BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

n the Matter of the Application of)	
Confluence Rivers Utility Operating)	
Company, Inc., to Acquire Certain Water)	File No. WM-2020-0403
and Sewer Assets of Terre Du Lac Utilities)	
Corporation)	

CONFLUENCE RIVERS' RESPONSE TO OPC MOTION TO COMPEL

COMES NOW Confluence Rivers Utility Operating Company, Inc., ("Confluence Rivers" or "Company) and, in response to the Office of the Public Counsel's ("OPC") *Motion to Compel*, states as follows to the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission"):

SUMMARY

Confluence Rivers requests that the Commission deny the OPC *Motion to Compel* as the Motion seeks confidential documents: 1) from a Tennessee Public Utility Commission ("PUC") proceeding to which Confluence Rivers was not a party; 2) concerning entities that are not parties to this case; and, 3) that are protected by a Protective Order issued by the Tennessee Public Utility Commission (the "Tennessee Protective Order") (Appendix A). The requested documents, which are subject to the Tennessee Protective Order, are not available for release, nor relevant to this case.

DISCUSSION

1. On September 2, 2020, the OPC filed its Motion seeking to compel Confluence Rivers to produce the unredacted, confidential versions of certain documents filed in an acquisition case before the Tennessee PUC (Docket No. 19-00062) concerning Limestone Utility Operating Company, LLC's ("Limestone") potential acquisition of the utility assets of Aqua Utilities, Inc., a Tennessee water and sewer utility. The unredacted documents that have been

requested have been identified as confidential in accordance with the Tennessee Protective Order issued in Tennessee PUC Docket No. 19-00062 on September 25, 2019. OPC has received the public versions of the documents it seeks, but not the unredacted versions that are protected by the Tennessee Protective Order.

- 2. Confluence Rivers is not a party to Tennessee PUC Docket No. 19-00062. Limestone is an affiliate of Confluence Rivers, but Confluence Rivers is neither a parent, nor a subsidiary, of Limestone. Confluence Rivers has no connection to Aqua Utilities, Inc., which proposes to sell water and sewer assets to Limestone in the Tennessee PUC proceeding.
- 3. The testimony requested by OPC Data Request 3116 was filed on behalf of, the Consumer Advocate Unit of the Tennessee Attorney General's Office and certain aspects of the testimony were redacted by the Consumer Advocate in accordance with the previously referenced Tennessee Protective Order.
- 4. The item requested by OPC Data Request 3117 is an appraisal report performed on behalf of Limestone, concerning the assets of Aqua Utilities, Inc. It was marked as confidential in accordance with the Tennessee Protective Order.
- 5. Confluence Rivers provided timely objections to these data requests on Monday, August 17, 2020.¹ It further provided a response to the subject data requests on August 25, 2020, citing to the Tennessee Protective Order.
- 6. Data requests are a means for discovery in Commission proceedings between the Staff, OPC and "parties." Limestone is not a party to this proceeding. Confluence Rivers is not

¹ OPC alleges that the objections were not timely (Motion, para. 5). The subject DRs were served on August 5, 2020. The tens days provided for objection would run out on Saturday, August 15, 2020. Commission Rule 20 CSR 4240-2.050(1) states in relevant part that "The last day of the period so computed shall be included, unless it is a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday, in which case the period runs until the end of the next day which is not a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday." Confluence Rivers' objections were provided on Monday, August 17, 2020, the next day after August 15 that was not a Saturday, Sunday or legal holiday.

² Commission Rule 20 CSR 4240-2.090(2).

a party to Tennessee PUC Docket No. 19-00062. No party to this case has access to the requested documents given the Tennessee Protective Order. Thus, data requests are an inappropriate vehicle for requesting such documents.

