
20	COMMISSIONER KENNEY: No questions. Thank
21	you.
22	COMMISSIONER RUPP: No questions.
23	JUDGE DIPPELL: Are there any additional
24	cross-examination questions based on the Chairman's

questions from the Company?

1	MR. COOPER: Just one. I shouldn't promise
2	that.
3	FURTHER CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. COOPER:
4	Q. Ms. Dietrich, you were asked about whether
5	there would be an acquisition premium for the Joint
6	Bidders to acquire the Osage Water Company facilities,
7	correct?
8	A. Correct.
9	Q. And I think your answer was that it's really,
10	to use a phrase that's probably overly used, but it's
11	kind of apples and oranges, isn't it, because they're
12	not a regulated entity so the significance of net
13	original cost versus some other number that might be in
14	rate base is just it's not really applicable to the
15	Joint Bidders' situation; is that correct?
16	A. That's correct. I was understanding the
17	question more from the standpoint of under the rule
18	would they be requesting acquisition incentive.
19	Q. But in the end it's your understanding, isn't
20	it, that the purchase price would be the same, correct?
21	A. Correct.
22	Q. And ultimately if they borrow the dollars for
23	that purchase price, they're going to have to collect
24	enough from their ratepayers to pay that back, correct?
25	A. They meaning the Joint Bidders?

They meaning the Joint Bidders?

1	Q. They being the Joint Bidders.	
2	A. I would assume so, yes.	
3	Q. So whether you call it an acquisition premium	
4	or not, they're going to have to reimburse someone for	
5	the money they borrowed to make that purchase at	
6	\$800,000, correct?	
7	A. Correct.	
8	MR. COOPER: That's all the questions I have.	
9	JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there any further	
10	cross-examination from Cedar Glen?	
11	MR. COMLEY: Yes, just a few.	
12	FURTHER CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. COMLEY:	
13	Q. Ms. Dietrich, would you agree with me that	
14	rates structured by a public water supply district or a	
15	rural sewer district, they are governed by statute, do	
16	you know?	
17	A. Not that I know of.	
18	Q. So there's no statutory restriction on how	
19	those districts can make their rates?	
20	A. Not that I'm aware of.	
21	Q. So they can charge anything they want?	
22	A. Well, I don't know.	
23	Q. You don't know. Okay. Would you agree with	
24	me that they are subject to governmental accounting	
25	principles?	

1	A. I don't know.
2	Q. Would you agree with me that they're subject
3	to state audit?
4	A. I would assume so, yes.
5	Q. Would you agree with me that they would be
6	entitled to use tax exempt securities?
7	A. I don't know.
8	MR. COMLEY: That's all I have.
9	JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there any further
10	cross-examination from the Joint Bidders?
11	MR. ELLSWORTH: Yes, Your Honor, briefly.
12	FURTHER CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ELLSWORTH:
13	Q. Ms. Dietrich, you stated earlier that not all
14	the relevant factors were before this Commission with
15	respect to rates?
16	A. That's correct.
17	Q. What other information would be necessary in
18	this application or this hearing to determine that?
19	A. When the Commission sets rates, it looks at
20	what's called all relevant factors, all the costs, all
21	the expenses, all the revenues, revenue requirement,
22	rate base. So there's several factors that go into it,
23	not just this is what it costs to purchase the entity.
24	Q. Let's talk about what information is before

the Commission today. I mean, you agree that the

projections provided by the applicant show revenue of a 1 2 little over a million dollars, right, and after like year two like that was? 3 JUDGE DIPPELL: Let's not get into specific 5 numbers, not that that was very specific. 6 MS. PAYNE: I might also object for outside 7 the scope of the Commissioners' questions. 8 JUDGE DIPPELL: I'm going to overrule your 9 objection. I think he's still in his line of questions 10 about what the Commission should consider. 11 MS. PAYNE: Okay. I mean, we didn't get into 12 specifics on purchase price. 13 MR. ELLSWORTH: May I rephrase the question? JUDGE DIPPELL: Yes. 14 15 BY MR. ELLSWORTH: 16 Ms. Dietrich, the applicant did -- before the 17 Commission did state amount of anticipated revenue in 18 year two? 19 I know there were balance sheets and things 20 like that associated with that. I didn't dig into all 21 of that. Ms. Bolin may be able to answer those 22 questions. 23 Ο. So that's Ms. Bolin. Were you present earlier 24 for the testimony of Mr. Cox? 2.5 Α. T was.

1	Q. And did you hear his testimony as to what he
2	calculated the anticipated rates that would be charged
3	to the end users at the Osage Water Company assets?
4	MR. COOPER: I object to the form of the
5	question, because I don't think that's what happened
6	with Mr. Cox. I think Mr. Cox performed a mathematical
7	exercise based upon some numbers, but I don't think he
8	indicated that those were rates.
9	And in fact, I think he further testified that
10	there were all sorts of other things that would impact
11	revenue requirement.
12	JUDGE DIPPELL: I'm going to sustain that
13	objection and ask you to rephrase.
14	BY MR. ELLSWORTH:
15	Q. Ms. Dietrich, you were present earlier when
16	Mr. Cox did his mathematical calculation on what the
17	potential projected rates would be for the Osage Water
18	Company customers?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. And if you compare that number to what the
21	Joint Bidders have stated in their testimony as to what
22	their rates would be, would you agree that that is
23	significantly higher?
24	A. I would agree that the hypothetical number is
25	significantly higher than what was put in the testimony.

1	Q. And what is the total amount of acquisition
2	incentive that the applicant is seeking?
3	A. I believe that might be confidential.
4	JUDGE DIPPELL: I think that is
5	MR. ELLSWORTH: That's probably confidential.
6	JUDGE DIPPELL: beyond the scope of the
7	Commissioner's question and also in the testimony so
8	Commissioners can refer to that.
9	BY MR. ELLSWORTH:
10	Q. Ms. Dietrich, do you do any calculations with
11	respect to the costs of the financing or would I direct
12	those to Ms. Bolin as well?
13	A. I did not. I'm not sure that Ms. Bolin did
14	either in the context of this case.
15	MR. ELLSWORTH: Okay. No further questions.
16	I'm sorry. I do have one more. I apologize.
17	JUDGE DIPPELL: Go ahead.
18	BY MR. ELLSWORTH:
19	Q. We continually say that the Joint Bidders are
20	not regulated, but the truth is they're just not
21	regulated by the PSC; is that right?
22	A. Correct, not Commission regulated.
23	Q. Not Commission regulations, but they're still
24	regulated by the Missouri DNR and they're subject to
25	Micaouri statutos sorrest?

1	A. Correct.
2	MR. ELLSWORTH: No further questions.
3	JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there any further
4	cross-examination based on the Commission questions from
5	Public Counsel?
6	MR. HALL: No further questions at this time.
7	JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there redirect from Staff?
8	MS. PAYNE: Yes, thank you.
9	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. PAYNE:
10	Q. Ms. Dietrich, Mr. Cooper was asking you some
11	questions about the purchase price of the systems. When
12	Staff is recommending rate base in a rate case, does it
13	normally recommend more than the net book value for
14	inclusion in rates?
15	A. Not generally.
16	Q. Do you know what the net book value of the
17	systems has been calculated at this time?
18	A. It's in our Staff recommendation. I believe
19	it was
20	Q. If you don't have the specific number in front
21	of you, that's okay.
22	A. I would have to dig through to find it.
23	Q. Okay. And Chairman Silvey was asking you some
24	questions about the rate impact. You mentioned we
25	consider all relevant factors; is that correct?

1	A. The Commission does, yes.
2	Q. And do we have all relevant factors before us
3	now?
4	A. We do not.
5	Q. Okay. And Mr. Hall was asking you some
6	questions about the Office of the Public Counsel's DR
7	23. Was Staff's recommendation based on a statement
8	from the Company that the acquisition would not occur
9	without the acquisition incentive?
10	A. Could you rephrase that?
11	Q. What did Staff base its recommendation
12	regarding the acquisition incentive in its
13	recommendation?
14	A. On all the various criterias that we had
15	discussed earlier in the rule subsection 4. There's
16	various things that the application has to demonstrate.
17	Q. Did Staff base a recommendation on the
18	Company's statement that the acquisition would not have
19	occurred without the acquisition incentive?
20	A. Staff did not make a determination on its own.
21	Whether the acquisition would have occurred or not,
22	Staff accepted Mr. Cox's statement.
23	Q. Okay. So when OPC asked for a position, did
24	Staff have a statement of its own regarding whether or

not the acquisition incentive affected the decision to

purchase?

2.5

- A. I'm sorry. Could you repeat that?
- Q. Yeah, that was incredibly complicated. Did Staff have an independent position statement as far as whether or not the acquisition would occur regardless of the acquisition incentive?
- A. No. Staff did not and does not have a position on that.
- Q. Thank you. All right. Mr. Ellsworth was asking you some questions about what exactly happens in an acquisition case regarding proposed improvements. Does Staff make any prudency reviews when it's considering an acquisition case?
- A. No, that's not part of an acquisition case.

 That would be part of a subsequent case, for instance, a rate case.
- Q. Okay. And for some clarification, there was some questions about the request for an acquisition incentive specifically from Mr. Ellsworth under 10.085. To be clear, the Osage Utility Operating Company has submitted a request for an acquisition incentive?
- A. That's correct. The way the rule is structured the request would be made in the acquisition application and then the Commission would either consider that, maybe authorize is the right word, and

then in the rate case would actually look at the details and determine the amount and whether it should go forward or not.

- Q. So the Commission is not setting a dollar amount in this case?
 - A. No, it's not.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. Mr. Ellsworth also asked you some questions about the standard not detrimental to the public interest. Is that a balancing test?
- A. I would consider it a balancing test balancing the application or the detriments to the benefits of the acquisition and in this case -- not in this case but in the not detrimental standard the standard would be that there would be no greater harm to the customers or to the ratepayers by the acquisition than, say, for instance, status quo.
 - Q. Okay. So benefits outweigh negatives?
- A. No.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. Thank you. Are there any other applications to acquire the Osage Water Company assets before the Commission right now?
 - A. No.
- Q. And going back to some of your questions from Mr. Comley, does Staff consider different criteria in a request for a new certificate as opposed to an

1	acquisition of an existing certificate?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. And could you elaborate on what those are?
4	A. That was the discussion I was having with
5	Mr. Hall with reference to the Staff recommendation
6	where we talk about the Tartan criteria versus the TMF
7	criteria and also whether there is another entity in the
8	area capable of providing service.
9	Q. And did we consider that in regards to the
10	Osage Water Company?
11	A. Which one?
12	Q. Did we consider other entities?
13	A. Not related to Osage Water Company, no.
14	Q. Okay. Continuing on, is there a requirement
15	by law for Staff to check into alternative offers when
16	it's considering a request for an acquisition?
17	A. Not that I'm aware of, no.
18	Q. Is it staff's standard policy to do something
19	like that?
20	A. No.
21	Q. Did Staff do a review of the proposed
22	improvements that were suggested by OUOC when it was
23	considering whether or not the application was
24	detrimental to the public interest?
25	A. I guess it depends on what you mean by a

1 Staff reviewed the application. Staff went out review. 2 and looked at the systems. But as far as making a determination as to whether they were appropriate or 3 4 not, no. 5 Ο. And does Staff normally consider in an 6 acquisition case whether proposed improvements are 7 appropriate or not? 8 Α. No. 9 Would it do that in a rate case? 10 Α. Yes. 11 MS. PAYNE: No further questions. Thank you. 12 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you. I believe that 13 concludes your testimony then, Ms. Dietrich. You may 14 step down. 15 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 16 (Witness excused.) 17 JUDGE DIPPELL: Staff like to call its next 18 witness? 19 MS. PAYNE: I apologize. Staff would call its 20 witness David Roos to the stand. 21 (Witness sworn.) 22 MS. PAYNE: Good afternoon. 23 DAVID ROOS, being sworn, testified as follows: 24 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. PAYNE: 2.5 0. Could you please state and spell your name for

	W1 2019 0103 VOI 2
1	the court reporter?
2	A. David Roos, R-o-o-s.
3	Q. And did you prepare or cause to be prepared
4	testimony in this proceeding that has been marked as
5	Exhibit 104?
6	A. I did.
7	Q. And do you have any changes or corrections to
8	make to that testimony at this time?
9	A. I do not.
10	Q. And if I were to ask you the same questions
11	contained in that testimony now, would your answers be
12	the same?
13	A. Yes.
14	MS. PAYNE: All right. Then I would offer
15	Staff's Exhibit 104.
16	JUDGE DIPPELL: Would there be any objection
17	to Exhibit 104? Seeing none, I will enter that into
18	evidence.
19	(STAFF'S EXHIBIT NO. 104 WAS RECEIVED INTO
20	EVIDENCE AND MADE A PART OF THIS RECORD.)
21	BY MS. PAYNE:
22	Q. Just for clarification purposes, did you also
23	sponsor portions of Staff's recommendation which was

attached to the direct testimony of Natelle Dietrich

that's been marked as Exhibit 100 in this case?

24

1	A. I did.
2	Q. Thank you. Do you have any changes or
3	corrections to make to any of that?
4	A. I do not.
5	MS. PAYNE: Thank you. Then I would tender
6	this witness for cross.
7	JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there any cross-examination
8	by OUOC?
9	MR. COOPER: Yes, Your Honor.
10	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. COOPER:
11	Q. Mr. Roos, if you know, I think it was
12	mentioned well, let me ask this. I think it was
13	mentioned in an earlier question that Lake Ozark Water
14	and Sewer is the current operator of the Osage Water
15	Company facilities; is that correct, to your knowledge?
16	A. I don't know.
17	Q. You don't know?
18	A. No.
19	MR. COOPER: That's all the questions I have.
20	JUDGE DIPPELL: Anything from Cedar Glen?
21	MR. COMLEY: Yes.
22	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. COMLEY:
23	Q. Mr. Roos, I think it was Ms. Dietrich that
24	confirmed that as part of your duties in connection with
25	rate and acquisition cases that you will perform system

1	inspections in connection with those cases?
2	A. Yes. I inspected the conditions of both the
3	water systems and the sewer systems.
4	Q. So you would be the Staff member who went out
5	and inspected the Cedar Glen's systems?
6	A. That's correct.
7	Q. In your surrebuttal you had mentioned
8	Mr. Thomas' proposal to add a moving bed bio reactor to
9	the Cedar Glen sewer system. Is that a correct
10	interpretation of your testimony?
11	A. Yes, it is.
12	Q. Are you familiar with this device?
13	A. Yes, I am.
14	Q. It has some disadvantages; isn't that correct?
15	A. It could have some disadvantages.
16	Q. Would one of them be that you have to have a
17	more highly trained operator?
18	A. I think that depends on how automated the
19	system is.
20	Q. Isn't it also true that there has to be a
21	regular taking of samples and analyze them in a lab by
22	hand to ensure that the bacteria is alive and healthy?
23	A. I think that's possible.
24	Q. And there is the cost; is that correct? It's

not inexpensive?

1	A. I don't know what the cost of the MBBR is.
2	Q. All right. You have not costed one in your
3	experience?
4	A. I have not.
5	Q. There's an expense for additional power, isn't
6	there? It has to be energized?
7	A. Additional power in addition to what's already
8	out there, yes.
9	Q. Right, right. I think Mr. Cooper may be
10	talking about page 7 of the Staff's revised
11	recommendation. If you can find that in your file.
12	A. Okay.
13	Q. The second the first full paragraph up
14	there, the second sentence says based upon a letter to
15	DNR dated June 8, 2018, from the contract operator for
16	the system, Lake of the Ozarks Water and Sewer, the
17	current treatment plant will meet the ammonia limits and
18	no new construction will be needed. Were you
19	responsible for this part of the memorandum?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. And were you Did you receive that letter?
22	Is that part of what you did in connection with
23	preparing the memo?
24	A. I received that letter as part of a Sunshine
25	request from DNR.

1	Q. Okay. All right. There's another statement
2	there. A DNR sewer inspection report notes no
3	unsatisfactory conditions. Is that something that you
4	added to the memorandum yourself?
5	A. I think you're referring to DNR conducted an
6	inspection of the Cedar Glen water system?
7	Q. I think it says there, yes.
8	A. At that time Cedar Glen was found to be in
9	compliance with the safe drinking water law.
10	Q. Okay. And what about the sewer inspection?
11	That's what I was referring to on page 7. There's a
12	statement there that a DNR sewer inspection report notes
13	
14	A. I'm sorry. I've got the old report. That
15	would be on page 8 of the old report.
16	Q. All right. All right.
17	A. Could you repeat the question, please?
18	Q. Well, I just wanted to confirm what your
19	finding was that a DNR sewer inspection report noted no
20	unsatisfactory conditions?
21	A. That's true.
22	Q. Regarding I'm going back to the MBBR and I
23	have highlighted in the revised recommendation the
24	bottom of the page there on page 7, Staff notes that the

MBBR that OUOC proposes for additional treatment would

1	primarily be used for additional ammonia removal. This
2	proposal is inconsistent with statements made by the
3	current operators of the system. Is that a correct
4	reading of your memo?
5	A. That is correct.
6	Q. Finally, Staff understands that OUOC's
7	proposal is preliminary but further details and
8	justification will be necessary if the Company seeks
9	inclusion of the MBBR upgrade in rates during its next
10	rate case. Would that be correct still?
11	A. That's correct.
12	Q. Had the Company given you any indication that
13	it's anything but preliminary at this stage?
14	A. I'm sorry. Could you repeat?
15	Q. Has the Company Has OUOC given you any
16	indication that the proposal is anything but preliminary
17	at this stage?
18	A. They have not.
19	MR. COMLEY: That's all. Thank you.
20	JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there anything from the
21	Joint Bidders?
22	MR. ELLSWORTH: Briefly.
23	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ELLSWORTH:
2.4	O. Mr. Roos, in your surrebuttal testimony filed

in this case it appears you also spoke with LOWS, or

Lake of the Ozarks Water and Sewer, with respect to Cedar Glen?

A. I did not speak with LOWS.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. In your statement -- Or in your testimony, I'm sorry, it says this proposal -- I'm sorry. Look at page 4, that first paragraph lines 1 to 10. You note that the applicant has proposed an MBBR and you said this proposal is inconsistent with statements made by the current operators of the system, Lake of the Ozarks Water and Sewer, in a July 8, 2018 letter that the system meets effluent limitations. So it is a letter that communicated this to you?
- A. That's right. That's the same letter that I received in a DNR Sunshine request.
 - Q. Was that letter from DNR to LOWS?
- A. That letter was a letter from Lake of the Ozarks to DNR.
- Q. Okay. Do you have any reason to disagree with the statement made by LOWS to DNR regarding that the systems there meet effluent limitations without further upgrades?
 - A. I do.
 - Q. Okay. What's that?
- A. The same type of letter was submitted to DNR for other sewer systems at Osage and those systems have

1	now shown to be exceeding permit limits.
2	Q. When you say "Osage," could you I've heard
3	that a couple times now. What's Osage?
4	A. The current systems, the Osage.
5	Q. Osage Water Company?
6	A. Osage Water Company.
7	Q. So are you referring So you have to be
8	referring to the Chelsea Rose, the Eagle Woods or
9	Cimarron Bay; is that right?
10	A. I'm referring to the Cedar Glen, the Cimarron
11	Bay and the Eagle Woods.
12	Q. Have you done any testing of the effluent from
13	these systems, independent testing?
14	A. I have not.
15	MR. ELLSWORTH: No further questions.
16	JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there anything from Public
17	Counsel?
18	MR. HALL: Just Good afternoon, Mr. Roos.
19	THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.
20	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. HALL:
21	Q. Just to clarify, are you a professional
22	engineer?
23	A. No, I am not.
24	MR. HALL: Thank you. No further questions.
25	JUDGE DIPPELL: Are there any questions from

1	the Commission?
2	CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Thank you.
3	QUESTIONS BY CHAIRMAN SILVEY:
4	Q. Thank you, Mr. Roos. Ms. Dietrich mentioned
5	the differential between the cost of the proposed
6	improvements of the Company versus the Joint Bidders
7	being fairly substantial. Would you agree with that?
8	A. Yes, I would.
9	Q. Would the interconnections and proposed
10	improvements to the system by the Joint Bidders be
11	sufficient to provide safe and adequate service?
12	A. I don't know.
13	Q. Did Staff look at that?
14	A. I looked at what was in testimony. I did not
15	do a thorough review of their proposal.
16	Q. Did you do a thorough review of the other
17	proposal?
18	A. I did not.
19	Q. So you wouldn't be able to say whether either
20	proposal would be adequate to provide safe and adequate
21	service?
22	A. I think at present they're preliminary
23	proposals and there's several steps that need to be
24	taken with DNR, and I think only after those steps are
25	taken could I know if things are safe and adequate.

1	Q. So if the Commission is looking at two
2	different proposals, would Staff be able to tell us at
3	this point even preliminarily which one is better than
4	the other or if one solves the problem and the other
5	doesn't or is Staff not able to give us that
6	information?
7	A. I think the proposal provided by the applicant
8	is a complete preliminary proposal with cost estimate.
9	I don't think what's been proposed other than that is a
10	complete proposal or a complete cost estimate.
11	COMMISSIONER RUPP: He didn't answer your
12	question.
13	CHAIRMAN SILVEY: I agree with the
14	Commissioner here. I don't think you answered my
15	question.
16	BY CHAIRMAN SILVEY:
17	Q. So you haven't really analyzed either
18	proposal?
19	A. I did look I did analyze the proposals. I
20	did look at the proposals.
21	Q. But neither proposal is fleshed out enough for
22	you to tell us whether it would result in safe and
23	adequate service?
24	A. I think that the applicant has provided a
25	proposal that is a good road map for safe and adequate

1	service. I think there's going to be some changes along
2	the way.
3	CHAIRMAN SILVEY: I don't think I have any
4	further questions at this time. I feel like I didn't
5	really get the answer, but I'll let other Commissioners
6	ask questions if they have them.
7	JUDGE DIPPELL: If something comes up, let me
8	know.
9	COMMISSIONER RUPP: I have a question.
10	QUESTIONS BY COMMISSIONER RUPP:
11	Q. To follow up on Chairman Silvey's questions,
12	so from what I heard you say and tell me if I'm stating
13	this correctly, I don't want to put words in your mouth,
14	is that you believe the application put forth by the
15	Company seeking the approval is a road map of which
16	would result in safe and adequate service?
17	A. That is correct.
18	Q. Okay. The joint applicants in their proposed
19	upgrades, can you make that can you speak to that?
20	A. I don't feel as confident about their proposal
21	that I do with the applicant's proposal.
22	COMMISSIONER RUPP: Thank you.
23	QUESTIONS BY JUDGE DIPPELL:
24	Q. The Staff has recommended several conditions
25	be put on the certificate, and one of those is to

1	resolve is No. 13 in the original, I don't think it
2	changed, resolve all issues regarding noncompliance with
3	Missouri Department of Natural Resources regulations for
4	all water and sewer systems. Do you know what issues
5	with noncompliance there are? Is that what has been
6	listed in Staff's report? Is that what that's referring
7	to?
8	A. That is referring to part of what's in Staff's
9	report.
10	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. All right. Are there
11	any further cross-examination questions based on
12	questions from the bench from OUOC?
13	MR. COOPER: No, Your Honor.
14	JUDGE DIPPELL: Cedar Glen?
15	MR. COMLEY: Thank you, Judge.
16	FURTHER CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. COMLEY:
17	Q. With respect to questions from Commissioner
18	from Chairman Silvey, would it be your testimony, Mr.
19	Roos, that you don't know whether the cost estimates for
20	improvements to the Osage Water Company assets set out
21	in the testimony of OUOC are too high?
22	A. That's correct.
23	Q. And conversely you wouldn't know whether or
24	not the estimate for I think the Cedar Glen Sewer
25	Company improvements provided by Mr. Stone in his

1	testimony, his prefiled testimony, are too little?
2	A. I think it's incomplete, because I don't know
3	what the cost of running the pipeline and connecting the
4	system would be.
5	Q. You're talking about the interconnection
6	between the water systems, correct?
7	A. That's correct.
8	Q. All right. With respect to the and perhaps
9	you don't know the testimony, but I think his testimony
10	was about the improvements or needed improvements to the
11	Cedar Glen sewer system. Let's just deal with that.
12	You would not know based upon your review whether any
13	proposal made by the Joint Bidders for improvements to
14	the Cedar Glen sewer system are too low?
15	A. I don't know.
16	MR. COMLEY: That's all I have.
17	JUDGE DIPPELL: Anything from the Joint
18	Bidders based on the Commission questions?
19	MR. ELLSWORTH: No.
20	JUDGE DIPPELL: Anything from Public Counsel?
21	MR. HALL: Briefly.
22	FURTHER CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. HALL:
23	Q. Mr. Roos, the Chairman asked you about
24	determining which option could provide safe and adequate

service. Did Staff send a request to the Joint Bidders

1	or Cedar Glen to determine if their option could provide
2	safe and adequate service?
3	A. No.
4	MR. HALL: No further questions.
5	JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there redirect from Staff?
6	MS. PAYNE: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.
7	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. PAYNE:
8	Q. Mr. Roos, Mr. Comley was asking you some
9	questions regarding the proposed improvements. Do you
10	believe the proposed improvements of the Osage Utility
11	Operating Company are reasonable?
12	A. Yes, I do.
13	Q. Can we state with certainty about any proposed
14	improvements at this stage in the proceeding regarding
15	the Osage Water Company?
16	A. No, we can't.
17	Q. The judge was asking you about what you
18	consider some of the necessary improvements might be at
19	the Osage Water Company systems. Did you look review
20	the systems for concerns regarding repairs, any health
21	concerns, anything along those lines?
22	A. Yes, I did.
23	Q. Did you notice concerns?
24	A. I did have concerns. I saw some bypassing and
25	at the Chelsea Rose subdivision sanitary sewer there

1	were visible signs of activated sludge on the ground.
2	Q. Okay. And then Commissioner Rupp was asking
3	you about some questions about the Joint Bidders and
4	some of the testimony that they have given in this
5	proceeding. Did they submit an application before the
6	Commission?
7	A. They did not.
8	Q. Did Staff have time to review their testimony
9	as it did the application of the Osage Utility Operating
10	Company?
11	A. No.
12	Q. So did we apply the same type of review
13	procedure to their statements as we were able to for the
14	Osage Utility Operating Company's application?
15	A. I did not.
16	Q. Okay. And then Chairman Silvey was asking you
17	some questions about balancing the competing statements
18	that have been made in this case. When we review a
19	case, are we charged with determining what is the best
20	proposal for a system?
21	A. No.
22	Q. If two competing applications were put before
23	Staff, could we say that both of them were not
24	detrimental to the public interest?

A. It might be possible. I don't know.

1	Q. Have we had such a situation?
2	A. Not that I'm aware of.
3	Q. Does Staff generally review potential costs in
4	an acquisition case?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Does Staff review determine costs in a rate
7	case?
8	A. We use actual costs in a rate case.
9	Q. Because they're determined at that time?
10	A. That's correct.
11	Q. Okay. You were asked several questions
12	regarding the moving bed bio reactor plant that's being
13	proposed. What's your understanding of why that might
14	be preferential as opposed to a sand filter treatment
15	plant?
16	A. The existing recirculating land filter was
17	constructed about 15 or 20 years ago and wasn't designed
18	to meet the effluent standard or the discharge limits
19	that are now in place. And that particular technology,
20	it's sort of hit or miss whether they're going to meet
21	those standards. Adding the MBBR would ensure that the
22	system would meet permit limits.
23	Q. So is an MBBR, as well as other improvements,
24	is this consistent with what Staff has seen from other
25	regulated water and sewer treatment systems?