- 7. OPC argues that the Tennessee Protective Order "does not bar Confluence Rivers from answering the OPC's DRs," based on its citation to a provision stating that the Order is not intended to restrict, limit, or alter any federal or state laws, regulations or rules. First, there is no allegation that the Tennessee Protective Order violates or alters federal or state laws, regulations, or rules. Again, it must not be overlooked that the documents in question do not concern Confluence Rivers or any proceeding to which Confluence Rivers was a party. However, beyond this, Paragraph 15 of the Tennessee Protective Order expressly states the 6 circumstances (A-F) under which information subject to the Order may be disclosed outside of the Tennessee PUC proceeding, and further states that the burden of establishing the existence of those circumstances is on the disclosing party. Even if Limestone were a party to this proceeding, it does not appear that any of the 6 circumstances allowing for release of protected documents exist.
- 8. The *Motion to Compel* further alleges, as an attempt at establishing relevance, that "Limestone is *intending* to submit evidence related to the Elm Hills Utility Operating Company (Elm Hills) and Indian Hills Utility Operating Company (Indian Hills) before the Tennessee [PUC]." In fact, the referenced Tennessee hearing took place on August 10, 2020. The record reveals that no information regarding Elm Hills or Indian Hills was entered into evidence during that hearing. However, even if it had been, the use of any such evidence would not establish that somehow information used in a Tennessee proceeding, which is unrelated to Elm Hills or Indian

³ Motion, para. 14.

⁴ Motion, para. 13 (emphasis added).

Hills, is relevant to this proceeding – a proceeding that does not concern, Limestone, Elm Hills, Indian Hills, Aqua Utilities, Inc. or Aqua Utilities, Inc.'s assets. Nor would it in any way void the Tennessee Protective Order.

- 9. The *Motion to Compel* attempts to put its own interpretation and spin on the Tennessee Protective Order by describing its take on the intent and substance of the Order. The Tennessee Protective Order speaks for itself and relative projections and burdens are irrelevant. As stated in the Order, its purpose is ". . . to adequately protect information entitled to be kept confidential. . . ." More importantly, the Tennessee Protective Order imposes a legal obligation that must be obeyed. Whether OPC agrees with the Tennessee PUC's rationale or purpose is of no consequence.
- 10. Moreover, the requested information is not relevant to the subject proceeding, not proportional to the needs of the case considering the totality of the circumstances, nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence as to this case, <u>as it concerns entities</u> not regulated by the Missouri Public Service Commission and a proceeding taking place in another state, concerning properties located in another state.
- 11. The *Motion to Compel* alleges that redacted versions of the subject testimony relate to the value of an acquisition premium and the financial condition of CSWR, LLC (CSWR). The redacted portions of testimony could not relate to the value of an acquisition premium as Limestone specifically states in public testimony that it is not seeking such an adjustment as to the Aqua Utilities assets. As to the financial condition of CSWR, it is not apparent from the testimony that CSWR's financial condition is a subject of the redacted

-

⁵ Motion, para. 15.

⁶ Tenn. Prot. Ord., Opening paragraph.

portions. However, even if it did, the financial statements of CSWR would provide the best evidence regarding the financial condition and strength of that entity.

12. The *Motion to Compel* also argues that the appraisal report concerning Aqua Utilities' assets is relevant to Confluence Rivers' proposed acquisition of Terre Du Lac because:

An answer to this DR would reveal the identity of who appraised the Aqua Utilities' systems, which may support or undermine the credibility of Confluence Rivers' appraisal of the TDL systems. Having the entirety of the Aqua Utilities Appraisal Report may also support or undermine the credibility of the methodology for the TDL's appraisal.⁷

- 13. It is hard to imagine how an appraisal of assets not involved in the present Commission case, that was performed in Tennessee, and that was performed by a Tennessee appraiser, could appear to be reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case.⁸ The issue in this case is the Missouri appraiser's valuation of Terre Du Lac's assets, and the Tennessee appraisal is neither necessary nor critical for OPC to address that issue.
- 14. The *Motion to Compel* further alleges that "the water and sewer systems contemplated in the Tennessee application are similar in size and condition as the Terre Du Lac (TDL) systems contemplated in this docket." In fact, a review of the public documents reveals that the systems at issue in the Tennessee case are not at all similar to those of Terre Du Lac. The Terre Du Lac systems are much larger as water/wastewater systems currently owned by Aqua Utilities serve a total of 755 customers, whereas Terre Du Lac serves approximately 2,600 customers. More importantly, the Terre Du Lac systems have been cited for numerous violations of federal and state environmental laws (to include as recently as this summer). The record in

⁷ Motion, para. 11.