1	A. It is.
2	Q. Okay. And then all the way back to the
3	beginning, Mr. Comley had asked you some questions about
4	what Staff investigated. Did you get an opportunity to
5	independently verify the letters that we received from
6	the Sunshine request of DNR?
7	A. I did not.
8	Q. Is it commonplace for Staff to do so?
9	A. No.
10	Q. You were also asked about the MBBR system
11	potentially having disadvantages. Do you recognize
12	disadvantages in other types of systems?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Is it safe to say that there could be
15	disadvantages to any type of treatment system?
16	A. There could be disadvantages in running the
17	current system as it is, because it may not be
18	continually meeting discharge limits.
19	MS. PAYNE: Thank you. I have no further
20	questions.
21	JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you. I believe that
22	concludes your testimony, Mr. Roos. You may step down.
23	(Witness excused.)
24	JUDGE DIPPELL: After he gets himself gathered
25	up, you can call your next witness. Staff Given that

it's 4:30, we still have at least half our witnesses, 1 2 I'm not seeing us wrapping up today. I'm just going to call that one now. Let's go ahead with Staff's next 3 4 witness. 5 MS. PAYNE: All right. Staff would call its witness Kim Bolin to the stand. 6 7 (Witness sworn.) 8 JUDGE DIPPELL: Go ahead. 9 KIMBERLY BOLIN, being sworn, testified as follows: 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. PAYNE: 11 Would you please state and spell your name for 12 the court reporter? My name is Kimberly Bolin, and Bolin is 13 spelled B-o-l-i-n. 14 15 Thank you, Ms. Bolin. By whom are you Ο. 16 employed and in what capacity? I'm employed by the Missouri Public Service 17 Α. 18 Commissioner as a utility regulatory auditor. 19 And did you prepare surrebuttal testimony 20 that's been marked as Exhibit 102 in this matter, as 21 well as sponsored portions of Staff's recommendation 22 that's been attached to the direct testimony and 23 supplemental testimony of Natelle Dietrich marked as Exhibits 100 and 105 in this matter? 24

Yes, I did.

Α.

2.5

1	Q. Do you have any changes or corrections to make
2	to any of that testimony at this time?
3	A. No, I do not.
4	Q. If I were to ask you the same questions that
5	are contained in that testimony today, would your
6	answers be the same?
7	A. Yes, they would.
8	MS. PAYNE: All right. Then at this time I
9	will offer Exhibit 102, the surrebuttal testimony of Kim
10	Bolin.
11	JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there any objection to
12	Exhibit 102? Hearing none, I will admit that into
13	evidence.
14	(STAFF'S EXHIBIT 102 WAS RECEIVED INTO
15	EVIDENCE AND MADE A PART OF THIS RECORD.)
16	MS. PAYNE: And I will tender this witness for
17	cross.
18	JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there cross-examination by
19	OUOC?
20	MR. COOPER: Yes, Your Honor.
21	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. COOPER:
22	Q. Ms. Bolin, would you agree that the rate case
23	or the rate base figures or net original cost figures
24	that Staff computes during the course of an acquisition
25	case are essentially estimates at that point in time?

1 Yes, they are. Α. 2 And so ultimately if you get to a rate case, Q. that's where the Staff does its analysis and takes a 3 final position in terms of ratemaking as to what those 5 numbers should be, correct? That is correct. 6 Α. 7 MR. COOPER: That's all the questions I have. 8 JUDGE DIPPELL: Are there any questions from Cedar Glen? 9 10 MR. COMLEY: Thank you, no. 11 JUDGE DIPPELL: Anything from the Joint 12 Bidders? 13 MR. ELLSWORTH: Yes. 14 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ELLSWORTH: 15 Ms. Bolin, were you present for Mr. Cox's Ο. 16 testimony earlier? Yes, I was. 17 And did you hear his testimony with respect to 18 Ο. the estimates of rates? 19 20 I heard him calculate some numbers. 21 Did you hear the ultimate number he calculated 22 as the estimate for water and sewer rates within the 23 Osage Water Company systems? 24 I don't know if those were exactly rates, but

I heard the estimates he calculated.

2.5

1	
1	Q. Correct. I mean, I agree that they're
2	estimates as well. We can all agree to that. Have you
3	reviewed the estimates of the Joint Bidders with respect
4	to the rates they would charge they anticipated they
5	would charge for the Osage Water Company in this case?
6	A. No, I have not.
7	Q. So you wouldn't have an opinion as to whether
8	there would be a significant harm to the ratepayer if
9	the application was approved?
10	A. I have not reviewed the details behind Osage
11	Utility Operating Company's rates and I have not
12	reviewed the details behind the Joint Bidders' rates.
13	Q. Without getting into any confidential
14	questions, have you reviewed what was Exhibit JC-J3; are
15	you familiar with that?
16	A. I have looked at it briefly, but I did not get
17	into the details. Usually when we as auditors with the
18	Public Service Commission determine rates we look at
19	we don't just take the Company's word on numbers. We
20	look at invoices, we look at bids.
21	Q. Okay. Do you look
22	A. We look at reasonableness.
23	Q. Do you look at the reasonableness of
24	financing?

A. We have a department that does that, yes.

1	Q. Do you compare the potential financial terms
2	associated with the costs of making purchasing and
3	making repairs?
4	A. They look at the different loans and
5	financing.
6	Q. Okay. But that's not something you do?
7	A. I do not do that, no.
8	MR. ELLSWORTH: All right. No further
9	questions. Thank you.
10	JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you. Is there
11	cross-examination from Public Counsel?
12	MR. HALL: Yes. Good afternoon, Ms. Bolin.
13	THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.
14	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. HALL:
15	Q. Were you in the room when I was questioning
16	Ms. Dietrich?
17	A. Yes, I was.
18	Q. Since I was asking her about your testimony, I
19	guess it's only fair I ask you about your testimony.
20	Could you please turn to page 4 of your surrebuttal?
21	A. I'm there.
22	Q. Just for the purpose of the record I'm looking
23	at lines 1 through 10 as to this was a Q&A written as
24	to whether or not Central States Water Resources would
25	have purchased the Osage systems but for the probability

of the acquisition incentive. Am I seeing that correctly?

- A. I was relating this to their purchasing of any distressed system.
- Q. Ms. Dietrich had remarked that she did not perceive this Q&A to present an endorsement of the view that Central States Water Resources wouldn't have bought the Osage Water system but for the acquisition incentive. Do you recall her saying that?
 - A. Yes, I do.

- Q. Do you agree with that assessment?
- A. I agree with it. I am quoting his testimony.
- Q. And so you would not characterize your testimony as supporting the conclusion that the acquisition would not occur but for incentive?
- A. I am simply stating that is what he said using a reasoning behind the thought of they're not going to purchase -- somebody -- just because someone purchases a troubled or failing system in the past does not mean that they have to in the future without the acquisition.
- Q. And in response to a question from counsel for the Joint Bidders, you remarked that, correct me if I'm wrong, but you said something to the effect we don't just take the Company's word on numbers?
 - A. That is correct. We look at invoices, we look

at bids, we look at reasonableness to cost.

- Q. Just like in that same thought of trust and verify, we shouldn't just take the Company's word on this issue then?
- A. I think that's the only thing we have right now to use.

MR. HALL: Thank you. No further questions.

JUDGE DIPPELL: Any questions from the

9 | Commission? Mr. Chairman?

2.5

CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Thank you.

QUESTIONS BY CHAIRMAN SILVEY:

Q. We'll stay on that page actually of your surrebuttal testimony. I'm curious right after that exchange starting on line 11 the question kind of goes off in one direction and then finishes with a completely different question. I'd like to look at line 11. It says on page 13 of OPC Witness Roth's rebuttal she states, quote, the fact that there were multiple bidders for the system willing to purchase the system at a lower price is a clear indication that the sale would have occurred without the probability of obtaining an acquisition adjustment, end quote. Then it asks a question about how long they've been under the guidance of receiver, which has nothing to do with the first part of that question. So I'm interested in the first part

of that question. What is your take on that statement?

Do you agree with that statement or do you disagree with that statement?

- A. I think because we're strictly looking at this acquisition by Osage Utility Operating Company and their statement that the likelihood of them purchasing the system without an incentive is what I'm trying to get at there. We weren't looking at the fact that there were multiple bidders.
- Q. You're aware of the fact there were multiple bidders?
 - A. There were multiple bidders, yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. So in your opinion does the fact that there were multiple bidders show that the incentive is necessary or not necessary?
- A. Well, it's interesting. The multiple bidders all bid over net book value. For some reason the system they were going to buy it above net book value, the other bidders.
- Q. Okay. So you say we don't take the Company's word for it, but all we have is the Company's word --
 - A. On this that is correct.
 - Q. -- in light of additional facts?
- A. Correct. I don't know that those -- that that

 -- the multiple bidders affects this acquisition.

1	Q. But they were multiple bidders on this
2	acquisition?
3	A. To buy the system but we were strictly looking
4	at Osage Utility Operating Company buying the system.
5	Q. So we don't we just ignore the rest of
6	that. We just ignore that there were other people
7	bidding for it as well?
8	A. I don't know that that would impact Osage
9	Utility Operating Company's willingness to buy the
10	system. They have said they would have to reevaluate
11	this purchase if they do not get the incentive.
12	Q. Okay. You also mentioned that the Joint
13	Bidders aren't regulated and would not be required to
14	consider net book value when setting rates; is that
15	correct?
16	A. That is correct.
17	Q. Why does that matter?
18	A. They may be able to, in determining their own
19	rates may be able to use the purchase price, the
20	800,000. So basically they would be getting an
21	acquisition incentive.
22	Q. Is that what statute allows?
23	A. I don't know exactly how they determined their
24	rates. I've never determined rates for a non-Commission

25

regulated system.

1	Q. So you don't know that that is the case; you
2	just don't know that it's not the case?
3	A. That is correct.
4	Q. Do you think it would be better or worse for
5	customers to have the Joint Bidders acquire the system?
6	A. I'm not sure. I did not evaluate their case.
7	CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Quick question for you,
8	Judge. If I were to ask about that, does that need to
9	be
10	JUDGE DIPPELL: Well, let me just Is the
11	estimated annual increase to the revenue requirement, is
12	that a confidential number?
13	MR. COOPER: I think, yes, it would be, if
14	you're talking about the difference between the year
15	one, year two numbers that we looked at previously in
16	that confidential schedule.
17	JUDGE DIPPELL: I think that's a number in
18	Staff's recommendation. Is that where that number came
19	from?
20	MR. JOHNSON: In the revised memo.
21	JUDGE DIPPELL: Do you want to go in camera to
22	ask about that number specifically?
23	CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Yeah, if we could.
24	JUDGE DIPPELL: Let's go off the record for
25	just a second and let me look this up and make sure.

1	MR. HALL: We were just going to posit we
2	don't believe it is. If you're to go off the record to
3	determine that.
4	JUDGE DIPPELL: Let me go off the record just
5	to see if this is, in fact, a confidential number.
6	(Off the record.)
7	JUDGE DIPPELL: Let's go ahead and go back on
8	the record. Yeah, I found that number is not highly
9	confidential and it is in Staff's recommendation as
10	such.
11	MR. COOPER: A revenue requirement number? I
12	didn't remember any revenue requirement numbers.
13	JUDGE DIPPELL: I'm looking on page 24 of 26
14	of the red lined version of Staff's.
15	MR. COOPER: I don't have the red lined
16	version. I've got the revised.
17	JUDGE DIPPELL: It's in the section called
18	Request for Acquisition Incentives.
19	MS. PAYNE: If I can clarify, it might help.
20	The number that is red lined is confidential. The
21	number that is after it we do not the actual the
22	revenue requirement that's listed is not.
23	JUDGE DIPPELL: The sentence I'm looking at it
24	says the estimated annual increase to revenue
25	requirement associated with the acquisition premium is

approximately and has a number but it's not designated 1 2 as confidential. MS. PAYNE: Right. That was not considered 3 4 highly confidential. I mean, I defer to the Company as 5 to what discussed or not. 6 MR. COOPER: That's not -- I don't view that as a total revenue requirement number, right? 7 8 JUDGE DIPPELL: Right. 9 MR. COOPER: It's a revenue requirement associated with Staff's proposed acquisition premium 10 11 which is what was throwing me off. 12 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. I apologize, 13 Mr. Chairman, but we have determined you can go ahead 14 and ask your question. 15 CHAIRMAN SILVEY: I can ask my question. 16 MR. HALL: Actually, Your Honor, part of my 17 neglect to bring this up earlier. While looking at page 18 24, the suggested acquisition premium number is 19 confidential. I note that that -- So it was 20 confidential in the previous recommendation because the 21 acquisition price for Reflections was confidential. Since that number is calculated based on the purchase 22 23 price number of 465 put on page 23 and the rate base 24 value on page 22, I don't see why the Staff's 25 recommended acquisition premium number is confidential

1	and actually I make a standing motion at this time to
2	make it nonconfidential.
3	JUDGE DIPPELL: I'm seeing Mr. Cooper.
4	MR. COOPER: Yeah, we're okay with that.
5	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. So that number is also
6	located on page 24 of 26 of the red lined version of
7	Staff's supplemental report, and other places where it
8	is located I will make as no longer confidential because
9	it has since become public.
10	Now, Mr. Chairman, you may ask your question
11	if you can remember it.
12	CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Okay. Luckily I have it
13	written down.
14	BY CHAIRMAN SILVEY:
15	Q. Okay. How did Staff calculate in its revised
16	memo in the request for acquisition incentive section
17	the estimated annual increase to the revenue requirement
18	to be \$17,516 for the acquisition incentive?
19	A. You want the mechanics of it or do you want
20	how we determined the 465 465,000 is the price to
21	use?
22	Q. So the 465 is then broken down. Is that why
23	we have 17,000 annually?
24	A. What I do is I compared the 465 to net book
25	value as of December 31 and used a rate of return that

1	was based on the Company's last not the Company but
2	CWSR's affiliate Indian Hills last order rate of return
3	and determined that is the amount of revenue required,
4	plus I also did an amortization of 2.76 percent which is
5	roughly the composite depreciation rate of that amount
6	annually.
7	Q. Okay. And the reason for using an in-house?
8	A. It was the most recent affiliate that had a
9	rate case in front of us and it was an ordered rate of
10	return.
11	Q. Okay.
12	A. We might see that similar I'm not sure if
13	we would see the same rate of return in the next case
14	but that was a good estimate to use and these are
15	estimated based on several factors that could change.
16	CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Okay. Thank you, Judge.
17	That was all my questions for now.
18	JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you. Commissioner
19	Kenney, did you have questions?
20	COMMISSIONER KENNEY: No.
21	JUDGE DIPPELL: Commissioner Rupp?
22	COMMISSIONER RUPP: I do have a question but I
23	kind of forgot it. I had a question before we did all
24	that other stuff. I'm going to just start talking and

see if it comes to my head. If you feel like you can

answer something that I throw at you, please do.

OUESTIONS BY COMMISSIONER RUPP:

- Q. So getting back to the question of what the Company was asking for, would they have purchased or put in the application without the application premium. Going back to their original bid before there was a clear title and it was initially much lower?
 - A. Before the clear title, no, it was not.
- Q. So there were initially when they started the process was the same amount that they're purchasing at now?
- A. From what I've been able to tell, the multiple bids that were done, one was done January 14, 2015 and they had a bid of 479,000.
 - O. Correct. And that is much lower --
 - A. Lower than the 800,000, that is correct.
- Q. So is the question that -- So when the Company made that bid, there was no rule for acquisition premium?
 - A. That is correct. That was in January of 2015.
- Q. So the question -- Is it better to frame the question that the Company wouldn't make the purchase without an acquisition premium or is it the Company wouldn't pay the winning bid price without the acquisition premium because they put in a bid it was

Τ	much lower before there was a thing. Is that what we
2	should be looking at?
3	A. I'm not sure. I'm not sure behind the
4	Company's reasoning on why they went to 800,000.
5	Q. So if the Company has stated they would not
6	proceed with the purchase without the acquisition
7	premium, we could then deduce that the reason they
8	wanted to match the bid was due to the opportunity to
9	get the acquisition premium to help recoup their cost?
10	A. Possibly.
11	CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Okay. Thank you.
12	QUESTIONS BY JUDGE DIPPELL:
13	Q. Ms. Bolin, in Staff's revised recommendation,
14	and again I'm looking at the red line version, on page
15	23 under the request for acquisition incentives, can you
16	explain to me how Staff's opinion changed or didn't
17	change because of the Commission bifurcating the
18	Reflections portion out?
19	A. I think that would be better addressed to Jim
20	Busch.
21	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Okay. Are there any
22	COMMISSIONER RUPP: Is he a witness?
23	JUDGE DIPPELL: I'm sorry. Mr. Busch has been
24	added because he sponsored these parts of the Staff
25	report. If there are questions for him, he will be

1	available.
2	Is there any further cross-examination based
3	on questions from the bench from OUOC?
4	MR. COOPER: Yes, thank you, Your Honor.
5	FURTHER CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. COOPER:
6	Q. Ms. Bolin, you were asked about whether you I
7	think compared rates between OUOC and the Joint Bidders.
8	Can you say with any certainty what rates will be two
9	years from now if OUOC is authorized to acquire the
10	assets?
11	A. No, I cannot.
12	Q. Can anyone?
13	A. I don't think so.
14	Q. Why not?
15	A. We don't have all of the expenses and the
16	final plant improvements and any prudency adjustments,
17	any disallowances of cost. We don't know those yet.
18	Q. Would your answer be the same as to the rates
19	of the Joint Bidders if they were to acquire the assets?
20	A. I'm not sure how they develop their rates.
21	Q. You were asked some questions from the
22	Chairman about this Q&A that starts on line 11 of page 4
23	of your testimony. It starts off talking about I think
24	that the multiple bidders and then it ultimately asks

how long the Company has been under the guidance of a

1	receiver. Would you agree that during the 14 years or
2	so that the Osage Water Company systems were under the
3	control of I guess first an interim receiver and then a
4	permanent receiver, the receiver was never able to sell
5	those systems?
6	A. That is correct.
7	Q. And the opportunity or the bidders that are
8	being referred to in this question, they came about in
9	the bankruptcy proceeding, correct?
10	A. The sale came out of a bankruptcy proceeding,
11	yes.
12	Q. And ultimately at a time when the Commission's
13	nonviable utility acquisition rule is in effect,
14	correct?
15	A. I don't know that It was effective January
16	31. However, it was I think the final rulemaking order
17	happened before the auction.
18	Q. We're sitting here. It's in effect today
19	though, correct?
20	A. It's in effect today.
21	MR. COOPER: That's all the questions I have.
22	JUDGE DIPPELL: Any further cross-examination
23	from Cedar Glen?
24	MR. COMLEY: I have no questions.

JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there cross-examination

1	based on Commission questions from the Joint Bidders?			
2	MR. ELLSWORTH: No further questions.			
3	JUDGE DIPPELL: Public Counsel?			
4	MR. HALL: No further questions.			
5	JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there redirect?			
6	MS. PAYNE: Briefly, Your Honor.			
7	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. PAYNE:			
8	Q. You were asked several questions about Rule			
9	10.085. Is there any kind of a test included in the			
10	rule for Staff to utilize to know whether or not an			
11	acquisition would occur without an acquisition			
12	incentive?			
13	A. No, there's not.			
14	Q. Do we have any prior guidance from the			
15	Commission regarding this rule?			
16	A. This is the first case. So no, we do not.			
17	Q. Okay. If the Commission approves an incentive			
18	acquisition in this case, would that be determined now?			
19	A. The amount of the acquisition?			
20	Q. Correct.			
21	A. No, it would not. It could be determined			
22	later.			
23	Q. Could the Commission determine that an			
24	acquisition incentive is inappropriate in a future rate			
25	case?			

1	A. It is possible.				
2	Q. And finally, has Staff been asked to review				
3	any proposed rates at this time? I'm sorry. Let me				
4	rephrase. Is it proper for Staff to review the proposed				
5	rates at this time?				
6	A. It is not because we don't have all the known				
7	and measurable items to determine rates.				
8	Q. It's more appropriate to wait for a future				
9	rate case?				
10	A. Yes, it is.				
11	MS. PAYNE: No further questions. Thank you.				
12	JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you. Ms. Bolin, that				
13	concludes your testimony and you may step down.				
14	(Witness excused.)				
15	JUDGE DIPPELL: Seeing that it is two minutes				
16	until five o'clock, I think this is a good place to				
17	break for the day and we will return tomorrow at 8:30 to				
18	finish up our witnesses hopefully before the				
19	Commission's agenda at noon.				
20	MS. PAYNE: Judge, I would ask Staff's witness				
21	Scott Glasgow is the last one that's on our list of				
22	issues. I'm not sure if anyone has questions for him.				

Would it be possible to determine that at this time so

we could know if he would be released for tomorrow's

23

24

25

hearing?

1	JUDGE DIPPELL: We can certainly ask. Does					
2	anyone know of questions for Staff's witness or know					
3	that you don't have questions?					
4	MR. COOPER: We do not have questions.					
5	MR. HALL: Public Counsel has no questions for					
6	Mr. Glasgow.					
7	MR. COMLEY: Cedar Glen has no questions.					
8	MR. ELLSWORTH: I have no questions.					
9	JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. I don't believe					
10	the Commissioners have any questions for Mr. Glasgow.					
11	MS. PAYNE: If it would be okay, I would offer					
12	his testimony at this time. It's been marked as Exhibit					
13	103.					
14	JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Would there be any					
15	objection to Exhibit 103?					
16	MR. COMLEY: No objection.					
17	JUDGE DIPPELL: Seeing no objections, I will					
18	admit that.					
19	(STAFF'S EXHIBIT 103 WAS RECEIVED INTO					
20	EVIDENCE AND MADE A PART OF THIS RECORD.)					
21	JUDGE DIPPELL: Commissioner, did you want to					
22	ask questions of Mr. Busch? You seemed intrigued					
23	COMMISSIONER RUPP: No. He wasn't on my list.					
24	It was on my iPad so I didn't update it.					
25	JUDGE DIPPELL: Is Mr. Busch available					

1	tomorrow if I should decide I want to ask him my
2	question?
3	MS. PAYNE: I'm sure we could make him
4	available if we need to.
5	JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Then we will just
6	wait for that until tomorrow.
7	MS. PAYNE: Thank you, Judge.
8	JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there anything else before
9	we go off the record? Okay. Then we can go off the
10	record.
11	(Off the record.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	I, Beverly Jean Bentch, RPR, CCR No. 640,
4	Certified Court Reporter with the firm of Tiger Court
5	Reporting, LLC, within the State of Missouri, do hereby
6	certify that I was personally present at the proceedings
7	had in the above-entitled cause at the time and place
8	set forth in the caption sheet thereof; that I then and
9	there took down in Stenotype the proceedings had; and
10	that the foregoing is a full, true and correct
11	transcript of such Stenotype notes so made at such time
12	and place.
13	Beverly Jean Bentch
14	
15	Beverly Jean Bentch, CCR No. 640
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

	1.16 194:14	274:13 277:1	167:3 174:17 274:13,
\$	1.17 194:8	15 76:25 163:23 164:1	20
\$1.13 35:13	1.7 111:18	205:1 258:17	2016 173:15
\$1.7 74:22	10 20:25 69:13 72:7	15-minute 77:3	2017 21:12 47:1 66:5 120:2 125:17 126:2,25
\$10,000 108:12	86:20,24 90:6 105:22 115:10 163:10 175:14	16 130:12 167:21 210:21	127:1 128:25 138:9
\$100,000 113:8 170:8	197:3 223:6 249:6	17 13:4 69:7 119:17	139:5 167:13
\$140 115:6	264:23	149:24 168:19,25	2018 21:17 120:2 129:10,13,24 246:15
\$152 114:20	10.085 31:2 34:22 129:15 239:19 278:9	169:15 175:13 199:2,5	249:10
\$17,516 272:18	10.85 35:15	17,000 272:23	2019 13:4 119:20,24
\$2 44:8 111:17,18	100 168:23 206:5	18 95:19 114:4 126:15 162:9 173:5,7	182:12 207:10 208:19
\$282,000 171:5	209:7,12 243:25	18-0240 130:9	202 62:23
\$3 88:17	260:24	180 185:8	208 166:24 167:9,17
\$39,000 165:17	100C 209:5,17	19 225:12	21 105:22 114:4 208:15,18,22
\$4.1 22:18	100P 209:17	1934 22:25	216 19:25 20:1 62:21,
\$465,000 74:2 129:9	101 206:6 209:5,8,17	1989 20:23	24 91:16,19 92:11,17
141:15	102 260:20 261:9,12,	1:45 154:18	112:24
\$5.1 22:11	104 243:5,15,17,19		22 22:8 271:24
\$50,000 168:5	105 105:22 206:8	2	23 20:9 114:4 238:7 271:23 275:15
\$650,000 111:13	209:8,12 260:24	7 70:0 14 00:22 160:0	24 33:21 88:4 130:2
113:4 \$800,000 141:16	105C 209:5,17	2 78:8,14 80:22 168:9,	139:4,15 167:12 208:6
232:6	105P 209:18	2.76 273:4	270:13 271:18 272:6
	106 105:22 134:19,21	20 55:23 56:8 77:1,4	24-hour 124:16
0	135:7	95:20 196:13 208:21 216:15 226:7 258:17	240-10.085 216:6,15
0023 223:24	10:35 76:25	200 44:15 65:12 221:2	25 149:8 171:1 207:15,21 224:22
0025 228:2	11 21:13 266:14,16 276:22	223:20 224:1	226:23
02 192:7	110 20:3	200,000 113:7	26 173:15 207:3,8,15,
	12 88:4 138:9 139:5,	200-page 134:10	21 208:7 226:7 270:13 272:6
1	15 197:17	2002 21:1	26V010200965
1 77:21 78:7 79:19	12:25 145:17	2005 21:6,7 84:9	137:19
80:1,8,22 81:1 135:21	12:37 154:17	2009 39:1	28 132:4
167:4,5 181:5,10 184:19 207:10 208:19	13 22:16 175:16 254:1	201 224:13 227:23	2C 77:21 81:1
249:6 264:23	266:17	228:2,14	2P 77:21 81:1
1,100 63:15 1,860 66:4	14 21:11,17 23:25 25:23 33:25 34:12	2013 131:15	3
	35:12 38:20 44:6 59:20 72:7 138:3	2015 22:12,19 46:23 47:2 66:1 137:12	
	J3.20 12.1 130.3	138:3 139:4,15 166:23	3 78:8,14 80:22 84:17