⁸ However, the name of the Tennessee appraiser is something that can be revealed and Confluence Rivers will do so in response to a separate, pending OPC DR in this case.

⁹ Motion, para. 3.

the Tennessee case does not seem to provide any evidence of similar citations for the Aqua Utilities systems. For these same reasons, a Tennessee appraiser's report for Tennessee systems is irrelevant to the Commission's ultimate determination as to whether an acquisition incentive is appropriate in regard to Confluence Rivers possible acquisition of the Terre Du Lac systems.

15. The documents requested by the subject OPC data requests, which are subject to the Tennessee Protective Order, are not available for release, nor relevant to this case.

WHEREFORE, Confluence Rivers Utility Operating Company, Inc., respectfully requests the Commission deny OPC's *Motion to Compel*.

Respectfully submitted,

BRYDON, SWEARENGEN & ENGLAND P.C.

Dean L. Cooper, MBE #36592

312 E. Capitol Avenue

1. Com

P.O. Box 456

Jefferson City, MO 65012

(573) 635-7166 telephone

(573) 635-0427 facsimile

dcooper@brydonlaw.com

ATTORNEYS FOR CONFLUENCE RIVERS UTILITY OPERATING COMPANY, INC.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served by electronic mail on this 11th day of September, 2020 to all counsel of record.

Q1.Com

BEFORE THE TENNESSEE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION AT NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

Sentember 25, 2019

>eptemser 26, 2013		01)
IN RE:)	
JOINT APPLICATION OF AQUA UTILITIES COMPANY, INC., AND LIMESTONE WATER UTILITY)))	DOCKET NO. 19-00062
OPERATING COMPANY, LLC, FOR AUTHORITY TO SELL OR TRANSFER TITLE TO THE ASSETS,))	
PROPERTY AND REAL ESTATE OF A PUBLIC UTILITY AND FOR A CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC)	
CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY)	

PROTECTIVE ORDER

)

To expedite the flow of filings, exhibits and other information, and to facilitate the prompt resolution of disputes as to the confidentiality of information, to adequately protect information entitled to be kept confidential and to ensure that protection is afforded only to information so entitled, the Tennessee Public Utility Commission (TPUC) hereby orders that:

- 1. For the purpose of this Protective Order (Order):
 - (A) Proprietary or confidential information, hereinafter referred to as "Confidential Information", shall mean documents, testimony, material, or information in whatever form which the Producing Party, in good faith, and based on reasonable inquiry, deems to contain trade secrets, confidential research, development or other sensitive information protected by state or federal law, regulation or rule, and which has been specifically designated by the Producing Party.
 - A "Producing Party" is defined as the Party creating the Confidential (B) Information as well as the Party having actual physical possession of information produced pursuant to this Order.

- 2. All summaries, notes, extracts, compilations or other direct or indirect reproduction from, or of, any CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION, shall be entitled to protection under this Order.
- 3. Documents or other information containing CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION shall be segregated from non-confidential information and clearly and conspicuously marked as "CONFIDENTIAL" by the Producing Party. The information must be produced in a way that will clearly and conspicuously identify to others on each page of the information that it contains CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION and it shall be provided in a segregated, completely separate manner from non-confidential information provided. Any information so designated shall be handled in accordance with this Order. The provisions of any information containing CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION may be challenged under Paragraph 17 of this Order, or as otherwise permitted by the Rules of Evidence, state or federal law, regulation or rule.
- 4. Any individual, Party, or non-Party subject to this Order, including Producing Parties or persons reviewing CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION, shall act in good faith in discharging his/her/its obligations under this Order.
- 5. Parties or non-Parties subject to this Order shall include Aqua Utilities Company, Inc, Limestone Water Utility Operating Company, LLC, and the Consumer Advocate Unit in the Financial Division of the Office of the Tennessee Attorney General (Attorney General). If other Parties are permitted to intervene, they will be allowed access to CONFIDENTIAL

¹ If Confidential Information is not clearly and conspicuously marked CONFIDENTIAL, not marked CONFIDENTIAL at all, or is not segregated from non-confidential information, the Producing Party is required to provide substitute information clearly and conspicuously marked "CONFIDENTIAL" and/or provide segregated CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION from non-confidential information within a reasonable time of notification from a Person of such failure to mark or segregate.