4:30 260:1 135:22 182:12 184:14 **78** 70:6 access 78:20.21 **4C** 77:25 81:1 **3.2.1.2** 132:5 accomplish 163:14 8 **3.5** 44:17 4D 216:24 accordance 24:13 31:5 **30** 149:2 **4E** 217:24 **8** 109:19 168:20 169:1 account 151:7 180:24 199:3.7 201:14.16 **31** 174:17 272:25 **4P** 77:25 81:1 203:2,4 207:8 246:15 277:16 accounting 232:24 247:15 249:10 5 **33** 20:6,8 69:9 accounts 62:25 **8,000** 69:14 91:17,21 38 20:2 800,000 46:25 268:20 **5** 14:15 43:2 63:5,14 accurate 88:20 **386** 55:8 274:16 275:4 64:18,24 65:8 66:13, 137:13 202:23 227:24 14 70:21 78:2,13 **393** 55:7 **86** 175:13 accurately 139:24 79:19 80:1,8,10,24 **393.145.1** 35:3 223:13 225:9,23 81:2 86:11 87:2 **89** 149:8 108:12 152:6 155:21 accustomed 88:3 **3:15** 205:4,10 **8:30** 279:17 163:24 164:4 166:7.23 **3C** 77:23 81:1 achieve 49:16 59:19 167:3 176:4 180:7 163:15 9 188:9 192:10,11 **3P** 77:23 81:1 199:13 208:15 210:2 achieved 51:10,12,21 9 74:23 66:18 **5's** 63:10,16 169:3 4 925 66:8 **acquire** 13:9 30:23 **500** 92:22 112:4 31:4 32:9 33:12 34:15 124:18 125:3,5 164:15 **4** 65:24 66:3,7 78:8,14 59:13 93:15 95:3 165:11 167:10,19 80:23 130:2 137:8 Α 115:15 160:18 162:23 172:19,24 141:3 190:23 191:6 200:12 211:13 231:6 216:6.14 217:17 223:1 **Aaron** 14:13 70:19 240:20 269:5 276:9,19 238:15 249:6 264:20 6 **abandoned** 21:2 35:7 276:22 acquired 35:22 40:23 115:10 46:13 50:13 94:25 **40** 74:4 76:3 168:5 **6** 155:21,22 156:4,11 abatement 115:13 157:1,9 194:19 207:3 acquirement 55:2 **402** 19:21 92:3 138:25 223:6 abilities 26:11 139:2,3,17,19 acquiring 36:9 44:2 **640.115** 32:16 47:6 55:17 119:14 **ability** 34:15 74:14 **403** 138:25 139:2,6, 119:22 151:19 178:6 17,19 **650,000** 113:12 acquisition 16:21 23:17 24:10,14,21,22, **42** 20:2 **absence** 136:15 **683** 66:8 25 25:1,4,6,7,12,14,24 **absent** 36:2 118:3 **420** 19:22 62:22 91:25 26:21,25 27:12 28:17, 182:15 219:14 92:3 7 25 31:1,6,8,20,25 33:10,13,21 34:20,23 absolutely 18:4 **424** 62:22 **7** 86:20,24 155:22 42:12 83:18 84:1 35:15,24 36:3,8,10,12, **4240-10.085** 216:15 14,21 37:2 39:13,20 156:4,11 157:2,9 125:25 127:13 130:5 41:10,15,19,21 42:1,2, 168:19 169:1,15 131:20 134:13 135:9 **432** 112:23 173:5,7 186:20 199:3, 6 43:9,10 44:9,14 137:9 153:11 195:4 **455** 74:3 45:17,19,22,23 46:1 7 208:18 246:10 abyss 35:14 48:16,17,22,23 49:1,6 247:11,24 **465** 122:2 271:23 53:1,9,15 67:6,8,23 acceptable 57:25 272:20,22,24 70 64:5 69:6 68:4 82:6 89:3 118:10, 58:10,13 **465,000** 272:20 11,15 119:23 120:3 **750,000** 113:12 accepted 26:12 123:12 144:4,10 **479,000** 274:14 213:16,19 238:22 150:19 151:17 160:9

162:1 165:2 172:4 215:6 216:5,8,21,25 217:6,9 218:16,19 219:5,7,11,12,14,24, 25 220:4,7,22 221:22 222:7,8,19,22,24 223:11,12,16 225:15 227:19 230:1,2 231:5, 18 232:3 236:1 238:8, 9,12,18,19,21,25 239:5,6,11,13,14,18, 21,23 240:12,15 241:1,16 242:6 244:25 258:4 261:24 265:1,8, 15,20 266:22 267:5,25 268:2.21 270:18.25 271:10,18,21,25 272:16,18 274:18,23, 25 275:6,9,15 277:13 278:11,18,19,24

acquisitions 221:15, 21

acronyms 18:25

act 162:2

action 47:19 94:11 160:19 165:4 185:7,24

activated 257:1

active 115:11

actual 25:19 27:17 38:4 42:2 45:7 87:23 125:8 126:18 163:13, 20 182:2 184:10,14 213:13 230:11 258:8 270:21

adage 46:19

add 62:23 161:9 245:8

added 124:16 176:22 206:24 247:4 275:24

adding 208:21 216:16 258:21

addition 109:8,10 176:5 246:7

additional 17:15 81:16 122:22 125:12 132:10 135:15 140:7 143:19 144:16.22 152:1 154:5 200:23 207:6 217:12 230:23 246:5,7 247:25 248:1 267:23

additionally 42:5 60:20 131:9

additions 206:24

address 13:15 14:1, 22 17:25 18:11 19:16 22:24 48:19 210:12

addressed 20:17 25:17,18 75:5 139:22 215:15 275:19

adequate 21:4 22:22 26:4,15,18 35:6 43:16 45:1 49:16,19 50:12 51:3,6,8,10,19,20 57:10,11 123:13 157:21 194:25 251:11, 20,25 252:23,25 253:16 255:24 256:2

adjusted 90:2

adjustment 24:10,25 266:22

adjustments 207:1 276:16

administered 147:4

administrator 84:18 85:6

admission 133:23 223:20 227:23

admit 57:13 70:23 135:4 157:7 204:17 223:25 228:13 261:12

admitted 45:11 135:20 193:4 202:7

admitting 138:18

adopted 56:25 115:3

adopting 93:16

advance 212:5

advertisement 181:1.3 advised 90:20

advisory 168:11,12

affairs 83:24

affected 16:22 63:3 238:25

affecting 42:1

affects 267:25

affiliate 21:19,23 29:19 273:2,8

affiliated 22:15 61:1 84:12 94:1 147:10

affiliates 21:25 22:7 32:21 42:23 178:11

afraid 58:19 107:21

afternoon 36:16 143:25 144:1 155:6 157:13,15 178:25 179:1 220:13,14 242:22 250:18,19 264:12,13

AG 67:17

agenda 279:19

agree 56:25 58:3 72:7 82:19 86:8 109:19 112:21 114:14,15,17, 19 128:24 140:16 141:10 142:1 148:4 170:13 179:13,15 184:11 186:3,10,20,24 187:6 214:13 216:18 228:24 232:13,23 233:2,5,25 235:22,24 251:7 252:13 261:22 263:1,2 265:11,12 267:2 277:1

agreed 32:3 33:19 63:20 71:1 89:6

agreement 24:16 27:19 68:14 86:10 115:12 153:2,3,8 160:17 162:8

agreements 68:15, 17 152:12 161:22 200:15

agrees 37:20

ahead 13:2 18:6,22 19:9 77:8,10,14,15 78:16 79:6 81:24 97:2 133:12 134:18,20 135:4 136:16 138:21, 22 145:22 153:16 154:18,21,24 155:3 165:2 181:24 185:19 193:8 201:23 205:3,12 209:5 236:17 260:3,8 270:7 271:13

air 107:5

alert 109:6

alive 245:22

Allan 65:6

allegations 27:21

alleging 27:9

allowed 23:6 60:24 85:17

allowing 81:15

alluded 20:22

alteration 184:22

altered 144:10

alternate 35:25

alternative 15:20 16:3,4 17:10 32:25 44:21 46:21,22 62:5 241:15

alternatives 43:23,25 54:15 57:3,15 71:17 219:24

alters 226:6

amended 36:14

amendment 76:1

American-water 118:25 126:6

ammonia 110:18 215:13 246:17 248:1

amortization 273:4

amount 25:12,16,17 29:1 53:10,16 58:12 88:15 90:19 93:11 105:16 141:11 163:8 234:17 236:1 240:2,5 273:3,5 274:10 278:19

amounting 46:13

amounts 213:13

analysis 182:6 183:18 194:2 227:19 262:3

analyze 245:21 252:19

analyzed 252:17

and/or 148:15

annex 63:21

annexation 64:3

Annexing 63:16

annual 269:11 270:24 272:17

annually 272:23 273:6

answers 80:2,4 132:22 156:4,7 209:2 243:11 261:6

anticipate 39:5 88:6 93:19 162:6

anticipated 87:24 234:17 235:2 263:4

anticipating 90:9,24

anytime 56:10

AOCS 200:14

APA 141:7

apologize 38:17 106:22,25 126:7 129:6 180:11 236:16 242:19 271:12

appearance 13:21

appeared 219:19

appearing 13:24 14:9 42:17

appears 28:23 64:15 71:25 72:14,21 74:23 75:10 167:2 180:15 182:7 248:25

apples 231:11

applicable 24:4,5 132:23 207:7,12 211:6,7 225:14 227:12 231:14

applicant 19:1,6 47:4 60:4,8 111:20,22 195:15 200:12 220:3 234:1,16 236:2 249:7 252:7,24

applicant's 25:6 220:4 253:21

applicants 82:16 83:7 212:20 253:18

application 13:8,15 19:13.16 26:7 27:11. 18 30:21 32:9 33:10 35:2 36:5 49:23 50:17 54:5,10,22 59:10,12 60:7,11 61:14,16 67:8 68:6,8 71:12,19 73:1 74:8,11,19 75:10,14, 22 76:4 82:10 83:16, 18 132:21 142:18 143:9 146:5,8 153:23 186:1 210:8 211:13 212:16 213:1,3 214:6 215:6 216:3,4,8 217:2, 4,10,18,23 218:3,6,13, 21 219:10 225:15 229:1 233:18 238:16 239:24 240:11 241:23 242:1 253:14 257:5,9, 14 263:9 274:5

applications 60:12 145:2 181:7 186:4 240:20 257:22

applied 32:13 34:25

apply 25:5 61:19 132:15 133:7 143:13 257:12

appointed 21:14 85:23,24,25 129:3

appointing 34:5

appreciated 15:3 191:21

approach 140:24 220:18 224:3

approaching 111:17

appropriately 61:19

approval 22:10 24:8 25:23 31:19 36:1,2 75:15 82:6 87:5,20 89:12 112:9 117:2 147:16,25 253:15

approvals 87:25 95:11

approve 27:18 31:24 36:24 49:24 51:16 61:22 71:19 74:7

approved 26:23 39:4 50:21 65:23 66:2,5 76:7 87:8 89:9 93:15 115:21 117:4,6,16,18 147:22 161:17 162:1 229:2 263:9

approves 117:10 154:1 278:17

approving 21:18 27:24 37:2 43:13 50:18 63:24

approximate 62:22 114:22

approximately

19:21,22,25 20:2 22:11,17 66:4 92:9 111:13 149:9 271:1

April 66:5

area 14:15 15:11 19:24 20:3,5 24:5,6 43:3 61:5 70:4,9,17,21 71:7 83:8 87:2 144:18 166:6 175:13 176:3 188:8 197:7,14 204:12 210:3 211:18,19 212:19 241:8

areas 19:23 92:14

argue 53:5

argued 35:21 222:6

arguing 32:24 54:16 165:19

argument 43:18 45:8, 25 56:18

argumentative 175:6

arguments 26:2 33:14.17 56:14 57:2

Arkansas 22:17 46:12

arm's 24:16 216:22 217:1,14

asks 27:18 30:23,25 49:3 266:22 276:24

assembled 165:3

assert 23:16 222:6

asserting 48:9

assessment 265:11

asset 31:16 62:6 67:21 108:18,19 116:12 162:15

assets 13:10 19:17 21:9,15,18 23:17 25:24 26:22 29:2 30:24 31:4 37:3,24 38:4 39:25 40:15 42:24 47:22 54:5,10 59:13,22 60:1,2,3,7,9, 10,19 61:21 62:4,15 63:19 65:24 66:2,6 67:25 68:10 82:24 83:2 84:2 86:10,11 87:1.2 88:13 93:15 94:16 108:3 115:23 117:19 118:23 126:6 127:6.7 130:14 137:22 138:5 147:19 161:8 175:4 182:8 211:22 212:8 215:6 216:4,7 235:3 240:20 254:20 276:10,19

assign 15:6

assigned 13:6 15:4

assist 134:3

association 14:15, 16,18,22 15:1,9,12 43:2,3 59:7 66:25 69:1 70:22 71:8 87:3 125:9 166:7 168:14,17 174:20 176:4 184:6 188:9 194:17 197:7,8 198:6 204:11,12 210:3 212:19,20,24

association's 67:1

associations 86:12

assume 106:14 142:15 150:13 232:2 233:4

assumed 174:24

assuming 28:21 84:21 85:21 97:11 200:14 213:6

asterisks 106:19

attached 96:13 140:12 146:9 152:23 173:11 177:15 179:7, 12 183:23 184:14 188:24 208:14 212:4 219:18 243:24 260:22

Attachment 206:18, 19,20

attempt 123:24 128:20 189:7 203:19 220:12

attempted 32:23 39:25 40:4,8

attempting 83:20

attempts 36:7

attorney 94:10 116:19 117:1 122:20 125:23 141:5 142:3 158:17

attorneys 97:9 116:12 118:25 139:8

auction 24:18 34:13 47:9,11 73:23 74:4,5 82:24 83:6,14 86:3

115:24 116:2,3,9,10, 11,23,25 117:3,4,17, 20 121:15,17 126:18, 19,21 128:4,6 129:21 130:2 139:24 142:2,14 277:17

audit 233:3

auditor 260:18

auditors 263:17

August 129:10 167:12 174:17

authenticated 223:21 227:24

authority 33:8 56:22 60:9 61:21 68:22 73:19 87:1

authorization 30:23

authorize 239:25

authorized 21:25 83:2 129:14 276:9

automated 245:18

avoid 54:25

aware 72:17 83:5 90:18 130:3 153:11 213:2 232:20 241:17 258:2 267:10

awhile 95:1 145:22 146:1

AX-20 130:8

AX-2018-0240

129:14 130:7

В

B-O-L-I-N 260:14

back 30:4 46:25 56:3 57:16 68:4 71:23 75:24 77:8,9 105:3 106:20 107:5,8,12 115:13 118:24 119:7, 13 120:5 127:5,8 137:12 146:2 147:16

148:4 154:22 162:15 169:12 172:18 174:16 193:15 194:7 205:4,5, 8,12,13 231:24 240:23 247:22 259:2 270:7 274:3,6

backed 40:8

background 72:24

backup 27:21 50:1 87:4 92:25 124:16 219:19.20

bacteria 245:22

bad 71:16

balance 234:19

balancing 240:9,10 257:17

ballpark 119:14 125:17 164:24

Bank 13:17

bankrupt 62:8

bankruptcy 16:15 21:14,16,17 24:19 27:19,23 28:2,7,10,16, 17 29:11 30:7 34:7,13 39:14 47:9,11 48:21, 25 49:24 50:5 54:20 59:21 68:4,5 72:25 73:12,16,17,20,22,23 76:5,6 82:22,23 83:3,6 86:13 115:21 116:5,7, 9,21 117:2,5,7,8,16 119:9,21 120:9,13 121:5,12,15,22 122:20 123:11 125:20,22 126:1,14,21 128:24 129:21 130:16 138:14 141:6.7.10.13 142:3 219:2 229:2 277:9,10

barring 86:16

base 64:19 69:8 75:1 89:8 92:7 121:14 122:23 128:5,8,9 162:15 231:14 233:22 237:12 238:11,17 261:23 271:23 based 28:14 31:20 36:1 50:11 81:13,16 91:4 111:2 128:16 130:11 135:15 136:5 150:5 154:5,9 160:15 164:11 171:14 175:25 188:17 189:15 190:16 207:1 229:24 230:24 235:7 237:4 238:7 246:14 254:11 255:12, 18 271:22 273:1,15 276:2 278:1

basic 123:25

basically 17:13 78:6, 15,17 268:20

basis 93:12 127:21 136:19 161:11,24 162:25 163:1 168:17 187:7 199:1

Bates 192:1

bathroom 193:21

Bay 20:3 69:24 250:9,

bear 48:11 128:21

bed 110:24 171:3 245:8 258:12

began 76:24

begin 13:20 18:22,23 19:9 40:7 77:5,10 160:11 205:2,14

beginning 81:7 259:3

begins 227:1

behalf 13:24 14:4,9, 21 42:17 68:22 105:14

belief 80:5 156:8

believed 176:20

believes 33:7 34:2

bench 81:13 254:12 276:3

benefit 23:18 57:1 78:5 81:9 132:8

benefited 23:10

benefits 58:13 66:16 67:8 240:11,17

Bentwood 177:17

bid 24:18 28:3,9,12,14 29:12,13 47:11,14 48:25 49:24 60:3 62:12 63:6,7 74:1 82:25 83:10 86:5 117:10,11,18 118:11, 14,18,19,22 119:14, 17,18 120:1,4,6,24 121:2,3,4,6,7,8,9,14, 17,23 122:1,13,15,21, 23,24 125:16 126:4,5, 16 127:5,18,24,25 128:1,4,5,6,7,8,9,11 129:9 130:2 137:12 141:15,25 144:14 147:3,4,7 160:24 211:22,25 212:1,8 229:3 267:17 274:6, 14,18,24,25 275:8

bidder 21:21 47:9,17 54:20 82:23 83:2,13, 14 121:19,20 126:9 219:19,20

bidders 16:3 27:21 28:23 39:14 41:3 43:1 44:11 47:12,23 48:12 50:1,7,9 52:3 54:4,18 55:1 59:25 62:11 63:4 68:6,8 70:20 73:22 75:23 80:17 83:5,9,12 86:6,12 87:4 105:12 122:6,22 128:1,3 136:23 139:19 156:22 157:18 158:8 171:22 174:1,4 189:21 213:25 225:7 228:25 229:2,15 230:1,15 231:6,25 232:1 233:10 235:21 236:19 248:21 251:6, 10 255:13,18,25 257:3 262:12 263:3 265:22 266:18 267:9.11.12. 14,16,19,25 268:1,13 269:5 276:7,19,24 277:7 278:1

Bidders' 44:19 60:4 62:12 68:6 117:11

231:15 263:12

bidding 21:16 29:12 30:6 120:23 151:13 152:9 268:7

bids 28:4,18 29:13 38:6 39:20 41:4 47:14 73:3,20 118:16 123:11 126:3,12,17 137:11,21 138:4,8 141:19,21 142:9 263:20 266:1 274:13

bifurcate 153:22

bifurcated 13:12,14

bifurcating 16:22 275:17

big 65:11 69:14 123:18

biggest 71:18 74:17

billed 148:8

binders 176:15

binding 76:1

bio 110:24 171:3 245:8 258:12

bit 16:16 29:21 37:14 38:18 72:23 89:14 128:21 133:21 137:15 170:17 197:2

Blake 64:22

blanket 162:24

blatant 203:19

blocks 15:4

board 63:19,22 71:9 85:21,23,24,25

boards 63:11

bodily 51:14

bogged 36:23

boil 94:7

bold 184:15

Bolin 36:11 38:3 46:4 222:11 223:15,16

234:21,23 236:12,13 260:6,9,13,15 261:10, 22 262:15 264:12 275:13 276:6 279:12

Bolin's 223:2

bond 44:16

Bonnie 180:24

book 120:8 180:2 237:13,16 267:17,18 268:14 272:24

booster 124:19 167:24

boosters 92:24

bore 31:12

boring 200:3

borrow 90:20,22 231:22

borrowed 232:5

bottom 182:17 183:8 192:1 208:6 247:24

bought 265:7

boundary 63:15

branch 180:9

breaching 76:18

break 18:18,20 55:23 76:24 77:3,9 135:10 145:19,21 154:16,17, 23 205:2,4,5,9,13 279:17

brevity 59:24

briefly 52:2 120:21 143:24 214:3 233:11 248:22 255:21 263:16 278:6

briefs 42:11

bring 111:5 115:13 124:10 138:9 161:5 271:17

bringing 203:17

brings 23:21

broad 27:7

broke 176:13

broken 148:14 272:22

Brook 173:15,19 174:6

brought 47:19 57:16 71:3 72:20 140:11

Brydon 13:23

bucks 70:7

budget 163:9

build 50:2

building 74:25

built 71:14 151:20

bulk 69:16

bunch 123:23 129:6

burden 54:12 80:13 156:16 180:24

Busch 36:13 221:11 224:24 275:20,23

business 14:22 22:14 40:11 46:6,16,17,19 108:17 155:14

busy 137:7

buy 28:23 38:2 45:25 60:1,2 62:9 67:25 68:10 73:7 76:11,17 84:2 108:18 119:1,2,6 120:16 123:10 130:13, 14 145:4 152:5 267:18 268:3.9

buyer 60:10

buyers 62:3,14

buying 177:7 268:4

buys 28:21

bypass 159:8 201:2, 3,8,25 203:8,11,17 204:7

bypassed 158:14

bypassing 20:21 158:11,12 159:6,13,

14,16 256:24

C

calculate 262:20 272:15

calculated 112:23 235:2 237:17 262:21, 25 271:22

calculation 164:21 235:16

calculations 171:15 236:10

calculator 150:5

Caleb 14:8 42:17

calendar 185:9

call 28:24 70:20 77:14 79:3 141:24 201:1 205:15 215:11 232:3 242:17,19 259:25 260:3,5

called 30:8 83:7 84:10 142:6 184:15 233:20 270:17

calling 76:4 83:8

Calls 152:16

Camden 19:22 21:6 47:25 61:6 65:21,24 71:2 113:9 137:18 180:7

camera 97:6,7 106:12,21 107:4,8 150:4 269:21

Camry 55:23 56:8

capabilities 178:8

capability 22:21 210:4

capable 175:4 241:8

capacities 136:3

capacity 32:11 79:11 155:13 168:9 205:25 260:16

capital 71:16 90:25 91:2,4 146:14

car 56:1,2

care 16:18

case 13:4 16:12 19:14 20:11 23:1,23 25:14, 18 27:18 28:22 30:17, 19 31:6,18,23 32:22 33:4,22 34:5 35:1 37:17 38:6 41:2,3,4,5, 9,12,20 43:24 44:1,7 45:5 47:4 48:7 52:21 53:5,9,16,25 54:12 58:18 60:16,17 61:9, 20 63:4 64:6 67:4,17, 21,22 69:13 88:12 89:3 95:7,11,13,16 114:6 129:14,24 130:18 137:19 138:9, 14 139:16 143:17 144:5 147:22 148:5,8, 16,22 149:25 150:6 151:5 152:4 158:12.18 160:10,12 185:2 206:4 208:1 210:6 212:20 213:14 219:1,17 221:14 222:14,16 229:1,13 236:14 237:12 239:11,13,14, 15,16 240:1,5,12 242:6,9 243:25 248:10,25 257:18,19 258:4,7,8 261:22,25 262:2 263:5 269:1.2.6 273:9,13 278:16,18,25 279:9

cases 16:2 23:13 31:16 39:17 40:17 62:4 211:3 244:25 245:1

categorical 58:20

caused 79:14 155:16

CCN 20:23 26:22 43:6,14 45:10 48:14 49:4,25 50:21 51:1 56:13,14,15,19,20 227:2,8,17,19

CCN's 43:12

CCNS 143:13 227:16

Cedar 14:17,21 15:9 19:23 44:17 59:3,6 62:24 63:6,10,13,16, 19,23,25 64:3,14,23 65:12 66:10,15,16,19, 24 68:23 69:9,22 70:10 72:6,21 80:10 84:5 91:12,17 92:6,11, 18,20 93:14,21 95:24 105:5 110:4,7,8,9,25 111:12.23 112:24 113:4 119:2 123:10 124:14 126:4 135:15 137:2 146:20 148:2 159:23 164:4,9 165:12,22 166:1,5,8 169:3,12,18,24 170:5, 8,15,18,24 171:4,11 172:15 189:19 198:17 199:15 200:20 201:17 207:10 210:18 211:18, 21 212:7.12.24 214:16 215:10 220:22 232:10 244:20 245:5,9 247:6, 8 249:2 250:10 254:14.24 255:11.14 256:1 262:9 277:23

central 17:13 21:19, 23,24 22:7,14,15 28:10 29:19,23 42:20 43:7,10,17,21 44:2,7, 13 45:8,11,25 46:6,11, 23 47:2,7,13,16,19,23 48:2,3,7,8,24 49:6 51:1,16 52:5,7,11,24 54:11,12 55:8,10 56:19 64:15 73:25 82:22 83:1 86:5 91:3 93:25 108:16 114:7 115:2.22 120:9 144:16 147:12 162:23 172:2 178:10 183:25 186:17 188:23 221:13,15 227:5 264:24 265:7

cents 170:3

certainty 33:17,18 256:13 276:8

certificate 13:10 56:21,22,24 240:25

241:1 253:25

certificated 61:2

certificates 19:16 20:25 30:24 59:14 60:21,25 61:5

Chairman 27:3,4 28:2,16 29:3,11 37:6, 7,23 38:5,10,14,20,23, 25 39:2,4,12,21 49:10, 12,18,22 50:16 51:5, 24 57:6,7,23 58:5,10, 15 59:1 67:12 68:3,18, 21 69:4,18 70:12,19 72:2 76:15,16 118:7,8, 9 120:17 144:3 187:11 228:20,21,22,23 230:18 237:23 251:2,3 252:13,16 253:3,11 254:18 255:23 257:16 266:9,10,11 269:7,23 271:13,15 272:10,12, 14 273:16 275:11 276:22

Chairman's 230:24

chamber 159:18

chance 53:5 85:25 121:21 161:14 166:3 205:6

change 23:11,12 78:24 87:23 111:18 208:15 210:24 273:15 275:17

changed 24:7 149:1 216:14 254:2 275:16

Chapter 21:13 55:7,8

characterize 265:13

charge 24:5 87:9 151:1 232:21 263:4,5

charged 36:20 115:2 235:2 257:19

charging 33:20

Charles 168:9

cheaper 151:20

check 13:17 164:8

193:15 241:15

checkmark 182:17, 22 183:7

Chelsea 20:1 69:23 159:17 250:8 256:25

Chief 180:8

chlorination 185:4

chlorine 159:17 191:13 192:3,5,8 193:11 194:3,5

choice 57:22

choosing 30:10

chose 29:14 132:3

chronology 139:24

chunk 186:21

Cimarron 20:3 69:23 250:9.10

circuit 21:7,13 35:8 47:25 73:3,8,14,20 137:18 139:16

circumstance 223:9

circumstances

58:18

cite 203:15

cited 41:2

cites 225:17

City 85:5

claims 36:23

clarification 191:18, 21.22 239:17 243:22

clarified 30:2

clarify 29:18 76:16 78:4 105:17 117:15 157:1 216:12 217:4 250:21 270:19

clarifying 54:18

clarity 140:3 145:8 227:4

class 125:1

clean 73:9 127:14 134:6,13 135:5 206:22 208:13,14

clear 19:2,6 43:24 45:14 73:6,16,17 109:24 119:9,10,22 120:6,7,16 125:21 127:7,11 128:20 130:15,16 138:7 146:5 212:22 239:20 266:20 274:7,8

client 176:19 177:19

client's 178:6

clients 105:14 174:21,24 175:3 176:17 177:4,10,17,18 178:2 190:9

clock 154:17

close 69:7,9 86:9,16, 25 91:20 111:14 146:6,13 152:11 169:19 219:20

closed 121:4

closer 38:25 172:7

closing 24:2 76:2 146:10

cloud 109:4,5

CNN 227:9

Code 32:17 216:5

codified 48:24

coherent 49:9

coliform 182:14 191:11 192:15

collect 231:23

collecting 142:9 196:10

collection 192:2 193:16,18,24

collectively 43:1

column 189:1 191:13 192:3

combined 70:7 167:1

Comley 14:19,20 59:5,6 67:16 68:7,20, 24 69:6,20 70:16 80:9 84:7,8 92:5 96:11,19, 25 97:5 105:6 114:5 131:2 135:17 136:21 139:21 140:4,11 150:4 154:7 156:13 159:24, 25 166:12,15,17 171:19 189:20 203:5 204:8 210:19.20 213:23 232:11,12 233:8 240:24 244:21, 22 248:19 254:15,16 255:16 256:8 259:3 262:10 277:24