INFORMATION only to the extent and under the conditions permitted by a separate order consistent with this Order.

- 6. Subject to the exceptions noted in this Order, CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION shall be disclosed only to the following persons:
 - (A) Counsel of Record for the Parties in this Proceeding, associates, and support staff actively engaged in assisting outside counsel of record in this Docket and any appeals therefrom;
 - (B) In-house Counsel for the Parties;
 - (C) Officers, directors, commissioners, or employees of the Parties, including employees of the Attorney General and the State of Tennessee; provided that such persons shall be subject to the provisions of this Order, and shall not disclose such information further except as otherwise permitted under the terms of this Order;
 - (D) TPUC Commissioners and members of the staff of the TPUC; and
 - (E) Outside consultants and expert witnesses employed or retained by the Parties or their counsel, who have access to CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION solely for evaluation, testing, testimony, preparation for trial or other services related to this Docket, provided that to the extent that any Party seeks to disclose CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION to any outside consultant or expert witness who is expected to testify on that Party's behalf, to the extent possible, the Party shall give seven days written notice to the Producing Party of intention to disclose Confidential Information. During such notice period, the Producing Party may move to prevent or limit disclosure for cause, in which case no disclosure shall be made until the TPUC, the Hearing Officer, the Administrative Law Judge or court rules on the motion. Any such motion shall be filed within three days after service of the Notice. Pre-hearing conferences may be called to confer with the Parties on the Motions to limit disclosure of CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION. All service shall be by the fastest method of hand delivery, facsimile or email. All filings by email in this Docket shall be followed up by delivering a hard copy of the filing to the Docket Manager of the TPUC.

Notwithstanding anything else to the contrary, under no circumstances shall any CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION be disclosed to, or discussed with, any person associated

with the marketing of goods or services in known competition with the goods or services of the Producing Party.

- 7. Prior to disclosure of the CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION to any of the authorized persons, the Counsel representing the Party who is to receive the Confidential Information shall notify the person of this Order and notify the person where it can be found on the TPUC's website or provide a copy of the Order to the recipient, Commissioner, staff member, employee or officer, who shall be bound by the terms of this Order.
- 8. Prior to disclosure of CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION to any outside consultant or expert witness employed or retained by a Party, Counsel shall provide a copy of this Order to such outside consultant or expert witness, who shall sign an affidavit in the form attached as Exhibit A to this Order attesting that he or she has read a copy of this Order, that he or she understands and agrees to be bound by the terms of this Order, and that he or she understands that unauthorized disclosure of the information labeled CONFIDENTIAL constitutes a violation of this Order. The Affidavit attached as Exhibit A shall be signed in the presence of and be notarized by a notary public. Counsel of Record for each Party shall provide the Producing Party a copy of each such Affidavit for retained experts expected to be called as a witness at the hearing of this Proceeding and shall keep the Affidavits executed by all other experts or consultants retained by that Party, whether or not expected to be called as a witness, on file (electronically or in paper) in their respective offices.
- 9. No person authorized under this Order to receive access to documents, information, or testimony designated as Confidential Information shall be granted access until such person has complied with the requirements set forth in this Order.