Comley's 80:18

comment 34:3 36:11 40:7 119:7 122:13 144:19 214:23 215:2

commented 195:18

comments 64:5,8,12 65:15 69:3,11 82:17 130:21 167:15 207:24 228:24

Commerce 216:13

commercial 89:16, 18,20 90:1

Commission 14:2.5 16:7,14,15,19,25 21:1 22:2 24:8 25:3,5,8,11, 13,18,22 26:3,12,20, 23,24,25 27:5,14 29:5 30:15,22 31:2,14,19 32:18,24 33:1,4,8,11, 15,24 34:21 35:5,13 36:15,20,24 37:1 38:5 40:21 41:2,6,10,14,18, 20,22 42:16,19 43:19, 21,25 44:25 45:3,16 47:18,24 48:8 49:4,20, 22,25 50:9 52:11,17 53:12,17 54:17,21 55:12,18,20 57:1,2 58:24 59:5 60:11,17 61:15 62:13 65:22 66:1,5 67:10,14 69:10

70:13 71:4 74:12 77:2 81:12,19 87:9 88:8,11 89:12 90:21 94:22 95:2,5,8 96:14 118:4,7 128:16,22 130:6 131:1,5 137:17 139:8 142:23 147:16,20,23, 24 148:17 151:5,16 153:22 154:1 161:6 175:21 187:11 193:4 200:12 206:1,2 210:10 211:8,16 212:17 213:4 216:12 218:4 220:1 228:19 229:1,5,6,9,20 230:7,14 233:14,19,25 234:10,17 236:22,23 237:4 238:1 239:24 240:4,21 251:1 252:1 255:18 257:6 263:18 266:9 275:17 278:1,

Commission's 23:9 24:13 25:1 27:25 151:22 207:25 277:12 279:19

15,17,23

Commissioner 29:7, 9,10,16 30:4 39:22,23, 24 40:6,13,18,25 48:20 50:4 52:1,2,20, 23 53:2,8,13,23 54:2 57:14 58:1,21 70:15 75:17,18,19,21 76:14 120:19,21,22 122:8,9, 10,11,12 123:2 125:11,13,15 128:14 131:12 135:16 142:20 187:12,13,15,16 230:20,22 252:11,14 253:9,10,22 254:17 257:2 260:18 273:18, 20,21,22 274:2 275:22

Commissioner's 236:7

Commissioners 70:18 106:23 140:2 236:8 253:5

Commissioners' 132:22 234:7

committed 60:2

committee 168:11,12

commonplace 259:8

communicated 249:12

community 94:13 131:14 133:15,19 137:5

commute 55:25

companies 22:15 44:3 55:17 61:2 93:25 147:10 151:10

company 13:9,16,21, 25 15:15 16:13 17:12 18:10,25 19:1,4,10,13, 18,20 20:14 21:5,13, 20,22 22:4,5,6,7,20 23:21 24:1,4,6,9,12,22 25:16,24,25 26:6,8,22 28:21 29:14,19 30:22, 25 31:4,8 32:2,3,14,22 33:18 34:2,15,20 35:11,19,22 36:3 37:3 38:2 39:9,18 40:8 42:22,23,25 44:2,5 45:19 46:7 47:3,6 48:6,13 49:2 50:22 59:17,23 60:9,15,19 61:1,12 62:15,23 63:2, 8,19 64:1,25 65:8,10, 14,19 66:3,7 67:13 69:8 70:8 71:15 72:8 74:1,24 79:13 81:1 82:5,8,24 86:9,10 87:1,9,10 90:12,13,25 91:1 92:1,7,13 93:24 94:2,4,25 105:17 108:3 111:13 113:19, 24 115:15,22 119:6 137:22 141:12 144:25 148:10,20,23 149:11 153:25 154:2 157:9 161:8 162:15,23 175:4 177:8 195:2 196:5 210:13 214:11,21 217:16 221:13 223:6 225:6 227:3,6,8,13,20 230:13,25 231:6 235:3,18 238:8 239:20 240:20 241:10,13

244:15 248:8,12,15 250:5,6 251:6 253:15 254:20,25 256:11,15, 19 257:10 262:23 263:5 267:5 268:4 271:4 273:1 274:4,17, 22,23 275:5 276:25 277:2

company's 21:9,15, 18 23:17 26:17,21 31:3,19 37:3 60:22 62:25 67:6 117:19 138:5 211:13 238:18 257:14 263:11,19 265:24 266:3 267:20, 21 268:9 273:1 275:4

compare 235:20 264:1

compared 23:19 27:12 218:21 272:24 276:7

comparison 27:10 62:6

compensated 65:3

competing 33:2,4 39:20 67:24 126:12 257:17,22

competitive 90:1 147:7 151:13

competitively 160:24

complete 185:25 252:8,10

completed 22:10 42:4 154:12 217:25

completely 112:13 162:18 195:9 266:15

completing 186:3

complex 64:25

compliance 20:17 111:5 114:1 115:13 124:10 163:15 165:18, 25 166:4,21 175:19 195:12 247:9 complicated 18:23 239:3

complimentary 168:17

comply 32:2

components 169:18

composite 273:5

computer 154:17

computerized 109:3

computes 261:24

conceptual 45:12

concern 40:10

concerned 57:10,12 64:13

concerns 32:7 256:20,21,23,24

concludes 76:22 204:23 242:13 259:22 279:13

conclusion 36:19 152:17 265:14

condition 23:10 25:15 204:5

conditions 25:23 26:1,23 33:19 34:6 72:6 110:7 215:19 219:21 245:2 247:3,20 253:24

condo 112:16,17 125:8

condominium 14:17, 21,25 15:9 59:6 66:25 91:22

condominiums 63:9,13,21

condos 64:23 112:8 177:17

conducted 24:18 31:5 142:14 247:5

confident 253:20

confidential 77:19, 23,24 78:1,10,15,18, 22 80:22,23 96:12,23 106:15,19,24 107:1,3, 7,20,22 206:6,9,14 209:11 236:3,5 263:13 269:12,16 270:5,9,20 271:2,4,19,20,21,25 272:8

confirm 91:13 162:3 165:2 213:20 247:18

confirmed 244:24

Confluence 22:6

confused 210:23

confusing 30:2

confusion 216:16

conjunction 25:23 34:21

connect 199:15,16

connected 20:7 172:22

connecting 255:3

connection 169:7,16 173:12 211:3 212:25 244:24 245:1 246:22

connections 19:25 20:1,2,3,4 168:23

consent 115:12 152:12 153:2,4,8 160:17 161:22 162:5, 8,13,16,22,24 200:15

consented 121:7

considerable 62:16

consideration 18:15 50:19,23 85:11 91:10 218:17

considerations 32:7 36:20 58:24

considered 39:9 45:11 48:22 61:15 62:8 75:3 88:20 89:10 133:18 156:18 210:14 211:14 212:16 219:3 225:5 271:3

considers 31:8 32:10 211:2,4 225:21 226:17

consistency 228:9

consistent 37:22 57:1 160:10 258:24

constitute 63:1

constitutional 23:2 34:9 48:4

construct 20:15 87:21 153:6

constructed 95:5 161:16 185:4 258:17

construction 22:10 87:20 112:19 132:16 133:7,8 147:5,11 153:5 184:22 215:13 246:18

consulting 66:11,12

consumer 71:18 72:5 75:1

consumers 109:15

consuming 195:19

consummate 86:18

contact 14:10 212:12 215:3

contacted 212:23

contacts 159:18

contained 80:1 156:4 209:1 243:11 261:5

contemplated 35:20

contest 204:1

contesting 203:22

context 236:14

contingency 163:7, 9,11

contingent 73:6 74:11

continually 119:5 236:19 259:18

continue 51:7 74:5

continued 26:19 47:13 59:19 105:13

continues 32:4 181:9 183:14

continuing 109:15 241:14

contract 40:17 48:12, 15 50:11 60:1,4,18 62:9 67:25 74:10 75:23 76:1,13,19 86:14,17,19 109:7 147:5 196:21 246:15

contractors 64:20 146:25 147:5,7 160:23

contracts 76:7 219:18

contrary 46:5

control 35:9 277:3

controverted 24:15

convenience 13:10 19:17 30:24 42:18 59:14 60:21

convenient 61:11

conveniently 43:4

conversation 78:5

conversations

142:16 143:4,6

conversely 254:23

Cooper 13:22,23
18:5,6,7,14 19:11,12
27:3,7 28:5,19 29:8,
15,22 30:3,12 31:12
32:11 38:25 39:3 62:2
77:2,11,12,17 78:11,
14,23 79:2,6,8 80:7
81:4,20,22 91:25 92:2,
3 106:11 138:11,20
140:22 145:13,15,20,
24,25 152:18 153:13,
17 154:10 155:2,4
166:12,14 209:24,25
210:16 231:1,3 232:8
235:4 237:10 244:9,

10,19 246:9 254:13 261:20,21 262:7 269:13 270:11,15 271:6,9 272:3,4 276:4, 5 277:21

copies 68:15 139:7 140:20 179:4 224:6

copy 109:25 131:18 134:6 135:5,22 140:15 158:4 166:9 179:7 180:20 182:1

corner 167:4,5

corporation 55:7

correct 41:21 55:13, 14,19 56:15 75:8 78:10,11 80:4 83:4 84:10,18,19 85:5,14, 16,19,20 86:7 87:5,10, 11 88:1,6,10,15,17,25 89:3,16,17,21 90:11, 14,17,22,23 91:17 92:1,8 93:3,13 94:3,5, 23 95:8 108:9 111:14, 17 112:17 113:19 115:24 116:4,13 119:19,21,25 121:20, 25 122:7 128:13 129:7,11,16,25 130:10,19 131:25 136:5,17,18 141:17 142:5,8,10,11 146:15, 17,18 147:11 148:23 149:15,16 150:7,11, 12,18 151:2,5,6,11,12, 14,15,18,20,21,24,25 152:2,5 156:7 157:4 159:5 162:3 164:5,18, 20 168:6,7 169:21 178:20 180:1,4,17,18, 21,22 181:2 182:2,15, 16,18,19,21,24 183:1, 9,12,13,24 184:7 185:6,10 186:16 187:8 188:4 189:14 193:25 194:1,4,18 209:10,13 210:7,11 211:20,23 212:6,9,10,21 213:7, 21 214:11,12,25 215:5 216:2 217:7,22 218:14

220:6,8 221:6,7,9,17,

18 222:11,15,19,20,24 223:14 224:20,21,23 225:2,16,17 226:15, 24,25 227:10,20,21 229:22 231:7,8,15,16, 20,21,24 232:6,7 233:16 236:22,25 237:1,25 239:22 244:15 245:6,9,14,24 248:3,5,10,11 253:17 254:22 255:6,7 258:10 262:5,6 263:1 265:22, 25 267:22,24 268:15, 16 269:3 274:15,16,20 277:6,9,14,19 278:20

corrections 167:16 206:11 208:25 243:7 244:3 261:1

correctly 91:15 126:6 128:5 180:25 182:5 184:20,24 185:9,12,22 186:1 221:23 226:19 253:13 265:2

correspondence 197:9

cost 24:12 37:10 43:17 44:6 45:2,4 50:20 51:4,12,15 56:4, 5 58:14 88:9 92:10,16 108:10 111:4,7 113:3, 7 148:1 151:14 160:4 163:8,16,19,20 164:18,19 168:4 169:16 170:4,8,22 171:4,6,8 172:24 213:9 231:13 245:24 246:1 251:5 252:8,10 254:19 255:3 261:23 266:1 275:9 276:17

costed 246:2

costs 37:17,21 55:22 57:8,12,25 64:19,21 88:13 90:5 125:19,25 160:2,6 161:7 163:2, 13 169:6 170:7 199:8, 11,19,20,25 233:20,23 236:11 258:3,6,8 264:2 counsel 14:7,9 26:14 42:14,18 43:5 48:3 49:3 55:2 60:23 69:21 118:2,4 125:24 143:23 178:24 179:5 190:16, 17 191:3,18 194:24 202:8 203:15 220:11, 22 222:4 237:5 250:17 255:20 264:11 265:21 278:3

Counsel's 43:12 210:12 238:6

Counselor 140:19

count 97:9 112:25 152:3

country 110:17

counts 91:19

County 19:22 21:6 47:25 61:6 65:22,24 71:2 113:9 137:18 168:10 180:7

couple 54:3 57:8 71:24 72:19 124:3 127:18 199:13 207:2 250:3

court 13:25 14:6,11, 23 21:7,13,17 23:1,8 24:19 27:19,23 28:2,7, 10,13,17 30:7 31:15, 23 35:8 39:14 41:8 42:7,19 47:25 49:24 54:20 67:18 68:5 73:3, 8,12,14,20,22 76:6 78:6 82:22 83:3 117:2 121:22 123:11 134:7, 13 135:6 137:18 139:16 141:7 172:9 205:21 229:2 243:1 260:12

courts 34:8 57:4

cover 93:20 97:1,3 127:17 137:20 162:22 184:9 206:15

covers 96:25

Cox 15:11 36:2 77:14, 17,21,23,25 79:3,7,10

80:10 81:5 82:2 84:9 96:13 105:7,14 107:25 111:20 115:20 132:18 135:18 137:1 140:12, 22 141:3 143:24 145:7 153:19 154:12 161:14, 18 170:7,17 198:12 219:13 223:14 234:24 235:6,16

Cox's 15:14 46:2,4 78:2,9 134:5 138:12 166:9 223:9 238:22 262:15

create 90:5 147:3

created 160:16 183:24,25 184:1,2 186:16,17 188:23,24

creation 61:3

credential 62:10

credibility 228:8

credible 39:15

creek 22:5 41:14 196:19,25

criteria 35:1,3 225:14 240:24 241:6,7

criterias 238:14

cross 193:15 203:13 204:1 209:21 244:6 261:17

cross-examination

16:1 18:1,12 81:5,9, 11,13,25 82:4 84:8 97:4 105:5,9,12,13 118:2 128:16,19 133:25 135:17 136:25 143:23 144:2 154:5 156:12 157:12,16 159:23,25 160:3 171:24 178:23 179:2 188:17 189:16,24 209:23,25 210:17,20 214:2 220:15 230:24 231:3 232:10,12 233:10,12 237:4 244:7,10,22 248:23 250:20 254:11,16

255:22 261:18,21 262:14 264:11,14 276:2,5 277:22,25

Crystal 85:5

CSR 180:21 216:14, 15

CSWR 29:25 79:12 91:3 105:18,19 148:23 149:14 155:12 161:21

cumulative 138:20 204:13

curious 266:13

current 38:10 47:3 50:16 57:24 58:2 63:22 83:24 88:18 150:16 204:5 215:12 244:14 246:17 248:3 249:9 250:4 259:17

customary 61:18

customer 32:5,7 62:25 64:19 69:8 91:16,21 92:7,12,13 93:12,18 112:25 152:3 207:20 208:8,23

customers 19:21,22 21:4,10 22:23 23:19 38:11 44:17,24 50:24 51:6,23 58:24 60:22 61:13 62:22 65:22 66:5,8,9 69:11 85:18 92:1,11 108:25 112:23 113:1 123:10 132:11 148:7 152:1 157:22 211:11 212:13 229:7 235:18 240:14 269:5

customers' 39:5

CWSR's 273:2

D

D-I-E-T-R-I-C-H 205:23

Darling 64:11

data 17:11 18:10 71:21 105:15 106:14

136:13 137:2 164:14 174:7 176:3 178:16 181:13 182:2 187:24 194:16 195:14 197:21 221:5,8 223:24 224:19 225:18 226:23 228:2

date 17:19 33:21 76:2 112:9 181:16 183:6 188:12,14 191:23 195:10 207:24

dated 166:23 167:2, 12 173:15 246:15

dates 129:6 130:24 131:1 149:6

David 34:3 66:11 133:20 165:21 242:20, 23 243:2

dawned 13:18

day 30:10 55:25 57:2 75:13 116:16 117:17 137:8 152:20,23 202:3,24 279:17

days 185:9

deal 27:22,23 169:16 255:11

dealing 55:6 127:21 148:6 161:20

Dean 13:22 19:11

death 57:19

debit 89:2

debt 24:10 44:6 64:17 89:14 90:5,6,9

debts 35:13 89:18

December 20:25 131:15 138:3 139:4,5, 15 272:25

decide 34:19 49:25 121:23 145:18

decided 40:22 73:15 151:5

decides 151:16

decision 16:8,17 36:25 53:14 122:16

151:23 238:25

decisions 20:14 41:11

deck 193:22

declared 34:8

decommissioning

169:10,17

deduce 275:7

deemed 57:10

deems 218:7 229:10

deep 113:9

deeply 64:13

default 47:10

defer 271:4

defers 190:17

deficiencies 75:8

definition 186:5

delay 15:22 18:2

50:18 51:13,22 58:10, 12,16 68:12 70:2 72:12 73:1 183:20

224:5,14

delaying 51:8

deleted 207:2,12,14, 22 208:18,20

deliberate 51:20

deliberation 57:20

deliberations 58:8

demanded 48:1

demonstrate 217:3

238:16

demonstrative 221:1

224:12

denied 26:7 27:14

34:10 48:14

denies 49:22 229:1

denote 193:13

denoted 186:9

denoting 183:8

density 93:8

deny 15:17,19 23:3,4 43:6,9 49:4,5 50:16

68:3 75:22 76:4

denying 75:15

department 17:18

32:5 87:19 112:10 124:25 131:16 132:2

133:16 153:3,9 165:24

177:22 180:1 184:22

216:13 254:3 263:25

depend 53:11 58:17,

19 93:22 96:24

depending 44:15

56:5 92:19 132:10

depends 56:18 93:11 108:11 171:9 196:7

241:25 245:18

deposit 74:4 86:15,18

depreciation 273:5

describes 20:11

describing 20:12

design 87:18,19 93:6

112:6 124:8,17,20 131:10,13 132:13

133:10,14 135:19

136:3,11 148:6,16

153:5 158:3 165:13

167:22 171:14

designated 271:1

designation 125:1

designed 22:9 133:6

141:9 258:17

designing 160:21

desperation 51:18

details 30:18 31:13 240:1 248:7 263:10,

12,17

determination 33:9

218:5 219:4 229:23

238:20 242:3

34:9 38:6 71:10 136:3 164:22 165:1 200:21 218:2 229:21 233:18

determine 23:2 25:20

240:2 256:1 258:6

263:18 270:3 278:23 279:7,23

determined 35:2

49:21 52:21 53:24

54:14 55:19,20 124:22

164:12 206:25 258:9

268:23.24 271:13

272:20 273:3 278:18.

21

determines 25:3.8

161:2

determining 27:5

41:16 55:12 67:14

75:14 229:7 255:24

257:19 268:18

detriment 23:13 26:14 43:8 61:25

detrimental 16:5

22:24 23:7,14,18 27:6,

12 31:9,21,22 33:6,13

34:11,16 37:4 40:24

41:16 43:14 51:7

57:22 61:22 67:15,16

83:21,24 84:2 214:7 218:20,23 229:8

240:8,13 241:24

257:24

detriments 67:5

240:11

develop 276:20

developing 198:20

development 155:14

device 245:12

devices 15:2

devoted 62:19

Dianne 64:22

Dietrich 31:10

205:16,18,22 206:5,7,

8 210:21 220:13,16,21

224:13 226:2 228:19

231:4 232:13 233:13 234:16 235:15 236:10 237:10 242:13 243:24 244:23 251:4 260:23 264:16 265:5

Dietrich's 20:11

difference 24:10 41:25 42:5 57:18 116:1 230:8,10,11

269:14

differential 144:14 251:5

differentiation

117:22

differently 61:23 178:1

differing 45:6

difficult 20:23 119:8

dig 234:20 237:22

digging 170:15

dilapidation 84:1

diligence 47:8 176:25

Dippell 13:2,5 14:2,7, 12,17,24 17:25 18:6, 11,20 27:2,15 29:5,9, 17 30:1,12 37:6 39:23 41:1,8,18 42:9,13 49:10 52:1 54:3,9,16 55:9,16 56:7,12 57:5 59:3,8 67:10,17 70:13, 16,19 75:16,18 76:15, 20,22 77:4,8,15 78:4, 12 79:5 80:14,19 81:6, 24 84:5 96:17,21 97:2, 6 105:3,8,22 106:14, 17,20,23 107:2,13,18 109:20,24 118:1,6

120:19 122:9 123:4,6

125:11 128:15 130:8,

133:2,13,24 134:6,15,

136:22 137:24 138:17,

143:19,22 145:12,14,

17,20,25 131:5,23

20,24 135:4,11,14

21 139:1,7,10,14

140:4,7,25 142:21

17.22 153:15.21 154:4,8,11,14,16,20 155:2,5 156:19,23 157:5,11 159:22 171:21 172:5,9 175:7 178:23 187:10,15,17, 18 188:15,20,22 189:15,19,21 190:15, 19 192:24 193:3,7 201:15,19,23 202:10 203:3,22 204:16,22,25 205:12 208:4,11 209:7,11,14,22 210:17 213:24 220:10,19 223:23 224:4,8 228:1, 10.18.21 230:23 232:9 233:9 234:4,8,14 235:12 236:4,6,17 237:3,7 242:12,17 243:16 244:7,20 248:20 250:16,25 253:7,23 254:10,14 255:17,20 256:5 259:21,24 260:8 261:11,18 262:8,11 264:10 266:8 269:10, 17,21,24 270:4,7,13, 17,23 271:8,12 272:3, 5 273:18,21 275:12, 21,23 277:22,25 278:3,5 279:12,15

Dippell's 152:7 189:23

dire 49:13 72:3,10,13

direct 16:8,10 17:2,3, 5 66:21 77:17,19,21, 22,24,25 78:6,9 79:8, 15 81:11,17 84:17 86:20 95:18,19,20 96:13 107:25 112:21, 22 113:16 138:12 140:2 147:24 155:8 157:2 160:5 171:2,3 172:22 187:4 204:18 205:19 206:5,13 209:7 236:11 242:24 243:24 260:10,22

directed 16:19 21:8 57:3

direction 266:15

directly 63:3 109:6 111:15 159:18 161:18

Director 206:2

Directors 63:20.23

disadvantages

245:14,15 259:11,12, 15,16

disagree 144:24 214:14 249:18 267:2

disallowances 276:17

discharge 196:1,2,6 258:18 259:18

disclosed 176:7

discrepancy 70:9 91:18

discretion 62:16

discuss 32:6 66:13 106:21 146:24 161:14 167:22 200:11

discussed 63:11,12 138:6 139:25 161:18 172:18 183:7 210:11 238:15 271:5

discusses 169:24

discussing 129:22

discussion 106:12 131:11 165:6 222:9 241:4

discussions 131:10 217:10.12

disinfection 92:25 95:24,25 96:3 110:20 123:21 170:18,23 194:6

dispense 185:14,21

dispose 127:6

dispute 49:14,15 93:4

disrepair 33:24 40:10 83:25 124:13

dissimilar 61:17

distinction 139:1

distressed 59:16 94:4,13 223:7,8 265:4

distribution 63:8 65:20 67:7 85:18

district 14:12,14 15:11 43:2 63:5,10,14, 15,16,18,20,21 64:1 65:8,16,24 66:3,7,13, 14,16,20 67:2 69:17 70:6,17,21 71:2,5,7 84:19,22,25 85:8,22 86:11 87:2 105:10 149:24 152:6 168:9,13 169:3 180:7 197:9 198:6 204:13 210:2,9 212:18 232:14,15

districts 61:6 65:21 232:19

divest 48:1,5

DNR 20:19 22:10 72:6,15 87:22 110:3,6, 15 136:16 146:23 152:22 157:25 161:1, 22 162:15 165:4 166:4,22 169:25 172:13,21 175:25 176:23 180:1,4,9 182:6,20,25 183:11 184:1,2,6,10 185:1,3, 24 188:2,7 189:6,12, 13 190:1,4,8 192:9 193:23 195:7 197:9 198:15 200:22 207:6, 10 208:17,19 215:18 236:24 246:15,25 247:2,5,12,19 249:14, 15,17,19,24 251:24 259:6

DNR's 180:20

docket 59:16 62:7 130:4,7,24 131:6 138:1 221:5

document 131:24 132:4 133:22 134:10, 12,16 153:9 156:24 166:25 173:20 191:16, 25 198:1,16 201:10 202:6,17 203:18 220:25 221:2 224:15, 17

documentation 82:9,14

documents 17:11,19
72:18 138:18 157:6
173:13 179:22 181:12,
14,15 183:16 187:8,23
192:12 194:10,15,16
197:20 198:19 203:14,
25 204:2

dollar 25:12 53:10,19, 20 213:13 240:4

dollars 146:13 169:5 170:3 231:22 234:2

door 97:12

DOT 200:2

double 13:17 47:15 109:14

doubt 219:15

dramatically 127:12

drill 113:9 124:21

drinking 22:13,16,18 32:18 92:23 94:9 180:8 193:25 194:3,5 247:9

drive 56:7

driving 165:5

due 20:14 47:7 83:25 94:13 176:25 196:22 275:8

duties 244:24

duty 23:11

Ε

e-mail 180:23

Eagle 20:5,6 69:23 159:12 203:8,9 250:8, 11

earlier 27:15 32:12 34:19 72:2 78:6 115:20 117:16 118:13 119:5,8 130:11 158:3 160:17 183:16 233:13 234:23 235:15 238:15 244:13 262:16 271:17

early 126:15 146:3

easement 200:2

east 85:4

easy 30:17

ecoli 96:5 158:24 182:15 191:11 192:15

economic 144:16,23

economy 62:19 93:9

edge 35:14

educate 143:12

effect 41:9 46:3 60:5 72:11 87:12 128:7 195:14,19 218:17 229:11 265:23 277:13, 18,20

effective 111:4 131:15 277:15

effectively 21:2 35:7 63:25

efficiency 55:22 56:4 62:19

efficient 43:17 44:20 45:1,3 51:4,12

efficiently 43:15

effluent 186:7 249:11,20 250:12 258:18

effort 47:7 213:20

elaborate 241:3

elaborating 62:16

elected 85:21,25

electronic 15:2 78:19 108:6 109:12 189:7 207:25

element 196:3 218:16 219:5

elements 39:19 67:19 216:19,23

elicit 16:9

eliminate 169:25 170:2,5

Ellsworth 14:13,14 17:9 18:4 70:18.19 75:20,21,25 76:18,21 80:17 96:24 105:11,13 106:22 107:11,15,21, 24 109:22 110:2 117:24 131:3 135:2 136:24,25 137:16 138:2,15,24 139:3,9, 13 140:6,9,10 141:2 142:25 143:2,18,21 156:21 171:23,24 172:5,8,11 175:9 178:21 187:20 189:22, 24 190:12 191:22 192:16 193:1,6 195:13,18 198:15 202:5 214:1,2 220:9 233:11,12 234:13,15 235:14 236:5,9,15,18 237:2 239:9,19 240:7 248:22,23 250:15 255:19 262:13,14 264:8 278:2