- 10. In no event shall the TPUC, Attorney General, the State of Tennessee or any other Party to this Order, be liable for any claims, injury, or damages resulting from the disclosure of information while not clearly and conspicuously marked CONFIDENTIAL or not so labeled as CONFIDENTIAL at all, or not segregated from non-confidential information. The Party or non-Party who has produced the information shall notify the Recipient of the inadvertence in providing the CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION in writing within five days of discovery of such inadvertence and the CONFIDENTIAL nature of the information and within a reasonable time provide substitute information clearly and conspicuously marked CONFIDENTIAL and segregated from non-confidential information.
- 11. An inadvertent failure to label a document as "CONFIDENTIAL" shall not constitute a waiver of confidentiality. The Party who has produced the document shall notify the Recipient of the document in writing of such inadvertent failure to label the document as CONFIDENTIAL. Upon such notice, pending resolution of a motion described below, Recipients will treat the subject document as CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION. The Party, by written motion or by oral motion at a Pre-Hearing Conference or at the Hearing on the merits, may request designation of the information as CONFIDENTIAL, and Recipients shall then be governed by the order on the motion. An inadvertent failure to label information as CONFIDENTIAL, or to segregate it from non-confidential information shall not, in any way, affect the TPUC's determination as to whether the information is entitled to CONFIDENTIAL status under this Order. The TPUC, the Hearing Officer, or Administrative Law Judge may, at his or her discretion, either before or during the Pre-Hearing Conference or Hearing on the merits of the Docket allow information to be designated CONFIDENTIAL and treated as such in accordance with the terms of this Order.

- 12. Any filings made in this Proceeding that contain, quote, paraphrase, compile or otherwise disclose information covered by the terms of this Order, shall be filed with the TPUC in sealed envelopes labeled CONFIDENTIAL. The Filing Party shall also include with the filing a public version of the papers with any CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION redacted. Only the redacted public version may be placed in TPUC's public file and/or posted on the TPUC website. In TPUC's files, each sealed envelope shall be labeled to reflect the style and docket number of this Proceeding and to identify the subject matter of the content of the sealed envelope. Further, the envelopes at the TPUC shall be maintained in a locked filing cabinet or may be converted to electronic format at the election of TPUC. The envelopes shall not be opened, or their contents reviewed by anyone except upon order by the Hearing Officer, Administrative Law Judge, or the Commission after due notice to Counsel of Record. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Commissioners and the staff of the TPUC may review any filings containing CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION and labeled CONFIDENTIAL without obtaining an order of the TPUC, Hearing Officer, or Administrative Law Judge, provided the Commissioners and staff maintain the confidentiality of the filing in accordance with the terms of this Order.
- 13. Information designated as CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION and labeled CONFIDENTIAL, in accordance with this Order, may be disclosed in testimony at the Hearing on the merits of this Proceeding and offered into evidence in any hearing related to this Proceeding subject to the applicable rules of evidence and to such future orders as the Hearing Officer, Administrative Law Judge, or the Commission may enter. To the extent possible, any Party intending to use documents, information, or testimony designated as CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION shall inform the Producing Party and the TPUC, Hearing Officer, or Administrative Law Judge, prior to the Hearing on the merits of the case, of the proposed use,

and shall advise the Hearing Officer, Administrative Law Judge, or the Commission, and the Producing Party before use of such CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION during witness examinations so that appropriate measures can be taken by the Hearing Officer, Administrative Law Judge, or the Commission to protect the CONFIDENTIAL nature of the information.

- 14. Except for filings made with the TPUC, all information covered by the terms of this Order that are disclosed to the Requesting Party shall be maintained in electronic records or paper files labeled "CONFIDENTIAL" at the offices of the requesting Party's counsel of record.
- 15. Nothing herein shall be construed as preventing any Party from continuing to use and disclose any information labeled CONFIDENTIAL:
 - (A) That is in the public domain;
 - (B) That subsequently becomes part of the public domain through no act of such Party, or violation of this Order;
 - (C) That is disclosed to it by a third Party, where said disclosure does not itself violate any contractual or legal obligation or terms of this Order;
 - (D) That is independently developed by a Party;
 - (E) That is known or used by it prior to this Proceeding; or
- (F) If disclosure is otherwise required by state or federal law or by court order. The burden of establishing the existence of (A) through (F) shall be upon the Party attempting to use or disclose such information marked CONFIDENTIAL.
- 16. Nothing in this Order shall prevent any Party from asserting any objection to discovery.
- 17. Non-party witnesses shall be entitled to invoke the provisions of this Order by designating information produced for use in this action as CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION pursuant to the terms of this Order.

- 18. To the extent permitted by state and federal laws and regulations, any person to whom disclosure or inspection is made in violation of this Order shall be bound by the terms of this Order.
- 19. Upon an order becoming final in this Docket and conclusion of any appeals resulting from such an order, except as to the Attorney General, all the filings, exhibits and other information designated CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION, and all copies thereof, shall be returned to Counsel of the Producing Party within fifteen business days of a written request from the Producing Party, or counsel in possession of such information shall certify to the best of his/her/its knowledge to counsel of the Producing Party that all the filings, exhibits and other information designated as CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION and all copies thereof have been destroyed. If requested to return any CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION, the Attorney General may elect to retain the CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION provided it continues to comply with the terms of this Order. Further, the TPUC shall retain copies of information designated as CONFIDENTIAL or as may be necessary to maintain a complete record of this Docket intact.
- 20. After termination of this Proceeding and related appeals, the provisions of this Order relating to the confidential nature of CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION shall continue to be binding, upon Parties hereto and their officers, employers, employees, agents, and/or others for five years unless this Order is vacated or modified or otherwise ordered by the TPUC.
- 21. Nothing herein shall prevent a Party or individual from seeking further protection for particular information or prevent entry of a subsequent order, upon an appropriate showing, requiring that any information designated as CONFIDENTIAL shall receive protection other than that provided in this Order.

- 22. Nothing herein shall prevent entry of a subsequent order, upon an appropriate showing, requiring that any documents, information or testimony designated as CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION shall receive protection other than that provided herein.
- 23. The Attorney General and its staff have authority to enter into non-disclosure agreements pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-4-118 which are consistent with state and federal law, regulations and rules.
- 24. The Attorney General and its staff agree to keep CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION in a secure location (which may be held electronically) and will not permit them to be seen by any person who is not an employee of the TPUC, the Attorney General, State of Tennessee, or other person who has signed confirming he/she will comply with this Order.
- 25. The Attorney General and its staff may make copies of CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION and any portion thereof. To the extent permitted by state and federal law, regulations and rules, all notes utilizing supporting information shall be subject to the terms of this Order to the extent factual assertions are derived from the supporting information.
- 26. To the extent permitted by state law, the Attorney General's Office may provide timely notice of any public records request, so the Producing Party may take any action it deems appropriate. The Attorney General may, consistent with the discharge of its duties, handle materials received pursuant to this Order in accordance with Tenn. Code Ann. § 10-7-504(a)(5)(C) or any other law, regulation or rule.
- 27. The obligation of the Attorney General and its staff under this Order are further subject to the state's Public Records Act and other open records statutes. Nothing in this Order is intended to violate or alter the state's Public Records Act or Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). In the event that the Attorney General or member of its staff is served with a subpoena,

public records request, FOIA request, or other request that calls for the production of CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION labeled as CONFIDENTIAL by the Producing Party, the Attorney General will, to the extent permitted by state or federal law, regulation or rule, any orders of a court, or other body issuing the subpoena or request, notify the Producing Party by notifying its Counsel of the existence of the subpoena, public records request, FOIA request, or other request. Further, the Attorney General will notify the Producing Party at least five business days before responding to any such request to the extent permitted by state law and orders of a court, as long as the Attorney General or its staff is able to respond to the request within a reasonable time. The Attorney General or its staff may elect to wait to produce such CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION as allowed by state or federal law in order to provide the Producing Party an opportunity to challenge said subpoena or request or to make arrangements to preserve the confidentiality of CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION marked as CONFIDENTIAL by the Producing Party that is subject to such request.