Elm 22:4 115:1,3,4,15 149:10,14,25

else's 176:14

embarrassment 107:17

emergency 167:23 168:22

emerging 66:18

emphasis 218:11

emphasize 62:5

employed 79:11,12 155:11 205:24 206:1 260:16,17

enact 50:11

enclosed 185:25

encourage 35:15

encumber 147:19

end 28:20 30:10 51:22 56:1 57:2 75:13 81:14 106:10 126:18 139:11 207:4,15 208:8,16,22 225:23 226:10 231:19 235:3 266:22

ended 141:15

endorsement 265:6

ends 148:15

energized 246:6

enforce 68:13

enforcement 94:11

engage 87:16

engineer 37:13 66:12 84:15 87:17 113:12 132:18 147:4 160:14 161:19 172:2,12 180:8 198:25 250:22

engineer's 165:16

engineering 82:8,11, 13 87:17,18 88:22 111:2 124:1 132:19,24 147:2 155:15 185:8 186:4 199:12

engineers 124:6

England 13:24

enjoys 61:3

ensure 26:3 57:16 108:15 163:16 245:22 258:21

ensuring 47:10

enter 127:2 153:1 243:17

entered 207:25

entertained 60:12

entire 17:5 40:23 69:8 93:23 108:18 227:17

entirety 78:18,22 183:22

entities 26:9 36:7 39:25 61:9 63:12 67:25 71:4 122:5 211:5,7,15,18 225:22 226:18 241:12

entitled 233:6

entity 19:7 26:17 33:11 34:23 36:9,22 50:10 60:6,7 90:16 121:3 130:13 211:16 213:4 219:1 229:5 230:6,14,16 231:12 233:23 241:7

entries 13:20

entry 14:20 167:15

equal 24:10

equipment 170:23

equity 91:6,7 146:16 150:23

equivalent 124:23,24 125:4 150:10 164:15

Eric 139:22 212:3,7

essentially 23:24 26:2 27:11 29:24 194:23 197:20 261:25

established 28:13

establishing 144:17, 23

estate 141:10

Estates 174:17,19

estimate 88:18,19,21 113:10,14,16 150:16, 23 163:8,9 164:19 165:1,19 171:6,12 200:18 213:9 252:8,10 254:24 262:22 273:14

estimated 52:4 87:25 111:7 124:7 160:2,3 161:7 269:11 270:24 272:17 273:15

estimates 37:10,15,

19 44:15 45:6.12.13 52:6,15,18 112:19 146:19 160:5,7,16 161:5 163:3,10,12,19 198:20 199:11,23 200:8,13 213:16,21 254:19 261:25 262:19, 25 263:2,3

et al 105:10

evaluate 214:4 269:6

evaluated 38:9

evaluating 112:2

evaluation 37:18 39:8

event 47:10

events 138:14

eventually 127:11

everytime 110:14

evidence 40:2 45:21, 24 46:2,5 67:4 70:5,6 72:13 73:21 74:13 80:8,25 81:2 82:9 135:7 139:20 156:11 157:10 193:8 209:18 224:1 228:14 243:18, 20 261:13,15

evidenced 32:21

evidencing 36:8

evidentiary 13:4

exact 94:20 108:11 111:19 114:21 126:8 129:6 144:19 166:25 189:12 190:6 200:1 214:15 222:10

examination 79:8 145:25 155:8 190:21 205:19 210:1 237:9 242:24 256:7 260:10 278:7

examined 26:9

exceed 163:3 172:19, 20

exceedance 173:2

178:13 196:23

exceeded 142:19 163:20

exceeding 250:1

exceeds 164:14

Excel 183:24 186:15

exception 68:16

excess 170:11

exchange 57:25 266:14

exclusively 63:7

excuse 83:9 90:15 171:19 177:9 212:14

excused 13:18,19 154:15 204:24 242:16 259:23 279:14

exempt 90:17 233:6

exercise 235:7

exhaust 94:8

exhibit 77:13,21,23, 25 78:2,7,20 80:10,24 133:12 134:19,21 135:7 137:25 139:2 155:21 156:4,11 157:1,2 164:2 173:13 178:1 201:14,16 203:2,4 206:4,6,7 209:5 221:2 223:20 224:1,13 227:23 228:2,14 243:5,15,17, 19,25 260:20 261:9, 12,14 263:14

exhibits 15:5 74:22 77:16 78:8 79:19 80:1. 8,22 81:1 137:17,23 138:25 139:17,19 157:9 177:24 203:20 209:15,17 260:24

existence 61:3 118:10,15 119:24

existing 33:20 56:19 93:16 115:3 123:18 132:15 133:7 169:17 241:1 258:16

exists 27:13 173:2

exited 97:11

expand 63:17

expansion 64:7

expect 89:25 113:9 136:16

expectation 163:12

expectations 163:2,

expecting 88:24

expense 169:9 246:5

expenses 169:2 233:21 276:15

expensive 200:4

experience 23:21 32:5 59:11 60:13 110:17 124:5,11 145:20 146:22 147:21, 24 148:9 151:9 162:7 163:18 164:23 246:3

experienced 137:9

expert 121:12 141:13 215:16

explain 29:20 31:10 37:14 38:3 42:19 44:23 121:10 133:13 137:25 195:2 275:16

explained 32:12

explaining 23:8

explains 35:3 228:11

explanation 227:15

explicit 47:5

exponentially 44:4

exposed 123:23

exposure 121:13 152:25

express 46:6

expression 61:25

expressions 40:14

extend 76:2

Extending 63:10

extension 180:13 184:23

extent 66:20 69:2

extra 125:6 224:9

extreme 40:10

F

face 70:7 71:19

facilities 20:18 22:9 23:23 62:19 63:9 159:9 160:9 161:20 177:1 188:7 199:24 200:15,17 201:4,22 202:19,20,24 203:13 204:6 215:8 231:6 244:15

facility 159:10,11 160:18 170:6 197:15 215:10

fact 16:16 17:21 28:9 31:22 41:7 75:10,25 76:1 94:11 124:3 127:10 146:21 169:23 175:12 187:3 213:16 235:9 266:18 267:8, 10,13 270:5

factor 28:17 42:8 67:23 68:1 151:25

factors 25:20 62:17, 18 74:14 75:14 151:8 229:12 233:14,20,22 237:25 238:2 273:15

facts 25:13 32:13.22 35:1 267:23

fail 56:10

failed 40:1,6,9 59:18 110:11 184:21

failing 44:3 46:8 110:9.10 123:21 265:19

failure 20:15 196:20

fair 17:23 54:23 57:19 69:15 91:23 92:10 117:24 141:8 143:18 163:18 178:9 213:8 216:21,25 223:4 227:18 264:19

fairly 68:14 251:7

fall 126:15

fallback 122:19

familiar 56:1 85:7 86:13 121:11 129:18, 20 158:2,5 212:1 222:11 245:12 263:15

Fane 116:19,20 117:2 139:23 140:13 206:17, 19 219:18

Fannie 94:11

fast 127:23 162:2

faucet 193:21

favor 45:15

favored 65:16

feature 162:5

features 162:16

February 166:23 167:3

fecal 94:9 182:14 191:11 192:15

federal 82:22 83:3 86:4 116:8 117:5 126:14,21

feeds 109:3

feel 64:22 253:4,20 273:25

feet 63:15

fight 73:13

fighting 73:12

figure 138:7

11gare 150.7

figured 112:11,13 123:12

figures 261:23

file 15:21 16:19,23 17:19 60:9 65:15 66:2, 6 149:25 175:19 246:11

filed 15:9,13,15,24 21:13 33:12 40:20 43:21 60:10 64:5 74:9 80:21 95:7 128:25 138:3,9 144:6 150:6 156:15,25 175:2,22 176:9 186:25 191:19 203:20,21 206:18,22 217:4 219:2 222:13 248:24

files 131:6 178:4 189:7,12

filing 34:7 78:19 80:15 130:24 138:1 174:21 175:10 186:4 189:6 207:25

filter 258:14,16

final 15:25 47:15 82:11 87:17,18 88:22 92:19 93:22 111:2 129:13,19,21 130:1,3 144:15 147:2 262:4 276:16 277:16

finalize 55:2 124:1

finally 65:6 248:6 279:2

finance 151:1 217:23

financed 105:16

financial 22:21 26:10 32:11,15,20 74:20,21 210:4 216:20,25 217:9,14,19,22 264:1

financing 44:16 82:6 90:17 146:4,6,9 147:15,18,23,25 151:20,24 236:11 263:24 264:5

financings 147:14,22

find 25:14 30:17 31:22 48:2 113:22 135:20

140:17 163:5 173:25 181:15,22 212:13,24 214:15,17 217:8 224:6 237:22 246:11

finding 26:24 35:5 170:14 219:9 247:19

findings 184:16

fine 72:21 77:16 107:12 114:12 201:21

finish 30:3 279:18

finishes 266:15

firm 13:23 84:2

fish 70:24 106:24

fit 48:5 53:18

fixes 123:24

flagged 134:12

fleshed 252:21

floc 196:24

flow 112:19 125:1,4,9 136:10 137:1,2 164:14 172:20 200:21

focus 27:16 28:1 65:4

focused 30:18

follow 41:22 46:18,20 57:8 76:12 81:7 184:18 189:22 214:3 253:11

for-profit 90:16

force 60:5

forced 86:18 130:15

forecasts 160:6

foreclosed 51:2

forecloses 43:15

forest 30:16,20

forestalls 50:22

Forgive 86:22 89:4 173:20 210:22

forgot 81:7 153:18 273:23

form 71:9 79:16 146:16 155:18 159:19 183:6 235:4

forma 150:6 161:10

format 189:11

formed 47:2 84:10

forms 191:19

forward 45:7 50:5,18 107:8 127:23 138:10 240:3

foster 45:3

fought 73:10

found 141:7 160:20 176:7 247:8 270:8

foundation 132:17 192:17 202:7.11

frame 35:18 51:21 118:18 153:4 161:15 274:21

frames 130:11

Freddie 94:12

free 43:25 53:17 57:3 168:25

Friday 16:23

front 62:4 110:1 111:19 131:25 140:15, 23 173:6 179:8 180:3 198:12,20,23 226:3 237:20 273:9

full 37:18 141:4 186:22 208:19,22 226:10 246:13

fully 176:7

function 56:3

future 24:8 26:3 33:16 38:6 44:10,24 46:9 52:9 58:8,13 64:2 69:17 91:10 93:19 110:20 111:6 112:9 147:13,15 152:4 265:20 278:24 279:8 G

Gary 127:17

gates 124:21

gather 74:13

gathered 259:24

gathering 196:14

gave 130:11 174:9,15 175:1,14,23,24 176:5, 19 188:7 223:5 224:8

general 25:6 74:14 94:10 95:12 107:18 124:9 190:3 220:7 227:15

generally 34:2 37:9, 20 42:21 146:20 237:15 258:3

generate 196:5 197:25

generated 197:10

generation 168:22

generator 167:23 168:4

generators 168:16

geotag 108:19

get all 163:15

give 16:24 17:1 28:3 51:16,17 55:22 58:20 73:15,17 74:7 76:23 78:23 86:18 93:7 113:20 118:18 135:5 178:16 201:19 252:5

giving 73:6 119:10 176:20

Glade 20:6,9

Glasgow 32:4 279:21

Glen 14:17,21 15:9 19:24 44:17 59:4,6 62:24 63:6,11,13,16, 19,23,25 64:4,14,23 65:12 66:10,15,17,19 68:23 69:10.22 70:10 72:7,21 80:11 84:6 91:12,17 92:6,11,18, 20 93:14,21 95:24 105:5 110:4,7,9 111:1, 12,23 112:24 113:4 119:2 123:10 124:14 126:4 135:15 137:2 146:20 148:2 159:23 164:5,9 165:12,22 166:1,5,8 169:13,18 170:6,8,15,18,24 171:5.11 172:16 189:19 198:17 200:20 201:17 207:11 210:18 211:19.22 212:8.13.24 214:16 215:10 220:22 232:10 244:20 245:9 247:6,8 249:2 250:10 254:14,24 255:11,14 256:1 262:9 277:23

Glen's 66:24 169:3 245:5

gmail 180:24

Golden 20:6,9

good 13:3 14:8,13 16:17 19:11,18 42:15 50:3 56:9 58:21 68:2 70:18 75:19,20 82:1,3 125:7 143:24 144:1 155:6 157:13,15 178:25 179:1 220:13, 14 242:22 250:18,19 252:25 264:12,13 273:14 279:16

goodness 179:10

Gosh 196:13

Goss 184:5

governed 232:15

government 46:20

governmental 232:24

grade 116:8

grand 74:4 76:3

grant 25:5 39:19 49:25 51:1 73:9 91:5

granted 45:19 56:20 74:11 75:23 121:18 122:16,18 165:2 180:13

grasp 67:18 183:20

great 13:16 14:25 46:17 113:23

greater 240:14

greatest 63:1,2

ground 52:14 124:15 172:4 257:1

groundwater 92:24

group 75:23,24 92:21 143:17

growing 61:8

GT 56:1

guaranteed 75:1

guarantees 65:11

guess 57:9 83:11 89:7 107:18 121:22 123:9 134:24 138:12 148:2,10 150:9 159:16 173:10 174:22 175:12 178:6 200:11,25 201:17 241:25 264:19 277:3

guidance 33:2 266:23 276:25 278:14

guideline 160:11 168:22

guidelines 93:6 112:15 133:18 160:15 165:1

guides 112:6

guy 70:23

guys 71:13 74:13 75:13 83:8

Н

half 62:21 92:7 260:1

halfway 226:21

Hall 14:8 42:15,17 49:14,20 50:3,19 51:9 52:7,22 53:7,11,17 54:1,7,11,23 55:14,20 56:9,16 57:13 58:1,7, 12,17 59:3 106:16,18 118:3 131:4 132:17,24 135:3 140:19,24 143:24 144:2 145:11 152:16 178:25 179:2 187:9 190:17 191:17 203:15 220:12,15,18, 20 223:19 224:3,5,10, 11 227:22 228:8,16 237:6 238:5 241:5 250:18,20,24 255:21, 22 256:4 264:12,14 266:7 270:1 271:16 278:4

Hall's 153:16

hand 131:18,21 183:21 245:22

handed 19:18 158:3 220:25 221:3 224:15

handle 18:19

happen 70:11 142:2 163:5 219:24

happened 35:25 212:12 235:5 277:17

happy 37:5 96:20

hard 173:24

harder 201:11

harm 51:15 62:17 68:2 72:4,16 74:16 240:14 263:8

haste 50:13

hate 153:17

head 38:13 94:18,21 111:10 126:23 273:25

health 51:14 72:16 158:21 256:20

healthy 245:22

hear 19:24 64:10 74:12 97:8 172:10 235:1 262:18,21

heard 97:12 119:5 120:24,25 121:1 124:23 164:12 222:3,6 250:2 253:12 262:20, 25

hearing 13:4,12,14, 19 15:22 16:22 18:2 19:15 48:11 49:25 71:13 97:13 137:20 139:12 142:18 143:5 221:25 233:18 261:12 279:25

hearings 70:24

Heights 169:24

helpful 140:21

Henry 180:6

Hepler 167:7,8

Hernandez 13:23 155:4,5,6,8 156:10 157:4 175:6 190:20,21 191:24 193:10 201:9, 13,18,21,24 202:12,13 203:1,10,25 204:20

hey 120:14 121:6 124:6

high 20:13 44:6 150:25 254:21

higher 42:7 71:20 235:23,25

highest 151:2

highlighted 132:4,7, 8 134:9,12 135:22 247:23

highly 45:22 245:17 270:8 271:4

Highway 20:4

Hillcrest 22:3 94:1,6, 16,22 95:2,5 114:5,19 148:19 149:1

Hills 22:4,5 115:1,3,4, 16 149:10,14 150:1

273:2

hire 125:22

historical 136:13 178:3

historically 33:23 44:5 59:16

history 36:6 44:2 46:7 55:10,16 72:23 137:11

hit 258:20

HOA 173:15,19 174:6

hold 76:6 96:18 134:15

holding 47:3 183:21

home 137:5

homeowners 69:1 212:24

homes 20:7,8,9 94:12

honestly 55:4 56:1

Honor 13:22 17:9
54:7,11,24 56:17 80:7,
9 81:4 96:11 105:6,11
107:11 128:18 131:4
133:11 136:24 139:3,
21 140:24 145:16,24
154:10 156:10,13
159:24 209:24 210:19
214:1 220:12,18
223:19 224:3 227:23
233:11 244:9 254:13
256:6 261:20 271:16
276:4 278:6

hook 68:8 76:10

hopes 26:7

hoping 74:12

hour 63:24 145:18 205:1

housing 125:1

Hulett 63:22 66:23 69:2

hundred 46:13

hundreds 191:19

hydromatic 124:19

hypothetical 235:24

- 1

idea 110:15

identical 29:23 68:14,

identification 79:20 134:21 155:22,23 201:14,16

identified 23:17 26:5 27:23 150:14 206:14 226:23

ignore 43:22 268:5,6

ignoring 43:19

II 185:12,18,19,20

illness 158:25

illustrative 64:9

imagine 53:20

immediacy 58:7

immediately 51:19 74:9 123:17,19 152:9, 13

imminent 51:14 72:16

impact 42:3 67:20 146:22,23 151:13 229:12,14 230:9 235:10 237:24 268:8

impacts 52:19 229:7

impaired 24:21

impending 57:19

implemented 31:2 34:22 39:17 42:7

important 16:6 23:4, 5 36:22 194:5 229:10

importantly 161:19

impose 25:23 166:12

impression 30:9

improve 75:8

improvements 24:24 33:16 34:1 37:1,9,11, 17,21,22 42:4 72:1 75:4,8 82:10,12,14,17, 19 87:13,23 88:7,9,10, 16 92:20 93:11,23 95:4 108:2 111:12 113:4,18 114:2 124:2 132:15 150:14,17 157:17,24 158:7 160:4.6 161:7.15.25 163:13,15 165:17 171:4 213:10 214:18 217:24 218:3,5,7 219:1 229:18,23 230:11 239:11 241:22 242:6 251:6,10 254:20,25 255:10,13 256:9,10,14,18 258:23 276:16

in-camera 96:15 97:9,14 98:1 99:1 100:1 101:1 102:1 103:1 104:1 105:23 106:10

in-house 172:2 273:7

inadequate 179:14

inadvertently 207:22

inappropriate 278:24

incentive 25:4,6 35:16 39:13 42:6 43:10 44:9,14 45:18, 21,23 46:1 48:16,18, 22,23 49:1,6 52:8 53:1,15 89:2,3 120:11, 15 144:4,11 216:5,8 217:6 219:7,12,14 220:2,23 221:17 222:8,19,24 223:12 231:18 236:2 238:9, 12,19,25 239:6,19,21 265:1,9,15 267:7,14 268:11,21 272:16,18 278:12,17,24

incentives 217:6 219:21 221:23 270:18 275:15

incentivize 46:18

incident 23:5

include 22:3 42:10 113:3,13,15 144:15 150:19 151:23 164:17, 19 217:19 218:6,24

included 22:17 32:1, 17 36:5 113:16 150:20 170:22 171:12 172:24 192:13 198:8,21 204:2,4 217:11 219:10 278:9

includes 19:23 33:20 138:13 150:22,25 217:5

including 118:14

inclusion 237:14 248:9

incomplete 255:2

inconsistent 248:2 249:8

incorporated 133:17

incorrect 105:19

increase 36:25 38:15, 24 39:8 42:2 65:1 71:23 88:9,23 95:3,6 115:4 149:14 218:18 269:11 270:24 272:17

increased 70:2 149:9

increases 33:16 41:23 65:11 70:7 114:14

increasing 39:5

incredibly 239:3

incumbent 45:2

incur 45:23 89:15 125:19

incurred 90:6

independent 61:3 110:11 239:4 250:13 independently 61:4 213:9 219:16 259:5

Indian 22:5 273:2

indicating 21:2 191:15 203:13

indication 68:18 248:12,16 266:20

indirectly 62:21 68:25

individual 90:3,4 145:3 161:11 162:25

individually 183:18

industry 164:23

inexpensive 245:25

infer 125:2,10

inflate 203:19

influence 93:9

information 14:5,10, 16 16:16,20 17:2,4,7 25:19 80:5 81:16 136:15 156:8 165:3 173:1,4 174:8,13,14 175:18,25 176:5 181:12 195:20 202:15 208:1 218:7 229:11, 17,21,24 233:17,24 252:6

infusion 91:2,4,6,7 146:14

infusions 90:25

initial 15:1 47:15 118:14 120:1 121:2 122:24 125:16 126:16 127:25 144:14 149:21 164:19 225:1,11,13

initially 47:19 126:24 142:1 164:17 274:7,9

inside 92:21 123:21 152:1

insight 133:21

insist 23:10

inspected 245:2,5

inspection 110:3,6 165:25 166:4,22 181:1,6 185:5 215:18 247:2,6,10,12,19

inspections 215:7 245:1

inspector 166:22

install 110:25

installation 170:23

installations 160:23

installed 185:4

installing 110:22

instance 40:20,22 65:25 151:19 158:25 239:15 240:16

instances 183:11

instilled 33:8

Insurance 216:13

intend 96:25

intended 113:18,19

intending 72:1 153:25

intends 87:9

interconnecting

169:23 170:10

interconnection 66:17 169:2,8,9 170:4,

11,14 199:8,11,19,20, 25 255:5

interconnections

251:9

interest 16:5,6 23:13, 19 25:4,15 26:15 27:1, 6 31:9,21,23 33:13 34:17 37:4 40:14,24 41:17 43:8,13,14 50:23,24 52:12 54:14 61:23 62:17 64:24 67:1 74:15,24 75:12, 15 83:17,19,22 90:10 214:7 217:19 218:16, 20 240:9 241:24 257:24

interested 266:25 interesting 56:16 71:3 267:16

interests 21:10 52:13 229:8

interim 161:24 277:3

internally 148:12

interpretation 245:10

interpreting 180:25

interrupt 140:19

intervened 61:9

intervenors 16:9 39:14

interviewed 167:8

intimately 28:6 50:7

introductions 42:16

invest 113:24

investigated 259:4

investigation 31:5 34:4 225:15

investment 23:22 24:23 88:16,24 91:9

investments 22:11, 18 52:10 91:11

investors 64:17 65:3

invite 44:22 50:20 58:22

invoices 263:20 265:25

involved 28:6 50:7, 21,25 55:5 58:18 62:17 66:4,8 72:24 85:14 126:25 127:1 163:9

involving 13:13

ironic 48:2

issue 16:10 32:8 33:6 34:18 36:25 52:25 53:18 66:19 67:22

73:14 93:1 125:20 127:6 168:24 266:4

issued 21:1,17 27:23 60:17 131:15

issues 16:1,6 20:17 71:3 110:21 123:18 187:5 203:12,23 204:1 254:2,4 279:22

item 89:11 166:24 167:9

items 160:20 165:6 179:18 200:18 279:7

J

Jaafari 180:8,13

James 36:13

January 34:22 119:20,24 120:13 274:13,20 277:15

JC-13C 78:1

JC-2C 77:22

JC-3C 77:24

JC-7 138:13

JC-J3 263:14

JC-S3 166:18 167:1

Jennifer 13:23

Jill 116:16 117:1

Jim 167:7,8 221:10 224:24 275:19

job 20:12 26:3

Johnson 14:3 40:19 139:23 141:5 212:3 228:4 269:20

Johnson's 212:7

join 80:17 156:22

joined 47:20 59:13 122:6

joins 44:19

joint 16:3 28:23 42:25

44:11.19 47:12.22 48:11 50:1,7,9 54:4 55:1 59:25 60:4 62:11 63:4,7 68:5,6,8 70:20 80:17 82:16 83:7,9 86:6,12 105:11 117:11 136:23 139:19 156:19, 22 157:18 158:8 171:22 174:1,4 189:21 213:25 225:7 228:25 229:2,15,25 230:15 231:5,15,25 232:1 233:10 235:21 236:19 248:21 251:6,10 253:18 255:13,17,25 257:3 262:11 263:3.12 265:22 268:12 269:5 276:7,19 278:1

Josiah 15:11,14 36:2 46:2,4 77:21 79:3,7,10 198:12 223:9

judge 13:2,6 14:2,7,8, 12.17.19.24 17:25 18:6,7,11,20 20:22 27:2,15 29:5,9,17 30:1,12 37:6 39:23 41:1,8,18 42:9,13 49:10 52:1 54:3,9,16 55:9,16 56:7,12 57:5 59:3,8,18 67:10,17 70:13,16,19 73:15,17 75:16,18 76:15,20,22 77:4,8,12,15 78:4,12 79:2,5 80:14,19 81:6, 24 82:1 84:5,7 96:17, 21 97:2,6 105:3,8,21 106:11,14,17,20,23 107:2,13,18 109:20,24 115:21 116:5,21,24 117:5,16 118:1,6 120:19 122:9 123:4,6 125:11,14 128:15 130:8,17,20,25 131:5, 21,23 133:2,13,24 134:6,15,20,24 135:4, 11,14 136:22 137:24 138:11,17,21 139:1,7, 10,14 140:4,7,25 142:21 143:19,22 145:12,14,17,22 152:7 153:14,15,21 154:4,8,

11.14.16.20 155:2.5 156:19,21,23 157:5,11 159:22 171:21 172:5,9 175:7 178:23 187:10, 15,17,18 188:15,20,22 189:15,19,21,22 190:15,19 192:24 193:3,7 201:15,19,23 202:5,10 203:3,22 204:16,22,25 205:12 208:4,11 209:7,11,14, 22 210:17 213:24 220:10.19 223:23 224:4,8 227:19 228:1, 4,10,18,21 230:23 232:9 233:9 234:4.8. 14 235:12 236:4,6,17 237:3,7 242:12,17 243:16 244:7,20 248:20 250:16,25 253:7,23 254:10,14,15 255:17,20 256:5,17 259:21,24 260:8 261:11,18 262:8,11 264:10 266:8 269:8, 10,17,21,24 270:4,7, 13,17,23 271:8,12 272:3,5 273:16,18,21

judgment 73:7

20

judgments 73:10,18 119:12 127:8

275:12,21,23 277:22,

25 278:3,5 279:12,15,

July 137:8 249:10

jump 181:24 197:2

June 66:1 182:12 246:15

justifiably 61:10

justification 136:16 248:8

Κ

KCP&L 69:13

Kelly 184:5

Ken 63:21 66:23

Kenney 29:7 39:22 52:1,2,20 53:2,8,13,23 54:2 70:15 75:17,18, 19 76:14 120:19,21,22 122:8 187:12,13 230:20 273:19,20

Kenney's 122:12

Kentucky 46:12

Kerri 44:22 50:15

killed 179:5

Kim 36:11 38:3 46:4 222:11 223:1,15 260:6 261:9

Kimberly 260:9,13

kind 19:19 40:12 48:2 72:9 90:17 108:23 123:23 124:19 138:15 145:5 146:5 147:8 160:2 162:22 170:23 171:11 179:17 231:11 266:14 273:23 278:9

Kinderhook 199:18

kinds 41:11

KK 20:5 65:2

knew 120:11,12 128:1,9 134:10 211:21 212:11 219:19

knowing 121:15

knowledge 80:5 82:12 114:12 141:20 142:13 156:8 210:13 244:15

Krehbiel 66:11 93:2 165:16,23 169:4,24

Krehbiel's 165:20 169:6

L

L-O-W-S 215:11

lab 245:21

Labor 137:8

laboratory 180:17

lack 123:22 132:17 196:22

lacks 164:5,8

lagoon 94:14

lagoons 94:10

laid 30:19 31:11,15

lake 14:15 15:11 43:3 66:6 70:16,21 71:7 83:8 87:2 137:5 144:17,23 158:19 159:1,2,19 166:6 175:13 176:3 188:8 197:7,14 204:12 210:3 212:19 215:3,11 244:13 246:16 249:1, 9,16

land 258:16

language 56:25 140:18 207:6,14 208:17,21 214:15,17 225:12,17,20

large 171:14 175:18

larger 230:11

late 18:10 28:12 29:14 70:23 121:4,23 126:16 128:1,3 141:20,21 142:10,13 145:23 205:7

law 13:6,23 30:15 36:21 43:24 61:4 80:13 241:15 247:9

lawsuits 127:8

LAWWA 210:10

lay 202:10

laying 200:3

leave 15:21 30:9 47:21 96:19 97:10

led 28:8 33:24 34:7

left 57:21 227:11

legal 117:12 152:16

leisure 140:2

lender 217:20

lenders 89:23 90:3.4

lenders' 89:25

length 24:16 216:22 217:1,14

lengthy 134:22

lens 61:24

letter 139:22,25 140:3,11,13,20,23 141:4 179:25 180:6,14 184:5 206:16,17,19 207:17 212:3,7 219:17 246:14,21,24 249:10, 11,13,15,16,24

letterhead 180:1,4 184:7

letters 175:19 189:2 197:9 259:5

level 20:13 24:23 44:4 49:17 57:24 58:2 86:4 192:5 193:12 194:2

levels 57:16 71:14 74:25

leverage 53:21

liable 152:13

libertarian 46:19

licensed 160:13

light 267:23

likelihood 267:6

likes 114:15.17

Likewise 138:5

limit 15:16 186:7

limitations 249:11,20

limited 121:12

limits 215:13 246:17 250:1 258:18,22 259:18

limp 72:9

lined 207:9 226:9 270:14,15,20 272:6

lines 168:19 169:1 200:3 223:6 249:6 256:21 264:23

liquidate 21:8

liquidated 21:15

list 52:4 114:1 197:14 279:21

listed 113:18 194:13 254:6 270:22

live 69:9 71:6,16

living 52:14

LLC 29:25 79:12 84:10,12 91:3 105:19 181:7

load 64:17

loan 74:21,22,24 75:1 90:3 91:5 217:20

loans 264:4

local 64:18,20,24 65:9,10,17 147:5,7

located 85:4 91:22 189:12 272:6,8

location 66:14 193:23

long 16:14 38:14 49:23 50:4 68:19 73:13 76:25 148:25 162:5 204:18 266:23 276:25

long-named 18:24

longer 272:8

looked 217:13 242:2 251:14 263:16 269:15

lose 30:16,19

loser 71:18

loss 108:25

lost 56:5 164:1 227:7

lot 30:11 36:19 134:10 162:1 171:25 176:12

179:18 189:2

Louis 109:2

Louisiana 46:12

Lovely 132:2

low 165:19 255:14

lower 38:6 167:4,5 266:19 274:7,15,16 275:1

lowest 163:16

LOWS 215:11 248:25 249:3,15,19

Luckily 272:12

lunch 145:18,23 154:16,22

М

Mac 94:12

made 17:17 23:12 27:8 41:6 50:14 52:10, 13 58:4 81:2 82:10 88:7,10 91:11 118:25 119:7 123:11 126:16 127:25 129:9 130:24 135:8 139:20 157:10 208:13 209:18 214:10 218:12 224:2 228:15, 24 230:12 239:23 243:20 248:2 249:8,19 255:13 257:18 261:15 274:18

Mae 94:11

Maher 180:7

maintain 20:16 113:25 123:25

maintained 178:3

maintaining 175:4 195:3

maintenance 108:24 109:4

major 153:11

majority 186:25

make 16:17 18:8 19:2 53:18 57:24 65:2 72:1 79:23 91:14 107:2,3,6, 7 108:2,21 109:14 113:18,19,25 117:23 122:21 123:19,25 138:12 153:7 156:1 160:20 173:11 179:17 190:1,2 206:11 207:1 212:22 216:11,21 217:25 218:5,8,12 219:4,9 229:22 232:5, 19 238:20 239:12 243:8 244:3 253:19 261:1 269:25 272:1,2, 8 274:22

makes 218:22

makeup 93:23

making 16:7 30:19 41:11 55:10 126:11 175:3 195:11 242:2 264:2,3

management 20:15 23:22 109:4 215:8

manager 29:24

managerial 22:21 26:10 32:11,15,20 210:4

manages 85:22

managing 63:11

manner 85:7

map 252:25 253:15

mark 14:3,20 59:6 77:20 134:18,20 137:17,23 138:22 139:4,5 201:13,15

marked 78:9 79:19 106:15,18 107:1 137:24 138:10 155:21 182:20,25 183:11 206:4,17,18 243:4,25 260:20,23

market 89:16,19,20

markets 90:1

Martiny 41:14

master 136:18

match 74:3,5 78:25 121:14 275:8

matched 62:11 86:5

matching 47:11,14 126:12

materially 24:21

math 88:15 150:5 187:7

mathematical 235:6, 16

matter 13:7,8 32:23 57:20 59:7 82:15 94:9 145:4 223:8 228:7 260:20.24 268:17

matters 49:8 66:13 145:1

maximize 141:9,11

MBBE 110:22

MBBR 110:24 171:6,8 246:1 247:22,25 248:9 249:7 258:21,23 259:10

MCL 182:22 183:9

MDNR 114:1

meaning 217:15 231:25

means 163:16 164:13 193:12

meant 90:15

measurable 279:7

mechanics 272:19

media 110:10

medium 171:14

meet 110:15 124:8 133:10 152:22 157:25 158:8 160:15 215:8,12 246:17 249:20 258:18,

20,22

meeting 259:18

meets 35:2 39:18 57:17 249:11

member 168:13 212:23 222:13 245:4

members 71:9 90:25 212:2

memo 246:23 248:4 269:20 272:16

memorable 129:1

memorandum

206:23,25 207:3,18 208:2,14 210:22 213:6,17 225:1,19 228:12 246:19 247:4

memorized 175:24

mention 61:7 72:25 214:9

mentioned 37:8 52:3 53:3 57:8 59:8 64:4 66:23 68:25 160:16,22 176:25 193:16 195:17 196:17 201:3,25 237:24 244:12,13 245:7 251:4 268:12

mentions 206:16

mere 194:24

met 32:15,20

met all 217:16

meter 136:19

methodology 136:7

microbiological 182:5

microphone 172:6

Microsoft 183:24

middle 94:7

million 22:11,18 35:13 44:8 74:22 88:17 111:17,19 234:2

mind 48:11 49:3 57:17 69:22 71:17

178:15 179:19

minimum 93:6 112:6 121:9 124:8,17,20 131:10,13 132:13 133:10,14 135:19 158:2 160:11,15 165:1,13

Minnow 173:15,18 174:6

minute 86:22 171:19

minutes 18:18 76:25 77:4 205:1 279:15

mispronounce 180:12

misreading 165:20

Missouri 14:4,15 15:12 17:18 19:23 22:1,9,10,16,17,25 31:15 43:2,24 46:11 70:22 71:8 84:21 85:5 87:3,19 93:5 112:10 124:8,25 131:14,16 133:15,16,20 149:21, 22 153:2 160:13 161:1,22 165:4,24 166:3,6 168:14,21 175:13 176:4 177:22 184:6 188:7,9 189:6, 12 194:17 197:8 198:5 204:11 210:2,6 212:19 236:24.25 254:3 260:17

Missouri's 34:7 51:23

Missouri-american 40:20 47:12 83:15

mistaken 38:17

mitigate 152:24

MO 70:17

model 46:6,16,19

models 46:17 56:1

modern 110:15

Molokai 174:17,19

moment 86:21 95:19

money 29:1 51:17 65:2 72:8 90:19 232:5

monitoring 108:5,6, 7,8,14,15,21 109:1,5, 8,9,11,12 123:22 182:18 183:5,8 191:2, 6 196:1,2,6

month 129:4

monthly 136:19 196:8,11

months 33:21 88:5 124:3 162:9,12 200:17

morning 13:3 14:8,13 19:11,13 42:15 70:18 75:19,20 82:1,3 205:8

mortgages 94:12

motion 15:9,14,20 17:10 27:8,15 80:11, 15,18,20 156:14,15, 17,20,22,23,25 272:1

motions 15:8,13,17 43:21 138:14

mouth 223:5 253:13

move 50:5 107:16 172:7 223:20 227:23

moved 46:11 216:12

moving 50:18 110:24 136:12 171:3 245:8 258:12

muddy 32:24

multiple 61:14 73:3,4, 20,22 110:13 118:16 119:11 124:12 145:6 148:13 179:4 199:22 266:18 267:9,10,12, 14,16,25 268:1 274:12 276:24

muscle 56:2

MWA 210:9

MWA1.17-000101 192:2 Ν

N-A-T-E-L-L-E 205:22

named 105:20 126:17 178:1 182:9

names 64:12

naming 21:19

Nancy 13:5

narrow 124:4

Natelle 31:10 205:16, 18,22 206:5,7,8 221:25 243:24 260:23

Natural 17:18 87:19 112:10 124:25 131:16 132:2 133:16 153:3,9 165:25 177:23 254:3

nature 60:12 94:13 198:4

ND-D2 206:14

necessarily 26:18 37:15 56:25 57:17 68:24 152:3 165:5 169:22 171:13 179:16 223:8

necessity 13:11 19:17 30:24 60:22 170:14 214:4,5,7 215:1

needed 17:15 37:9 49:15,16 114:9 133:25 164:22 165:9,18 170:1 172:14,23 198:16 213:10 215:13 229:19 246:18 255:10

negatives 240:17

neglect 110:14 271:17

neglected 110:12

negotiate 47:8 153:9 162:16

negotiated 47:17

73:24 162:25

negotiates 161:23

negotiation 216:23 217:2

net 24:11 120:8 171:5 231:12 237:13,16 261:23 267:17,18 268:14 272:24

newly 178:13

Newman 14:20

nice 20:12

nine-week 94:7

nod 205:3

non-commission 268:24

noncompliance 254:2.5

nonconfidential 272:2

nonprofit 55:6 61:8 65:9 71:5,10 86:12

nonregulated 50:10 230:16

nonviable 24:14 25:2,7 35:16,18,20 277:13

noon 279:19

normal 15:24 31:6 77:2

note 80:15 97:13 105:1,21 106:10 249:6 271:19

noted 59:21 138:15 156:15,24 180:13 181:16 184:13 185:3 196:24 215:17 247:19

notes 80:20 149:8,17 247:2,12,24

notice 130:7,17,23 131:1,6 137:18 156:24 173:14,18 174:16 207:20 208:8,23 256:23

noticed 159:14

notices 17:14,22,23 175:10,20 176:16 178:3,9 195:14

noting 48:23

notwithstanding 43:9 49:5

November 21:17

NOVS 196:2

number 15:7 17:11 37:25 53:20 106:18 107:19 110:21 111:19 113:1 132:5,10 137:10 151:8 164:2,13 169:2 171:10,17,18 173:13, 16,17 185:19 187:7 189:13 190:13 191:18 200:4,20 226:5 229:21 231:13 235:20,24 237:20 262:21 269:12, 17,18,22 270:5,8,11, 20,21 271:1,7,18,22, 23,25 272:5

numbered 166:24 191:20

numbering 77:13 78:25

numbers 15:4 95:17 106:12 107:10,20 175:23,24 176:2,22 189:2 200:1 216:14 226:6 229:19 234:5 235:7 262:5,20 263:19 265:24 269:15 270:12

numeral 184:19

0

object 202:6 228:4 234:6 235:4

objection 25:25 80:20,21 130:25 131:2,3 132:17 133:3 138:18 139:17 142:19 152:16 153:16 156:25 175:6,8 192:17,25 193:3 203:3,16 209:14 223:23 228:1,11 234:9 235:13 243:16 261:11

objections 80:21,24 134:25 157:6 204:17 228:13

observation 54:24 139:21

observed 63:23 159:13 202:3

obtain 36:10 120:7 184:21

obtained 20:23,24 82:8 88:1 89:15,18 137:1

obtaining 24:25 45:20 67:1 219:7,12 221:16,22 222:23 223:12 266:21

occupancy 135:21 165:8

occur 24:24 45:20 48:17 117:20 200:11 219:6,11,14 220:1,5 221:16,21 222:7,23 223:11,17 238:8 239:5 265:15 278:11

occurred 36:9 176:17 238:19,21 266:21

occurs 159:7

October 21:6,12 126:18 128:25 129:12, 24 130:2 149:24

offer 47:2,16 50:14 66:10 80:7 133:12,21, 23 134:7,16 138:23,24 156:11 203:1 209:5 243:14 261:9

offered 15:6 46:23 60:8 63:5 134:24 157:5

offers 62:5 73:5 241:15

office 14:7,9 26:13 42:14,17 60:23 69:21 191:3 194:23 210:12 238:6

office's 179:5

official 129:8 130:7 138:22

oftentimes 30:15 124:6

Olsen 116:16 117:1

omitted 208:5

one's 30:17

onerous 178:16

ongoing 127:21

OPC 44:19,22 190:23 192:1 204:9,10 221:1 224:1,19 238:23 266:17

OPC's 45:17 228:14

open 68:11

opening 18:16,21 31:12 42:18 76:23 91:15 141:15 222:1,3 228:25

openings 18:22

operate 20:24 22:22 48:9 71:15 137:10 145:4 160:19 161:24 174:8,10 175:15 177:10 200:15,16 210:5 227:5,8

operated 17:13 65:10 95:1 137:4 174:20 176:18,21 177:17 178:5 188:8,11 204:11

operates 177:5

operating 13:9,21,25 15:15 17:12 19:1,10, 12 20:18 21:20,22 22:3,4,5,6,7,20 23:16, 20 24:1,9 25:25 26:6, 17,21 28:21 29:19 30:22 31:3 32:22 34:1, 15,19 35:22 37:3 42:22 59:23 71:15 73:25 82:5 86:9 115:15 124:5 148:20 149:11 151:9 153:24 174:2,5,24 211:13 214:20 217:16 221:13 230:13 239:20 256:11 257:9,14 263:11 267:5 268:4,9

operation 23:22 28:21 124:4 152:20

operational 162:11 165:25 166:4,21

operator 167:7,8 185:4,15 196:21 197:16 215:3 244:14 245:17 246:15

operators 43:16 44:11 45:10 109:7 133:19 225:5 248:3 249:9

opinion 45:13 75:5 83:17 157:20 195:7 229:9 263:7 267:13 275:16

opportunities 51:3

opportunity 16:24 17:1,6,23 35:23 42:8 50:20 51:15 55:22 56:5 57:8,12,25 68:13 81:17 128:4 259:4 275:8 277:7

opposed 47:23 240:25 258:14

opposing 179:5

opposite 207:13

opposition 68:23

opposition's 26:2

option 44:1,10 45:15 56:6 64:22 73:9 169:12,18 255:24 256:1

options 43:20,21 44:1,24 45:6 51:20 52:10 199:14

oranges 231:11

order 16:25 21:1,18 27:24 32:14 36:15 53:11,18 81:8,11 94:7 111:4 115:13 117:9 129:13,19 130:1,3 207:1 273:2 277:16

ordered 27:19 142:1 273:9

orders 55:21 60:16

organization 125:19 127:2

organizations 71:11

organized 55:7,8

original 24:11 109:21,23 113:13,14, 15 118:22 121:17 122:1 130:14 207:17, 23 231:13 254:1 261:23 274:6

originally 13:12 119:17 120:6 121:19 124:6 127:5 130:12 153:24

originate 32:16

Osage 13:8,13,15,21, 24 15:15 16:12 17:12 18:25 19:1,4,5,10,12, 18,20 20:22 21:2,5,8, 13,15,18,20,22 22:20 23:16,20 24:1,4,6,9, 12,20,22 25:15,24,25 26:6,7,16,21,22 28:20 29:12,18,24 30:22,25 31:3,4,7 32:21,25 33:23 34:1,6,14,19 35:11,19,22,23 36:7 37:2,3,24 38:11 42:22, 23,24,25 43:7,10,18 44:8,18 46:24 47:6,20 48:13 59:17,23 60:8, 15,19,22 61:12 62:15, 22,24 63:2,8,19 65:7, 9,13,19 67:6 69:8 71:14 72:8 73:25 74:18 82:5,24 83:24 86:8,9,25 87:10 92:1,

7.13.21 108:2 115:9. 22 118:17,21 123:21 137:21 138:4 141:12 148:10 152:1 153:24, 25 157:21 159:7 161:7 162:14 175:4 177:8 201:21 202:19 210:13 211:12 214:11,20 217:5,15 221:13 225:5 227:3,6,8,12,20 230:13 231:6 235:3,17 239:20 240:20 241:10. 13 244:14 249:25 250:2,3,4,5,6 254:20 256:10,15,19 257:9,14 262:23 263:5.10 264:25 265:8 267:5 268:4,8 277:2

Osage's 227:2

OUOC 21:22 59:24 60:20 61:1,2 62:10,11 86:25 87:8,13 90:16, 25 91:1 93:13 209:23 213:10 229:14 241:22 244:8 247:25 248:15 254:12,21 261:19 276:3,7,9

OUOC's 19:16 66:22 67:5 229:1 248:6

outcome 63:3

outlined 18:15 33:19

outlining 138:15

outlying 119:3

outstanding 48:12,

outweigh 58:14 67:7 240:17

overbid 64:16

overestimate 64:19

overflows 201:1

overly 231:10

overrule 80:19 133:2 228:10 234:8

overruled 157:1

oversight 59:20 230:16

owned 149:14 174:20 176:21 190:9 197:7

owner 23:6 34:8 181:17 185:15,22 195:11 197:15

owners 14:17,21 15:1,9 21:3 23:1 35:7 48:4 59:7 62:23,25 63:6,13 64:4 66:25 67:2 68:22 69:15,16 70:10 146:16

ownership 23:5,11 29:23

owns 60:7 61:1

Ozark 66:3 181:7 244:13

Ozarks 137:5 144:17, 24 158:19 159:2 215:4 246:16 249:1,9,17

Р

package 147:3

packet 202:4,14

pages 113:21 135:19 173:17 174:18 176:10 179:12 187:7

paid 29:1 71:6 73:11 75:2 141:11

papers 179:13 183:21 187:4

paperwork 186:5,10, 13 194:25 195:8 196:14

paragraph 141:4 184:18 185:11,17 207:4,9,11,16,20 208:16,19,20 211:1 226:11,21 227:1,11, 15,17 246:13 249:6

paraphrasing 225:8

pardon 183:20 186:15 224:5

parent 29:25 61:4 74:24

park 115:11,12 149:23

part 20:10 25:2 28:24 44:5 63:7,16 73:21 81:2 106:12 107:2,3 110:18 117:12 118:11 120:3 135:8 139:20,25 143:8 144:8,13 145:3 146:5 157:10 173:17 176:19,25 177:19,25 178:19 179:14 199:18 206:23 209:18 211:12 213:14 216:8 217:5 224:2 228:15 239:14, 15 243:20 244:24 246:19,22,24 254:8 261:15 266:24,25 271:16

participants 127:15

participate 76:8 120:14

participated 47:13 95:13 116:10,11 118:17 120:9 129:16

parties 15:5 16:24 17:1 18:24 24:17 30:18 32:23 33:14 35:21 36:24 39:10 43:20 45:8 60:18 61:17 64:12 82:15 90:21 126:10,11,19 127:1,2 138:4 147:9 179:14 224:7

parts 199:22 275:24

party 60:15 127:4 142:17 153:7

pass 201:9,11

passed 18:8 204:18

past 20:23 33:1 36:6 39:25 90:7 265:19

path 40:15

pattern 183:14

pay 114:15,16,17 116:8 231:24 274:24

Payne 14:3 30:14 37:12,25 38:8,12,16, 22 39:7,16 40:2,7,16 41:5,12,25 42:12 82:1, 4 84:3 86:3 128:18,19 130:6,9,19,23 131:7,8, 21 132:1,20 133:5,11, 14,18 134:2,8,18,22 135:9,12,22 139:22 142:19 157:13,16 159:20 188:19 189:18 205:15,19 208:24 209:4,10,13,20 222:4 234:6,11 237:8,9 242:11,19,22,24 243:14,21 244:5 256:6,7 259:19 260:5, 10 261:8,16 270:19 271:3 278:6,7 279:11,

Payne's 160:3

pays 70:4

PC 13:24

peak 136:10 137:1,2

pending 15:8 46:13 48:7 54:5 64:14 144:4 178:14 179:23

people 51:14 52:13 57:14,20 69:9 83:10 97:8,10 114:13 136:3 164:13 167:11,20 171:10 172:20,24 268:6

perceive 265:6

percent 44:6,16,17 69:7,9,13 74:23 90:6 163:10 273:4

perfect 35:19

perform 110:15 147:1 244:25

performance 196:22 performed 210:1 235:6

performing 108:22

period 87:21 160:18 161:23 162:4,6,12,13, 17 163:6 178:4 190:9 200:16,17 218:1,10,11

permanent 21:5 277:4

permission 17:19 21:12 210:9

permit 80:14 153:5 160:12 185:14,21 196:8 250:1 258:22

permits 20:18 200:2

permitted 22:9 63:18 87:22 125:5 147:3 160:25

permitting 111:3 146:22 165:3

perpetuity 76:3

Perry 48:8

person 40:11 55:3 73:18 127:16,19,20 164:15

personal 141:20 163:21

personally 37:12 202:22

persons 165:12

perspective 144:25

petition 35:8

petitioner 143:16,17

phased 68:16

phosphorus 110:19

photographs 203:6, 9 204:9,15,17

phrase 231:10

physical 109:8,9,11

pick 172:13

Picking 59:7

picture 132:2 201:8

pictures 201:6,17 202:2,7,18,21 203:17, 18,24

pin 196:24

pink 135:23

pinned 71:21,22 74:20

pipeline 255:3

place 35:8 41:23 42:22 109:1 126:19 148:23 258:19 279:16

places 28:22 272:7

placing 33:24 35:4

plan 205:8 218:9

plans 63:17 64:1,3 87:18,19,22 88:22 153:5

plant 108:22 110:9,15 178:13 196:23 197:1 215:12 217:24 246:17 258:12,15 276:16

plants 22:8 110:17,18 159:7 177:1

play 148:5 212:14

pleading 206:15

plugged 169:11

plugging 169:10,12

plural 148:15

pneumonia 177:2

point 18:1,7 27:24 30:5 43:12 45:17 50:15 55:3,9 56:17 58:21,25 68:9 72:11, 20 88:8 90:20 93:24 97:10 105:1 117:20 119:18 121:5 130:17, 18 142:20 145:6 162:2 177:9 181:21 183:4 187:19 188:1 192:2 193:16,18 222:25 226:25 252:3 261:25

Pointe 174:17,19

pointed 23:9 57:14 59:18 60:23 62:1

pointing 41:2 191:10 198:15

points 66:21 193:23, 24 212:7

policy 31:10 46:15 215:25 216:2 241:18

politely 58:1

polluter 115:11

Pontiac 55:25

pool 182:11 183:3,4,5 192:3,18,19,21,22,23 193:17.21

Poole 65:6

Popular 159:4

popularity 61:8

population 92:22,23 93:8 124:23,24 125:2

Port 48:8

portion 40:17,21 44:18 131:19 132:5 158:13 275:18

portions 15:10,13,16 80:11 134:8,11 156:14 243:23 260:21

pose 192:16

posed 69:21

posit 54:24 144:21 270:1

posited 50:6 144:13 203:16 223:10

positing 179:11 186:19

position 17:16 26:14 31:20 36:4,12 39:12, 16 47:8 51:5,9 56:23 57:23 58:11 85:6 111:21,22,25 218:15

221:12,20 223:16 225:7 227:5,7 228:12 238:23 239:4,8 262:4

positive 96:6

Possibly 275:10

post 50:13

potential 33:16 42:3 44:23 45:10 51:2 58:13,23 59:22 60:10 62:3,7,14 72:4 158:20 225:5 230:9 235:17 258:3 264:1

potentially 72:4 92:18 129:2 151:3 259:11

power 55:15 73:8 167:23 246:5,7

practical 21:9

practice 31:6 81:10 87:15 108:17 123:17

precise 149:6

precisely 106:11

predated 118:15

preface 179:3

prefer 64:17 69:16 133:22 212:14

preference 91:8 137:22

preferences 212:13, 15,25

preferential 74:6 258:14

preferred 65:9

prefers 137:17

prefiled 14:16 15:5 255:1

preliminarily 252:3

preliminary 18:13 59:9 88:19,21 111:11 113:11 172:3,13 200:9 248:7,13,16 251:22 252:8

premised 43:13,19

premium 16:21 25:12,14 26:25 28:18, 25 31:1 34:20,23 35:24 36:3,10,12,15 39:20 41:11,15,21 42:1,6 53:9,15 67:23 118:10,11,15 119:24 120:3 123:12 150:20 151:17 230:1,2 231:5 232:3 270:25 271:10, 18,25 274:5,19,23,25 275:7,9

premiums 41:19

prepare 206:3 243:3 260:19

prepared 79:14 155:16 206:3 243:3

preparing 93:9 246:23

prescribed 185:24

presence 76:11 144:17,23 145:1,3

present 13:16 15:1 17:1 19:20 32:5 83:14 115:21 116:2,12,16 117:6 142:18 143:5 234:23 235:15 251:22 262:15 265:6

presentation 48:3

presented 33:10 43:20 45:5 53:19 54:12 187:23

presenting 223:12

presently 31:7

preside 13:6

president 63:22 66:24 79:12 180:6 212:23

presuming 70:5

pretty 20:12 69:8

prevalence 61:6

prevent 108:24

preventative 108:24

previous 118:20,24 145:2 271:20

previously 14:5,10, 24 15:3 17:17 34:23 40:3 65:23 80:20 105:14 107:16 110:5 111:20,22,24 156:25 164:12 202:8,9 269:15

price 24:11 28:23 44:12 46:24 47:14,15 60:3 62:10 68:11 74:20 89:7,10 105:16 122:19 128:6 129:23 130:3 144:15 216:20, 24 231:20,23 234:12 237:11 266:20 268:19 271:21,23 272:20 274:24

primarily 248:1

primary 176:2

principles 45:3 232:25

print 179:4

printed 179:10 189:10

printer 176:13 179:6

printing 179:6

printout 189:9

prior 33:22 34:24 38:16 49:21 119:20,21 120:2 127:14 148:25 183:7 184:22 185:14 196:21 278:14

Prius 56:10

private 64:25 89:22, 25 90:4

pro 150:6 161:10

probability 24:25 45:20 48:17 219:6,12 220:2 221:16,22 222:23 223:11 264:25 266:21 **problem** 26:6 173:22 252:4

problems 65:1 114:9

procedural 15:23

procedure 21:16 28:14 30:8 35:3 52:23 142:14 257:13

procedures 30:6 49:1 55:5

proceed 18:16 30:13 42:13 50:8 140:6 145:23 275:6

proceeded 34:14

proceeding 15:17,24 25:7,11 26:10 28:7 34:24 47:21,24 48:21 52:24 53:21,24 72:25 73:13,15 76:9 79:15 81:19 96:15 97:9,14 98:1 99:1 100:1 101:1 102:1 103:1 104:1 116:7 117:7,8 118:13 119:9 120:13 126:1 130:16 141:6 155:17 243:4 256:14 257:5 277:9,10

proceedings 49:21 50:5 105:23 119:22

process 28:5,8,13 29:13 50:8 68:19 72:23 73:1 86:3,4,14 87:18,23 89:18 117:21 118:14,23 119:8 120:9,23 122:13 125:23,25 126:22,25 127:3 141:25 143:9 146:23 152:8,10 153:8,12 158:14 164:25 198:5 206:24 213:22 215:5 274:10

processes 20:21 178:7

Processing 67:17 produced 17:15 129:23 production 108:22, 23

professional 84:14 87:17 160:14 250:21

profit 65:11,17 71:13, 14 74:25 85:11

profits 65:1

programs 120:11

progressing 120:11

project 91:22

projected 235:17

projections 39:10,11 234:1

promise 46:9 231:1

promised 76:23

promulgated 120:12

proof 54:13

proper 39:19 132:19 279:4

properly 20:15,16

properties 26:8 149:15,18,19

property 23:2,3,5,6 34:8,10 48:1,4,5 62:9 170:15

proposal 44:19 74:18 111:11,16 245:8 248:2,7,16 249:5,8 251:15,17,20 252:7,8, 10,18,21,25 253:20,21 255:13 257:20

proposals 33:3,4 229:18 251:23 252:2, 19.20

propose 108:2 110:22 218:9

proposed 24:2 25:22, 24 26:1,24 27:10 32:2 33:15 34:1 36:25 61:24 75:4 78:23,25 81:8 82:10,12,14,19 108:5 130:21 157:18