28. The designation of any information in accordance with this Order as constituting CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION and the Attorney General or its staff's treatment of such information as CONFIDENTIAL in compliance with this Order is not an admission of an agreement by the State of Tennessee, the Attorney General, or its staff that the information constitutes or contains CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION protected by state or federal law and shall not be deemed to be either a waiver of the State's right to challenge such designation or an acceptance of such designation. The Producing Party agrees to designate information provided to the Attorney General as CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION only if it has a good faith basis for the claim at law. The Producing Party will, upon request of the Attorney General or its staff, provide a written explanation of the details, including statutory authority that support its

CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION claim within five days of a written request. The Producing Party also specifically agrees that it will not designate any information as CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION or label such information as CONFIDENTIAL if the information:

- (A) Has been distributed to the public, consumers or others; or
- (B) Is not maintained by the Producing Party as CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION.
- 29. Nothing in this Order shall prevent the Attorney General from using the CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION received for investigative purposes in the discharge of the duties of the Office of the Attorney General. Additionally, nothing in this Order shall prevent the Attorney General from informing state officials and third parties of the fact of an investigation, as needed, to conduct the investigation. Without limiting the scope of this Paragraph, nothing in the Order shall prevent the Attorney General from contacting consumers whose names were provided by the Producing Party or from discussing with any consumer any information that he or she allegedly received from the Producing Party or confirming that a consumer actually received the information.
- 30. CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION is subject to this Order which is entered pursuant to Rule 26 of the Tennessee Rules of Civil Procedure and to the Tennessee Public Records Act, as set forth in the language of Tenn. Code Ann. § 10-7-503(a)(2)(A) ". . . unless otherwise provided by state law."
- 31. All information designated as CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION and produced in accordance with this Order may be disclosed in testimony or offered into evidence at any TPUC or court hearing, trial, motion or proceeding of this Docket or appeals thereof in a related Docket or proceeding, subject to the provisions of this Order, the applicable rules of evidence, and any order the TPUC may enter to protect the confidentiality of information offered at any

APPENDIX A

hearing or other proceeding. The Party who produced the information designated

CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION agrees to stipulate to the authentication of such information

in any such proceeding. If any Party identified information in the CONFIDENTIAL

INFORMATION that indicates that unlawful conduct (civil or criminal) has occurred or may

occur, nothing in the Order shall prevent such Party from reporting such alleged conduct to the

appropriate law enforcement or regulatory agency.

32. Nothing in this Order is intended to or shall restrict, limit, or alter any federal or

state laws, regulations or rules.

33. Any person who has signed an Affidavit in the form attached as Exhibit A to this

Order or is otherwise bound by the terms of this Order shall continue to be bound by this Order

and/or Affidavit even if no longer engaged by the TPUC or any of the Intervenors.

34. This Order shall be construed subject to the laws of the State of Tennessee,

conflicts of law notwithstanding.

35. No person subject to this Order shall be required to appear outside any venue in

the State of Tennessee regarding this Order or any alleged violations of this Order.

Monica Smith-Ashford, Hearing Officer

This 25 day of September, 2019.

EXHIBIT A

BEFORE THE TENNESSEE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION AT NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

IN RE:)			
JOINT APPLICATION OF AQUITILITIES COMPANY, INC., ALIMESTONE WATER UTILIT OPERATING COMPANY, LLC AUTHORITY TO SELL OR TRITLE TO THE ASSETS, PROPAND REAL ESTATE OF A PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND RECESSITY	AND DOCKET NO. Y 19-00062 C, FOR PRANSFER PERTY PERT			
AGREEMENT TO COMPLY WITH PROTECTIVE ORDER				
abide and be bound by its terms. I	Order entered in the above captioned Docket and agree to understand that unauthorized disclosure of information or the Protective Order will be a violation of the Protective			
DATE	NAME			
STATE OF)			
COUNTY OF)			
Personally appeared before me,	, a Notary Public, with whom I am personally acquainted, who			
acknowledged that he or she executed t	the within instrument for the purposes therein contained.			
WITNESS my hand, at office, this	day of			
	NOTARY PUBLIC			
My Commission Expires:				