158:7 214:4,10,18 239:11 241:21 242:6 249:7 251:5,9 252:9 253:18 256:9,10,13 258:13 271:10 279:3,4

proposes 113:24 247:25

proposing 44:7 75:11 110:25 218:25

protect 21:10

prove 54:13 195:5

proven 23:21 145:5

provide 21:4,25 22:22 24:2 35:6 43:16 49:9 63:5 78:14,16 114:24,25 123:13 157:21 194:12 211:5, 10,15 225:8,22 226:18 251:11,20 255:24 256:1

provided 14:6,10 17:4,7,20 20:8 26:4,16 33:1 45:1 51:4 65:21 146:14 170:3 175:16 183:19 186:6 195:5 197:21 198:2 202:8,15 207:17 221:10 222:3 229:17 234:1 252:7,24 254:25

providing 49:19 109:13 241:8

province 23:9

provision 36:9 123:25

provisions 24:7 31:1

proximity 66:15

proxy 112:20

prudency 37:18 52:16 53:3,4 239:12 276:16

prudent 37:11 52:6 53:6 161:2,23 162:3,4, 6,16

PSC 70:24 161:1

236:21

public 14:4,7,9,12,14 15:11 16:5,6 21:25 22:24 23:7,10,12,13, 15,19 25:4,15 26:13, 15 27:1,6 31:9,21,23 33:6,13 34:11,16 37:4 40:24 41:17 42:14,17 43:1,5,8,12,13,14 48:10,11 49:3 50:23 54:14 55:6 60:20,23 61:2.6.11.12.22.25 62:15,17,20 63:1,3,4, 24 64:5,7,23 65:8,21, 24 67:5 69:11,21 70:17,21 71:5 75:12, 15 77:22,24 78:1,7,16, 20 80:22,23 83:17,18, 22 95:2 105:1,3,9 114:6 118:2,4 123:19 127:25 136:4,13 143:23 152:6 158:21 168:9,13 178:24 180:7.8 184:20 185:13,20,21 190:16, 17 191:3 194:23 197:8 198:6 203:15 206:1.6. 9 207:24 210:2,14 211:18 214:7 218:16, 20 220:11 229:8 232:14 237:5 238:6 240:9 241:24 250:16 255:20 257:24 260:17 263:18 264:11 272:9 278:3

public's 74:15

publication 133:16

publicity 207:20 208:7,22

pumps 92:24 124:19 167:24

purchase 19:16 24:11,16 34:21 36:7 39:25 40:5,9,21 44:12 46:24 47:1,15 48:12 50:11 60:19,24 62:5,6 63:18 64:14,18 67:21 73:5 74:19 83:2,20 89:7,10 105:16 115:22 117:19 118:23 119:4, 11 127:19 129:23 130:3 143:15 144:15 148:15 153:25 154:2 216:20,24 223:8 227:3 231:20,23 232:5 233:23 234:12 237:11 239:1 265:18 266:19 268:11,19 271:22 274:22 275:6

purchased 22:8,16 26:8 46:11 94:6 149:18,19,21 223:7 264:25 274:4

purchaser 29:1 73:25 117:10 141:19 152:12

purchasers 32:25 59:22 62:7

purchases 39:15 265:18

purchasing 36:4 40:15 46:8 47:5 152:1 200:1 264:2 265:3 267:6 274:10

purely 91:9

purport 166:21

purportedly 204:11

purpose 35:15,21 47:5 56:3 175:10 186:18 203:5 264:22

purposes 79:15 88:8 134:21 155:17 175:2 201:16 203:6 213:17 221:1 224:12 228:5 243:22

pursuant 34:24 36:15

put 38:1 43:4 52:8 73:16 74:1 81:18 86:15 118:21 121:7 126:3 186:21 213:12 223:4 235:25 253:13, 14,25 257:22 271:23 274:4,25

putting 69:24

PW 64:18

PWS 167:10,19

PWSD 166:7 175:12 176:4 188:9 199:13

Q

Q&a 264:23 265:6 276:22

qualifications 16:2 133:3

qualified 59:22 62:3, 8,14 67:24

qualify 61:4 90:16

quality 163:16 quarterly 196:8

question 17:9 27:7 29:17 30:4 33:5 41:1 42:25 50:3,6,17 54:25 55:21 57:9,14 58:4,5, 20 61:10 62:13 67:13 69:20 73:4 75:17 79:16 86:2 94:24 95:25 112:7,8 116:15 117:13 119:13 123:4 125:7 133:4 137:3 141:3,23 142:24 143:3 145:9 146:3,12 147:9 148:7,16 150:3 152:8 153:18 154:6,9 155:18 162:19 166:2 174:19 175:8 178:14 179:23 181:19 184:20 186:8, 9,12,24 187:3 191:2 193:9 195:13 199:2 200:24 203:11 204:7 212:22 221:12 224:25 225:9,10,24 228:6 231:17 234:13 235:5 236:7 244:13 247:17 252:12,15 253:9 265:21 266:14,16,23, 25 267:1 269:7 271:14,15 272:10 273:22,23 274:3,17, 21,22 277:8

questioning 19:7 107:4 131:11 187:19, 20 191:9 194:23 197:6,23 198:14 199:8 200:7 264:15

questions 17:8 18:13 27:3 29:6,7,11 36:17 37:5,8 39:22 48:20 49:7,11 50:14 51:24 58:19 59:2 67:11 69:18 70:14 75:16 79:25 81:12,13 84:4,5 91:12 96:11,16,22,25 105:7 107:9,10,22 117:25 118:3.4.7.9 120:17,20,22 122:11 123:6 125:12,15 128:16,22 132:23 135:13,15,16 136:22 140:8 142:20,23 143:20,23 144:3 145:11,15 146:2 147:13 148:1,3,5,19 149:10 153:13,21 154:5,7 156:3 159:21 160:1 171:20,22 178:22 182:2 187:9. 10,11,13,18,22 188:16,17 189:16,20, 23 190:16,18,22 197:19 200:25 203:7, 11,13,16 204:20 209:1 210:16 213:23,24 215:23 220:9,21 228:17,19,23 230:18, 20,22,24,25 232:8 234:7,9,22 236:15 237:2,4,6,11,24 238:6 239:10,18 240:8,23 242:11 243:10 244:19 250:15,24,25 251:3 253:4,6,10,11,23 254:11,12,17 255:18 256:4,9 257:3,17 258:11 259:3,20 261:4 262:7,8 263:14 264:9 266:7,8,11 273:17,19 274:2 275:12,25 276:3,21 277:21,24 278:1,2,4,8 279:11,22

quick 57:7 269:7

quicker 64:16

quickly 21:9 135:20

161:25

quo 23:20 27:11 218:22 240:16

quote 119:4 127:20 144:16 225:13,23 266:18,22

quoted 225:12 226:22

quotes 223:9

quoting 223:14 225:20 265:12

R

R-O-O-S 243:2

Raccoon 22:4 196:19

raise 44:5 47:14 55:11,15

raised 16:1 33:14 62:6

raising 55:11,17

ramifications 44:23 58:23

range 88:2,4,17 122:3 123:15 124:2,4 162:10 168:5 171:6 213:21

ranges 108:11

raring 154:23

rate 25:7,18,19,21 33:16,22 36:25 37:17 38:6,11 39:8 41:23 42:2,3 51:12 52:21 53:5,16,25 61:11 65:11 70:3 71:23 88:9, 12,23 89:8,10 90:10 91:10 93:9,14 94:20, 22 95:3,6,8,14 114:14 115:4 125:9 147:22 148:5,6,8,11,16,22 149:14.25 151:5 152:4 200:21 213:14 217:20 229:7,11,13,14 230:9, 15 231:14 233:22 237:12,24 239:16 240:1 242:9 244:25

248:10 258:6,8 261:22,23 262:2 271:23 272:25 273:2, 5,9,13 278:24 279:9

ratemaking 52:25 147:25 262:4

ratepayer 67:20 74:16 218:18 263:8

ratepayers 62:18 85:24 231:24 240:15

rates 24:5,7 25:9 26:5,16,19 33:20 38:7 39:5 44:4 51:11 52:19 55:11,12,15,17 65:5, 17 70:3,6,9 71:20 85:8,10,14 87:10,12 89:25 94:15,19 112:19 114:6,11,19,21 115:2, 5 125:2,4 149:1,9 151:24 218:18 230:17 232:14,19 233:15,19 235:2,8,17,22 237:14 248:9 262:19,22,24 263:4,11,12,18 268:14,19,24 276:7,8, 18,20 279:3,5,7

reached 40:17 216:22 217:1

reactor 110:24 171:3 245:8 258:12

read 25:10 46:14 64:8,13 69:4 82:16 131:19 166:23 167:9, 15 181:4 184:23 198:2 218:4 225:23

reading 86:24 87:5 164:4,6 168:6,7 184:19 185:9,12,22 186:1 219:23,25 220:3 221:23 226:19 248:4

readings 136:19

reads 45:18

ready 77:10 134:3 154:20 205:14 219:19, 20

real 214:23

realistically 49:23

realize 106:25

realized 120:14 128:3

realm 142:22

rear 166:19

reason 28:3 219:15 227:14 249:18 267:17 273:7 275:7

reasonable 18:21 25:21 26:5,16,19 34:2 35:18 37:10,11 40:12 45:2 51:11,21 55:13, 18,24 56:2 64:21 65:5, 17 141:9 216:22 217:1 218:1 256:11

reasonableness 263:22,23 266:1

reasoning 265:17 275:4

reasons 143:10

rebuttal 17:1,3 63:23 113:17 266:17

recall 94:15 110:6 115:16 116:21,23 126:6 142:4 144:8 169:5 170:19 191:2 194:22 195:13,21,24, 25 196:4 197:23 198:13,18,19,22 199:12,20,25 200:1,9, 10,24 201:2 222:8 265:9

recalling 214:16

receipt 180:17

receive 34:20 48:15 52:9 69:5 80:25 109:15 139:18 173:1 177:22 209:15 246:21

received 28:10,12 81:2 135:7 139:20 157:9 175:22,25 176:3 177:19,25 178:11 181:13 190:23 194:15 209:18 224:1 228:14 243:19 246:24 249:14 259:5 261:14

receiver 21:8 34:6 35:10 118:20 127:5,17 130:14 137:19 138:2,6 139:4,6,15,16 266:24 277:1,3,4

receivers 138:25

receivership 16:14 21:6 33:25 34:12 35:4, 11,12 38:16 59:18 65:19 69:25 115:9 149:22 219:2

receiving 51:6 87:4

recent 159:8 176:25 201:7 273:8

recently 31:1 42:6 201:5

recirculating 258:16

recognize 131:25 221:2 224:17 259:11

recognized 23:1 89:8 114:9

recollection 95:10 127:4 149:5 163:21 177:21

recollections 95:12

recommend 237:13

recommendation

16:20 20:10 32:1,13, 14 33:20 34:13 36:1, 13,14,17 45:9 47:4 53:19 56:22 72:5 75:7, 9 81:16,21,22 91:14 96:8,9 109:17,21 140:1,12 144:6,9,10, 22 206:15,16,20 207:23 212:4 214:9,17 215:17 218:22 225:1, 12,13,18 226:3 227:12 228:9 237:18 238:7, 11,13,17 241:5 243:23 246:11 247:23 260:21 269:18 270:9 271:20 275:13

recommendations

32:6 167:16

recommended 31:19 253:24 271:25

recommending 237:12

recommends 32:19

record 13:3 14:19
15:4 19:3 45:7,14,21
46:2 49:3 51:13 69:12
70:5,6 77:6,7,9 80:16
81:3 107:6,7 130:22
135:1,8 139:18,20
145:8 154:18,19,22
155:9 156:18 157:8,10
178:7 186:18,22
191:21 205:11,13
209:6,16,19 223:24
224:2 228:3,15 243:20
261:15 264:22 269:24
270:2,4,6,8

recording 107:3

records 129:7 174:23 176:1,7 188:10 189:13 190:4,8 195:3

recoup 40:11 275:9

recovered 38:7

recovery 28:18 88:11,13

rectified 123:19

red 206:22 207:2,5,9, 21 208:7 226:6,9 227:14,17 270:14,15, 20 272:6 275:14

redirect 81:14 121:21 145:12,14,19,23,25 154:9 190:19,21 203:6 204:19 237:7,9 256:5, 7 278:5,7

reduce 151:8,10

reevaluate 36:4 268:10

refer 42:21 59:24 148:11,12 189:3 192:14 193:20 236:8

reference 19:6 131:22 134:9 193:15 241:5

referenced 34:18 105:17 129:9 132:21

references 165:23 173:10 193:23

referencing 131:13,

referred 28:22 29:18 134:4 159:1 204:9 213:6 277:8

referring 42:20,24 43:1 132:9 172:1 193:18 226:14 247:5, 11 250:7,8,10 254:6,8

refining 200:13

reflect 14:20

reflected 64:5

reflecting 88:9

Reflections 13:13,16 14:25 47:20,21 153:23 154:2 207:1,7,12 208:3 211:6,10,12,13, 16 217:12,13 225:16, 19 226:14 227:2 228:6 271:21 275:18

Reflections' 47:22

reflects 19:3

refresh 149:5

regard 13:15 15:20 16:12 31:3 81:20 123:8 200:22 228:5

region 66:7 93:20

regular 245:21

regularly 81:10

regulated 26:17 31:7 59:16 61:11 64:1 65:18,23 211:8,16 230:6,14 231:12 236:20,21,22,24 258:25 268:13,25

regulation 22:2 26:19

regulations 32:17 216:6 236:23 254:3

regulatory 13:6 80:13 87:5 260:18

rehabilitate 35:17

rehabilitation 23:21

rehashing 17:5

reimburse 232:4

reinvested 72:8

reject 65:13 68:5

rejected 67:9 68:9 119:3

rel 41:14

related 169:9 225:18 241:13

relates 123:7

relating 265:3

relationship 61:16

released 159:18 279:24

relevance 192:17 203:24 228:5,6

relevant 17:21 25:20 33:5 74:15 75:14 204:19 228:8 229:12 233:14,20 237:25 238:2

reliable 108:16 109:14 160:6,7 163:17 195:6

relied 46:4

relief 94:23 95:8,14

relook 147:23

rely 37:15 197:21

remanded 41:20

remarked 144:9 265:5,22

remarks 15:1 59:9

61:7 144:22

remedied 114:10

remedy 65:1

remember 36:22 38:12 95:17 107:22 110:8 111:9 113:5,14 114:21,23 115:17,19 116:18,20 118:20 119:16,18 126:5,7,8 127:16,18,22 128:2,5 129:5 137:14 140:14 142:3 144:19 146:24 148:20,25 149:3,11 166:7 191:7,11 197:5 199:15 200:4 222:9 270:12 272:11

remote 108:7,8,13,15,

remotely 126:20 145:5

removal 47:23 110:19 248:1

removing 217:13

renew 156:13

renews 80:11

reopen 121:6,24 141:25

reopened 28:13 29:13 122:5,16,21

repair 20:20 44:16 45:6,12,13 46:8 52:12 64:19 72:3 151:14 152:8

repaired 214:21

repairing 44:3

repairs 44:8,14 49:15, 16 50:14 52:4,8 53:4 58:3 72:10 82:18 111:12,17 113:4 146:19 147:1 151:10 214:4,10,18 218:2,12 256:20 264:3

repeat 133:4 142:24 166:2 181:19 239:2

247:17 248:14

repeatedly 61:20

repetitious 138:19

rephrase 162:20 175:7 222:21 227:6 234:13 235:13 238:10 279:4

rephrased 153:17

report 21:1 110:6 138:4,6,8 139:4,5,15, 16 172:21 184:10,14 194:2 196:6,11,20 199:13 207:24 215:18 247:2,12,14,15,19 254:6,9 272:7 275:25

reported 72:6

reporter 13:25 14:6, 11,23 42:19 78:6 134:7,13 135:6 172:10 205:21 243:1 260:12

REPORTER'S 97:13 105:1,21 106:10

reports 72:15,22 82:9,11,13 110:4 137:21 138:2,24 166:1,4,22 182:6 185:8 186:4 191:2,6 194:13 196:1,3 199:10

represent 14:14 59:6 62:21,24 68:25 69:7 70:20 71:1,4 92:6 187:5

representation 202:23

representing 19:12 57:15

represents 186:21

request 17:17 25:3,5 31:4,20,24 49:2,5 51:18 59:14 88:23 95:14 105:21 174:7,25 175:15,17 176:1,3,6 177:25 178:16 181:13 182:2 188:6,12,14 189:25 190:1,2,3,6,8 194:10,16 195:14,23, 25 197:21 221:5,9 223:24 224:19 225:18 226:23 227:1,5,7,9 228:2,5 239:18,21,23 240:25 241:16 246:25 249:14 255:25 259:6 270:18 272:16 275:15

requested 17:11 24:9 44:13 49:6 54:13 60:21 82:5 180:13 188:10 190:14 221:15

requesting 44:9 210:8 231:18

requests 17:12 18:10 50:21 71:21 105:15 106:15 187:24 188:2 230:15

require 61:21 218:1

required 59:19 72:2 75:10 92:20 96:1,4,5 112:5 165:14 168:23, 24 170:6 173:3 185:7, 22,24 268:13 273:3

requirement 136:4 150:10,13 151:4 233:21 235:11 241:14 269:11 270:11,12,22, 25 271:7,9 272:17

requirements 32:2, 16 157:25 160:15 165:13 172:14 217:16

requires 92:23 132:9 133:10 196:8 219:8

requiring 24:23 167:23 218:4

residents 48:10 65:12 69:22,23 93:14, 21 112:7 123:8,9 125:4,8 200:21

residual 191:13 192:3 193:12

resolve 254:1,2

resort 137:5

resources 17:18

21:19,24 22:14,15 28:11 29:20,23 35:17 42:21 64:15 82:23 83:1 87:20 91:3 94:1 108:17 112:10 120:10 124:25 131:16 132:3 133:17 153:3,10 165:25 177:23 254:3 264:24 265:7

respect 17:10,12 71:5,7 72:21 74:8 80:9 89:22 90:19 214:16 215:10 216:2 217:8 219:5 233:15 236:11 249:1 254:17 255:8 262:18 263:3

respective 87:14

respond 17:6 18:9 179:24

responding 18:10

responds 66:21

response 15:21 49:9 69:11,14 81:21 146:12 148:3 152:7 174:22 194:14,16 221:20 225:4 227:24 265:21

responsibility 35:9

responsible 152:20, 22 155:14 161:20 246:19

responsive 174:25 206:25

rest 92:19 268:5

restriction 232:18

restroom 182:12

result 24:16,18 25:8 26:18 41:24 44:4 51:22 67:5 176:1 208:3 252:22 253:16

results 77:20 95:10 117:3,4,7 191:10 192:14

resume 105:4

resumed 105:2

retain 125:24

return 40:12 44:9 52:9 61:12 64:16 88:24 89:10 90:2 91:10 150:23 272:25 273:2,10,13 279:17

returned 154:22

returning 57:11

returns 46:9 91:8

revenue 150:10,13 151:4 233:21 234:1,17 235:11 269:11 270:11, 12,22,24 271:7,9 272:17 273:3

revenues 233:21

review 33:9,11 34:5 61:18 71:25 110:3 111:6 134:10 140:2 144:5 162:4,6,17 166:3 198:1 213:14,18 216:3,7,18,19 224:14 226:17 227:16 241:21 242:1 251:15,16 255:12 256:19 257:8, 12,18 258:3,6 279:2,4

reviewed 33:25 34:25 37:20 109:17 140:13 157:17 165:24 166:6 172:22 212:5 213:16 214:20 222:16 242:1 263:3,10,12,14

reviewing 32:9 218:12 230:14

reviews 193:8 211:2 213:12 225:21 239:12

revised 16:20 81:22 109:20 144:5,8 210:21 226:2 227:11 246:10 247:23 269:20 270:16 272:15 275:13

ride 55:24

right-hand 167:4,5

risk 90:2 123:20 159:14 **rival** 62:7

Rivers 22:6

road 17:5 252:25 253:15

Ron 64:11

room 97:8,10,11 132:8 176:14 180:12 221:25 264:15

Roos 34:3 133:20 134:16 215:16,20,24 242:20,23 243:2 244:11,23 248:24 250:18 251:4 254:19 255:23 256:8 259:22

rooted 33:17,18

Rose 20:1 69:23 159:17 250:8 256:25

Roth 44:22 50:15 58:22 221:6 224:20 225:4 226:22

Roth's 266:17

rough 150:10

roughly 125:16 126:25 273:5

Round 105:18,20

routine 109:9,10

rude 145:7

rule 24:14 25:2,10 31:2 34:22,24,25 35:21 39:16,19 42:6 45:18 48:23 49:1 107:18 118:15 119:23 120:15 129:15,18 133:17 153:15 192:24 216:13 219:8,23,25 231:17 238:15 239:22 274:18 277:13 278:8, 10,15

ruled 82:22

rulemaking 120:10 129:13,19 130:1,4,7 277:16

rules 25:2 61:20

120:12 130:21 180:20

run 47:25

running 48:6 65:19 195:10,11 255:3 259:16

Rupp 29:9,10,16 39:23,24 40:6,13,18, 25 122:9,10,11 123:2 125:12,13,15 128:14 131:12 187:15,16 230:22 252:11 253:9, 10,22 257:2 273:21,22 274:2 275:22

Rupp's 30:4

rural 84:18,21 85:8 168:14 232:15

rusted 94:8

Ruth 14:21

S

S-E-L-M-A 85:2

S2 173:23

S3 173:23 198:11

S4 173:23

S5 173:23

safe 21:4 22:22 26:4, 15,18 32:18 35:6 43:16 44:25 49:16,19 50:12 51:3,6,8,9,18,20 57:10,11,16,17,18 108:16 109:13,16 123:13 157:21 163:17 194:25 195:5 247:9 251:11,20,25 252:22, 25 253:16 255:24 256:2 259:14

safety 109:14

sake 107:17 227:4

salaries 71:10

sale 21:11,18 39:4 60:8 61:21,22,24 66:2, 3,6,8 216:4,7 266:20

277:10

sales 65:23

sample 191:10 192:14,21 207:17

sampled 177:4

samples 177:1 193:24 245:21

sampling 64:7 175:17 182:11 194:12

sand 110:17,18 177:1 258:14

sanitary 22:11 256:25

satisfied 58:2

scale 93:9

scenario 118:12

schedule 15:23 77:22,24 78:1 96:14 138:13 167:1,2 173:10,18 177:12,15 180:20 181:5,10,24 183:23 184:3,9,14 186:14 190:23 191:6 192:13 194:8,19 197:3,12,13,17,25 198:11 206:14 269:16

scheduled 179:24

schedules 77:19 78:8,15 96:12,23 166:18 179:6,7 181:16,20 186:22,25 198:8 204:4

scope 15:16 142:20 178:19 234:7 236:6

Scott 32:4 279:21

screen 19:19

secondary 66:19 93:2 164:16

section 32:16 35:3 132:7,9 136:7 208:8, 22 217:16 270:17 272:16

securities 233:6

seek 24:1 57:1 64:16 88:11,12 122:17,24 230:1

seeking 122:13 127:15 236:2 253:15

seeks 248:8

sees 53:18

segment 63:1,2

select 80:11

selected 141:19

selecting 28:3 146:25

selection 28:8 141:8

sell 23:3,6 34:9 60:9 86:11 87:1 277:4

seller 48:1 62:8 143:12

selling 143:13

Selma 84:25 85:3

semantics 56:18

send 255:25

sense 159:16

sentence 185:3 207:18,19,22 208:5 221:19 226:10,13,16 246:14 270:23

sentences 226:16,20

separate 147:25 164:21

separately 77:20

separates 62:10

September 13:3 173:15 207:10 208:19

series 182:5

serve 60:22 61:12 62:15 63:25 64:1 148:2 198:16 211:18

served 63:1 65:22 67:3 69:16 165:12 168:8 171:10

serves 66:12 167:10, 19

service 14:4 19:21, 23,24 20:1,3,5,8 21:4 22:1,23 24:2,4,6 26:4, 15,18 32:7 35:6 43:17, 18 45:1 49:17,19 50:12 51:3,7,8,10,19, 20 58:3 59:19 61:5 63:6,14 66:4,14 69:25 92:12,14,16 93:10 95:2 108:16.25 109:14,16 123:14,25 157:21 163:17 165:22 179:14 181:1 194:25 195:6 206:1 211:5,10, 15 225:8,23 226:18 241:8 251:11,21 252:23 253:1,16 255:25 256:2 260:17 263:18

serviced 164:14

services 63:10 65:20 66:16 67:1 86:5 180:17 181:6

serving 63:9 92:11

session 105:2,4 107:4

set 31:23 53:16 85:8, 10 118:21 120:24 163:8 176:2 254:20

sets 153:4 233:19

setting 53:9,14 152:11 240:4 268:14

settled 46:25

setup 108:11

severely 110:20

sewage 159:7 201:1

sewer 13:10,14 19:17, 21 20:1,2,4,16,24 22:1,11 23:23 24:3 31:17 32:10 35:4,16 59:17 61:8 64:14 65:24 66:7,8,16 71:2 84:19,21,25 85:8 109:16 110:3,6 113:1

114:24,25 149:23 165:22 171:5 207:5 208:16 215:4,18 232:15 244:14 245:3,9 246:16 247:2,10,12,19 249:1,10,25 254:4,24 255:11,14 256:25 258:25 262:22

shareholders 85:18 91:1

sharper 61:24

sheet 78:17 184:10

sheets 234:19

Shores 66:3

show 17:21,22,23 45:22 67:4 72:14 78:19 125:4 169:14 170:3 179:13,15 186:25 202:2 203:14 204:5 234:1 267:14

showing 70:6 194:2

shown 204:14 250:1

shows 74:22 181:11, 21 203:9

side 22:13 45:9 85:4 92:3,4

sight 30:16,19

sign 76:1

significance 231:12

significant 263:8

significantly 115:8 235:23,25

signs 257:1

silence 15:2

Silvey 27:4 28:2,16 29:3 37:7,23 38:5,10, 14,20,23 39:2,4,12,21 49:12,18,22 50:16 51:5,24 57:7,23 58:5, 10,15 59:1,18 67:12 68:3,18,21 69:4,18 70:12 72:2 76:16 118:7,8,9 120:17 144:3 228:20,22,23 230:18 237:23 251:2,3 252:13,16 253:3 254:18 257:16 266:10, 11 269:7,23 271:15 272:12,14 273:16 275:11

Silvey's 29:11 48:20 253:11

similar 29:22 65:15 115:9 142:25 183:2 190:7 211:5,10 215:22 225:8,22 226:18 273:12

similarities 59:12,15

simple 30:10 141:3

simply 50:21 54:25 57:19 80:14 183:4,20 185:17 191:17 265:16

simultaneously 108:23 109:7 119:7

single 93:17 108:19 148:11,13

single-issue 52:25

sir 84:11,13,16,20,23 85:9,12 86:2,23 87:7, 15 88:14 89:1 91:9,24 94:3,6,17 95:9,17,22 96:2 108:4 113:5 114:8,18,23 115:7,17 116:7,20 117:22 118:20 122:4 125:18 126:5 136:6 141:1 148:21 161:13 167:18 173:8

sit 112:11,14

site 93:9 162:10,25 169:17

sites 161:10

sitting 18:9 76:3 126:20 127:23 152:2 277:18

situation 72:13 231:15 258:1

situations 204:6

size 171:9,11

skip 95:18

sleep 158:4

sleepy 71:2

slightly 226:7

sludge 159:17 257:1

small 19:19 23:22 58:12,16 171:14 175:17

smaller 119:3

society 71:16

sold 47:22 116:12

solely 175:25

solicitation 181:2,6

solution 26:5,11 111:4

solves 66:18 252:4

sophisticated 153:7

sort 56:17 96:14 146:23 148:5 152:9 173:24 181:14 258:20

sorted 28:14

sorts 235:10

sought 34:23 47:21

sound 128:25 129:10

sounds 18:21 111:14

source 66:19 93:3 164:16 189:1,8

sources 92:23 132:5, 10 189:10

Southern 13:16 14:25

speak 52:16 68:22 70:1 80:15 90:4 106:16 132:25 156:17 172:8 249:3 253:19

speaking 173:4 222:18

specific 15:7 50:13 93:14,20 114:2 188:7 189:6 200:20 234:4,5 237:20

specifically 16:20 36:18 38:9 39:17 40:3 124:15 140:14 166:8 181:11 189:8 204:7 214:16 225:14 226:14 239:19 269:22

specifications 132:19

specifics 38:18 234:12

spell 85:1 205:20 242:25 260:11

spelled 260:14

Spencer 116:19,20 117:1 139:23 140:13 206:17,19 219:18

spoke 248:25

sponsor 243:23

sponsored 181:20 260:21 275:24

spot 159:4

spreadsheet 183:23 186:15

SSOS 201:1

St 109:2 168:9

stack 175:18

staff 14:2,4 16:19,22 17:4,7 25:22 26:1,24 30:13 31:5,8,18 32:1, 3,10,13,19 33:7,25 34:12,25 36:1,13 37:20 39:7 46:3 56:22 66:22 68:15 75:7 81:25 109:17 128:17 134:11 135:7 140:1,12 144:13 146:4 157:12 188:18 189:17 200:25 203:7 205:15 206:2, 16,24 207:3,18,23 208:2,13 210:1,12 211:2,4,21 212:2,4,11,

12.16.23 213:8.12.15. 18 214:3,9,17,20 216:19 217:8 218:17, 21,23 219:4,8,14 221:6,20 222:6,13 224:20 225:5,11,19,21 226:17 227:4,18 228:4,24 237:7,12,18 238:11,17,20,22,24 239:4,7,12 240:24 241:5,15,21 242:1,5, 17.19 245:4 247:24 248:6 251:13 252:2.5 253:24 255:25 256:5 257:8,23 258:3,6,24 259:4.8.25 260:5 261:24 262:3 272:15 275:24 278:10 279:2,4

staff's 20:10 32:4,12 33:19 34:3,5 36:11,12, 14 39:12,16 45:9 47:4 53:19 72:5 81:16,21 91:14 144:5,8 205:2, 14 206:15,20,23 209:17 221:12 225:1, 6,18 227:7 228:9,11 238:7 241:18 243:15, 19,23 246:10 254:6,8 260:3,21 261:14 269:18 270:9,14 271:10,24 272:7 275:13,16 279:20

stage 33:18 213:22 248:13,17 256:14

stalking 141:6

stalking-horse 30:8 47:9,17 73:24 117:10 121:8,10,13 122:14,25 126:13,17 128:12 141:7,8,19

stalking-horse's 121:16

stamped 192:1

stand 77:14 79:3 133:21 134:16 154:24 205:16 242:20 260:6

standard 22:23,25 31:11,14,18,24 54:14

61:18 62:1 87:15 108:17 123:16 124:17 136:11 179:3 192:9,10 214:6,8 215:5 216:3,7, 17,19 218:20 240:8,13 241:18 258:18

standards 32:20 56:23 59:19 110:16 124:8,20 131:10,14 132:13,14,21,24,25 133:6,10,15 135:19 152:22 158:3,8 167:22 195:8 258:21

standing 272:1

standpoint 231:17

stands 34:12 43:5 54:21

start 42:18 190:22 196:23,25 197:1 273:24

started 28:9 73:3 126:11,24 154:21 178:13 183:22 274:9

starting 128:6 172:4 185:12 208:16 266:14

starts 184:19 185:17 207:10 208:19 276:22, 23

state 20:20 32:17 41:13 74:19 79:9 83:19,24,25 93:5 105:15 113:24 115:11, 12 117:16 124:12 133:20 145:1,3 149:23 155:9 158:15,16 169:1,8 205:20 214:13 216:5,6 233:3 234:17 242:25 256:13 260:11

stated 26:13 32:19 33:4 61:23 118:13 141:18 171:25 191:5 215:12 218:3 233:13 235:21 275:5

statement 26:14 36:2,5 41:6 46:2,4,15 85:15 91:15 118:24 120:25 170:16 179:17 208:1 211:1,6,9 219:9, 15 222:3 238:7,18,22, 24 239:4 247:1,12 249:4,19 267:1,2,3,6

statements 18:17 76:23 150:6 222:1 228:25 248:2 249:8 257:13,17

states 17:13 21:19, 23,24 22:7,14,15 24:19 25:2 28:10 29:19,23 42:20,21 43:7,10,21 44:2,7,13 45:11 46:11,23 47:2,5, 7,13,16,19,23 48:2,7, 8,25 49:6 51:2,16 52:5,8,11,24 54:11,12 55:8,10 56:19 64:15 73:25 82:22 83:1 86:5 91:3 93:25 108:16 110:7 114:7 115:2,22 120:10 141:6 144:16 145:6 147:12 168:22 172:2 178:10 183:25 186:17 188:23 193:17 221:14,15 227:16 264:24 265:7 266:18

States' 43:17 45:8,25 46:6 48:3 52:5 227:5

stating 68:23 194:24 253:12 265:16

station 167:24

stationary 172:6

status 23:19 26:17 27:11 34:4 197:15 218:21 240:16

statute 232:15 268:22

statutes 236:25

statutory 232:18

stay 205:6 266:12

step 154:14 204:23 242:14 259:22 279:13

steps 251:23,24

stipulates 86:15

stipulations 86:17

Stone 254:25

Stone's 165:21

storage 92:24 124:15,17,19 200:22

strategies 55:1

stricken 207:7 226:13

strictly 134:2 187:7 267:4 268:3

strike 15:8,10,13,15, 16,18 27:8 43:22 80:11 156:14,16

strong 67:1

strongly 65:13

struck 148:2

structure 29:20 148:8

structured 232:14 239:23

studying 30:15

stuff 106:24 129:6 130:21 137:8 174:8 217:21 273:24

subdivision 20:8,9 256:25

subdivisions 20:5

subheading 184:15

subject 22:1 26:23 60:16 87:4 89:12 111:6 129:22 146:7 176:18 232:24 233:2 236:24

submission 134:14 195:8

submit 61:18 70:10 121:3 122:15 153:5 185:8 257:5

submitted 39:15 105:15 137:12,20 138:8 174:7 189:25 194:17 217:11 239:21 249:24 **submitting** 126:20 216:4

subpart 216:24

subsection 216:6 238:15

subsequent 239:15

subsidiaries 178:10

substantial 145:14 186:21 251:7

substantially 183:6

successful 21:20

suffice 31:13 158:6

sufficient 157:20,24 224:6,14 251:11

suggest 51:13

suggested 241:22 271:18

suggesting 214:21

suggestion 138:11

summarized 204:3

summary 188:25

summation 89:7

summer 126:15

Sunshine 17:17 175:15,16 176:1,6 177:25 188:2,6 246:24 249:14 259:6

superimpose 63:25

superior 142:12

supplemental 16:23 178:1 206:8,21 209:9 260:23 272:7

supplemented 176:6

supplied 14:23 86:6 183:17 221:8 222:18 224:22

supply 14:12,14 15:11 43:2 63:5 64:18, 23 65:5,8,21 70:17 105:9 152:6 167:23 168:13 180:7 197:8 198:6 210:2 232:14

support 32:4 44:18 52:12

supported 36:6

supporting 45:24 52:15 265:14

supports 222:21

suppose 25:17 149:5 150:23 151:17

supposed 106:13 123:13

Supreme 22:25 31:15,23 67:18

surplus 85:13,17

surprised 28:20

surrebuttal 15:25 16:10 77:18 78:3,13 79:16 80:10,12 86:21 156:14 157:3 163:24 164:1 166:9,14,15,19 167:21 168:19 169:1 171:1 172:23 173:5 176:10 177:16 179:7, 12 199:3 203:19 204:2,14,15 206:7 209:8 223:2 245:7 248:24 260:19 261:9 264:20 266:13

surveys 108:18

suspect 85:7 87:24

sustain 153:16 175:8 204:16 235:12

swear 154:24

Swearengen 13:24

swimming 182:11 183:3,4 192:2,18,20, 22 193:17

sworn 79:4,7 155:1,7 205:17,18 242:21,23 260:7,9

system 20:7,19 31:7 34:4 36:6 37:24 40:21,

23 44:12.15 46:1 47:1 48:9 49:12 50:11 53:4 55:6 64:15 65:2 78:19 92:21 94:9,14 95:24 96:6 108:5,6,7,14,15, 20 109:4,6 110:12,20 111:5 113:25 114:7,8 115:9,10,13 119:11,15 120:6,16 121:8 126:3 127:12 136:4,13,14 137:4,11,15 138:7 148:15 149:22 152:10, 11.14.20 162:22 164:5 165:12 166:1,5 167:7 169:3,4,16 170:18,24 171:5,10 174:2,5 184:20,23 185:4,13,20 189:7 191:23 193:23 195:10 196:7 200:12 208:1 220:7 223:8 230:12 244:25 245:9, 19 246:16 247:6 248:3 249:9,11 251:10 255:4,11,14 257:20 258:22 259:10,15,17 265:4,8,19 266:19 267:7,17 268:3,4,10, 25 269:5

systemic 110:14

systems 13:14 17:13 20:12,16 22:16,18,22 23:18,24 24:12,21,23 31:17 32:10,25 33:11, 12,23 34:16 35:14,23 36:4,8 40:10 42:24 43:7,11,19 44:8,17,18 46:8,14,24 47:20 48:13,15 52:14 58:19 65:2,4 66:18 67:7 72:1,3,9,14 83:19 88:16 91:11 92:19,22 109:9 111:16 115:9 118:21 119:1,3,6 121:3 123:16,17,18, 21,22 124:4,10,12 127:15 131:14 132:15, 16 133:7,8,15,19 137:10 143:15 145:4 148:13,15 149:21 153:23,24 159:15 162:14 163:19 175:15

176:18 177:4 178:5 190:5,9 194:13 195:11 196:11 197:7,14 199:16 203:23 204:9, 10 207:5 208:10,17 210:5 213:11 214:11, 19,22 215:3,23 223:7 225:6 227:6,8,13,20 228:6 237:11,17 242:2 245:3,5 249:20,25 250:4,13 254:4 255:6 256:19,20 258:25 259:12 262:23 264:25 277:2,5

Т

T-S7 186:14

table 126:21 127:24 135:21 136:5,17,20 165:7,10 193:14

tables 183:19 186:6 188:23,25 204:4

tailored 61:19

takeover 65:7

takes 262:3

taking 114:7 130:17 131:1 223:15,16 227:4 245:21

talk 18:18 66:15 77:13 107:19 108:1 164:25 171:2 215:24 233:24 241:6

talked 56:12 72:3 89:14 136:7 137:20 146:19,21 151:9,22 152:8 161:4

talking 18:25 19:3,4 48:4 53:3 56:15 111:24 123:7 124:24 127:16 132:12 162:5 165:21 172:15 191:23 204:10 207:4,16 246:10 255:5 269:14 273:24 276:23

talks 135:21

tap 192:21,22

tariff 24:7 93:16,17,20 148:8,11,13

tariffs 24:3 115:3

Tartan 241:6

task 178:16

tax 90:17 233:6

technical 22:21 26:10 32:10,15,20 49:8 86:1 117:12 143:10 168:8 210:4 215:16 216:1

technically 49:2 60:16 125:3

technology 258:19

teetering 35:14

tempted 205:1

ten 38:25 39:2,3 118:25 205:3

ten-minute 205:2

tender 81:5 156:11 209:20 244:5 261:16

tendered 157:12

Tennessee 46:12

term 121:11 217:20

terminated 207:10 208:20

terminology 89:4

terms 21:9 68:14 73:23 74:20,21 91:8 132:13 158:17 169:6 192:8,12 200:13 216:20,25 217:9,19 219:21 262:4 264:1

territory 63:14 66:14

test 96:5 192:22 240:9,10 278:9

testified 79:7 115:20 132:18,25 150:25 155:7 160:4 169:4 170:7 171:4 205:18 235:9 242:23 260:9

testifies 66:25

testifying 202:6

testimonies 87:14

testimony 15:10,14, 16,21,23 16:9,23 17:2, 3,6 20:11 30:5,6 43:22 46:3 50:12 54:19 56:14 63:24 66:10,21 71:22 77:18 78:3,7,9 79:16,18,23 80:10,12 84:18 87:6 93:4 95:23 96:13 107:16,25 111:15 112:21,22 113:17 134:5 138:12 154:12 155:17,21 156:1,15 157:2,3,7,18 160:5 164:6,12,17 165:16,21,22 166:10, 19 168:6 169:6 172:22,23 174:21 177:16 179:8 188:24 198:21 200:8 203:20 204:14,23 206:4,5,7,8, 12,13,21 209:1,7,8,9 219:13 222:14,16,18, 22 223:2,10 229:17 234:24 235:1,21,25 236:7 242:13 243:4,8, 11,24 245:10 248:24 249:4 251:14 254:18, 21 255:1,9 257:4,8 259:22 260:19,22,23 261:2,5,9 262:16,18 264:18.19 265:12.14 266:13 276:23 279:13

testing 250:12,13

tests 110:11

text 226:22

textbook 186:5

Thankfully 43:24

theme 48:6

themes 65:16

thing 19:18 46:17 56:12 108:23 140:17 200:19 215:20 266:5 275:1 things 30:23 42:9 43:6 72:19 75:3 124:20 146:24 152:11 177:2 187:2,21 215:7, 9 218:24 219:3 234:19 235:10 238:16 251:25

thinking 204:8

thinks 218:23

third-party 87:16 147:4 160:13,23 198:25

Thomas 15:10,13 87:14 93:2 96:8,10 108:1 155:7,10 157:2, 3,14 160:1 171:25 173:6 174:18 177:12 178:14,25 179:23,24 181:19 186:8 189:25 192:24 202:14 204:3,

Thomas' 112:22 156:14 245:8

thought 53:13 64:9 120:7 132:23 134:3 188:20 212:4 217:15 265:17 266:2

thousand 176:10 179:11,12,22

threat 51:14 158:21

three-bedroom

threshold 58:15

throw 274:1

throwing 214:25 271:11

thumb 107:19

tie 192:18

till 77:5

time 16:14 17:15 18:8 21:7 25:21 27:24 29:4 34:4 35:18 37:1,16 39:8 40:4 44:20 45:16 48:19,24 49:7 51:13, 21,25 52:16 53:7 60:14 64:21 65:18 69:19 79:2.23 81:20 83:3,4 87:12,21,25 88:4 94:16 96:22 97:7 118:5,18 120:18 121:20,21 122:15,21 126:2,16 127:17 129:12 130:11 131:22 133:12,23 134:19 135:1,5 137:21,25 138:7 139:11 140:21 142:10 143:15.16 148:17 153:4 156:10 159:21 160:18 161:15, 23 162:2 174:2 176:17 178:4 190:18 195:19 197:9 200:16 203:21 204:18 206:12 212:8 217:11 218:1,10,12 223:19,20 224:14 227:22 229:20 230:19 237:6,17 243:8 247:8 253:4 257:8 258:9 261:2,8,25 272:1 277:12 279:3,5,23

timeline 46:22 126:24 128:21 129:5 130:18 139:23 140:3

timely 28:9 29:12 142:13

times 39:24 57:9 71:20 73:4 88:2 110:13 112:23 124:12 127:18 132:22 134:4 141:18 250:3

timing 58:6

title 73:6,9,16,17 119:9,10,22 120:6,7, 16 125:21 127:11,14 130:15 134:23 138:7 201:20 274:7,8

titled 133:14

TMF 241:6

today 13:7,16 18:9,16 19:25 22:24 27:13,16 43:5 59:9 66:11 71:13 73:21 74:7,12,17 76:12 80:1,16 112:4, 12,14 129:23 143:5 152:2 156:4 205:7 222:7 233:25 260:2 261:5 277:18,20

Todd 15:10,13 155:7, 10 157:2,3

told 27:15 61:20

tomorrow 279:17

tomorrow's 279:24

ton 110:16

top 38:13 44:9 51:17 56:1 94:17,20 111:9 167:22 168:2 207:21

topic 18:12

total 24:11 88:15 111:11 112:25 161:4,6 191:11 192:15 236:1 271:7

totaled 35:13 161:11, 12

tours 201:7

tower 94:8

Toyota 55:23 56:7

track 164:2

trained 245:17

transaction 24:3,17 27:10,17,25 28:8 33:6 41:24 86:16 146:7,10, 13 217:14 218:23 219:13,20

transcript 80:13 107:4 156:16

transfer 31:16 43:6, 13,14 45:11 48:14 49:4 51:1 54:5,10,13, 22 56:13,14,24 143:13 210:9 215:6 227:9

transferred 56:21 185:14,21

trapped 75:1

treading 23:24

treat 110:18 224:13

treated 158:14

treatment 20:19,21 22:8 74:6 95:23 158:14 159:7,11 170:6 215:12 246:17 247:25 258:14,25 259:15

trees 30:17

triage 123:17

trial 163:6

troubled 265:19

true 27:21 33:7 80:4 85:10 86:5 91:24 92:15 95:9 109:10 125:18 127:22 133:6 147:9 150:24 156:7 165:11,15 170:16,22, 25 190:10 193:6 215:14 245:20 247:21

Trumpet 84:10,12

trust 266:2

trustee 21:14,16 28:16 59:21 68:9,13 76:4 86:10 87:1 116:12,16 117:1 121:6,15 127:24 129:3 138:13 140:17 141:5, 11,13,24 142:6,12,17 143:4,7

trustee's 141:8

truth 236:20

TSS 177:2

TT-S1 179:25 181:10, 21 186:19

TT-S10 197:13

TT-S12 197:25

TT-S2 188:25

TT-S4 181:24 182:1, 10 183:2,15,22 186:19 190:24 194:8,15

TT-S5 183:22

TT-S6 173:10,12,18

184:3 194:20

TT-S7 186:14 188:25 189:1,5

TT-S8 177:12

turn 62:25 113:20 132:3 135:18 163:23 166:18 167:13,24 173:14 174:16 177:12 180:16 182:4 184:3,13 185:11 190:23 223:1 226:7 264:20

turns 124:7

twelve-minute 205:4

two-bedroom 112:17

type 72:16 137:5 162:16 181:17 183:3 191:20 217:20 218:19 249:24 257:12 259:15

types 215:7,9 219:3 259:12

typical 59:12 87:15 145:18

typically 70:25 143:12 147:5 162:9,11 163:10 193:20 200:16 213:2

U

uh-huh 226:12

ultimate 29:24 151:14 262:21

ultimately 25:16 73:15 147:22 148:4,14 150:23 151:4,7,11,16 161:1 231:22 262:2 276:24 277:12

unable 21:3 35:5 175:3

unaware 85:3

uncertainty 37:19

undergoing 48:25

undersized 110:21

understand 17:16 18:11 60:11 76:8 84:9 85:13 86:14 87:8 94:2, 24 107:15 113:11 116:1,14 117:12,21,22 120:24 125:23 137:7 147:18 165:15,19 168:8 177:11 178:7 181:4 195:9 198:2 211:21 215:2

understanding

29:15 39:18 40:16 68:7 75:22 79:18 82:21 91:16 121:25 133:9 136:2 147:15 152:19 155:20 160:8 211:3 229:4,25 231:16,19 258:13

understands 248:6

understood 90:15 122:19 162:18

undertake 164:21

undertaking 87:13

unfairly 65:3

unfamiliar 55:4

unfortunate 72:22

unilateral 55:15

unit 62:23,25 63:6,13 64:4 67:2 69:15,16 70:10 135:21 136:5,17 165:8

United 24:18 33:3

units 65:13

universe 35:25

unjust 25:9

Unlike 62:4

unreasonable 25:9

unregulated 26:9 149:23

unsafe 179:14

unsafeness 57:24

unsatisfactory 72:6 110:7 184:15 215:19 247:3,20

untreated 158:24

unusual 16:11,12 60:13

unwilling 21:3 35:6

updated 36:14,17 206:23 208:3

updates 37:8

upgrade 49:13 248:9

upgrades 50:2 113:25 114:1 133:9 170:5 208:9 249:21 253:19

upset 114:6,11,14 115:2

urge 65:13

usable 162:11

user 72:17

users 112:4,16,24 115:1 235:3

Utilicorp 33:3 41:2

utilities 21:25 25:2 35:16,17 149:22 153:11

utility 13:8,21,25 15:15 16:17 17:12 19:1,5,10,12 20:24 21:20,22 22:3,4,5,6,20 23:16,20 24:1,9,14,20, 23 25:8,25 26:6,16,21, 22 28:21 29:18 30:22 31:3 32:21 34:1,14,19 35:4,5,9,18,20,22 37:3 42:22 43:18 44:3 47:20 59:13,17,23 60:20 61:2,11,12 63:24 65:7,10,13,18 70:8 71:14 73:25 74:18 82:5 86:8 94:1 108:19 109:5 147:19 148:20 149:11 153:24 210:14 211:4 214:20

217:5,15,25 218:8 221:13 225:22 226:17 230:13 239:20 256:10 257:9,14 260:18 263:11 267:5 268:4,9 277:13

utilize 153:12 278:10

utilized 31:14,18 134:11 150:11

٧

vacation 159:4

valuation 144:15

varies 162:10

variety 20:25

vary 162:13 179:17

vastly 52:18 58:14

vehicle 56:9

vent 94:8

verbatim 64:13 225:20

verified 174:12 176:24 188:3,8,11 197:6

verify 172:25 173:1 174:1,4,12,19,23 175:12 176:16,23 177:16 187:21 200:17, 18,19 226:5 259:5 266:3

verifying 173:4 197:20 219:16

version 77:23,25 78:2,8,16 207:3,22 208:7,13,15 226:6,9 270:14,16 272:6 275:14

versions 206:22 209:12

versus 27:11 45:14 55:7 56:24 58:8 123:9 194:25 231:13 241:6

251:6

vested 52:12

viable 24:20 44:11 113:25 217:25 218:8 229:3

video 201:12

view 265:6 271:6

viewed 202:24

Village 84:25 85:2,3 115:11 149:23

violated 177:2

violation 17:14,22,24 173:14,18 174:16 175:11,20 176:17,18 178:3,9 181:11,17,21 182:18,23 183:8,9,12 185:1,8,13,25 188:13 193:13 195:15 198:3,4

violations 152:13 173:24 174:3 175:13 176:8 178:17 181:15 186:7,9 187:1,4,5,8 194:25 195:18 196:15, 17,24 203:12 204:3

virtually 65:11

visible 257:1

visited 170:17.19

visits 201:7

voice 65:15 69:1 85:25

voiced 45:13 48:10

volume 171:15

voluntarily 63:20

W

WA-2019-0185 13:5

wait 95:20 133:23,24 279:8

waiting 18:2

walk 76:10 179:19

walking 40:14

walks 121:15

walls 110:10

wanted 13:17,19 29:18 30:1 75:6 91:13 122:18 127:19 201:19 247:18 275:8

wanting 16:20 134:2

wastewater 14:15 15:12 20:7,19,20 22:8 43:3 63:6,8 65:20 67:7 70:21 71:7 87:2 91:19 94:10 110:9 158:12,13 159:11 160:12 197:7 202:19 204:12 208:9 210:3,5 212:19

water 13:9,13,15 14:12,14,15 15:11,12 16:13 18:25 19:4,17, 18,20,25 20:2,4,7,16, 22,24 21:5,8,13,15,18, 19,23,24 22:1,13,14, 15,16,18 23:22,24 24:3,4,6,12,22 25:15, 24 26:7,22 28:11 29:20,23 30:25 31:4,7, 16 32:9,18 35:4,11,16, 19 36:7 37:2 42:21,24, 25 43:1,2,15 44:3 46:8 47:6,12 48:9,13 55:6,7 57:9,16 59:16,17 60:8, 15,19,22 61:6,8,12 62:15,22,24 63:2,4,5, 8,19 64:14,15,23 65:5, 7,8,10,13,19,20,21,23 66:3,4,7,8,16,17,19 67:6 69:8 70:17,21,22, 24 71:5,8 72:8,21 82:23,24 83:1,15,25 86:5,10 87:1,3,10 91:3,18 92:1,7,12,13, 22,24 93:25 94:8,9 95:24 96:1 105:9 106:24 108:2,16 109:15 112:25 113:9, 25 114:24,25 115:22 118:17,21 120:10 131:14 132:10 133:7, 15,19 136:4,14 137:21

138:5 141:12 148:10 152:6 153:25 157:21 160:11 161:8 162:14, 23 164:16 166:1,5,6,7 168:9,13,14 169:3,4 170:6,18,24 172:2 175:4,13 176:4 177:8 180:7,8 184:6,20,23 185:3,13,14,20,21 188:8,9 192:8,21 193:24,25 194:3,5,13, 17 197:8,14 198:6 201:22 202:19 204:11 207:5 208:9,16 210:2, 5,9,13 212:19 214:11 215:4 225:6 227:3,6,8, 13,20 231:6 232:14 235:3,17 240:20 241:10,13 244:13,14 245:3 246:16 247:6,9 249:1,10 250:5,6 254:4,20 255:6

264:24 265:7,8 277:2 **waters** 32:24 158:15, 16

256:15,19 258:25

262:22,23 263:5

ways 61:14 123:16 145:21 153:1

website 46:14 207:6 208:17

week 144:6 159:9 201:7 202:18

weekend 137:8

weekends 137:7

weight 33:2 133:3 193:7

wells 136:4,5

Whitney 14:3 160:3

willingness 268:9

win 47:10

winning 82:23 274:24

wipe 73:18

wiring 123:23

withdraw 68:6.13

withdrawn 41:4,5,7

withholds 118:4

witnessed 159:8 201:25

witnesses 19:7 37:14 38:17 55:3 66:11,22 76:24 81:8 134:1 205:2,7,14 260:1 279:18

WM-2015-0231 66:2

WM-2017-018 66:6

Woods 20:5,6 69:23 159:12 203:8,9 250:8, 11

word 15:25 57:24 212:14 215:1 239:25 263:19 265:24 266:3 267:21

words 107:5 208:9 222:10 223:4 253:13

work 21:11 23:12 56:4 61:24 64:20 129:5 145:21 146:2 162:1 171:25 172:3,13 178:19

worked 36:13 121:2 126:22 128:6

working 196:21

works 116:9 161:21 189:8

workshops 129:17

world 27:12

worry 70:2 72:11 95:15

worse 269:4

worsened 34:6

worth 37:24 38:1,4 48:23 165:17

wound 73:19

wrap 126:23

wrapping 260:2 writes 64:11,22 65:6 written 15:23 62:9 156:15,24 184:21 264:23 272:13 wrong 92:1 111:21 120:1 180:2 192:11 207:19 216:3 265:23
written 15:23 62:9 156:15,24 184:21 264:23 272:13 wrong 92:1 111:21 120:1 180:2 192:11
156:15,24 184:21 264:23 272:13 wrong 92:1 111:21 120:1 180:2 192:11
120:1 180:2 192:11
Y
year 34:22 71:1 120:2 126:13 162:9 234:3,18 269:14,15
years 21:11 23:25 33:25 34:7,12 35:12 33:27 39:3,6 55:23 56:8 59:20 65:4,12 71:24 72:8 73:10 74:24 110:12,13 115:10,18 118:25 149:2,9 174:9 178:11 190:4,14 194:12 199:13 211:22 258:17 276:9 277:1